

# Deep learning features for COVID-19 screening using Computed Tomography (CT) scans

Hugo Morvan

January 2023

## **Abstract**

AI-guided tools can extract distinguish features so lung abnormalities in CT scans can be analyzed. Also, CT scans, according to WHO, are cheaper to use, and therefore, with AI-guided tools, analyzing CT scans for the evidence of COVID-19 can be used in resource-constrained regions. In this project, 3D deep learning model (convolutional neural network tailored architecture) was proposed. On a dataset of size 2000 CT scans (publicly available dataset), deep learning models was trained on the USD's Lawrence Supercomputing Machine: GPU node of the Lawrence consisting of a dual 12-core SkyLake 5000 series CPU, and NVIDIA Tesla V100 32GB CPU and 192GM RAM. When limiting to 20 epochs, the performance is promising, which is 65.44% accuracy on a validation dataset with the lowest possible loss of 0.642.

# 1 Acknowledgements

I would like to express my thanks to my thesis advisor, Dr. KC Santosh, without whom this project would have not started; to Librarian Specialist Kathleen McElhinney for her valuable help in the literature review and the writing of this thesis. I would also like to thank the University of South Dakota for funding this project through the UDiscover program. Finally, I would like to thank my family and friends for their support and encouragement throughout this project.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Related Work</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Dataset availability . . . . .	5
3.2	2-Dimensional Approach . . . . .	5
3.3	3-Dimensional Approach . . . . .	7
<b>4</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1	Dataset Description . . . . .	9
4.2	Pre-processing Methods . . . . .	9
4.3	Deep Neural Networks . . . . .	10
4.3.1	Convolutional Neural Network . . . . .	10
4.3.2	Simple Model . . . . .	10
4.3.3	ResNet34 . . . . .	10
4.3.4	VGG-16 . . . . .	10
4.4	Evaluation Metrics . . . . .	10
4.4.1	Accuracy . . . . .	10
4.4.2	Specificity . . . . .	11
4.4.3	Sensitivity / Recall . . . . .	11
4.4.4	Precision . . . . .	11
4.4.5	F1-score . . . . .	11
<b>5</b>	<b>Experimental Results</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1	Dataset separation . . . . .	12
5.2	Hardware . . . . .	12
5.3	Training parameters . . . . .	12
<b>6</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>15</b>

## 2 Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) has spread rapidly and widely since the end of 2019. No country and no community has been spared the direct and indirect impacts of the pandemic [1]. It is assumed that when COVID-19 becomes endemic there will be low-level circulation of virus, which underscores the continues importance of public health and social measures even in those countries where vaccination programs are well underway [1][2]. The risk of emergence of further variants of concern increases with every instance of transmission. The best way to reduce the risk of further virus mutation is to reduce transmission [1], hence the importance of developing reliable and fast means of detecting the presence of the virus. Currently, diagnostic techniques based on viral RNA amplification, specifically qRT-PCR (quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction), are the gold standard diagnostic methods for COVID-19 [3]. However, the RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 virus does have some pitfalls that necessitate improvements in the way the method is used. As with immunodiagnostic tests, the RT-PCR test can have difficulties in distinguishing between true positive and true negative COVID-19 infected individuals, therefore it is a wise precaution not to rely on PCR test results alone, and to consider other clinical and molecular evidence [3]. Chest Computed Tomography (CT) scans can be used as a complementary mean for COVID-19 screening. In a comparative study, [4] showed that CT-scans may have a higher sensitivity than RT-PCR.

In this paper, we propose a deep learning model to detect COVID-19 from CT scans whole volume. The model is trained on a dataset of 2000 CT scans. The model is trained on the USD’s Lawrence Supercomputing Machine: GPU node of the Lawrence consisting of a dual 12-core SkyLake 5000 series CPU, and NVIDIA Tesla V100 32GB CPU and 192GM RAM.

