Kernel methods in machine learning — Homework 1

Hugo Cisneros

March 13th, 2019

Exercise 1. Kernels

1.1 K is symmetric since

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2 K(y, x) = \cos(-(x - y)) = \cos(x - y) = K(x, y)$$

and because for all sequences of (x_i) and (a_i) of $\mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i \sum_j a_i a_j \cos(x_i - x_j) &= \sum_i \sum_j a_i a_j (\cos x_i \cos x_j + \sin x_i \sin x_j) \\ &= \left(\sum_i a_i \cos x_i\right)^2 + \left(\sum_i a_i \sin x_i\right)^2 \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

K is p.d.

1.2 K is clearly symmetric and $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\begin{aligned}K(x, y) &= \frac{1}{1 - x^T y} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (x^T y)^k \qquad \text{(converges because by C-S } |x^T y| \leq \|x\|_2 \|y\|_2 < 1)\end{aligned}$$

Since all powers of the linear kernel are p.d. kernels, and a sum of p.d. kernels is a p.d. kernel, the above sum is a p.d. kernel for all n. Therefore, K is p.d. as a point-wise limit of a sequence of p.d. kernels.

1.3 The kernel K is symmetric because the intersection is a commutative operation.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i a_j (P(A_i \cap A_j) - P(A_i)P(A_j)) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i a_j (\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_i \cap A_j}] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_i}]\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_j}]) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i a_j \mathbb{1}_{A_i} \mathbb{1}_{A_j}\right] - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[a_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}]\mathbb{E}[a_j \mathbb{1}_{A_j}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}\right)^2\right] - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_i}]\right)^2\end{aligned}$$

By Jensen's inequality, the above quantity is positive because the function $\phi : (X_1, ..., X_n) \mapsto (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i X_i)^2$ is convex. Therefore, K **is p.d.**.

1.4 K is symmetric.

Let $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $0 < f(x)g(y) \leq f(y)g(x)$. The inequality implies $g(x) \neq 0$ and $g(y) \neq 0$. By dividing the inequality above and repeating the process for the opposite inequality, we obtain the following equality

$$\min(f(x)g(y), f(y)g(x)) = g(x)g(y)\min\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}, \frac{f(y)}{g(y)}\right)$$

which holds for $g(x) \neq 0$ and $g(y) \neq 0$. Let $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ a finite sequence of elements of $\mathcal{X}$ and (a_i) a other sequence of scalars. We can ignore the terms for which $K(x_i, x_i) = 0$ in the sum since they do not account for the total and therefore assume $\forall x_i g(x_i) \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j} a_i a_j K(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j \min(f(x_i)g(x_j), f(x_j)g(x_i)) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j g(x_i)g(x_j)\min\left(\frac{f(x_i)}{g(x_i)}, \frac{f(x_j)}{g(x_j)}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j g(x_i)g(x_j)\int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{1}_{t\leq\frac{f(x_i)}{g(x_i)}}(t)\mathbb{1}_{t\leq\frac{f(x_j)}{g(x_j)}}(t)\mathrm{d}t \\
&= \int_0^{+\infty}\left(\sum_i a_i g(x_i)\mathbb{1}_{t\leq\frac{f(x_i)}{g(x_i)}}(t)\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

K **is p.d.**.

1.5

Exercise 2. RKHS

2.1 $\alpha K_1 + \beta K_2$ **is p.d.** as sum of p.d. kernels and because α and β are positive scalars. Let $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ be their respective RKHS.

2.2 K is symmetric because $\langle ., . \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ is an inner product is symmetric and similarly, K is positive definite because $\langle ., . \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ is an inner product.

A candidate RKHS for K is $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathrm{span}\{K_x, x \in \mathcal{X}\}$, endowed with the inner product

$$\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j \langle \Psi(x_i), \Psi(x_j)\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

where f and g were decomposed as $f = \sum_i \alpha_i K_{x_i}$ and $g = \sum_j \beta_j K_{x_j}$. The expression above does not depend on the decomposition of f and g.

This defines an inner product on $\mathcal{H}_0$. With the same construction as in the proof of Aronszajn's theorem, we get a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ by extending $\mathcal{H}_0$ with the limits of Cauchy sequences.

Exercise 3. RKHS

3.1 $\mathcal{H}$ **is Hilbert:**

$\mathcal{H}$ is a vector space of functions. $\langle ., . \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a symmetric bilinear form verifying $\forall f, \langle f, f\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0$.

Since f is absolutely continuous, it has a derivative almost everywhere and the following equality holds $\forall x \in [0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)|^2 &= \left| f(0) + \int_0^x f'(u)\mathrm{d}u \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \int_0^x f'(u)\mathrm{d}u \right|^2 && (f(0)=0) \\ &\leq x \cdot \int_0^1 f'(u)^2 \mathrm{d}u = x \cdot \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \end{aligned}$$

$\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \implies f = 0$ and $\langle ., . \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is therefore and inner product. **$\mathcal{H}$ is a pre-Hilbert space with $\langle ., . \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ as inner product**.

