Ex. 2

 $D_{i,i} = D_{i} e^{\hat{3} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}^{2} + \frac{1}{3} a + 1} - dividend \text{ process}$   $g_{HI} = P_{3} g_{1} + \sigma_{3} E_{3} (1+1) - \text{shoch. component}$   $R_{4+1} = \frac{P_{4+1} + P_{3+1}}{P_{4}} - g_{205S} \text{ seturn}$   $E[R_{4+1}] = e^{\hat{1} + k_{1}} - \text{expeded return}$   $PD_{4} = \frac{P_{3}}{P_{4}} \quad Pd_{4} = \log PP_{4}$ 

(a)  $k_1 = 0 \text{ } \forall t = > e^{\overline{t}} = const.$ ;  $p_q = 0 = > E[g_{1+1}] = 0$   $R_{q+1} = \frac{D_{q+1} + P_{q+1}}{P_q} = > P_q = \frac{D_{q+1} + P_{q+1}}{R_{q+1}}$   $E[R_{1+1}] = e^{\overline{k} + k_1} = e^{\overline{k}}$ ;  $D_{q+1} = P_q e^{\overline{k} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + 1} = D_q e^{\overline{k}} \text{ (since volatility of a constant = 0)}$  Thus, we have:  $P_q = \frac{D_q e^{\overline{k}} + E[P_{q+1}]}{e^{\overline{k}}}, \text{ where } E[P_{q+1}] = \frac{D_q e^{\overline{k}} + E[P_{q+2}]}{e^{\overline{k}}} = >$ 

Applying the brute force approach and substituting further  $E[P_{a+n}]$  re get the following equation:  $P_a = D_a e^{(\bar{k}-\bar{q})} \sum_{k=0}^{n} e^{(\bar{k}-\bar{q})k} + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n E_a(P_{a+n})$ 

Assuming no bubble condition and taking the lim gives:  $\lim_{N\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{e^{\kappa}}\right)^{\kappa} E[P_{s+N}] = 0$ . Thus, we have:  $P_s = D_s e^{(k-g)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{(k-\bar{g})\kappa}$ 

Since we assume that the price is finite  $\hat{g} < \hat{k}$  has to hold and we have the following equation in the limit:  $P_4 = D_4 e^{\hat{g} - \hat{k}} \frac{1}{1 - \hat{e}^{\hat{q} - \hat{k}}} = \frac{D_7}{\hat{c}^{1-\hat{g}} - 1} \approx \frac{D_8}{k-2} = > \frac{1}{hc} \frac{PD}{ratio}$  is constant

The results are not consistent with the emperical result. According to the emperical results, the volatility is not constant and the price volatility is 5 to 10 times higher than the dividend volatility. The condition under which such difference is possible (assuming constant volatility):

85 × 1 × 510

2.236 < 1 < 3.162

0,447 > e 1 > 0.316

1,447 > 6-3 > 1,316

9.369 > K-g > 9.275 => the condition that is highly unlikely to hold in real life.

B  $P_{q} \neq 0 \Rightarrow k_{+1} = p_{x}k_{x} + J_{x} \in_{x} (t+1)$ We need to find a dunction that  $PD_{x} = PD(g_{x}, k_{x})$  that solves a fixed point:  $E[R_{x+1}] = E_{x}[\frac{D_{x+1}}{D_{x}}] = E_{x}[\frac{D_{x+1}}{D_{x}}] + [\log(1+e^{pl_{x+1}}) - pd_{x}]$ Equivalently,  $e^{\tilde{k}_{x}k_{x}} = E_{x}[\exp(\tilde{g} - \frac{1}{4}J_{x}^{2} + g_{x+1} + \log(1+e^{pl_{x+1}}) - pd_{x}]$ Let's first find log returns:  $lg(R_{x+1}) = lg(R_{x+1} + k_{x}r_{x}) - lg(P_{x}) = P_{x+1} + lg(1+\frac{D_{x+1}}{P_{x}r_{x}}) - p_{x} = P_{x+1} - P_{x} + lg(1+\exp(d_{x+1} - p_{x+1}))$ Let's apply 1st order Taylor approximation to the "tunction  $f(x) = lg(1+e^{x})$  around  $\overline{x} = l - \overline{p}$ :  $f(x) \cdot f(\overline{x}) + \frac{f'(\overline{x})}{I!} (x - \overline{x})$   $log(1 + \exp(d_{x+1} - p_{x+1})) \approx log(1 + \exp(d - \overline{p})) + \frac{\exp(d - \overline{p})}{\exp(d - \overline{p}) + 1} (d_{x+1} - P_{x+1} - (d - \overline{p}))$ Thus we have the approximation for the function  $lg(I + e^{pl_{x+1}})$  and  $plu_{x}$  if into the initial equestion:  $e^{E^{1}k_{x}} = E_{x}[\exp(\overline{g} - \frac{1}{4}J_{x}^{2} + g_{x+1} + log(1 + e^{pl_{x}}) + \frac{e^{pl_{x}}}{e^{pl_{x}} + 1} (pd_{x+1} - \overline{p}d_{x}) - pd_{x})]$ 

