Voxel-wise Intermodal Coupling Analysis of Two or More Modalities using Local Covariance Decomposition

true true true true

03 December, 2021

Contents

1	CRediT author statement	2
2	Abstract	2
3	Introduction	2
4	Methods	2
	4.1 Participants	2
	4.2 Image acquisition	3
	4.3 Estimation of intermodal coupling	3
	4.4 Voxel-wise statistical analysis	3
	4.5 Spin Testing	4
5	Results	4
6	Discussion	4
7	Conclusion	4
8	Supplementary Materials	4
9	Acknowledgements	4
10	References	1

1 CRediT author statement

Fengling Hu: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Visualization

https://www.elsevier.com/authors/policies-and-guidelines/credit-author-statement

2 Abstract

When individual subjects undergo imaging with multiple modalities, biological data is present not only within each modality, but also between modalities - that is, in how modalities covary at the voxel level. Previous studies have shown that the covariance structures between modalities, or intermodal coupling (IMCo), can be estimated between two modalities, and that two-modality IMCo reveals otherwise undiscovered patterns in neurodevelopment as well as other processes. However, previous IMCo methods are based on the slopes of local weighted linear regression lines, which are inherently asymmetric and limited to the two-modality setting. Here, we present a PCA-based generalization of IMCo which uses local covariance decompositions to define a symmetric, voxel-wise coupling coefficient valid for two or more modalities. We then demonstrate this method is spatially heterogeneous and varies with respect to age and sex over the course of neurodevelopment. As availability of multi-modal data increases, PCA-based IMCo offers a natural approach for summarizing relationships between multiple aspects of brain structure and function. An R package is provided.

3 Introduction

Hello test!

4 Methods

4.1 Participants

We included 803 participants (340 males) from ages 8-23 (mean = 15.6; sd = 3.3) from the Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort (PNC)[Satterthwaite et al., 2014]. Of the 1445 PNC participants who underwent neuroimaging, we initially excluded those meeting any of the following criteria: history of psychoactive medication (n = 165), history of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization (n = 51), or history of medical disorders that could impact brain function (n = 166). From the remaining 1113 participants, we included those who underwent the combination of T1-weighted MRI, arterial spin labeling MRI (ASL), and resting state fMRI (rfMRI) scanning, each of acceptable image quality as determined based on automated and manual screening. This resulted in the final set of 803 participants used for this study.

The Institutional Review Boards of the University of Pennsylvania and the Children's Hospital of Pennsylvania approved all study procedures. All study participants gave informed consent; for participants under the age of 18, parents or guardians provided consent and participants provided assent. Additional details of the PNC study have been previously described [Satterthwaite et al., 2014].

4.2 Image acquisition

4.3 Estimation of intermodal coupling

For each subject, we calculated voxel-wise IMCo between CBF, ALFF, and ReHo modalities. First, we applied a grey matter mask to each of the three modalities. Then, within each masked modality, we globally scaled intensities to a mean of 0 and a variance of 1. This scaling is necessary because eigendecomposition is later performed on local covariance matrices, so if modalities are defined on drastically different scales, decomposition outputs will reflect differences in baseline variance between modalities rather than local coupling. Next, for each voxel, we extracted local neighborhoods from each of the three modalities and weighted voxels within these local neighborhoods proportional to a Gaussian kernel over their Euclidean distances from the central voxel - in our study, we used FWHM = 3 which corresponds to 7x7x7 voxel (14x14x14mm) local neighborhoods and a standard deviation of mm for the Gaussian kernel. Then, we calculated the 3x3 weighted covariance matrix between the neighborhoods, performed eigendecomposition on them, and extracted the first eigenvalue. Lastly, we scaled the first eigenvalue such that its theoretical minimum was 0 and theoretical maximum was 1 and then performed a logit transformation. This resulted in our voxel-level coupling value, where a large value suggests that the modalities covary strongly near that voxel.

4.4 Voxel-wise statistical analysis

We created descriptive coupling maps by taking the means and variances across all 803 participants at each voxel location in volumetric space. We then projected these mean and variance maps to the FreeSurfer Sphere for visualization of spatial heterogeneity and cortical patterns. To investigate biological relevance of PCA-based IMCo, we used linear regression at each voxel to explore whether coupling was associated with age or sex effects. In all linear regressions, we controlled for race and intra-scanner motion for both ASL and rfMRI scans. To control for multiple comparisons in these voxel-level tests, we used a false discovery rate of 0.05 to correct p-values. Then, we created binary masks indicating which p-values remained significant post-correction for both age and sex. For this analysis and following analyses, we performed identical analyses for each of the three modalities individually to explore whether the presence of age and sex effects on modality intensities corresponded to the presence of age and sex effects on coupling values (Supplementary Materials). To visualize the extent of voxels where coupling was associated with age and sex, we counted the proportion of voxels

with statistically significant age or sex effects in each of the Yeo 7 functional networks as well as in subcortical regions of interest.

4.5 Spin Testing

For Yeo 7 functional networks, we then tested whether the proportion of significant voxels in each functional network was enriched when compared to the null spatial distribution using the spin test [Alexander-Bloch et al., 2018].

- 5 Results
- 6 Discussion
- 7 Conclusion
- 8 Supplementary Materials
- 9 Acknowledgements

10 References

References

Aaron F. Alexander-Bloch, Haochang Shou, Siyuan Liu, Theodore D. Satterthwaite, David C. Glahn, Russell T. Shinohara, Simon N. Vandekar, and Armin Raznahan. On testing for spatial correspondence between maps of human brain structure and function. *NeuroImage*, 178:540–551, 2018. ISSN 1095-9572. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.05.070.

Theodore D. Satterthwaite, Mark A. Elliott, Kosha Ruparel, James Loughead, Karthik Prabhakaran, Monica E. Calkins, Ryan Hopson, Chad Jackson, Jack Keefe, Marisa Riley, Frank D. Mensh, Patrick Sleiman, Ragini Verma, Christos Davatzikos, Hakon Hakonarson, Ruben C. Gur, and Raquel E. Gur. Neuroimaging of the Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort. NeuroImage, 86:544–553, February 2014. ISSN 1053-8119. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.07.064. URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3947233/.