Midterm Exam I

Macroeconomics I Hui-Jun Chen

Problem 1: The calculation of Lecture 8

Remember the Example in Lecture 8.

Consumer: $\max_{C,l} \ln C + \ln l$ subject to $C \leq w(1-l) + \pi$

FOC
$$\frac{C}{l} = w$$
 (1)

Binding budget constraint
$$C = w(1 - l) + \pi$$
 (2)

Time constraint
$$N^s = 1 - l$$
 (3)

Firm: $\max_{N^d} (N^d)^{\frac{1}{2}} - wN^d$

FOC
$$\frac{1}{2}(N^d)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = w$$
 (4)

Output definition
$$Y = (N^d)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (5)

Profit definition
$$\pi = Y - wN^d$$
 (6)

Market clear:

$$N^s = N^d \tag{7}$$

Fill the following blanks for the step-by-step guide for algebraic calculation:

Step 1: Impose Market clear condition, so shrink all 7 equations to Q1 equations

Consumer: $\max_{C,l} \ln C + \ln l$ subject to $C \leq w(1-l) + \pi$

FOC
$$\frac{C}{l} = w$$
 (8)

7

Binding budget constraint
$$C = w(1 - l) + \pi$$
 (9)

Time constraint
$$N = 1 - l$$
 (10)

Firm: $\max_N(N)^{\frac{1}{2}} - wN$

FOC
$$\frac{1}{2}(N)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = w$$
 (11)

Output definition
$$Y = (N)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (12)

Profit definition
$$\pi = Y - wN$$
 (13)

Step 2: replace l in terms of N using l = 1 - N

Consumer: $\max_{C,l} \ln C + \ln l$ subject to $C \leq w(1-l) + \pi$

FOC
$$\frac{C}{(Q2)} = w$$
 (14)

Binding budget constraint $C=w(\ \mathbf{Q3}\)+\pi$ (15)

(A)
$$1-N$$
 (B) $2-N$ (C) $N-1$ (D) $2-N$

(B)
$$2-\Lambda$$

(C)
$$N-1$$

(D)
$$2 - N$$

(A)
$$N-3$$
 (B) $N-2$ (C) $N-1$ (D) N

(B)
$$N-2$$

(C)
$$N-1$$

(D)
$$N$$

Firm: $\max_N(N)^{\frac{1}{2}} - wN$

FOC
$$\frac{1}{2}(N)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = w$$
 (16)

Output definition
$$Y = (N)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (17)

Profit definition
$$\pi = Y - wN$$
 (18)

Step 3: replace π and Y as N

Consumer: $\max_{C,l} \ln C + \ln l$ subject to $C \leq w(1-l) + \pi$

FOC
$$\frac{C}{(Q2)} = w$$
 (19)

Binding budget constraint
$$C = w(\underline{Q3}) + \pi$$
 (20)

Firm: $\max_N(N)^{\frac{1}{2}} - wN$

FOC
$$\frac{1}{2}(N)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = w$$
 (21)

Profit definition $\pi = (\underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ } - wN$ (22)

- - (A) N
- (B) 2N (C) $N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (D) $N^{\frac{1}{4}}$

Step 4: Substitute $\pi(N)$ into Binding budget constraint and get

$$C = (Q5)$$
 (23)

- - (A) N

- (B) $N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (C) $N^{\frac{1}{4}}$ (D) $N^{\frac{1}{8}}$

Step 5: With consumer's FOC and firm's FOC both equate to w, we can get another expression of C:

$$C = (\underline{Q2}) \times (\underline{Q6}) \tag{24}$$

- - (A) $\frac{1}{2}N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (B) $\frac{1}{2}N$ (C) $\frac{1}{4}N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (D) $\frac{1}{2}N$

Step 6: Let (23) equate (24) and we get N as

$$N = (\underline{Q7}) \tag{25}$$

- - (A) 1
- (B) $\frac{1}{2}$
- (C) $\frac{1}{3}$
- (D)

Step 7: Trace back to all unknowns given the value of N, we get

$$C = (\underline{\qquad \mathbf{Q8} \qquad}) \tag{26}$$

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q10} \end{pmatrix} \tag{28}$$

$$\pi = (\underline{\underline{\mathbf{Q11}}}) \tag{29}$$

$$w = (Q12) (30)$$

- (8) _____ (A) $\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}$ (B) $\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}$ (C) $\sqrt{\frac{1}{5}}$ (D) $\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}$
- - (A) $\frac{3}{4}$

- (B) $\frac{1}{3}$ (C) $\frac{1}{4}$ (D) $\frac{2}{3}$
- (10) _____

- (A) $\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}$ (B) $\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}$ (C) $\sqrt{\frac{1}{5}}$ (D) $\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}$

- (A) $\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}$ (B) $\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}$ (C) $\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}$ (D) $\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}$

- (A) $\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}$ (B) $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$ (C) $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3}$ (D) $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$

Problem 2: Macroeconomic Analysis of H-1B Visa Fee Policy

Scenario

A new administration proposes a substantial fee, t=\$100,000, that should be paid by firms on every H-1B worker hired. We will analyze this policy using our one-period competitive equilibrium model.

