Problem 3.

(a) In order to find the equation that describes expected returns in equilibrium, we need find the values of a, F, and Fa. To do this let's build and solve a system of eyenations:

$$\begin{cases} 0.D = d_0 + 1F_1 + 0.5F_2 \\ 0.154 = d_0 + 3F_1 + 0.2F_2 \\ 0.12 = d_0 + 3F_1 + (-0.5)F_2 \end{cases}$$

Solving the system of equations gives the following values:

do= 0,1 F,= 0,01 F= 0,02 =)4,

Thus, the equation that describes expected returns in equilibrium is given by:

Rj = 0,1 + Bjr. 0,01 + Bjz. 0,02

B Mm-Ro = 0,04; Portfolio 4: 13,=1 , By=0 Portfolio 5: 1351=0 1352=1 Ro-? Bon-? Bun-?

Since & = 0.1=> R = 0,1

0,1 + 1.0,01 - 0,11 - expected return of the Portfolio 4 0,1 + 0,02 = 0,12 - expected return of the Portfolio 5 [n-Ro =] S (Mex - Ro) = we can use APT to find Bun and Bin and we (Rn-Ro) as F,

Partfolio 4: 0,11-0,1 = B4m·0,04 => B4m=0,25 Portfolio 5: 0, 12 - 0, 1 = Bon 0,09 => Bon = 0,5

Problem 4:

- @ False. CAPM implies that stocks with the same expected returns should have the same beta because if CAPH holds the following equation should hold: M:= R. + B; (Mn-Ro). Since in our case M:= M; and Ro, Mn are the same for all the assets on the market, betas of the assets i and j hes to be the same for CAPM to hold.
- @ False. CAPM doesn't consider idiosyncratic risk. It considers only systematic risk Idiosyncratic risk is supposed to be diversitiable and is not rewarded with the excess return. It can also be observed from the tollowing equation: Ri-Ro=a; + B; (Rm-Ro) + E; , where E[E;]=0, which represent the return for idiosyncratic risk.
- O False. Since the langency portfolio is also market portfolio => Market portfolio is mean variance editionent and any risky asset's premium is proportional to it's covariance with the market portfolio: M. - R. = an Cov (R. Rm) Vi. It also holds for the market

porttolio: Mn-Ro= an V(Rn). Thus, all risky assets' means and standard deviations are on the SML: M:= Ro+J3. (Rn-Ro), where J3:= \frac{60v(R;Rn)}{Var(Rm)}. It can be concluded that beta is relevant measure of risk for all risky assets conturing the risk of assets in relation to the market risk, but standard diviation in not an appropriate risk measure under CAPM since it doesn't capture the relation with the market risk, but it's still appropriate for the market portfolio under CAPM because the portfolio is MVE.

- True. Beta is appropriat risk measure for all risky assets under CAPM (The argumentation is the same as in point c.)
- (E) If d>0=> the asset is undervalued because it's expected return is lower than the actual one. It you are a mean-variance efficient investor than you should invest in this asset unless it increases the Sharpe Ratio: max $SR=\sqrt{SR_{11}^2+IR_1^2}$, where information ratio allows to take into account ideosynchatic risk.
- Yes, it has to be uncorrelated, otherwise it would be market risk. We can decompose the the risk of each asset: Var[Ri] = B2 Var[Rm] + Var[2i], and under CAPM we have total risk systematic risk id: osyncratic risk the tollowing equation Rj = dj + B; (Rm-Ro) + E; where E[ej] = 0 which also implies uncorrelated idiosyncratic risk.