```
Question 1.
```

(a) True. X has a symmetric distribution about 0.

Pr(a=X=b)=1, Pr(x=a)>0, Pr(x=b)>0.

⇒ a, b are symmetric about 0.

 $\Rightarrow 0 = \frac{a+b}{2}$

For x>0, and Pr(x=x)>0. define y= x-0>0.

=> E[x] = Σx Pr(X=x) = Σ(0+y). Pr(X=0+y)

+ 2 (p-y)-Pr(X=0-y).

= 20. Pr(X=0+y) = 220 Pr(X=0-y).

Since IPr(X=0+4)= > Pr(X=0-4)===

Then EIX] = 20. = = 0.

=> Elx7=0= a+b.

(b). True X has a symmetric distribution about O.

>> Pr(X=0-y)= Pr(X=0+y). +yeIR.

=> Pr(X = 0-y) = Pr(X > 0+y). +y =1R

(et x=0-y >> Pr(X=x)=Pr(X>20-x), VX+1R=(-00,00)

(c). False. Pr(a=X=b)=1. Pr(X=b)>0.

>> F(b) = Pr(X < b) =1.

Pr(X:a) >0 => F(a)= Pr(X=a) >0.

we have at b=20, but fea)+f(b)>1.

=> F(0+x)+F(0-x)=/ does not had for YXEIR

Actually. fiotx)+fio-x)=1 does not hold

for every discrete point X except X=0

Question a

(a) . True. All possible combination of (r., ~ rB).

Such that T+=7. are (7), (1,6), (2,5), (3,4), (1,2,4)

Under Mo: 0:0, Pr(T=7) = 5 = 5

(b) False. The range of Tt is {0,1,..., M}.

 $M = \frac{8 \times 9}{2} = 36$, \Rightarrow Tis not symmetric about 36.

Actually, under Ho: 0=0, Ttis Symmetric

about M = 36 -18.

```
(c). True. O Show R=>Ry > Xi+xy <0
                      of Xi<O<Xi. and Ri>Ri.
                        => |Xil>|Xil>|Xil >> -Xi>Xi >> Xi+Xixo.
                    @ Show XitXj <0 => Ri>Rj.
                       If Xi<O<X'z, and Xi+X'z<O.
                         > -x:>x; >> 1xi1>|x; |> R:>R;.
Duestion 3.
             (a) False. Since wilcoxon rank sum test rejects s=0.
                     then $ 70, But Ansari-Bradley test is based
                      on s=0, thus it is not justified.
              (b) False if equal dispersion is not justified.
                       then rt. But Wilwoon rank sum test is based
                         on rig, thus it is not justified and its
                        result of different location is also not justified.
                   The results of both tests are questionable and
                     not nell justified is true.
                   The willoxon rank sum test is not reliable because
                     r=1 is not justified : the Angari-Bradley test is
                    not reliable because 2=0 is not justified
Onestion 4.
              (a) - Prof. Since X1~ U(+11). X2~ U(-2,2).
         Then Pr(X_1=X)=\frac{1}{2}, -1\leq \chi \leq 1, \Rightarrow Pr(X_1=\chi_1, \chi_2=\chi_2)=\frac{1}{2}, -1\leq \chi_1\leq 1

Pr(\chi_2=\chi_1)=\frac{1}{4}, -1\leq \chi_2\leq 2.
        D Pr(T=0) = Pr(ψ=0, ψ=0) = Pr(X=0, X=0) X1, X2 independent.
                                - Pr(X150) Pr(X250).
                                       Pr(S=0) = Pr(4, =0, 4==0) = t.
         => pr(7+=0)=pr(5=0).
       (2) Pr(T=1) = pr(P=1, P>=0, R=1) + Pr(Y=0, Y==1, R==1).
                     = Pr (X1, 70, X250, X1+ K2<0) + Pr (X160, X270, X1+X2<0)
```

```
Pr(X170, X20, X1+X20) = (0) -X2 & dx1dx2+ (2) & dx1dx2
                                                                                                                                                                                         = 1/8 + 1/8 = 1/6.
                                                     Pr(X, EO, X270, X,+X20) = (0(-X, 1 dxdx)
                                Pr(S=1) = Pr(4,=1, 4,=0) = Pr(X,>0, X,=0) = $
                                                         => Pr(T+>) = Pr(S=1)
                          B Pr(T=2) = pr(ψ=1, ψ=0, R=2) + Pr(ψ=0, ψ=1, R=2)
                                                                                                             = Pr(X170, X250, X1+X,70)+Pr(X150, X270, X1+X, >0)
                                                   Pr(X150, X270, X1+X2>0) = 505x, 8 dx1dx2+52 fo 1/8 dx1dx2
                                                                                                                                                                                                    = 1+ == == 16
                                                   Pr(S=2) = Pr(41=0, 42=1) = Pr(X150, X2>0)=4.
                                                     => Pr(T+=2)=Pr(S=2).
                        1 Prit+=3) = Pri(p=1, p=1) = Pri(X,>0, X,>0)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       = P2 (X1>0) Px (X2>0)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            Pr(5=3) = Pr(4=1,4=1) = 4.
                                                  => pr(T+=3) = pr(5=3).
                                 By D, O, 3 , ⇒ Pr( T+= v)=Pr(S=v), ve {0,1,2,3}
(b). Suppose the median of X, X, is O.
                                                        Then Pr(X, <0) = Pr(X, <0) = for fix) dx = 0.5.
                                                                      \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{
                                                                                => 0=0, Check that Pr(x, >0)=Pr(x, >0)= for fix) dx = of.
                                                       Thus 0:0 is the median of x_1, x_2.

Pr(X_1=X_1, X_2=X_2) = 0.25.e^{-(X_1+X_2)} \times 12 - \ln 2.
                                    By (a), Pr(T+=1) = Pr(X,>0, X, =0, X,+X,<0)
                                                                                                                                                       + Pr1 X1 <0, X2 >0, X1+ X2 <0)
                                                                  Pr(X1>0, X250, X1+X250) = for (-X2 0. 15.e dx.dx.
```

Under Horry. (* ~ NWI) approximately.

The approximate place against Mirit is

Pr(10*1 > 0.4286) = 0.668 × is large.

Thus we accept Moiril, varix)=variy.

refert Mirit I. var(x) +variy.