Let (f_n) a Cauchy sequence of $\mathcal{H}$. (f_n') is a Cauchy sequence of $L^2([0,1])$ which is complete. Therefore it converges to a function $g \in L^2([0,1])$.

Since for all (n,m), $x \in [0,1]$, $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)|^2 \leq x \cdot \|f_n - f_m\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$, the sequence $f_n(x)$ is Cauchy for any x and converges to a real number $f(x)$. And since $f(x) = \lim_\infty f_n(x) = \lim_\infty \int_0^x f_n'(u)\mathrm{d}u = \int_0^x g(u)\mathrm{d}u$, f is absolutely continuous and $f' = g$ almost everywhere. Moreover, $f' \in L^2([0,1])$ and $f(0) = \lim_\infty f_n(0) = 0$.

Finally, $\|f_n - f\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|f_n' - g\|_{L^2([0,1])} \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}$. **$\mathcal{H}$ is complete, therefore $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space**.

Reproducing property:

We will now show that $\mathcal{H}$ is the RKHS with corresponding kernel $K : (x,y) \to \min(x,y)$ on $[0,1]^2$.

For $x \in [0,1]$, the function $K_x = \min(x, \cdot)$ is differentiable except on the singleton $\{x\}$ which has a null measure, it is absolutely continuous. Its derivative is square integrable and $\min(x,0) = 0$, **therefore K_x is in $\mathcal{H}$ for all x**.

For any $x \in [0,1]$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\langle f, K_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^1 f'(u) K_x'(u)\mathrm{d}u = \int_0^x f'(u)\mathrm{d}u = f(x)$$

Therefore, K **is the r.k. of the RKHS $\mathcal{H}$**.

3.2 The above demonstration also shows that **$\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space** by remarking that

$$f(1) = \lim_\infty f_n(1) = 1$$

for (f_n) Cauchy sequence of elements of $\mathcal{H}$.

We will now show that the r.k. corresponding to this Hilbert space is $K : (x,y) \mapsto \min(x,y) - xy$.

For $x \in [0,1]$, the function K_x has a derivative everywhere except on $\{x\}$ and its derivative is square integrable. Moreover, $K_x(0) = 0$ and $K_x(1) = 0$, therefore K_x **is in $\mathcal{H}$**.

Let $x \in [0,1]$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\langle f, K_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^1 f'(u) K_x'(u)\mathrm{d}u = (1-x)\int_0^x f'(u)\mathrm{d}u - x\int_x^1 f'(u)\mathrm{d}u = f(x)$$

Therefore K **is the r.k. of the RKHS $\mathcal{H}$**.

3.3 $\mathcal{H}$ is a pre-Hilbert space, and its completeness results from the fact that a Cauchy sequence of $\mathcal{H}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in L^2

By the theorem on Green kernels, we have that $\mathcal{H}$ is a RKHS that admits as r.k. the Green function of the operator D^*D, where $D = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} + 1$ To find the r.k. of $\mathcal{H}$ we need to solve in K

$$f(x) = \langle D^*DK_x, f\rangle_{L^2([0,1])} = \langle DK_x, Df\rangle_{L^2([0,1])} = \langle K_x, f\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Since $\forall x \in [0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 K_x'(u)f'(u) + K_x(u)f(u)\mathrm{d}u &= [K_x'(u)f(u)]_0^1 - \int_0^1 K_x''(u)f(u)\mathrm{d}u + \int_0^1 K_x(u)f(u)\mathrm{d}u \\ &= \int_0^1 (K_x(u) - K_x''(u))f(u)\mathrm{d}u\end{aligned}$$

We can then write $D^*D = 1 - \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2}$. Let $x \in [0,1]$, the Green function of the operator D^*D solves the equation

$$(D^*D)G(x,t) = G(x,t) - G''(x,t) = \delta(x-t)$$

therefore, the solutions have the form $t \mapsto c_1 e^t + c_2 e^{-t}$. On $[0,x)$, the boundary conditions imply $c_1 = -c_2$ and on $(x,1]$, $c_1' e = -c_2' e^{-1}$. We have the following general form of the Green function

$$G(x,t) = \begin{cases} A(x)\sinh(t) \\ B(x)\sinh(1-t) \end{cases}$$

The continuity condition at $t = x$ gives $A(x)\sinh(x) = B(x)\sinh(1-x)$ and the condition on the jump in derivative (obtained by integrating the differential equation between $x-\epsilon$ and $x+\epsilon$ with $\epsilon \to 0$) gives $A(x)\cosh(x) + \cosh(1-x)B(x) = -1$

