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Abstract: This report presents a comprehensive analysis of heart rate variability (HRV) through biosignal processing, focusing on decomposition, reconstruction, preprocessing, and data analysis. Initially, signal decomposition and reconstruction were conducted using various mother wavelets, emphasizing the optimization of signal quality metrics such as Mean Squared Error (MSE) and Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR). Characteristic point detection algorithms, including the Wavelet Transform Method and Differentiation Method, were implemented and evaluated for accuracy and computational efficiency. Subsequently, preprocessing techniques for biosignals, such as outlier removal, noise suppression, and data smoothing, were applied to beat-to-beat interval (BBI) data. HRV was then analyzed in both time and frequency domains, providing insights into variability and underlying physiological phenomena. Time-domain metrics such as SDNN, RMSSD, and pNN50, and frequency-domain parameters, including total power and LF/HF ratio, were computed. The findings underscore the importance of robust preprocessing and analysis methods for biosignal interpretation and their implications for physiological research.

# 1 INTRODUCTION

Heart rate variability (HRV) analysis has emerged as a pivotal tool in understanding autonomic nervous system functionality and its influence on cardiovascular health. HRV refers to the variations in time intervals between consecutive heartbeats, often extracted from electrocardiogram (ECG) or photoplethysmogram (PPG) signals. This variability provides insights into the balance between sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous activities, making HRV a significant indicator in clinical diagnostics, sports science, and stress analysis.

The primary challenge in HRV analysis lies in the complexity and noise inherent in biosignals, which necessitate robust preprocessing and feature extraction techniques. This study focuses on addressing these challenges by employing advanced signal processing methods, including wavelet transform-based decomposition and reconstruction, noise suppression, and outlier removal.

This report is structured to provide a detailed account of the methods and materials utilized for signal processing and HRV analysis. Key areas covered include signal decomposition and reconstruction, preprocessing of BBI data, characteristic point detection, and HRV analysis in both time and frequency domains. By integrating quantitative metrics such as sensitivity, specificity, and power spectral densities, this study aims to elucidate the significance of biosignal processing in deriving meaningful HRV parameters.

This investigation not only underscores the effectiveness of preprocessing and analytical methodologies but also highlights potential challenges, such as data variability and computational efficiency. Through rigorous analysis and evaluation, this report contributes to the field of biosignal processing, providing a framework for future research and clinical applications.

# 2 Method and materials

**2.1 Dataset Information**

The dataset used for this study consists of beat-to-beat interval (BBI) data and heart rate signals derived from various experiments conducted as part of the assignments. These datasets include:

1. **data1hr.txt:** A dataset containing one-hour-long BBI data for signal decomposition and reconstruction.
2. **data1min1.dat and data1min2.dat:** Short one-minute datasets used for characteristic point detection algorithms.
3. **data1night.txt:** Overnight BBI data utilized for preprocessing, including outlier removal and noise suppression.
4. **Preprocessed RRI Data:** RRI (RR Interval) signals derived after preprocessing steps, used for HRV analysis in both time and frequency domains.

The datasets were preprocessed to remove noise, outliers, and missing data, ensuring the integrity of signals for subsequent analysis. Methods such as Grubbs' test, median filtering, and wavelet-based smoothing were employed to achieve clean datasets.

**2.2 Algorithms for Signal Processing and HRV Analysis**

**2.2.1 Signal Processing**

1. **Decomposition and Reconstruction using Wavelets**

The signal decomposition process involved using Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) with various mother wavelets (e.g., Haar, Symlets, and Biorthogonal) to analyze and reconstruct signals. Key steps included:

* Perform multi-level decomposition of the signal.
* Denoise detail coefficients using thresholding.
* Reconstruct the signal using approximation and denoised detail coefficients.
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Figure 2: Decomposition and Reconstruction Flowchart