Model Setup

- Household: Utility $U(C, l) = \ln C + \beta \ln l$; Time endowment h = 1.
- Firm: Production $Y = zK^{\alpha}N^{1-\alpha}$, where N is the total labor demand.
- Government: Balances its budget, $G = T + tN_H$.
- Parameters: $z = 1, K = 1, \alpha = 1/3, \beta = 2.$

Part I: Conceptual Foundations

- 13 The administration considers a massive \$100,000 fee per H-1B worker. According to the Lucas critique, why is a micro-founded model essential for analyzing such a large policy shift? _____
 - (A) Because a large fee is a major policy change, it will fundamentally alter how firms decide to hire, making old statistical data unreliable.
 - (B) Historical data on visa fees is likely inaccurate and cannot be trusted for forecasting.
 - (C) Micro-founded models are the only models that can account for government spending.
 - (D) The Lucas critique states that only small, incremental policies can be accurately modeled.
- A U.S. software firm has \$10M in revenue. It pays \$2M for intermediate goods, \$4M in domestic wages, \$1M in H-1B wages, and \$500,000 in H-1B fees. Using the income approach, what is this firm's contribution to GDP? _____

- (A) \$7,500,000
- (B) \$8,000,000
- (C) \$2,500,000
- (D) \$5,500,000

Part II: The Perfect Substitutes Case

Assume H-1B (N_H) and domestic (N_D) workers are perfect substitutes, so total labor demand is $N=N_D+N_H$. The production function is $Y=N^{\frac{2}{3}}$. The domestic household's labor supply is perfectly inelastic at $N^s=\frac{1}{3}$.

- (15) What is the firm's labor demand curve, N(w)? _____
 - (A) $N = \left(\frac{3w}{2}\right)^3$
 - (B) $N = \left(\frac{2}{3w}\right)^2$
 - (C) $N = \left(\frac{3}{2w}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$
 - (D) $N = \left(\frac{2}{3w}\right)^3$
- Assuming that the US does not have any foreign labor supply, only the domestic ones. What is the equilibrium wage w^* ?
 - (A) $\frac{2}{3}$
 - (B) $2 \times 3^{2/3}$
 - (C) $2 \times 3^{-2/3}$
 - (D) $\frac{3}{2}$
- Now, the US government announce the H-1B program and allow foreign workers to enter with a fee t>0. The firm must pay a fee of t for each H-1B worker. The market real wage is w. What is the firm's profit-maximization condition for hiring an H-1B worker?

- (A) MPN = w t
- (B) MPN = w
- (C) MPN = w + t
- (D) MPN = t
- In this perfect substitutes model, what is the equilibrium level of H-1B employment, N_H^* ?
 - (A) 0
 - (B) 1/3
 - (C) It depends on the size of the fee t.
 - (D) It is negative.
- (19) The analysis in previous question suggests firms would hire zero H-1B workers. In reality, firms still hire them. What is the most plausible economic reason our simple model misses? ____
 - (A) Firms are not actually profit-maximizers.
 - (B) Domestic and H-1B workers are not perfect substitutes; H-1B workers may possess unique skills that command a higher effective MPN.
 - (C) The government forces firms to hire H-1B workers.
 - (D) The real wage for H-1B workers is secretly lower than for domestic workers.

Part III: The Cobb-Douglas Production Case

Now assume a more realistic scenario where the two labor types are imperfect substitutes. Let the production function be $Y=N_D^{1/2}N_H^{1/2}$. The supply of domestic labor is perfectly inelastic at $N_D=1/4$, and the supply of H-1B labor is perfectly inelastic at $N_H=1/4$.

(20) What is the Marginal Product of a domestic worker, MPN_D ?

- (A) $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{N_D}{N_H}}$
- (B) $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{N_H}{N_D}}$
- (C) $\sqrt{\frac{N_H}{N_D}}$
- (D) $\sqrt{\frac{N_D}{N_H}}$
- ②1) Before the fee (t=0), what is the equilibrium wage for domestic workers, w_D ?
 - (A) 2
 - (B) 1
 - (C) 4
 - (D) 1/2
- $oxed{22}$ Before the fee (t=0), what is the total output (GDP) of this economy? _____
 - (A) 1/4
 - (B) 1/2
 - (C) 1
 - (D) 4
- Now, a fee of t=1/4 is imposed on H-1B workers. What is the new wage paid *to* H-1B workers, w_H ? _____
 - (A) 1/2
 - (B) 1/4
 - (C) 0
 - (D) -1/4
- 24) After the fee is imposed, what are the profits (π) of the representative firm?