## 3 Related Work

### 3.1 Dataset availability

Among the publicly available dataset containing CT-scans labeled for COVID-19 classification, 2 categories of datasets emerge: 2D and 3D datasets. 2D datasets are generally images extracted from CT-scans using random slicing (cite), slicing (cite) or manual slicing for significant regions, realised by a physician or a doctor. 3D datasets contains full CT-scans and come in .mha or .dicom file format. In addition to the image data, there is sometimes additional metadata such as age, sex, or other information. The labeling of datasets are realised by physicians and/or utilising one or several RT-PCR tests (cite) . The issue with 2D datasets is that it requires the intervention of a physician to select the slices of interest or , if an algorithm is used for the slicing, the risk of missing the area of interest is to consider. Additionally, selecting 2D images from 3D CT-scans results in having numerous images from a single patient and can lead to performance overestimation of models [5]. The problem with 3D dataset is that it increases the size of the data to process and therefore increases the time to process. Since Deep learning requires large amount of annotated (training) data to learn useful computational models to accurately detect COVID-19 using CT-images [5], the size of qualitative datasets can get rapidly big. Talk about the sensitivity of ct scans.

### 3.2 2-Dimensional Approach

The literature on COVID-19 detection using chest CT-scans can be divided into 2 categories: the papers where 2-dimensional data is used to train a neural network, and the papers where 3-dimensional data is used to train a neural network. The major challenge of using CT-scans modality for deep learning purposes is that it is a 3-dimensional object, thus it contains a lot of data (compared to other modalities) which can cause to rapidly reach the computing capabilities of a computer / the limits of GPU memory. One strategy to remediated to this problem is to reduce the dimension of the dataset by going from a full (3D) CT-scan to a set of several (2D) CT-scan slices.

In [6], Turkoglu started with a dataset of 746 CT images then used data augmentation techniques (reflection, rotation) to expand this dataset to

3730 images. Using transfer-learning techniques, the author used a Multiple Kernels-ELM-based Deep Neural Networks (MKs-ELM-DNN) method and obtained an accuracy score of 98.36% [6].

In [7], Sen et al. used a bi-stage hybrid model to detect COVID-19 from CT images of two different datasets ([8] and [9]). The first stage of this approach is to use a CNN architecture to extract features from the CT images. The second stage is a bi-stage feature selection approach that selects which features to be used for the classification using the Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier. This method yield a prediction rate of 98.39% on [8], and a 90.0% prediction rate on [9].

In [10], Ghassemi et al. used pre-trained deep neural networks and a cyclic generative adversarial net (CycleGAN) model for data augmentation. This method reached an accuracy of 99.60% on a dataset of 189 patients (Iranian hospital).

In [11], Aria et al. used transfer learning techniques to overcome the problems raised by using small-sized datasets. Their paper proposes an adversarial deep domain adaptation-based approach for diagnosing COVID-19 from lung CT-images, termed ADA-COVID. They achieved an accuracy of 99.96% on [8].

In [12], Carvalho et al. used a combination of hyperparameters optimization, feature selection and a multi-layer perceptron classifier to classify images from [8] and [9]. The proposed methodology achieved an accuracy of 99.7% and 98.7% on the respective datasets.

In [13], a DenseNet201 based deep transfer learning (DTL) method is proposed by Jaiswal et al. to classify CT images from [8]. The proposed model is utilized to extract features by using its own learned weights on the ImageNet dataset along with a convolutional neural structure to achieve an accuracy of 97%.

In [14], Arora et al. used transfer learning from existing pre-trained models and a super-residual dense neural network to classify CT images from [8] and [9]. Their method claimed to have achieved a precision of 100% and 94.12% respectively.

In [15], Singh and Yow propose an interpretable deep learning model Ps-ProtoPNet to detect COVID-19 from CT-scan images from [16]. The highest accuracy that their model achived was 99.29%.

In [17], Rahimzadeh et al. used an image processing algorithm to discard the CT images where the lung is not properly visible in order to reduce processing time and false detection. They used a ResNet50V2 deep neural

network on a dataset that they introduced consisting of 48260 CT scan images from 282 normal persons and 15589 images from 95 patients with COVID-19 infections. The proposed method achieved an accuracy of 98.49% in the single image classification stage, and an accuracy of 95.51% at the patient identification stage.

In [18], Yang et al. propose three deep learning architectures, feature-ensemble deep neural network (F-EDNC), fully connected-ensemble deep neural network (FC-EDNC), and output-ensemble deep neural network (O-EDNC) to classify CT images from [8]. The results suggest that the F-EDNC architecture is the best performing architecture with an accuracy of 97.75%, followed by FC-EDNC and O-EDNC with 97.55% and 96.12% accuracy respectively. [Explain what an ensemble neural network is later ?]

