

Name Research paper 50%

Description

Rubric Detail

Levels of Achievement

Criteria	HD	D	C	P	F
Synthesis of research Weight 40.00%	85.00 to 100.00 % Follows the key concepts and analysis aligns with the conceptual framework of the study with insightful connections. Very well referenced with highly relevant research.	74.00 to 85.00 % Follows the key concepts and analysis aligns with the conceptual framework of the study. Well referenced with relevant research.	65.00 to 74.00 % Follows the key concepts and analysis reflects some alignment with the conceptual framework of the study. Referenced with somewhat relevant research.	49.00 to 65.00 % Follows the key concepts and analysis aligns minimally with the conceptual framework of the study. Inconsistently referenced with some research.	0.00 to 49.00 % Does not follow the key concepts nor does analysis align with the conceptual framework of the study. Not referenced with research.
Methods and Ethics Weight 20.00%	85.00 to 100.00 % Clarity around the methods and ethics implicated in the study, and insightful reflection for rationale of approach.	74.00 to 85.00 % Some good clarity around the methods and ethics implicated in the study, and some reflection for rationale of approach.	65.00 to 74.00 % Some clarity around the methods and ethics implicated in the study, and little reflection for rationale of approach.	49.00 to 65.00 % Little clarity around the methods and ethics implicated in the study, or some reflection for rationale of approach.	0.00 to 49.00 % Little attention given to methods and ethics implicated in the study, or reflection for rationale of approach.

Levels of Achievement

Criteria	HD	D	C	P	F
Critique of Data and strategies Weight 25.00%	85.00 to 100.00 % Highly appropriate selection and analysis of a range of data and strategies for change, with strong insight connected to the research question.	74.00 to 85.00 % Appropriate selection and analysis of a range of data and strategies for change, with insight connected to the research question.	65.00 to 74.00 % Somewhat astute selection and analysis of a range of data and strategies for change, with some connection to the research question.	49.00 to 65.00 % Loose selection and analysis of a range of data and strategies for change, with limited insight connected to the research question.	0.00 to 49.00 % Inappropriate or lacking selection and analysis of data and strategies for change, with little connection to the research question.
Recommendations for learning design Weight 10.00%	85.00 to 100.00 % Recommendations are strongly supported by the research, address the research question in multiple ways, and clearly lead to a design imperative for A2.	74.00 to 85.00 % Recommendations are mostly supported by the research, address the research question in multiple ways, and lead to a design imperative for A2.	65.00 to 74.00 % Recommendations are sometimes supported by the research, address the research question in some ways, and somewhat lead to a design imperative for A2.	49.00 to 65.00 % Recommendations are lightly supported by the research, address the research question in tenuous ways, and loosely lead to a design imperative for A2.	0.00 to 49.00 % Recommendations are not supported by the research, do not address the research or lead to a design imperative for A2.
Formatting , Structure, Grammar Weight 5.00%	85.00 to 100.00 % APA7th referencing and writing style, is scholarly, as is technical aspects of the writing.	74.00 to 85.00 % APA7th referencing and writing style, is accurate, as is technical aspects of the writing.	65.00 to 74.00 % APA7th referencing and writing style, is mostly accurate, as is technical aspects of the writing.	49.00 to 65.00 % APA7th referencing and writing style, is inconsistent, as is technical aspects of the writing.	0.00 to 49.00 % APA7th referencing and writing style is inhibiting the legibility of the work, as are technical aspects of the writing.

[View Associated Items](#)

Print

Close Window