

Review – Paper 2

1. Introduction

Judging by their descriptions, “Object detection” and “Image segmentation” seem to be the same thing, at least they don’t look to be very different from each other.

3. Results

This section could use some subsections to better demark the different studies presented, making it easier for the reader to situate themselves in the text.

Also, referencing the tables and figures of the reviewed studies without showing them in the paper makes it harder for the reader to look for them in the middle of reading, since, for that, it is needed to stop the reading, open the original study, search for the table or image, and then return to where they were reading. Instead, showing them in the paper right after where they are mentioned would give the reader a much better experience.

Only showing 3 studies seems to be little, maybe some more studies would better cover the subject of the systematic review. Despite that, they were well exposed and explained.

4. Discussion

In this section, the results were also exposed, when they were only supposed to be discussed, almost like repeating the information.