In [19], Loey et al. used data augmentation techniques along with Conditional Generative Adversarial Networks (CGAN) to generate new images from the dataset of [9]. They used five different deep learning architectures to classify the generated images and achieved best results with the ResNet50 architecture. The authors obtained an accuracy of 82.91%, a sensitivity of 77.66% and a specificity of 87.62%.

In [20], Alshazly et al. experimented with twelve different convolutional neural network architectures to classify CT images from the dataset of [8] and [9]. The proposed model achieved average accuracies of 99.4% and 92.9%, and sensitivity scores of 99.8% and 93.7% on [8] and [9] respectively. The authors also proposed a method to visualize the decision made by the models by using the Grad-CAM technique.

In [21], Shah et al. compared the performances of a self-developed model named CTnet-10 to the performances of several pre-existing CNN architectures in classifying the CT images from [9]. The VGG-19 architecture showed the best results with an accuracy of 94.52%.

### 3.3 3-Dimensional Approach

In this section is presented a list of papers that used 3-dimensional approaches to detect COVID-19 from CT scans. Contrary to the papers in the previous section, these papers do not use 2-dimensional slices of the CT scans, but the whole 3-dimensional volume of the CT scan.

In [22], Heidari et al. ...

In [23], Zhao et al. proposed a new segmentation method, which integrates a 3-dimensional V-Net with a shape deformation module implemented

using a spatial transform network (STN). The proposed method achieved an area under the curve (AUC) of 94.70%, a sensitivity of 96.70% and a specificity of 92.70% on a dataset consisting of 112 CT scans, including 58 COVID-19 positive cases and 54 COVID-19 negative cases. Due to the limited size of the dataset, the authors applied data augmentation techniques to increase the size of the dataset, including random flipping, random cropping and random rotation. Rescaling and resampling strategies were also applied to CT scans to overcome the GPU memory limit and to reduce the computational cost.

In [24]



## 4 Methods

### 4.1 Dataset Description

For this paper, we consider the STOIC2021 Training dataset. The STOIC project collected Computed Tomography (CT) images of 10,735 individuals suspected of being infected with SARS-COV-2 during the first wave of the pandemic in France, from March to April 2020. For each patient in the training set, the dataset contains binary labels for COVID-19 presence, based on RT-PCR test results, and COVID-19 severity, defined as intubation or death within one month from the acquisition of the CT scan[25]. The "Training" part of this dataset which is used for this paper is publicly available on AWS Registry of Open Data [26] and consists CT images from 2000 individuals. Each CT-scan is in the dimension of 512\*512\*(143 - 866) for width\*height\*depth. The depth value varies from 143 to 866 as each CT-scan has a different amount of layers, the mean amount of layers being 433.

### 4.2 Pre-processing Methods

Pre-processing the dataset before training the deep neural network is necessary as each CT-scan has a different shape. The goal of the pre-processing is therefore to convert each CT-scan into a standardised shape, into a format that is readable by the neural network. First, each scan is converted from its original .mha file type into a numpy volume matrix, which allows for modifications on the CT-scan. The volume is then normalized to contain values between 0 and 1, 0 being the lowest density in the scan 1 being the highest. Finally, the volume is resized on all three axis to fit the desired dimensions for the input of the neural network using a zoom function and a scaling factor. The scaling factor is calculated as follow :

$$ScalingFactor = \frac{DesiredDimension}{CurrentDimension} \quad (1)$$

The pre-processing methods used in this paper are the following:

## 4.3 Deep Neural Networks

### 4.3.1 Convolutional Neural Network

### 4.3.2 Simple Model

Explains the Basic models using the terms defined above

### 4.3.3 ResNet34

Explains What is a resnet, Resnet34, how I modified it to handle 3D data, show architecture

### 4.3.4 VGG-16

Explains what is VGG, VGG-16, how I modified it to handle 3D data\*

## 4.4 Evaluation Metrics

To discuss the performance of the result in accordance to the literature, the metrics defined below will be used to measure the performances. In this section, the following abbreviations will be used:

- TP : True Positive; designate the amount of CT-scans with a covid+ label correctly identified as covid+ by the model.
- FP : False Positive; designate the amount of CT-scans with covid- label incorrectly identified as covid+ by the model.
- TN : true negative; designate the amount of CT-scans with a covid- label correctly identified as covid- by the model.
- FN : False negative; designate the amount of CT-scans with covid+ label incorrectly identified as covid- by the model.