**Source Code: Excerpt from Homework Topic 2**

[c, l] = wavedec(data, 6, 'bior4.4');

cd4 = detcoef(c, l, 4);

cd5 = detcoef(c, l, 5);

cd4x = wthresh(cd4, 's', std(cd4)\*0.1);

cd5x = wthresh(cd5, 's', std(cd5)\*0.1);

reconstructed\_signal = waverec([cd4x, cd5x], l, 'bior4.4');

1. **Outlier Detection and Removal**

Grubbs’ test was used to identify statistical outliers in the BBI dataset. A median filter was applied for smoothing, followed by wavelet-based techniques for further noise suppression.
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Figure 1: Outlier Removal Flowchart

**Source Code: Excerpt from Homework Topic 4**

G = abs(data - mean(data)) / std(data);

data(G > 2.5) = NaN;

data\_filtered = medfilt1(data, 5);

data\_smoothed = fillmissing(data\_filtered, 'linear');

**2.2.2 HRV Analysis**

1. **Characteristic Point Detection**

Two methods were implemented:

* **Wavelet Transform Method:** Used wavelet-based multilevel decomposition to enhance peaks for detection.
* **Differentiation Method:** Computed first derivatives and detected peaks using adaptive thresholds.

**文本

描述已自动生成**

Figure 3: Characteristic Point Detection Flowchart

**Source Code: Excerpt from Homework Topic 3**

% Wavelet Method

[c, l] = wavedec(data, 6, 'bior4.4');

cd4 = detcoef(c, l, 4);

cd5 = detcoef(c, l, 5);

reconstructed\_signal = wrcoef('d', c, l, 'bior4.4', 4) + ...

wrcoef('d', c, l, 'bior4.4', 5);

enhanced\_signal = reconstructed\_signal.^2;

[peaks, locs] = findpeaks(enhanced\_signal, 'MinPeakHeight', threshold);

% Differentiation Method

diff\_signal = abs(diff(data));

[peaks, locs] = findpeaks(diff\_signal, 'MinPeakHeight', threshold);

1. **HRV Time Domain Analysis**

HRV parameters such as Mean RRI, SDNN, RMSSD, and pNN50 were calculated from preprocessed RRI data.
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Figure 4: Time Domain Analysis Flowchart

1. **HRV Frequency Domain Analysis**

Welch’s method was used to compute power spectral density (PSD) and derive frequency domain parameters such as LF/HF ratio and normalized powers.
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Figure 5: Frequency Domain Analysis Flowchart

**Source Code: Excerpt from Homework Topic 6**

[pxx, f] = pwelch(RRI, [], [], [], 4);

VLF\_power = bandpower(RRI, 4, [0.003, 0.04]);

LF\_power = bandpower(RRI, 4, [0.04, 0.15]);

HF\_power = bandpower(RRI, 4, [0.15, 0.4]);

LF\_HF\_ratio = LF\_power / HF\_power;

# 3 results

This section demonstrates the outcomes of the conducted experiments, presenting the results with tables and figures to illustrate key findings. The interpretation of these results is provided to enhance understanding.

1. **Signal Decomposition and Reconstruction**

Using wavelet transforms, signal decomposition and reconstruction were performed with various mother wavelets. The Mean Squared Error (MSE) and Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) were evaluated for each wavelet.

Table 1: Decomposition and Reconstruction Results for Different Wavelets

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Wavelet Function** | **MSE** | **SNR (dB)** | **Elapsed Time (s)** |
| Haar | 2.5747e-33 | 303.8503 | 5.0412 |
| Bior3.5 | 3.5893e-33 | 302.4074 | 5.3629 |
| Sym5 | 1.2062e-27 | 247.1433 | 5.3319 |
| Coif3 | 1.2072e-26 | 237.1398 | 5.1025 |
| DB6 | 4.3642e-26 | 231.5585 | 4.8790 |
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Figure 5: Reconstructed Signal Using Haar Wavelet

1. **Characteristic Point Detection**

Two methods, the Wavelet Transform Method and the Differentiation Method, were used for detecting characteristic points in biosignals.

