

# Unit 16

## Technological Progress, Unemployment, and Living Standards in the Long Run

Hui-Jun Chen

The Ohio State University

March 26, 2023




# Introduction

- Tech change  
long-run living  
standards  $\uparrow$  yet  
cause short-run  
unemployment
- Cross-countries  
of unemployment  
cannot be  
explained by  
innovation

Figure 16.1. Unemployment rates in selected OECD countries (1960-2014).

© The CORE Project 2015




How can institutions and policies explain these differences?

Figure 16.1. Unemployment rates in selected OECD countries (1960-2014).

© The CORE Project 2015


- Production has become more capital intensive, without resulting in mass unemployment. How could this outcome occur?



How can institutions and policies explain these differences?

# Structure of Units

Figure 16.21. The institutions, policies, and shocks that can influence unemployment and real wages.



© The CORE Project 2015



# Job Creation and Unemployment




# Technological progress and living standards

- Firms can earn **innovation rents** by introducing new technology.
- Firms that cannot keep up with innovation eventually fail
  - $\Rightarrow$  Schumpeter: creative destruction
- New technologies require new machines
- Technological advance relies on capital-intensive methods of production to be profitable.
- This process allows a sustained increase in average living standards.



# Classical Growth Model: Decreasing MPK

Figure 16.2. The economy's production function and technological progress.








# Technological progress over time

Figure 16.3. Long-run growth trajectories of selected economies.




© The CORE Project 2015

capital productivity remained roughly constant, why?



# Job creation/destruction

Figure 16.4. Job destruction, job creation, and net employment across countries.




© The CORE Project 2015

- Labour-saving technological progress can also create jobs
- e.g. reallocation of work from low- to high-productivity firms
- $\text{Net employment change} = \text{job creation} - \text{job destruction}$



# The Beveridge Curve


- **Def:** inverse relationship between the **unemployment rate** and the **job vacancy rate**
- Recession: post fewer vacancies and lay off more workers
- Boom: post more vacancies and need more workers





# The Beveridge Curve

- German Beveridge curve shifted closer to the origin due to reforms that helped unemployed workers find jobs.
- US curve shifted away from the origin due to a skill-based mismatch and limited worker mobility.





# Labor Market Matching

Beveridge curve can shift over time!

- $\therefore$  changes in the labour market matching efficiency
- **Skill Mismatch**: the unemployed may not have the **skills required** for the job jobseekers
- **Geographical constraint**: vacancies may be located in different parts of the country
- Policies and technology can improve efficiency



# Long-run Labor Market Model

# Long-run unemployment

- In the long run, firms can enter/exit (so capital stock can change)
- **Work incentives**: depend on **wage-setting curve**
- **Investment incentives**: depend on **price-setting curve**
- **Long-run equilibrium** in the labour market is when
  - ① wages,
  - ② employment level, and
  - ③ the number of firms are constant



# Appendix



# References I