- $(A) \quad 0$
- (B) 1/8
- (C) 1/4
- (D) -1/8
- 25 In this Cobb-Douglas labor model with perfectly inelastic labor supply, who bears the full economic burden of the fee? _____
 - (A) The firm owners (through lower profits).
 - (B) The H-1B workers (through lower wages).
 - (C) Domestic workers (through lower wages).
 - (D) The government.

Part IV: General Equilibrium Analysis

Let's return to the general model where the household's labor supply is NOT perfectly inelastic. Production: $Y=zK^{\alpha}N^{1-\alpha}$. Household utility: $U=\ln(C)+\beta\ln(l)$. The policy is an increase in TFP, z.

- The production function is $Y = zK^{\alpha}(N_D^{\gamma}N_H^{1-\gamma})^{1-\alpha}$. The H-1B fee (t) is imposed only on N_H . How does this fee affect the firm's demand for capital (K)?
 - (A) It has no effect on capital demand because the fee is on labor.
 - (B) The firm's demand for capital will decrease.
 - (C) The firm's demand for capital will increase.
 - (D) The rental rate of capital will fall, but demand will not change.
- The H-1B fee (t) is a lump-sum amount per worker. How does this modify the firm's labor demand curve for H-1B workers?
 - (A) It makes the labor demand curve steeper.
 - (B) It makes the labor demand curve flatter.
 - (C) It pivots the labor demand curve inward.
 - (D) It causes a parallel downward shift of the labor demand curve.

(28)	resen	H-1B fees collected are used to fund government spending (G) . From the reptative household's perspective, how does the policy affect their budget containitially, before any wage changes?	
	(A)	It has no effect.	
	(B)	It decreases their non-wage income $(\pi-T)$ because firm profits (π) fall due to the new fee.	
	(C)	It increases their non-wage income $(\pi-T)$ because the government lowers other taxes (T) .	
	(D)	It increases their wage income (wN^s).	
29)	The reduction in the household's dividend income (π) described in last question is an example of a:		
	(A)	Pure substitution effect, causing them to work more.	
	(B)	Pure income effect, causing them to work more.	
	(C)	Pure income effect, causing them to work less.	
	(D)	Technology shock, causing them to be less productive.	
30)	The policy shifts the demand for domestic labor to the right (as firms substitute away from H-1B workers), which tends to increase the domestic wage (w) . How does this wage increase affect a domestic worker's labor supply?		
	(A)	It will definitely increase their labor supply.	
	(B)	It will definitely decrease their labor supply.	
	(C)	It has no effect on their labor supply.	
	(D)	The effect is ambiguous, as the income effect (work less) and substitution effect (work more) oppose each other.	
31)	Assume for the US economy that the substitution effect of a wage change is stronger than the income effect. What is the shape of the labor supply curve?		

	(A)	Upward-sloping	
	(B)	Downward-sloping	
	(C)	Vertical	
	(D)	Horizontal	
32)		assemble the full picture in a competitive equilibrium. The H-1B fee policy is which two simultaneous shifts in the market for domestic labor?	
	(A)	Labor demand shifts right, and labor supply shifts right.	
	(B)	Labor demand shifts left, and labor supply shifts left.	
	(C)	Labor demand shifts right, and labor supply shifts left.	
	(D)	Labor demand for domestic workers shifts right, and the labor supply curve for domestic workers also shifts right.	
33)		that both the labor demand and labor supply curves for domestic workers to the right, what is the predicted effect on the equilibrium for domestic work-	
	(A)	Employment will increase, but the effect on the wage is ambiguous.	
	(B)	The wage will increase, but the effect on employment is ambiguous.	
	(C)	Both employment and the wage will definitely increase.	
	(D)	Both employment and the wage will definitely decrease.	
34)		Recall the definition of unemployment rate as the people who are unemployme out of the labor force. What is the unemployment rate in our equilibrium mode	

- (A) Unemployment increases.
- (B) Unemployment decreases.
- (C) Unemployment is always zero.
- (D) The effect on unemployment is ambiguous.

- What is the most significant weakness of using this one-period (static) model to analyze the H-1B fee policy? _____
 - (A) It cannot account for changes in firm profits.
 - (B) It cannot account for the consumer's choice between work and leisure.
 - (C) It ignores the dynamic effects on investment and capital accumulation over time.
 - (D) It assumes that both consumption and leisure are normal goods.
- The model uses a representative household that owns the firm. How does this assumption simplify the analysis of the H-1B fee's effect on household income?
 - (A) It allows us to ignore the effect on firm profits.
 - (B) It combines the wage and profit effects into a single household budget, showing that the household ultimately bears the cost of the fee through lower profits.
 - (C) It assumes that only H-1B workers pay the fee.
 - (D) It allows us to model workers and firm owners as having conflicting interests.