

Tutorial 3

Yue Ju, Yanmeng Wang

School of Science and Engineering
The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen

September 26, 2020

1 Knowledge Review

2 Questions

Two-sample Location Problem

- **Two-sample data:**

X_1, \dots, X_m and Y_1, \dots, Y_n from two independent subjects (different from paired data)

- **Main problems:**

- (1) Is there a significant difference between the distributions of X_1, \dots, X_m and Y_1, \dots, Y_n ?
- (2) What is the difference?

Two-sample Location Problem

Basic Assumptions

- 1 X_1, \dots, X_m are i.i.d. with common cdf F ; Y_1, \dots, Y_n are i.i.d. with common cdf G .
- 2 X_1, \dots, X_m and Y_1, \dots, Y_n are mutually independent.
- 3 X_1, \dots, X_m and Y_1, \dots, Y_n are continuous random variables.

• Location-shift Model:

$$G(t) = F(t - \Delta) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$
$$\iff Y \sim X + \Delta \text{ (Not } Y = X + \Delta),$$

where Δ is known as location shift or treatment effect.

- $\Delta = 0$ represents no difference in treatment effects between X and Y ; $\Delta > (<)0$ represents a greater(smaller) effect of Y and X in the sense of stochastic order.

Wilcoxon rank sum test

- **Null hypothesis:** $H_0 : \Delta = 0$

- **Y-Ranks:**

Order $N = n + m$ observations $X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ in ascending order. S_j denotes the rank of $Y_j, j = 1, \dots, n$. S_1, \dots, S_n are referred as Y-ranks.

- **Test statistic:** $W = \sum_{j=1}^n S_j$ (the sum of Y-ranks)
- **Exact distribution of W under H_0 :**

$$\Pr(W = w) = \frac{\text{No. of } (s_1, \dots, s_n) : s_1 + \dots + s_n = w}{\binom{N}{n}},$$

where $M_1 \leq w \leq M_2$ with $M_1 = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ and $M_2 = mn + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

Wilcoxon rank sum test

- **Mean and variance of W under H_0 :**

$$E_0[W] = \frac{n(m+n+1)}{2}$$
$$\text{Var}_0[W] = \frac{mn(m+n+1)}{12}.$$

- **Symmetry of W :**

W is symmetric about $E_0[W]$, which is also the median of W under H_0 .

- **Rejection rule:**

Let $\Pr(W \geq w_\alpha) = \alpha$ under H_0 . The Wilcoxon rank sum test rejects $H_0 : \Delta = 0$ at the α level if

- $W \geq w_\alpha$ against $H_1 : \Delta > 0$
- $W \leq n(m+n+1) - w_\alpha$ against $H_1 : \Delta < 0$
- either $W \geq w_{\alpha/2}$ or $W \leq n(m+n+1) - w_{\alpha/2}$ against $H_1 : \Delta \neq 0$

Wilcoxon rank sum test

- **Asymptotic distribution of W under H_0 :**

$$W^* = \frac{W - E_0[W]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}_0[W]}} = \frac{W - n(m+n+1)/2}{\sqrt{mn(m+n+1)/12}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- **Approximate rejection rule:**

Reject $H_0 : \Delta = 0$ at the α level if

- $W^* \geq z_\alpha$ against $H_1 : \Delta > 0$
- $W^* \leq -z_\alpha$ against $H_1 : \Delta < 0$
- $|W^*| \geq z_{\alpha/2}$ against $H_1 : \Delta \neq 0$

- **Ties:**

Assign the average rank to tied values.

$E_0[W]$ is unchanged, while the variance is reduced to

$$\text{Var}_0[W] = \frac{mn(m+n+1)}{12} - \frac{mn}{12N(N-1)} \sum_{j=1}^g t_j(t_j-1)(t_j+1),$$

where g is the number of groups with tied ranks, t_j is the number of tied points in j th group.

Wilcoxon rank sum test

- **Equivalent test statistic: the Mann-Whitney statistic**

- 1 No ties:

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n I_{\{X_i < Y_j\}} = W - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

$$E_0[U] = \frac{mn}{2}$$

$$\text{Var}_0[U] = \frac{mn(m+n+1)}{12}$$

- 2 Ties occur among $X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$:

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \left(I_{\{X_i < Y_j\}} + \frac{1}{2} I_{\{X_i = Y_j\}} \right) = W - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Note that ties within X_1, \dots, X_m or Y_1, \dots, Y_n do not affect the value of U , neither they affect the value of W (but affect their variances).

