

Comparison of two opinion mining methods to classify opinions in online political discussions

Abstract

Bla bla

keywords:

1 Introduction

Over the past years there has been an alarming growth in hate against minorities like Muslims, Jews, Gypsies and gays in Europe, driven by right wing populism parties and extremist organizations (Fekete, 2013; Wilson and Hainsworth, 2013). A similar increase in hate speech has been observed on the Internet (Goodwin et al., 2013; Bartlett et al., 2013), and experts are concerned that individuals influenced by this web content may resort to violence as a result (Strømmen, 2012; Sunde, 2013). Hateful speech is not only observed on extremist sites, but also as comments on e.g. Twitter, YouTube and online newspaper articles.

Social media and online discussions contain a wealth of information which can make us able to understand the extent of hate speech on the Internet. However, it turns out that academia is lacking research on social media and online radicalization (Taylor, 2013). Opinion mining is the discipline of automatically extracting opinions from a text material and may be one important tool in the understanding online radicalization. Opinion mining has mostly been used to analyze opinions in comments and reviews about commercial products, but there are also examples of opinion mining towards political tweets and discussions, see e.g. Tumasjan et al. (2010); Chen et al. (2010). Opinion mining towards political discussions is known to be hard since citations, irony and sarcasm is very common (Liu, 2012).

Opinion classification is perhaps the most studied topic within opinion mining. It aims to classify a set of text as either positive or negative and sometimes also neutral. There are mainly two approaches, one based on machine learning and one based on using a list of words with given sentiment scores (lexical approach). One simple lexical approach is to count the number of words with positive and negative sentiment in the document as suggested by Hu and Liu (2004). One may classify the opinion of larger documents like movie or product reviews or smaller documents like tweets,

comments or sentences. See Liu (2012), chapters three to five and references therein for the description of several opinion classification methods.

In this paper we focus on classifying the opinion toward religious/political topics, say the Quran, in political discussion by using the lexical-based approach. One intuitive approach is to find both the key word (e.g. Quran) and the words with sentiment in the sentence and classify the sentiment of the sentence based on the polarity of these sentiment words. We expect that statistically the importance of a sentiment word towards the keyword is related on the number of words between the sentiment and key word as suggested by Ding et al. (2008). Two other approaches is to automatically parse the material and either use the distance between key and sentiment word in the parse tree or develop grammatical dependence paths, see e.g. Jiang et al. (2011). The aim of this paper is to compare the performance of a word distance method (Ding et al., 2008) with a developed method based on distance in parse tree and grammatical dependence paths to classify opinions in political discussions.

The paper is organized as follows.

2 Opinion mining methods

In this Section we present two methods to classify sentences to either positive, neutral or negative towards a keyword. Both methods follow the same general algorithm presented below which is inspired by Ding et al. (2008). Both keywords, sentiment words and sentiment shifters can in general appear several times in a sentence. Sentiment shifters is words that potentially shift the sentiment of a sentence from positive to negative or negative to positive. E.g. “not happy” have the opposite polarity than just “happy”. We assume that we have a list of sentiment words each associated with a sentiment score representing the polarity and strength of the sentiment word. Let $kw_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, I\}$ represent appearance number i of the keyword in the sentence. Further let $sw_{jk}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, J\}, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, K_j\}$ be appearance number k of sentiment word j . Thus, sentiment word j appears a total of K_j times in the sentence. Finally let $ss_{lm}, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}, m \in \{1, 2, \dots, M_l\}$ be appearance number m of sentiment shifter l . We compute a sentiment score, S , for the sentence as follows

$$S = \frac{1}{\ln(IJK + 1)} \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^{K_j} \text{Imp}(kw_i, sw_{jk}) \cdot f(sw_{jk}, \mathbf{ss}) \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{ss} represents all the appearances of sentiment shifters in the sentence. The function Imp computes the importance of the sentiment word sw_{jk} on

the keyword appearance kw_i . This will be computed in different ways as described below. Further, the function f computes whether the sentiment of sw_{jk} should be shifted based on the sentiment shifters in the sentence. The function returns -1 (sentiment shift) if some of the sentiment shifters is within d_p words in front or d_n words behind sw_{jk} , respectively. Else f , returns 1. We classify the opinion towards the keyword to be positive, neutral or negative if $S \geq t_p$, $t_p > S > t_n$ and $S \leq t_n$, respectively. The parameters d_p, d_n, t_p and t_n is tuned using a training set.

2.1 Word distance method

2.2 Parse tree method

2.3 Measuring the performance of opinion mining methods

3 Real data example

4 Closing remarks

References

- Bartlett, J., Birdwell, J., and Littler, M. (2013). The rise of populism in Europe can be traced through online behaviour... Demos, http://www.demos.co.uk/files/Demos_OSIPOP_Book-web_03.pdf?1320601634. [Online; accessed 21-January-2014].
- Chen, B., Zhu, L., Kifer, D., and Lee, D. (2010). What Is an Opinion About? Exploring Political Standpoints Using Opinion Scoring Model. In *AAAI*.
- Ding, X., Liu, B., and Yu, P. S. (2008). A Holistic Lexicon-based Approach to Opinion Mining. In *Proceedings of the 2008 International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining, WSDM '08*, pages 231–240, New York, NY, USA. ACM.
- Fekete, L. (2013). Pedlars of hate: The violent impact of the European far Right. Institute of Race Relations, <http://www.irr.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/PedlarsofHate.pdf>. [Online; accessed 21-January-2014].

- Goodwin, M., Ramalingam, V., and Briggs, R. (2013). The New Radical Right: Violent and Non-Violent Movements in Europe. Institute for Strategic Dialogue, <http://www.strategicdialogue.org/ISD%20Far%20Right%20Feb2012.pdf>. [Online; accessed 21-January-2014].
- Hu, M. and Liu, B. (2004). Mining and Summarizing Customer Reviews. In *Proceedings of the Tenth ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, KDD '04, pages 168–177, New York, NY, USA. ACM.
- Jiang, L., Yu, M., Zhou, M., Liu, X., and Zhao, T. (2011). Target-dependent Twitter Sentiment Classification. In *Proceedings of the 49th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies - Volume 1*, HLT '11, pages 151–160, Stroudsburg, PA, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Liu, B. (2012). *Sentiment Analysis and Opinion Mining*. Synthesis Lectures on Human Language Technologies. Morgan & Claypool Publishers.
- Strømme, Ø. (2012). *The Dark Net. On Right-Wing Extremism, Counter-Jihadism and Terror in Europe*. Cappelen Damm.
- Sunde, I. M. (2013). Preventing radicalization and violent extremism on the Internet (Norwegian). The Norwegian Police University College 2013:1.
- Taylor, H. (2013). Social Media for Social Change. Using the Internet to Tackle Intolerance. Institute for Strategic Dialogue, <http://tsforum.event123.no/UD/rehc2013/pop.cfm?FuseAction=Doc&pAction=View&pDocumentId=46414>. [Online; accessed 21-January-2014].
- Tumasjan, A., Sprenger, T. O., Sandner, P. G., and Welpe, I. M. (2010). Predicting elections with twitter: What 140 characters reveal about political sentiment. In *Proceedings of the fourth international aaai conference on weblogs and social media*, pages 178–185.
- Wilson, R. and Hainsworth, P. (2013). Far-right Parties and discourse in Europe: A challenge for our times. European network against racism, http://cms.horus.be/files/99935/MediaArchive/publications/20060_Publication_Far_right_EN_LR.pdf. [Online; accessed 21-January-2014].