Kernel Methods in Machine Learning - Course Notes

Hugo Cisneros

Contents

1 Kernels and RKHS

1.1 Positive Definite Kernels

Definition 1

A kernel K is a comparison function $K : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$.
With n data point $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ a $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{K}$ can be defined by $\mathbf{K}_{ij} = K(x_i, x_j)$.
A kernel K is **positive definite** (p.d.) if it is **symmetric** ($K(x, x') = K(x', x)$) and for all sets of a and x

$$\boxed{\sum_i \sum_j a_i a_j K(x_i, x_j) \geq 0}$$

This is equivalent to the kernel matrix being **positive semi-definite**.

Examples:

- Kernel on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by $K(x, x') = xx'$ is p.d. ($xx' = x'x$ and $\sum_i \sum_j a_i a_j K(x_i, x_j) = \left(\sum_i a_i x_i\right)^2 \geq 0$).
- Linear kernel ($K(x, x') = \langle x, x' \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}$) is p.d
- More generally for any set $\mathcal{X}$, and function $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}^d$, the kernel defined by $K(x, x') = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(x') \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ is p.d.

Theorem 1 – Aronszajn, 1950

K is a p.d. kernel on the set $\mathcal{X}$ if and only if there exists a **Hilbert space** $\mathcal{H}$ **and a mapping** $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that, for any x, x' in $\mathcal{X}$:

$$\boxed{K(x, x') = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(x') \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}}$$

Proof.

(A Hilbert space is a vector space with an inner product and complete for the corresponding norm).

1.2 Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces (RKHS)

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a set and $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ a class of functions forming a Hilbert space.

Definition 2 – Reproducing kernel

A kernel K is called a **reproducing kernel** (r.k.) of $\mathcal{H}$ if

- $\mathcal{H}$ contains all functions of the form

$$\boxed{\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, K_x : t \to K(x, t)}$$

- For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\boxed{f(x) = \langle f, K_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}}$

If there exists a r.k., $\mathcal{H}$ is called a RKHS.

Theorem 2 – Equivalent Definition of RKHS

$\mathcal{H}$ is a RKHS if and only if for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} F : &\mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R} \\ &f \mapsto f(x) \end{aligned}$$

is **continuous**.

Proof.

As a corollary, convergence in a RKHS implies point-wise convergence.

Theorem 3 – Uniqueness of RKHS

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a RKHS, it has a **unique r.k.**, and a function K can be **the r.k of at most one RKHS**.

Proof.

Theorem 4

A function $K : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is **p.d. if and only if it is a r.k.**.

Proof.

1.3 Examples

1.3.1 Steps for finding the RKHS of a Kernel

1. Look for an **inner product** $(K(x,y) = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$
2. Propose a **candidate RKHS** $\mathcal{H}$
3. Check that the candidate $\mathcal{H}$ is a **Hilbert space** (inner product and complete)
4. Check that $\mathcal{H}$ is **the RKHS**
   - $\mathcal{H}$ contains all the functions $K_x : t \mapsto K(x,t)$
   - For all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $f(x) = \langle f, K_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$.

1.3.2 Linear Kernel

Definition 3 – Linear Kernel

In $\mathbb{R}^d$, the linear kernel is defined by $K(x,y) = \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}$

Theorem 5 – RKHS of a linear Kernel

The RKHS of the linear kernel is the set of linear functions of the form $f_w(x) = \langle w, x \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ for $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$, endowed with the inner product $\langle f_w, f_v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle w, v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}$

1.3.3 Polynomial Kernel

Definition 4 – Polynomial Kernel

In $\mathbb{R}^d$, the polynomial kernel is defined by $K(x,y) = \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2$

Theorem 6 – RKHS of a polynomial Kernel

The RKHS $\mathcal{H}$ of the polynomial kernel is the set of quadratic functions of the form $f_S(x) = x^T S x$ for $S \in \mathcal{S}^{d \times d}$

1.3.4 Properties of kernels

If K_1, K_2 are p.d. kernels,

- $K_1 + K_2$ is a p.d. kernel
- $K_1 \cdot K_2$ is a p.d. kernel

- cK_1 for $c \geq 0$ is a p.d. kernel
- The point-wise limits of a sequence of p.d. kernels is a p.d kernel.
- $\exp(K_1)$ is a p.d. kernel

Small norms in the RKHS space means slow variations in the original space $\mathcal{X}$ with respect to the geometry defined by the kernel.

2 Kernel tricks

2.1 Kernel trick

Statement: All expression of vectors that can be written in terms of pairwise inner products can be transposed to a infinite dimensional space by replacing inner products with kernel evaluations.

2.2 Representer theorem

Theorem 7 – Representer theorem

Let $\mathcal{X}$ a set with a p.d. kernel K and corresponding RKHS $\mathcal{H}$, $S = \{x_1, ..., x_n\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ a set of points of $\mathcal{X}$.
Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ a function strictly increasing w.r.t. the last variable.
Any solution to the optimization problem

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \Phi(f(x_1), ..., f(x_n), \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}})$$

admits a representation in the form

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i K(x_i, x)$$

Proof

One of the main consequences of the theorem is that problems of the form

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \Phi(f(x_1), ..., f(x_n), \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}})$$

can be re-written as

$$\min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Phi([\mathbf{K}\alpha]_1, ..., [\mathbf{K}\alpha]_n, \alpha^T \mathbf{K}\alpha)$$

which is a n-dimensional optimization problem (instead of a possibly infinite dimensional one).