Wilcoxon rank sum test

- Estimation of the location shift

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Delta} &= \text{median} \left\{ Y_j - X_i, \begin{array}{l} i = 1, \dots, m \\ j = 1, \dots, n \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} U_{((mn+1)/2)} & \text{if } mn \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{U_{(mn/2)} + U_{(mn/2+1)}}{2} & \text{if } mn \text{ is even} \end{cases},\end{aligned}$$

where $U_{(1)} \leq U_{(2)} \leq \dots U_{(mn)}$ are ordered values of $(Y_j - X_i)$'s.

- A $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for Δ is

$$(\Delta_L, \Delta_U) = (U_{(C_\alpha)}, U_{(mn+1-C_\alpha)}) = (U_{(C_\alpha)}, U_{(u_{\alpha/2})}),$$

Exact C_α :

$$C_\alpha = mn + 1 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - w_{\alpha/2} = mn + 1 - u_{\alpha/2}$$

For large m and n , the approximated C_α :

$$C_\alpha \approx \frac{mn}{2} - z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{mn(m+n+1)}{12}}.$$

Wilcoxon rank sum test

- It is worth to note that the test statistic in R is the Mann-Whitney statistic U , not the Wilcoxon rank sum W .

Example 2. $m = 10$, $n = 5$:

```
x<-c(1.46, 0.80, 0.83, 1.64, 1.89, 1.04, 0.73, 1.91, 1.38, 1.45)
```

```
y<-c(0.88, 0.74, 1.15, 1.21, 0.90)
```

```
> wilcox.test(y, x, alternative = "less")
```

Wilcoxon rank sum test

data: y and x

$W = 15$, $p\text{-value} = 0.1272$

alternative hypothesis: true location shift is less than 0

$U = 15$, $W = 15 + 15 = 30$, $p\text{-value} = \Pr(U \leq 15) = \Pr(W \leq 30) = 0.1272$ for $H_1: \Delta < 0$

Question 1

The following two samples are extracted from a study:

$$(X_1, \dots, X_m) = (41.7, 35.4, 34.3, 32.4, 29.1, 27.3, 18.9, 6.6, 5.2)$$

$$(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = (100.0, 67.6, 65.9, 64.7, 39.6, 31.0)$$

Assume the location-shift model for the two samples with location shift Δ .

- (a) Calculate the approximate p -value of testing $H_0: \Delta = 0$ against $H_1: \Delta > 0$ by the Wilcoxon rank sum test, and explain its implication.
- (b) Determine the exact p -value of the problem in part (a) by counting the number of (b_1, \dots, b_n) from the ranks of combined $X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ such that

$$b_1 + \dots + b_n \geq w = \text{observed value of the Wilcoxon rank sum statistic } W$$

(or $b_1 + \dots + b_n \leq 2E_0[W] - w$ due to the symmetric distribution of W).

Compare the exact p -value with the approximate p -value obtained in part (a).

- (c) Estimate the location-shift parameter Δ based on the differences between the two sample: $\{Y_j - X_i, i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n\}$.
- (d) Find an approximate 95% confidence interval of Δ based on the Wilcoxon rank sum statistic.

Question 1

The ordered values of $\{Y_j - X_i\}$ are shown in the following table,

| $U_{(1)} \leq U_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq U_{(54)}$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|
| 1 | -10.7 | 10 | 7.2 | 19 | 25.9 | 28 | 33.5 | 37 | 45.8 | 46 | 62.4 |
| 2 | -4.4 | 11 | 10.5 | 20 | 29.3 | 29 | 34.4 | 38 | 47.0 | 47 | 64.6 |
| 3 | -3.3 | 12 | 12.1 | 21 | 30.4 | 30 | 35.2 | 39 | 48.7 | 48 | 65.7 |
| 4 | -2.1 | 13 | 12.3 | 22 | 30.5 | 31 | 35.6 | 40 | 58.1 | 49 | 67.6 |
| 5 | -1.4 | 14 | 20.7 | 23 | 31.6 | 32 | 36.8 | 41 | 58.3 | 50 | 70.9 |
| 6 | 1.9 | 15 | 23.0 | 24 | 32.2 | 33 | 37.4 | 42 | 59.3 | 51 | 72.7 |
| 7 | 3.7 | 16 | 24.2 | 25 | 32.3 | 34 | 38.5 | 43 | 59.5 | 52 | 81.1 |
| 8 | 4.2 | 17 | 24.4 | 26 | 33.0 | 35 | 38.6 | 44 | 60.7 | 53 | 93.4 |
| 9 | 5.3 | 18 | 25.8 | 27 | 33.3 | 36 | 40.3 | 45 | 61.0 | 54 | 94.8 |