

A perfectly secure symmetric encryption scheme: ONE-TIME PAD

This encryption scheme achieves information-theoretic security.

Definition 1 (Symmetric encryption). Let \mathcal{K} be a key space, \mathcal{P} be a plain-text space and let \mathcal{C} be a ciphertext space. These three spaces are finite spaces.

A *symmetric encryption* scheme over $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{C})$ is a tuple of three algorithms (KeyGen, Enc, Dec) :

- ▷ KeyGen provides a sample k of \mathcal{K} ;
- ▷ $\text{Enc} : \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$;
- ▷ $\text{Dec} : \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$.

Without loss of generality, we will assume that $\text{im Enc} = \mathcal{C}$. We want to ensure **Correctness**: for any key $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and message $m \in \mathcal{P}$, we have that:

$$\text{Dec}(k, \text{Enc}(k, m)) = m.$$

The elements m and k are independent random variables and all the elements in \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{P} have non-zero probability.

Remark 1. The algorithm Enc could (and should¹) be probabilistic. However, the algorithm Dec is deterministic.

So far, we did not talk about efficiency of these algorithms.

Definition 2 (Shannon, 1949). A symmetric encryption scheme is said to have *perfect security* whenever, for any \bar{m} and any \bar{c} ,

$$\Pr_{k,m}[m = \bar{m} \mid \text{Enc}_k(m) = \bar{c}] = \Pr_m[m = \bar{m}].$$

The intuition is that knowing the encrypted message tells me *nothing* about the message.

Lemma 1 (Shannon). Given a symmetric encryption scheme (KeyGen, Enc, Dec) has perfect security then $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{P}|$.

Proof. Let $\bar{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ and define

$$\mathcal{S} := \{\bar{m} \in \mathcal{P} \mid \exists \bar{k} \in \mathcal{K}, \bar{m} = \text{Dec}(\bar{k}, \bar{c})\}.$$

Let $N := |\mathcal{S}|$. We have that $N \leq |\mathcal{K}|$ as Dec is deterministic. We also have that $N \leq |\mathcal{P}|$ as $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$. Finally, assume $N < |\mathcal{P}|$. This means, there exists $\bar{m} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\bar{m} \notin \mathcal{S}$. Then,

$$\Pr[m = \bar{m} \mid \text{Enc}_k(m) = \bar{c}] = 0,$$

but by assumption, $\Pr[m = \bar{m}] \neq 0$. So this is not a perfectly secure scheme. We can conclude that

$$N = |\mathcal{P}| \leq |\mathcal{K}|.$$

□

¹If the algorithm is deterministic, if we see two identical ciphers we know that the messages are identical, and this can be seen as a vulnerability of this protocol.

Example 1 (One-Time PAD). Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P} = \{0, 1\}^\ell$. Here are the algorithms used:

- ▷ KeyGen samples from $\mathcal{U}(\{0, 1\}^\ell)$.
- ▷ Enc(k, m) we compute the XOR $c = m \oplus k$.
- ▷ Dec(k, m) we compute the XOR $m = c \oplus k$.

Theorem 1. The One-Time PAD is a perfectly-secure symmetric encryption.

Proof. Correctness. We have that

$$\text{Dec}(k, \text{Enc}(k, m)) = k \oplus k \oplus m = m.$$

Security. We have, by independence of m and k we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[m = \bar{m} \mid \text{Enc}(k, m) = \bar{c}] &= \Pr[m = \bar{m} \mid k \oplus m = \bar{c}] \\ &= \Pr[m = \bar{m}]. \end{aligned}$$

□