Therefore, the solution is

$$\begin{aligned}K_x(t) = G(x,t) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sinh(1)}\sinh(1-x)\sinh(t) & \text{for } 0 \le t < x \\ \frac{1}{\sinh(1)}\sinh(x)\sinh(1-t) & \text{for } x < t \le 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \min\left(\frac{1}{\sinh(1)}\sinh(1-x)\sinh(t), \frac{1}{\sinh(1)}\sinh(x)\sinh(1-t)\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= \langle D^*DG, f\rangle \\ &= \langle DG, Df\rangle \\ &= \int_0^x \frac{\sinh(1-x)}{\sinh 1}(\sinh(u)f(u) + \cosh(u)f'(u))\mathrm{d}u + \\ &\quad \int_x^1 \frac{\sinh(x)}{\sinh 1}(\sinh(1-u)f(u) - \cosh(1-u)f'(u))\mathrm{d}u\end{aligned}$$

Since all functions K_x are in $\mathcal{H}$, the kernel

$$K(x,y) = \min\left(\frac{1}{\sinh(1)}\sinh(1-x)\sinh(y), \frac{1}{\sinh(1)}\sinh(x)\sinh(1-y)\right)$$

is the r.k. of $\mathcal{H}$.

Exercise 4. Duality

4.a The problem is a convex problem for which strong duality holds because it is strictly feasible (e.g the point $f = 0$ satisfies the inequality constraint) and thus verifies Slaters constraint qualification. Therefore, there exist a dual parameter such that the problem is equivalent to

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}} \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell_{y_i}(f(x_i)) + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}}$$

According to the representer theorem, the above problem has a solution of the form $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i K(x_i, x)$$

The optimization problem can be re-written as

$$\min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad R(K\alpha) + \lambda \alpha^\top K \alpha$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R : \mathbb{R}^n &\to \mathbb{R} \\ ([K\alpha]_1, ..., [K\alpha]_n) &\mapsto \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell_{y_i}([K\alpha]_i) \end{aligned}$$

4.b From the definition

$$\begin{aligned} R^*(u) &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(x^\top u - R(x) \right) \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (n x_i u_i - \ell_{y_i}(x_i)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell^*_{y_i}(n u_i) \end{aligned}$$

4.c The Laplacian of the problem is

$$L(u, \alpha, \mu) = R(u) + \lambda \alpha^\top K \alpha + \mu^\top (K\alpha - u)$$

The dual function is given by $g(\mu) = \inf_{u,\alpha} L(u, \alpha, \mu)$

$$g(\mu) = R^*(\mu) + \inf_{\alpha} (\lambda \alpha^\top K \alpha + \mu^\top K \alpha)$$

Since the gradient w.r.t α of $\lambda \alpha^\top K \alpha + \mu^\top K \alpha$ is the expression $2\lambda K\alpha + K\mu$, an α minimizing this quantity is

$$\alpha^* = -\frac{\mu}{2\lambda}$$

And

$$g(\mu) = R^*(\mu) - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \mu^\top K \mu$$

The dual problem is then

$$\max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad g(\mu) = R^*(\mu) - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \mu^\top K \mu$$

The problem being strongly convex, for a μ^* maximizing g, a solution to the original problem $\min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} (R(K\alpha) + \lambda \alpha^\top K \alpha)$ can be deduced with $\alpha^* = -\frac{\mu^*}{2\lambda}$

4.d

- For $\ell_y(u) = \log(1 + e^{-yu})$, the function ℓ_y^* is written

$$\ell_y^*(u) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(xu - \log(1 + e^{-yx}) \right)$$

By setting the derivative of the term in the sup to 0, we get $e^{-yx} = \frac{-u}{u+y}$ and

$$\ell_y^*(u) = \frac{u}{y} \log\left(\frac{u+y}{-u}\right) - \log\left(\frac{y}{u+y}\right) + \begin{cases} \chi_{[-1,0]}(u) & \text{if } y = 1 \\ \chi_{[0,1]}(u) & \text{if } y = -1 \end{cases}$$

The dual problem is therefore written

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & g(\mu) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell_{y_i}^*(n\mu_i) - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \mu^\top K \mu \\ \text{s.t} \quad & -1 \leq y_i \mu_i \leq 0 \quad \forall i \end{aligned}$$

- For $\ell_y(u) = \max(0, 1 - yu)^2$, the function ℓ_y^* is written

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_y^*(u) &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(xu - \max(0, 1 - yx)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{u}{y} \left(1 + \frac{u}{2y}\right) - \max\left(0, \frac{u}{2y}\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

The resulting dual problem is

$$\max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad g(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\mu_i}{y_i} \left(1 + \frac{n\mu_i}{2y_i}\right) - \max\left(0, \frac{\mu_i}{2y_i}\right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \mu^\top K \mu$$