
al. We can compute explicitely the unitary matrix of the quantum circuit:

$$\frac{1}{4} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
\left(1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \right)$$

We can recognize the unitary matrix of the following quantum circuit:



which is a lot simpler.

Q2. We get 1 qubit set at 10> from the machine. Then, we measure in the ?(+>,1->? basis and measure in the ?(+>,1->? basis.

The result of the first measure will be:


-01+) with probability 1/2;

-01-> with probability 1/2.

Then, for the second measure, we have:

-0 11) with probability 1/2;

-010> with probability 1/2.



If we have a 127, we are done, but if we have a 10>, we simply repeat the procedure again and again.

The number of iterations follows a geometric law $\mathcal{J}(1/2)$, thus we can "expect" to have to do $\mathbb{H}[X] = 2$ iterations to obtain a |1>. Repeating 7 times the procedure will give us a |1> with more than 99% pao bability.

A more complex procedure could give us better odds.

END of AssiGNMENT #2