

hurricane concern scale:

ratef_1 "where the hurricane is forecast to probably hit"
ratef_2 "how strong the hurricane's wind is"
ratef_3 "possibility of traffic delays"
ratef_4 "amount of time left before hurricane arrives"
ratef_5 "evacuation orders given by government"
ratef_6 "readiness of home to withstand hurricane winds"
ratef_7 "possibility of flooding or storm surge"
ratef_8 "being able to return home right away"
ratef_9 "being able to protect your home from crime"
ratef_10 "being able to keep family members together"
ratef_11 "job requirements"
ratef_12 "medical or other needs"
ratef_13 "pets or livestock"

These variables have been renamed more descriptively:

hurtrack	where the hurricane is forecast to probably hit
hurwind	how strong the hurricanes wind is
traffdelay	the possibility of traffic delays
timeleft	the amount of time left before the hurricane arrives
evacords	evacuation orders given by government
windresist	how ready your home is to withstand hurricane winds
floodsurge	possibility of flooding or storm surge
returnhm	being able to return to your home right away after the hurricane
crimeprotect	being able to protect your home from crime
familyafter	being able to keep family members together after the hurricane
jobreq	requirements of your job or the jobs of other members of your household
medneeds	medical or other needs you or other members of your household might have
animals	the needs of pets or livestock

These variables are included in the dataset GKat_A.dta. This dataset has a reduced number of other variables, most of which have been recoded to dummy variables (names start with "x").

What I would like to have done is to work with latent variables from the scale for structural equation modeling. They would be underlying foci of concern that appear to group together when people make evacuation decisions. I have gone ahead and tentatively set that up. After some exploratory work, I decided I wanted to get three latent variables (in caps below) from the following groups of the scale variables:

RESILIENCE *from* crimeprotect returnhm windresist familyafter animals
EVACDECIS *from* evacords traffdelay timeleft medneeds floodsurge jobreq
FORECAST *from* hurwind hurtrack

next step is to use the SEM procedure in Stata 13 (probably work in 12 also) to see what these latent variables look like. I am not an expert on this, so ideas and critique welcome.

The first thing is to change the dataset into summary statistics data (ssd). Browse all variables before and after doing this to see what the change looks like (in this illustrative example I used a reduced data set which has all cases with missing scale variables taken out).

```
browse
ssd build _all
ssd describe
ssd list
browse
```

Now we can use SEM (listing below, running first from command prompt and then menu/graphical)

```
sem (RESILIENCE -> crimeprotect returnhm windresist familyafter animals)
(EVACDECIS -> evacords traffdelay timeleft medneeds floodsurge jobreq)
(FORECAST -> hurrrwind hurrrtrack)
* view standardized
sem, standardized
```

LISTING:

```
. ssd build _all
. sem (RESILIENCE -> crimeprotect returnhm windresist familyafter animals) (EVACDECIS -> evacords
traffdelay timeleft medneeds floodsurge jobreq) (FORECAST -> hurrrwind hurrrtrack)
. sem, standardized
```

```
Structural equation model              Number of obs      =          282
Estimation method   = ml
Log likelihood      = -4667.8433
```

```
( 1) [crimeprotect]RESILIENCE = 1
( 2) [evacords]EVACDECIS = 1
( 3) [hurrrwind]FORECAST = 1
```

		OIM					
Standardized		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Measurement							
crimeprotect <-							
RESILIENCE		.7354502	.0453999	16.20	0.000	.6464679	.8244324
_cons		1.697408	.0930301	18.25	0.000	1.515072	1.879744
returnhm <-							
RESILIENCE		.7272667	.0459287	15.83	0.000	.6372481	.8172853
_cons		1.749355	.094721	18.47	0.000	1.563705	1.935005
windresist <-							
RESILIENCE		.4747944	.0567305	8.37	0.000	.3636047	.5859841
_cons		1.962492	.1018567	19.27	0.000	1.762857	2.162128
familyafter <-							

	RESILIENCE	.3809581	.0618276	6.16	0.000	.2597781	.502138
	_cons	1.846294	.0979289	18.85	0.000	1.654357	2.038231

animals <-							
	RESILIENCE	.2252731	.0660032	3.41	0.001	.0959093	.354637
	_cons	1.99706	.1030411	19.38	0.000	1.795103	2.199017

evacords <-							
	EVACDECIS	.7587924	.0497199	15.26	0.000	.6613433	.8562416
	_cons	1.942473	.101174	19.20	0.000	1.744176	2.140771

traffdelay <-							
	EVACDECIS	.491184	.0567448	8.66	0.000	.3799662	.6024017
	_cons	1.850118	.0980568	18.87	0.000	1.65793	2.042305

timeleft <-							
	EVACDECIS	.4928513	.0565139	8.72	0.000	.3820861	.6036165
	_cons	1.841581	.0977714	18.84	0.000	1.649952	2.033209

medneeds <-							
	EVACDECIS	.4523568	.0612878	7.38	0.000	.332235	.5724786
	_cons	1.704584	.0932625	18.28	0.000	1.521793	1.887375

floodsurge <-							
	EVACDECIS	.340795	.0632126	5.39	0.000	.2169005	.4646895
	_cons	1.645309	.0913555	18.01	0.000	1.466256	1.824363

jobreq <-							
	EVACDECIS	.3680542	.0630015	5.84	0.000	.2445735	.4915348
	_cons	2.201865	.1101917	19.98	0.000	1.985893	2.417837

hurrrwind <-							
	FORECAST	.7153615	.1012113	7.07	0.000	.516991	.9137321
	_cons	2.465113	.1196684	20.60	0.000	2.230567	2.699659

hurrrtrack <-							
	FORECAST	.6986183	.0994631	7.02	0.000	.5036742	.8935624
	_cons	2.179828	.1094121	19.92	0.000	1.965384	2.394272

Error variances							
var(e.crimeprotect)		.459113	.0667788			.3452318	.61056
var(e.returnhm)		.4710832	.0668048			.3567695	.6220244
var(e.windresist)		.7745703	.0538706			.6758659	.8876895
var(e.familyafter)		.8548709	.0471075			.7673532	.9523703
var(e.animals)		.949252	.0297375			.8927209	1.009363
var(e.evacords)		.424234	.0754541			.299372	.6011736
var(e.traffdelay)		.7587383	.0557443			.6569836	.876253
var(e.timeleft)		.7570976	.0557059			.6554235	.8745442
var(e.medneeds)		.7953733	.0554479			.6937951	.9118236
var(e.floodsurge)		.8838588	.0430851			.8033221	.9724696
var(e.jobreq)		.8645361	.0463759			.7782561	.9603814
var(e.hurrrwind)		.4882579	.1448054			.2730258	.8731621
var(e.hurrrtrack)		.5119325	.1389735			.3007034	.8715393
var(RESILIENCE)		1	.			.	.
var(EVACDECIS)		1	.			.	.
var(FORECAST)		1	.			.	.

cov(RESILIENCE,EVACDECIS)		.5272827	.0740526	7.12	0.000	.3821422	.6724232
cov(RESILIENCE,FORECAST)		-.0044194	.092862	-0.05	0.962	-.1864256	.1775868
cov(EVACDECIS,FORECAST)		.3592922	.0808326	4.44	0.000	.2008632	.5177212

LR test of model vs. saturated: $\chi^2(62) = 143.05$, Prob > $\chi^2 = 0.0000$