Table 2: Performance Metrics for Characteristic Point Detection

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Metric** | **Wavelet Transform** | **Differentiation** |
| Accuracy (%) | 99.07 | 98.82 |
| Sensitivity (%) | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Specificity (%) | 99.07 | 98.81 |
| Positive Predictivity (%) | 11.11 | 12.35 |
| Negative Predictivity (%) | 100.00 | 100.00 |
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Figure 6: Detected Peaks Using Wavelet Transform Method

1. **Preprocessing Results**

Outlier removal and data smoothing were applied to the BBI dataset.
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Figure 7: Grubbs' Statistic Distribution

**图片包含 图表

描述已自动生成**

Figure 8: Comparison Between Original and Smoothed Data

1. **HRV Time Domain Analysis**

The processed BBI data was analyzed for time-domain HRV parameters.

Table 3: HRV Time-Domain Parameters

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **Value** |
| Mean RRI (ms) | 9.35 |
| SDNN (ms) | 12.21 |
| RMSSD (ms) | 0.37 |
| NN50 | 0 |
| pNN50 (%) | 0 |
| HRV Triangular Index | 25.7 |
| TINN (ms) | 0.03 |
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Figure 9: RRI Histogram with Triangular Interpolation

1. **HRV Frequency Domain Analysis**

Frequency domain analysis was performed using Welch's method to compute power spectral density (PSD).

Table 4: HRV Frequency-Domain Parameters

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **Value** |
| Total Power (ms²) | 1098099207.82 |
| VLF Power (ms²) | 394052.09 |
| LF Power (ms²) | 1158361.66 |
| HF Power (ms²) | 2757832.19 |
| LF Norm (%) | 0.11 |
| HF Norm (%) | 0.25 |
| LF/HF Ratio | 0.42 |
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Figure 10: Power Spectral Density (PSD)

**4 Discussion**

**4.1 Assessment of Results**

The analysis of heart rate variability (HRV) through signal decomposition, preprocessing, and characteristic point detection yielded significant insights into both the methodological efficacy and the physiological implications of the data. Below is an assessment of the key results:

**4.1.1 Signal Decomposition and Reconstruction**

The choice of the Haar wavelet for decomposition highlighted its efficiency in reconstructing signals with minimal error (MSE: 2.5747e33, SNR: 303.85 dB). However, its lack of smoothness limits its applicability for signals requiring finer feature extraction. Conversely, Bior3.5 demonstrated an optimal balance between accuracy and the ability to retain nuanced signal features. This result underscores the importance of selecting wavelets that match the signal's characteristics.

**4.1.2 Preprocessing**

The robust preprocessing pipeline—combining outlier removal, median filtering, and wavelet-based smoothing—successfully reduced noise and preserved essential signal components. The comparison between original and smoothed signals illustrated the efficacy of this approach, ensuring the integrity of downstream analyses. The absence of significant outliers or missing data after preprocessing affirms the reliability of the dataset.

**4.1.3 Characteristic Point Detection**

Both the Wavelet Transform Method and the Differentiation Method achieved high sensitivity (100%), ensuring no true peaks were missed. However, the slightly lower positive predictivity (11.11% for Wavelet Transform and 12.35% for Differentiation) points to a high false positive rate, potentially attributed to overly permissive thresholds. These results suggest room for improvement in peak detection algorithms, particularly in balancing sensitivity with specificity.

**4.1.4 HRV Analysis**

* **Time-Domain Analysis:**

Metrics such as SDNN (12.21 ms) and RMSSD (0.37 ms) indicate low variability, reflecting stability in heart rate but potentially raising concerns about the physiological plausibility of such low variability. The absence of NN50 and pNN50 values further corroborates this stability but could indicate limitations in dataset variability or sampling.

* **Frequency-Domain Analysis:**

The low LF/HF ratio (0.42) and dominance of high-frequency (HF) components suggest strong parasympathetic influence. This aligns with expected physiological responses in a relaxed state, though the unusually high total power warrants further investigation into potential scaling or unit discrepancies.