### 4.4.1 Accuracy

Accuracy is the fraction of correctly identified predictions. It measures the overall performance of the model on the test set [27]

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FP + FN + TN} \quad (2)$$

#### 4.4.2 Specificity

Specificity measures the proportion of negative class samples that were correctly identified [27].

$$Specificity = \frac{TN}{TN + FP} \quad (3)$$

#### 4.4.3 Sensitivity / Recall

Sensitivity / Recall measures the proportion of positive class samples that were correctly identified [27].

$$Sensitivity = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (4)$$

#### 4.4.4 Precision

precision gives the rate of the truly classified positive images among the classes [27].

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (5)$$

#### 4.4.5 F1-score

F1 score is a joint of Precision and Recall, expressed as a harmonic mean of this metrics [27].

$$F1 = 2 * \frac{precision * recall}{precision + recall} \quad (6)$$

## 5 Experimental Results

### 5.1 Dataset separation

The 2000 CT-scans are split into 80% for training and 20% for validation. The validation set is used to evaluate the performance of the model during the training process.

### 5.2 Hardware

As the STOIC2021 dataset containing CT-scans from 2000 patients is relatively large [talk about and quote big data], computation for this project were performed on High Performance Computing systems at the University of South Dakota, funded by NSF Award OAC-1626516. The specific node on which the computations were performed is composed of dual 12-core Sky-Lake 5000 series CPUs, an Nvidia Tesla 100V 32GB GPU, 192GB of RAM and 240GB SSD. Usage of the Lawrence supercomputer allowed for greatly reduce training times during the experimental phase of this research. USD research Computing staff Bill Cone provided valuable technical expertise and assistance to this project.

### 5.3 Training parameters

The following parameters were used to obtained the results presented in this paper :

- Epochs : 20
- Loss Function : Binary Cross Entropy
- Optimizer : Adam
- Batch Size : 16
- Metrics : Accuracy, Loss

## 6 Results

After training the Convolutional Neural Network for 20 epochs, the accuracy reached a maximum of 63% on the validation set and 65.4% on the training set while the loss reached its lowest at 0.644 for the validation set and 0.642 for the training set.

## 7 Discussion

The accuracy of this model in detecting the presence of COVID-19 on CT-scans shows that Deep Learning models can be useful tools for mass screening. Deep learning tools could in this case facilitate the triage of COVID-19 and nonCOVID-19 patients, and thus improve diagnosis on thoracic symptoms as well as limit the spread of the most contagious virus. In the future, this project could be combined with other well-known deep learning models trained on different image data types such as chest x-rays to employ multimodal learning and representation for COVID-19 screening. This could possibly provide more information in detecting anomaly patterns due to COVID-19. Further research could also try to improve the performances of this project by using a higher-resolution preprocessed data or by experimenting with data augmentation techniques.

