

# PS6: Problem 1

Tuesday, March 29, 2022 2:01 PM

$$R^e = \alpha + \beta F + \varepsilon \quad E[F] = \mu_F \quad V[F] = \Omega_F \quad E[\varepsilon|F] = 0 \quad V[\varepsilon] = \Omega_\varepsilon$$

- (a)  $\Omega_\varepsilon = 0$  Show that the absence of arbitrage implies  $\exists \lambda$  s.t.  $\alpha = \beta \lambda$   
 let's create the following portfolio:  
 $w'R^e = w'\alpha + w'\beta F + w'\varepsilon$

The absence of arbitrage implies that there exist  $w$  such that  $w'\beta = 0$  which means that the return of the portfolio without systematic risk should be equal to the risk-free rate. It's also given that  $\Omega_\varepsilon = 0 \Rightarrow w'E(R) = R_0 \Rightarrow \Rightarrow w'(\alpha - 1R_0) = 0 \Rightarrow w'\alpha = 0 \Rightarrow$  zero premium after subtraction of  $R_0$ .  
 Thus,  $w'\beta = 0$  (no exposure to the risk factor) and  $w'\alpha = 0$ , it means that  $w'$  is orthogonal to  $\beta$  and is orthogonal to  $\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$  and  $\beta$  are pointing in the same direction  $\Rightarrow \Rightarrow$  the vectors are linear combinations of each other  $\Rightarrow \alpha = \beta \lambda$  is another linear combination and it also implies no arbitrage.

- (b)  $F_k = R_{F_k}^e = w_k^T R^e \quad \forall k$  Show that the absence of arbitrage implies that  $\alpha = 0$   
 No arbitrage implies the APT:  $R_i^e = (\beta_i + \lambda)$  for a traded factor  $F = R_{F_k}^e$ .  
 Since  $\beta_F = 1 \Rightarrow$  the APT implies  $R_F^e = R_F^e + \lambda \Rightarrow \lambda$  has to be 0 for no arbitrage and APT simplifies  $R_i^e = \beta_i R_F^e$ . Since when there is no arbitrage  $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha = \beta \lambda = \beta \cdot 0 = 0$   
 Thus, the absence of arbitrage also implies  $\alpha = 0$

- (c)  $\Omega_\varepsilon \neq 0, \alpha \neq 0, V[R] = \Sigma = B\Omega_F B^T + \Omega_\varepsilon$   
 $r^e = R^e - \beta R_F^e$  - pure alpha bet excess return  $\Rightarrow$   
 Replicating the pure alpha bet returns:  
 $\begin{matrix} N \times N & N \times 1 \\ \text{diagonal} & \\ \text{matrix} & \end{matrix} W_\alpha R^e = r^e = R^e - \beta R_F^e = R^e - \beta W^T R^e$   
 $W_\alpha = (I - \beta W^T)$  - weights in various assets to replicate pure-bet portfolios.

Decomposition into mean-variance efficient factor portfolio and portfolio of pure alpha-bets:  
 $R = x_F R_F^e + y(R^e - \beta R_F^e)$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E[R_p] &= x_F \mu_F^e + y \alpha_i \\ V[R_p] &= x_F' \Omega_F x_F + y' \Omega_\varepsilon y \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \max E[R_p] - \frac{a}{2} V[R_p] = x_F \mu_F^e + y \alpha_i - \frac{a}{2} (x_F' \Omega_F x_F + y' \Omega_\varepsilon y)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{FOC: } \mu_F^e - a x_F' \Omega_F &\Rightarrow x_F = \frac{\mu_F^e}{a} \Omega_F^{-1} \\ \text{FOC: } \alpha_i - a y' \Omega_\varepsilon &\Rightarrow y = \frac{\alpha_i}{a} \Omega_\varepsilon^{-1} \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{Max Sharpe Ratio portfolio weights} \\ x_F \text{ in factor portfolio; } y \text{ in } \alpha\text{-bets} \end{array}$$

Sharpe Ratio:

$$SR_p = \sqrt{SR_F^2 + I\alpha^2} = \sqrt{\mu_F^e' \Sigma_F^{-1} \mu_F^e + \alpha' \Sigma_e^{-1} \alpha}$$

$$SR_F = \mu_F^e / \sigma_F$$

$$IR = \alpha_j / \sigma_j$$

If  $\alpha = 0 \Rightarrow IR = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha$ -bets don't improve the Sharpe Ratio  $\Rightarrow$   
It's optimal to invest in K-factor portfolio.

(d)  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  diagonal means  $E(\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j) = 0$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , for pure-alpha bet portfolio  $R_\alpha = w_\alpha R^e = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{sign}(\alpha_i) r_i$   
 $E(R_\alpha) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i$      $\text{Var}(R_\alpha) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_{\varepsilon_i}^2 \leq \frac{1}{N} \max_i \sigma_{\varepsilon_i}^2$

$$SR_p = \sqrt{SR_F^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N 2R_i^2}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N 2R_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\alpha_i^2}{\sigma_{\varepsilon_i}^2}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n 2R_i^2 = \infty \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq 0.$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SR_p = \infty$$

$\therefore$  In order to avoid arbitrage, most  $\alpha_i = 0$ , so that  $SR_p$  is finite.  
 Thus, APT holds for most assets.

(e).  $\text{Cov}(R^e, f) = \text{Cov}(\alpha + Bf + \varepsilon, f) \quad E(\varepsilon|f) = 0$

$$= \text{Cov}(Bf, f) + \text{Cov}(\varepsilon, f)$$

$$= \text{Cov}(Bf, f) = B \text{Var}(f)$$

$$\therefore B = \text{Cov}(R^e, f) \text{Var}(f)^{-1}$$

Now, we assume  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  is diagonal

$$\text{Var}(R_f^e) = \text{Var}(W^T R^e)$$

$$= W^T \text{Var}(R^e) W$$

$$= W^T \text{Var}(\alpha + Bf + \varepsilon) W$$

$$= W^T \text{Var}(Bf) W + W^T \Sigma_\varepsilon W$$

$$= W^T B \Sigma_f B^T W + W^T \Sigma_\varepsilon W$$

$$= W^T \text{Cov}(R^e, f) \Sigma_f^{-1} \text{Cov}(R^e, f)^T W + W^T \Sigma_\varepsilon W$$

$$= \text{Cov}(R_f^e, f) \Sigma_f^{-1} \text{Cov}(R_f^e, f)^T + W^T \Sigma_\varepsilon W$$

$$= \Sigma_f + W^T \Sigma_\varepsilon W$$

when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\text{Var}(R_f^e) = \Sigma_f$

however,  $W^T \Sigma_\varepsilon W = \sum_i W_i^T W_i \varepsilon_i^2 > 0$ .

$\therefore$  contradiction

For  $\text{Cov}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i) \neq 0$ ,

This means we can't depict assets well in APT when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  
there are always some factor we didn't consider.