

TD m° 1.

Ex 1. Rocq

Ex 2. Rocq

Ex 3. If $\Gamma \vdash A$ is provable in NJ, take some proof tree in NJ for it, if it is a valid proof tree in $NJ + R$, thus $\Gamma \vdash A$ is provable in $NJ + R$.

Conversely, take a proof tree π of $\Gamma \vdash A$ in $NJ + R$, by admissibility of R , every occurrence of R in π can be replaced by part of a tree that doesn't use R . Doing this starting from the leaves yield a valid proof tree for $\Gamma \vdash A$ in NJ.

Ex 4.

1. Take a proof tree of $\Gamma, A, B \vdash C$. Replace each occurrence of

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, A, B, D \vdash A} Ax$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \wedge B, \Delta \vdash A \wedge B}{\Gamma, A \wedge B, \Delta \vdash A} Ax$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \wedge B, \Delta \vdash A}{\Gamma, A \wedge B, \Delta \vdash C} Neg$$

and

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, A, B, D \vdash B} Ax$$

with

$$\frac{\tilde{\Delta} \vdash A \wedge B}{\tilde{\Delta} \vdash B} Ax$$

$$\frac{\tilde{\Delta} \vdash B}{\tilde{\Delta} \vdash C} Ned,$$

also replacing every sequent $\Gamma, A, B, \Delta \vdash D$ with $\Gamma, A \wedge B, \Delta \vdash D$.

2. By induction on the size of Γ , we apply Q1.

3. No, derivable rules cannot remove hypotheses (with the rules in NJ).

Ex 5.

1. $\frac{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash A}{\Sigma, \Delta \vdash B}$ admissible iff $(\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash A \text{ implies } \Sigma, \Delta \vdash B)$
 iff $(\Sigma + \Delta \Gamma \Rightarrow A \text{ implies } \Sigma \vdash \Delta \Rightarrow B) \rightarrow_{\text{Ex h.}}$
 iff $\Sigma + (\Delta \Gamma \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow (\Delta \Rightarrow B)$
 iff $\Sigma + (\Delta \Gamma \Rightarrow A) \wedge \Delta \Rightarrow B \rightarrow_{\text{currying}}$

2. Similarly to Q1, we know

$$\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash (\Delta \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow B$$

is provable, thus, by repeated currying, writing $\Delta = F_1, \dots, F_n$, the sequent

$$\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash (F_1 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow F_n \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow B$$

is provable; take Π a proof tree for it.

Φ

$$\frac{\Pi}{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash \Phi \Rightarrow B}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{assumption} \\ \hline \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta \vdash A \\ \hline \Sigma, \Gamma + F_1 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow F_n \Rightarrow A \end{array}}{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash B} \Rightarrow_E \text{repeated } \Rightarrow_I$$

$$\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash B$$

Ex 6.

$$1. \frac{\frac{\frac{\Pi_1}{\Gamma \vdash A} \text{ wr}}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash A} \text{ wr}}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash A \wedge B} \wedge I$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Pi_2}{\Delta \vdash B} \text{ wr}}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash B} \text{ wr}}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash A \wedge B} \wedge I$$

$$2. \frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash \neg A}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \neg A} \text{ wr}}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \perp} \neg E$$

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash A}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash A} \text{ wr}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \perp$$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B}{\Gamma, \Delta, \Sigma \vdash A \vee B} \text{ wr}}{\frac{\frac{\Delta, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, \Delta, \Sigma, A \vdash C} \text{ wr}}{\frac{\Sigma, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, \Delta, \Sigma, B \vdash C} \text{ wr}}} \vee E$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta, \Sigma \vdash C$$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma + A \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash A \Rightarrow B} \text{ wr} \quad \frac{\Delta + B}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash B} \text{ wr}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash B} \Rightarrow E.$$

Ex 7.

Define $C_{\perp} := \perp \Rightarrow \perp$ and $C_{\neg A} := A \Rightarrow \perp$.

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \perp \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash C_{\perp}} \text{ Ax}}{\Gamma \vdash C_{\perp}} \Rightarrow I$$

"T I"

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash C_{\neg A} \quad \Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash \perp} \Rightarrow E$$

\neg E.

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash C_{\neg A}} \neg I$$

Ex 8. Rocc

Ex 9. Rocc

$\neg_R A$ is equivalent to $\neg A$ iff $\vdash \neg R$ is provable.