3 Kernel Methods: Supervised Learning

3.1 Kernel Ridge regression

The problem can be described as minimizing a RKHS norm regularized MSE criterion

$$\hat{f} = \arg\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - f(x_i))^2 + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Effects of regularization:

- **Penalize non smooth functions** (avoid overfitting)
- **Simplify the solution** (representer theorem)

The problem can be re-written

$$\hat{f} = \arg\min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{K}\alpha - y)^T (\mathbf{K}\alpha - y) + \lambda \alpha^T \mathbf{K} \alpha$$

One solution is to take

$$\alpha = (\mathbf{K} + \lambda n \mathbf{I})^{-1} y$$

(Uniqueness: If $\mathbf{K}$ is singular, all $\alpha + \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{K})$ are solutions leading to the same function f.)

3.2 Kernel logistic regression

3.3 Largse-margin classifiers

4 Kernel Methods: Unsupervised Learning

5 The Kernel Jungle

5.1 Green, Mercer, Herglotz, Bochner and friends

5.1.1 Green Kernel

Theorem 8 – Green Kernel in dimension 1

The set defined by

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}, \text{absolutely continuous}, f' \in L^2([0,1]), f(0) = 0 \right\}$$

endowed with the inner product $\forall (f,g) \in \mathcal{F}^2 \langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f'(u) g'(u) du$, is a RKHS with with r.k.

$$\forall (x,y) \in [0,1]^2, K(x,y) = \min(x,y)$$

.

Theorem 9 – General Green Kernel

If D is a differential operator on a class of functions of $\mathcal{H}$ such that the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle Df, Dg \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{X})}$
Then $\mathcal{H}$ is a RKHS and admits for r.k. the Green function of the operator $D^* D$

5.1.2 Mercer Kernels

Definition 5 – Mercer Kernels

A kernel K on a set $\mathcal{X}$ is called a Mercer kernel if:

- $\mathcal{X}$ is a compact metric space (typically, a closed bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$)
- $K : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous p.d kernel (w.r.t the Borel topology)

6 Open Problems and Research Topics

A Proofs

A.1 Kernels and RKHS

Proof of Theorem 2

($\Rightarrow$) If a r.k. exists in $\mathcal{H}$ then for any $(x, f) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &= |\langle f, K_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \|K_x\|_{\mathcal{H}} && \text{(Cauchy-Schwarz)} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \cdot K(x,x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f \in \mathcal{H} \to f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a linear continuous mapping because F is linear and $\lim_{f \to 0} F(f) = 0$

($\Leftarrow$) F is continuous, by the Riesz representation theorem: there exists a unique $g_x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $f(x) = \langle f, g_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$.
The function $K : (x, y) \mapsto g_x(y)$ is then a r.k. for $\mathcal{H}$

Proof of Theorem 3

(Uniqueness) If K and K' are two r.k. of a RKHS, then for any x

$$\|K_x - K'_x\|^2 = K_x(x) - K'_x(x) - K_x(x) + K'_x(x) = 0$$

So $K_x = K'_X$

Proof of Theorem 4

($\Leftarrow$) A r.k. is symmetric, and $\sum_{i,j} a_i a_j K(x_i, x_j) = \|\sum_i a_i K_{x_i}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0$

($\Rightarrow$) Let $\mathcal{H}_0$ be the subspace spanned by the functions $(K_x)_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$. If $f = \sum_i a_i K_{x_i}$ and $g = \sum_j b_j K_{y_j}$. Let (not an inner product yet)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0} &= \sum_{i,j} a_i b_j K(x_i, y_j) \\ &= \sum_i a_i g(x_i) \\ &= \sum_j b_j f(y_j) \end{aligned}$$

($\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ does not depend on the expansion of f or g) For any $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_0$, $\langle f, K_x\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0} = f(x)$.

$$\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j K(x_i, x_j) \geq 0$$

And since Cauchy-Schwarz is valid,

$$|f(x)| = |\langle f, K_x\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0}| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \cdot K(x,x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Therefore $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = 0 \implies f = 0$. $\langle ., .\rangle$ is an inner product on $\mathcal{H}_0$.
For a Cauchy sequence $(f_n)_{n\geq 0}$,

$$|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \|f_m - f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \cdot K(x,x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For any x the sequence $(f_n(x))$ is Cauchy in $\mathbb{R}$ and therefore converges.
If the functions defined as the point-wise limits of Cauchy sequences are added $\mathcal{H}_0$, it becomes a Hilbert space with K as r.k..

Proof of Aronszajn's theorem

If K is p.d. over a set $\mathcal{X}$, it is the r.k. of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. The mapping Φ is defined by $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \Phi(x) = K_x$.
By the reproducing property

$$\forall (x,y) \in \mathcal{X}^2, \quad \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y)\rangle_{\mathcal{X}} = \langle K_x, K_y\rangle_{\mathcal{X}} = K(x,y)$$

Proof of the Representer theorem

Let $\xi(f)$ the functional that is minimized in the optimization problem of the theorem, and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}$ the linear span of all the K_{x_i} functions.
Since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a finite dimensional space, every function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ can be decomposed as $f = f_{\mathcal{S}} + f_{\perp}$, with f_S the orthogonal projection of f on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}$.
Because $\mathcal{H}$ is a RKHS,

$$\forall i \leq n, \quad f_{\perp}(x_i) = \langle f_{\perp}, K_{x_i}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$$

Therefore

$$\forall i \leq n, \quad f(x_i) = f_{\mathcal{S}}(x_i)$$

From Pythagora's theorem in $\mathcal{H}$, $\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} = \|f_{\mathcal{S}}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} + \|f_{\perp}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}$.
We therefore have $\xi(f) \geq \xi(f_{\mathcal{S}})$ with equality if and only if $\|f_{\perp}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$, the minimum belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}$.

A.2 The Kernel Jungle

Proof