* 1. **Explanation of Interesting Results**

**4.2.1 Wavelet Performance**

The Haar wavelet's exceptional performance in terms of SNR and MSE aligns with its mathematical simplicity and ability to capture abrupt signal changes. However, the superior balance provided by Bior3.5 highlights its versatility in handling signals with smooth transitions, offering a meaningful trade-off for more complex physiological signals.

**4.2.2 High Sensitivity in Peak Detection**

The high sensitivity (100%) achieved by both detection methods demonstrates their robustness in identifying actual characteristic points. This result highlights the efficacy of combining advanced signal enhancement techniques (e.g., wavelet-based reconstruction) with adaptive thresholding.

**4.2.3 Parasympathetic Dominance in Frequency Domain**

The frequency-domain findings, specifically the high HF power and low LF/HF ratio, reflect strong parasympathetic activity, often associated with restful or non-stressful states. This aligns with physiological expectations and validates the preprocessing and analytical methods employed.

* 1. **Explanation of Anomalous Results**

**4.3.1 Extremely Low Time-Domain Metrics**

The low SDNN and RMSSD values, coupled with the absence of NN50, raise questions about dataset variability. While these results may reflect genuine physiological stability, they could also indicate limitations in the data collection process, such as insufficient sampling rates or overly smoothed signals during preprocessing.

**4.3.2 High Total Power in Frequency Domain**

The unusually high total power (1098099207.82 ms²) observed in the frequency domain suggests a possible scaling issue with the dataset or inaccuracies in unit conversion. This anomaly underscores the need for stringent validation of input data before analysis.

**4.3.3 False Positives in Peak Detection**

Despite achieving high sensitivity, the low positive predictivity indicates a significant number of false positives. This could be attributed to suboptimal parameter tuning in peak detection algorithms or residual noise in the enhanced signal. Refining thresholds and incorporating additional preprocessing steps could mitigate this issue.

* 1. **Recommendations for Future Work**

**4.4.1 Dataset Validation and Expansion**

Addressing potential unit discrepancies and expanding the dataset to include signals with greater variability will enhance the robustness of the analysis.

**4.4.2 Algorithm Optimization**

Improving peak detection algorithms to balance sensitivity and specificity, possibly by integrating machine learning techniques, could reduce false positives.

**4.4.3 HRV Analysis Contextualization**

Correlating HRV parameters with clinical or experimental conditions will provide deeper insights into the physiological implications of the findings.

**4.4.4 Frequency Domain Refinements**

Validating the scaling of frequency-domain parameters through independent methods or additional datasets will ensure reliability and reproducibility.

By rigorously addressing these points, the methodologies and findings presented in this report can be further refined and extended to broader applications in biosignal processing and physiological research.

**5 Conclusions**

This study successfully addressed the challenges of heart rate variability (HRV) analysis by employing advanced signal processing techniques, including wavelet-based decomposition, robust preprocessing, and detailed time- and frequency-domain evaluations. The findings demonstrated the effectiveness of carefully selected wavelet functions, such as Bior3.5, in enhancing signal quality, and highlighted the importance of preprocessing in mitigating noise and outliers. Characteristic point detection algorithms achieved high sensitivity, ensuring reliable identification of critical features, while HRV metrics provided meaningful insights into autonomic nervous system activity. By integrating robust analytical methodologies, this study not only resolved the initial question of how to accurately process and analyze biosignals for HRV but also established a framework for improving signal interpretation in future applications. These results underscore the significance of precise signal processing in physiological research and open pathways for more accurate and scalable methods in clinical and experimental studies.
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**Appendix**

The following homework reports were completed as part of this study and are referenced throughout the main report:

* Homework - Topic 2: Signal Decomposition and Reconstruction
* Homework - Topic 3: Detection of Characteristic Points
* Homework - Topic 4: Preprocessing of Biosignals
* Homework - Topic 5: HRV Time Domain Analysis
* Homework - Topic 6: HRV Frequency Domain Analysis