## 8 Conclusions and Future Work

## References

- [1] W. H. Organization, “Who sprp 2021 mid-term report - who strategic action against covid 19,” *WHO SPRP 2021 Mid-term Report - WHO Strategic Action Against COVID 19*, Oct 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/2021-mid-year-report—who-strategic-action-against-covid-19>
- [2] —, “Maintaining infection prevention and control measures for covid-19 in health care facilities: Policy brief, 7 june 2022,” *Maintaining infection prevention and control measures for COVID-19 in health care facilities: Policy brief, 7 June 2022*, Jun 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-Policy—brief-IPC-HCF-2022.1>
- [3] M. Teymouri, S. Mollazadeh, H. Mortazavi, Z. N. Ghale-noie, V. Keyvani, F. Aghababaei, M. R. Hamblin, G. Abbaszadeh-Goudarzi, H. Pourghadamyari, S. M. Hashemian, and H. Mirzaei, “Recent advances and challenges of rt-pcr tests for the diagnosis of covid-19.” *Pathology Research and Practice*, 2021.
- [4] Y. Fang, H. Zhang, J. Xie, J. Xie, M. Lin, L. Ying, P. Pang, W. Ji, and W. Ji, “Sensitivity of chest ct for covid-19: Comparison to rt-pcr,” *Radiology*, 2020.
- [5] F. Altaf, S. Islam, and N. Akhtar, “Resetting the baseline: Ct-based covid-19 diagnosis with deep transfer learning is not as accurate as widely thought.” *arXiv: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2021.
- [6] M. Turkoglu, “Covid-19 detection system using chest ct images and multiple kernels-extreme learning machine based on deep neural network.” *Irbm*, 2021.
- [7] S. Sen, S. Sen, S. Sen, S. Saha, S. Chatterjee, S. Mirjalili, and R. Sarkar, “A bi-stage feature selection approach for covid-19 prediction using chest ct images,” *Applied Intelligence*, 2021.
- [8] P. Angelov, E. A. Soares, S. Biaso, M. H. Froes, and D. K. Abe, “Sars-cov-2 ct-scan dataset:a large dataset of real patients ct scans for sars-cov-2 identification,” *medRxiv*, 2020.



- [9] J. Zhao, X. Yang, X. He, J. Zhao, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, S. Zhang, and P. Xie, "Covid-ct-dataset: A ct scan dataset about covid-19," *arXiv: Learning*, 2020.
- [10] N. Ghassemi, A. Shoeibi, M. Khodatars, J. Heras, J. Heras, A. Rahimi, A. Zare, R. B. Pachori, R. B. Pachori, R. B. Pachori, R. B. Pachori, J. M. Gorriz, and J. M. Górriz, "Automatic diagnosis of covid-19 from ct images using cyclegan and transfer learning," *arXiv: Image and Video Processing*, 2021.
- [11] M. Aria, E. Nourani, and A. G. Oskoue, "Ada-covid: Adversarial deep domain adaptation-based diagnosis of covid-19 from lung ct scans using triplet embeddings," *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2022.
- [12] E. D. Carvalho, R. R. V. e Silva, F. H. D. Araújo, R. A. L. Rabelo, and A. O. de Carvalho Filho, "An approach to the classification of covid-19 based on ct scans using convolutional features and genetic algorithms." *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 2021.
- [13] A. Jaiswal, N. Gianchandani, D. Singh, V. Kumar, and M. Kaur, "Classification of the covid-19 infected patients using densenet201 based deep transfer learning." *Journal of Biomolecular Structure & Dynamics*, 2020.
- [14] V. Arora, E. Y. K. Ng, R. S. Leekha, M. Darshan, and A. Singh, "Transfer learning-based approach for detecting covid-19 ailment in lung ct scan." *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 2021.
- [15] G. Singh, G. Singh, G. Singh, and K.-C. Yow, "Object or background: An interpretable deep learning model for covid-19 detection from ct-scan images." *Diagnostics*, 2021.
- [16] K. Gunraj, "Kaggle. covidx ct-2 dataset," 2021, accessed: 2023-01-01. [Online]. Available: <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/hgunraj/covidxct>
- [17] M. Rahimzadeh, A. Attar, and S. M. Sakhaei, "A fully automated deep learning-based network for detecting covid-19 from a new and large lung ct scan dataset." *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 2021.