O pd, = pd(g, k) = A+Bg+ Ck. We need to approximate the following function: log (1+ exp(1+ bgun+ Cha) around Pd = A by (1+ exp(A+Bg)+Ck+) = by (1+eA) + e (A+D411+Ck+4-A) Thus, we get: ex+ka = Ex[ exp(\bar{g} - \frac{1}{10}\bar{g} + g\_{4+1} + log(1+e^4) + \frac{e^4}{1+e^4}(A+Bg\_{4+}+Ck\_{4+1}-A)-(A+Bg\_4+Ck\_4))] e 1+k = exp( - - 150 + log (1+ e) - A - Bg. - Ck) Ex[ exp( g.++ + e (Bg.++ Ch.+)] = exp( & - 25 + cg ( 14 e') - A - Bg+ - Ck+) Ex[ exp( g+11 (14 2 B) + e1 Hea (k+1)] Let's plug in good koop. ek+k4 = exp( \bar{g} - \frac{1}{2}\sig^2 + lg(1+e') - A - by, - Ck,) \Ex[ exp(1) \text{g} \text{g} + \dag{\text{g}} \text{g} \text{(++1)} \) \[ 1 + \frac{e^4}{1+e^4} \text{B} \) + \frac{e^4}{1+e^4} \text{C(1)} \kappa \k = exp(\vec{g} - \vec{1} & \sigma\_{\vec{g}}^2 + Log(1+e^4) - A - B\_{34} - Ck\_2 + P\_3 g\_4(1+\frac{e^4}{1+e^4} B) + P\_k k\_2 + \frac{e^4}{1+e^4} C) E\_t \( \int \texp( \opi\_3 \varepsilon\_3 (4+1) (1+\frac{e^4}{1+e^4} B) + \opi\_k \varepsilon\_k (4+1) C \frac{e^4}{1+e^4} \) Sinc we know that the random variables are normally distributed (iid), we can use the moment generating fundion: E, [eleg(+1)]=ez In order to compute an expectation: Ex[exp( \( \partial\_{\text{g}} \varepsilon\_{\text{g}} (4+1) (1+\frac{e^4}{1+e^4} \varepsilon) + \frac{5}{4} \sigma\_{\text{k}} (4+1) C \frac{e^4}{1+e^4} \varepsilon = \text{exp} \left( \frac{1}{4} \sigma\_{\text{g}}^2 \left( 1+\frac{e^4}{1+e^4} \varepsilon \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sigma\_{\text{k}}^2 C' \frac{e^{4\text{k}}}{(1+e^4)^2} \right) Thus, we have: K+K, = 3-103+ Log(1+e)-A+ g+ (p3(1+e)B)-B)+K, (p+ e1c-C)+1(31(1+e1c)+ 51C(1+c)) Mutching the coefficients gives: ( K = \( \tilde{g} - \frac{1}{1} \) \( \delta\_{\text{3}}^2 + \log (1+e^4) - A + \frac{1}{1} (\delta\_{\text{3}}^2 (1 + \frac{e^4}{1+e^4} B)^2 + \delta\_{\text{1}} C^2 \frac{e^{24}}{(1+e^4)^2} ) < K : PK 11 EA C - C = 1 ge: pg (1+ e1 B)-B=0 From the system we have:  $C = \frac{1}{\rho_{k}} = \frac{1+e^{a}}{e^{a}(\rho_{k}-1)-1}$  $\begin{cases} B(\frac{e^{A}}{1+e^{A}})^{\frac{1}{2}} 1) = -p_{3} = 0 \\ B = \frac{2p_{3}(1+e^{A})}{p_{3}e^{A} - 1 - e^{A}} = \frac{-p_{3}(1+e^{A})}{e^{A}(p_{3}-1) - 1} = p_{3} \\ e^{A}(1-p_{3}) + 1 \end{cases}$ A = \( \bar{g} - \bar{k} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\bar{g}}^2 + \log (1+e^A) + \frac{1}{2} (3^2 (1+\frac{e}{4}, b)^2 + \delta\_k^2 C^2 \frac{e^A}{(1+e^A)^2}) 1 P1 = PK = OK = 0 Plugging it into previous solution gives: C=-1 [ = \bar{g} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma\_2^2 + log(1 + e^4) - A + \frac{1}{2}\sigma\_3 = \bar{g} + log(1 + e^4) - A = \bar{q} - log(\frac{e^4}{(1 + e^4)}) It follows that pd=A. It matches the results in point @  $\log\left(\frac{e^{A}}{1+e^{A}}\right) = \hat{q} - \bar{k}$   $e^{\hat{3} - \bar{k}} - \frac{e^{A}}{1+e^{A}} = 1 + \frac{1}{e^{A}} = 0$   $e^{A} = \frac{1}{e^{\bar{k} \cdot \bar{k}} - 1} = PD_{+}$ For the stochastic process to be mean-reverting, it has to be stationary, which means the distribution doesn't depend on t In our case the autocorrelation is represented by Px and Pg. It can be expressed as Px - (WSS process). It can be noticed that in order to have stationry process, Phas to be strictly less than one in absolute value. It p-0 => the process is a random walk and if p=1 => unit root. Thus, pg =1; px =1 and either px or pg = 0; 1) Let's suppose  $p_k \in (0,1)$  and  $p_g = 0$ . Thus, we have: pd.,, = A + Bg., + Ck., = A + Bog & (4+1) + C(Pkkx + ox & (4+1)) = A + pkk, C + (ox & (4+1)) + ox & (4+1) B) Since K is the only parameter that depends on the past information and pre(0,1) => the process is stationary 2) Now W's suppose Pac(0,1) and Px = 0 pd=== A + B(pg+ + 5g & (1+1)) + C of & (1+1) = A + pg+B + (og & (1+1) D + of & (1+1) C) Since gis the only parameter that depends on the past information and pe(0,1) => the process is stationary Since empirically dividend growth is iid. (pg =0). If px 6(0;1), it will guarantee that the pdx is stochastic mean-reverting process =>