- [18] L. Yang, S.-H. Wang, and Y. Zhang, “Ednc: Ensemble deep neural network for covid-19 recognition,” *Tomography*, 2022.
- [19] M. Loey, G. Manogaran, and N. E. M. Khalifa, “A deep transfer learning model with classical data augmentation and cgan to detect covid-19 from chest ct radiography digital images.” *Neural computing & applications*, 2020.
- [20] H. A. Alshazly, C. Linse, E. Barth, and T. Martinetz, “Explainable covid-19 detection using chest ct scans and deep learning.” *arXiv: Image and Video Processing*, 2020.
- [21] V. Shah, R. Keniya, A. Shridharani, M. Punjabi, J. Shah, and N. Mehen-dale, “Diagnosis of covid-19 using ct scan images and deep learning techniques.” *Emergency Radiology*, 2021.
- [22] A. Heidari, S. Toumaj, N. J. Navimipour, and M. Unal, “A privacy-aware method for covid-19 detection in chest ct images using lightweight deep conventional neural network and blockchain,” *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 2022.
- [23] C. Zhao, Y. Xu, Z. He, J. Tang, Y. Zhang, J. Han, Y. Shi, and W. Zhou, “Lung segmentation and automatic detection of covid-19 using radiomic features from chest ct images.” *Pattern Recognition*, 2021.
- [24] J. Pu, J. K. Leader, A. I. Bandos, J. Shi, P. Du, J. Yu, B. Yang, S. Ke, Y. Guo, Y. Guo, J. B. Field, C. R. Fuhrman, D. O. Wilson, F. C. Sciurba, and C. Jin, “Any unique image biomarkers associated with covid-19?” *European Radiology*, 2020.
- [25] M.-P. Revel, S. Boussouar, C. de Margerie-Mellon, I. Saab, T. Lapotre, D. Mompont, G. Chassagnon, A. Milon, M. Lederlin, S. Bennani, S. Molière, M.-P. Debray, F. Bompard, S. Dangeard, C. Hani, M. Ohana, S. Bommart, C. Jalaber, M. E. Hajjam, I. Petit, L. Fournier, A. Khalil, P.-Y. Brillet, M.-F. Bellin, A. Redheuil, L. Rocher, V. Bousson, P. Rousset, J. Gregory, J.-F. Deux, E. Dion, D. Valeyre, R. Porcher, L. Jilet, and H. Abdoul, “Study of thoracic ct in covid-19: The stoic project.” *Radiology*, 2021.
- [26] “Stoic2021 training dataset access,” <https://registry.opendata.aws/stoic2021-training/>, accessed: 2022-06-12.

- [27] R. Karthik, R. Menaka, M. Hariharan, and G. Kathiresan, “Ai for covid-19 detection from radiographs: Incisive analysis of state of the art techniques, key challenges and future directions.” *Irbm*, 2021.
- [28] N. Lassau, S. Ammari, E. Chouzenoux, H. Gortais, P. Herent, M. Devilder, S. Soliman, O. Meyrignac, M.-P. Talabard, J.-P. Lamarque, R. Dubois, N. Loiseau, P. Trichelair, E. Bendjebbar, G. Garcia, C. Balleysguier, M. Merad, A. Stoclin, S. Jégou, F. Griscelli, N. Tetelboum, Y. Li, S. Verma, M. Terris, T. Dardouri, K. Gupta, A. Neacsu, F. Chemouni, M. Sefta, P. Jehanno, I. Bousaid, Y. Boursin, E. Planchet, M. Azoulay, J. Dachary, F. Brulport, A. Gonzalez, O. Dehaene, J.-B. Schiratti, K. Schutte, J.-C. Pesquet, H. Talbot, E. Pronier, G. Wainrib, T. Clozel, F. Barlesi, M.-F. Bellin, and M. G. B. Blum, “Integrating deep learning ct-scan model, biological and clinical variables to predict severity of covid-19 patients.” *Nature Communications*, 2021.
- [29] A. Saood, “Covid-19 lung ct image segmentation using deep learning methods: U-net versus segnet,” *BMC Medical Imaging*, 2021.
- [30] M. S. Hossain, G. Muhammad, N. Guizani, and N. Guizani, “Explainable ai and mass surveillance system-based healthcare framework to combat covid-19 like pandemics,” *IEEE Network*, 2020.
- [31] P. Aggarwal, N. K. Mishra, B. Fatimah, P. Singh, A. Gupta, and S. D. Joshi, “Covid-19 image classification using deep learning: Advances, challenges and opportunities,” *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 2022.
- [32] N. Shamim, A. Mital, and Yogesh, “Artificial intelligence based prediction of covid-19 using chest ct scan,” *null*, 2021.
- [33] V. S. Rohila, N. Gupta, A. Kaul, and D. Sharma, “Deep learning assisted covid-19 detection using full ct-scans,” *Internet of Things*, 2021.
- [34] J. V. Waller, P. Kaur, A. Tucker, K. K. Lin, K. K. Lin, M. J. Diaz, T. S. Henry, and M. D. Hope, “Diagnostic tools for coronavirus disease (covid-19): Comparing ct and rt-pcr viral nucleic acid testing.” *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 2020.