

118010350.

CSC3001 Discrete Mathematics

Practice Exercise 1

Sep. 2020

Propositional Logic

1. **T/F** Which of the following are propositions?

- F (a) $x < 30$.
 T (b) " $x^2 = 23$ " is a proposition ✓
 T (c) You are Beautiful ✓
 T (d) $15 > 20$. ✓
 T (e) Every even integer greater than 2 can be expressed as the sum of two primes. ✓
 F (f) $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$.
 T (g) $\pi + e$ is rational. ✓

2. **SQ** Let h = "Peter is handsome", c = "Peter is clever", o = "Peter is optimistic". Rewrite the following in symbolic forms using \neg , \vee , \wedge . You don't have to simplify the formulas.

- (a) Peter is handsome and clever but not optimistic. $h \wedge c \wedge (\neg o)$
 (b) Peter is either clever or handsome or both. $h \vee c \vee (h \wedge c)$
 (c) Peter is either clever or handsome but not both. $(h \wedge \neg c) \vee (\neg h \wedge c)$
 (d) Peter is neither handsome, clever nor optimistic. $\neg (h \wedge c \wedge o)$
 (e) Peter is not both handsome and clever, but he is optimistic. $\neg (h \wedge c) \wedge o$
 (f) Peter is optimistic but not clever nor handsome. $\neg h \wedge \neg c \wedge o$

3. **SQ** Construct and then rewrite logical formulas for the following using only the \neg , \vee , \wedge operators.(a)

- (a) $p \oplus q$. $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q)$
 (b) $p \rightarrow q$. $\neg p \vee q$
 (c) $p \leftrightarrow q$. $(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
 (d) $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$. $p \wedge \neg q$

4. **SQ** Construct and then rewrite logical formulas for $f(p, q, r)$ using only the \neg , \vee , \wedge operators.

(a)

p	q	r	$f(p, q, r)$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	F
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T

$$f(p, q, r) = (p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge r) \vee (\neg p \wedge q \wedge \neg r)$$

p	q	r	$f(p, q, r)$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	F

$$f(p, q, r) = \neg(p \wedge q \wedge r) \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge q \wedge r) \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge r)$$

(b)

$$(a) (p \wedge q) \vee r = (p \vee r) \wedge (q \vee r)$$

$$(b) (p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (\neg p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge \neg q \wedge r) \\ = (p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee r \vee (p \wedge \neg q) \\ = ((r \vee p) \wedge (r \vee q)) \vee (p \wedge \neg q) \\ = r \vee ((p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge \neg q)) = r \vee p$$

5. **SQ** Proof or disprove the equivalence of the following logical formulas.

(a) $(p \wedge q) \vee r$ and $p \wedge (q \vee r)$.

(b) $(p \wedge q \wedge \neg r) \vee (p \wedge \neg q) \vee r$ and $p \vee r$.

(c) $\neg(p \vee q \vee r)$ and $\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r$.

(d) $p \wedge (p \vee q)$ and $p \vee (p \wedge q)$.

(e) $(p \wedge q) \vee (q \wedge r)$ and $q \vee (p \wedge r)$.

6. **SQ** Express the following using only the \neg , \vee , \wedge operators.

(a) $\neg(p \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q))$

$$p \wedge \neg q$$

(b) (Contrapositive) $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$.

$$\neg(p \wedge \neg q)$$

(c) (Converse) $q \rightarrow p$.

$$\neg(\neg p \wedge q)$$

(d) (Inverse) $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$.

$$\neg(\neg p \wedge q)$$

(e) (Negation) $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$.

$$p \wedge \neg q$$

$$(d) p \wedge (p \vee q) = (p \wedge p) \vee (p \wedge q) \\ = p \vee (p \wedge q)$$

$$(e) (p \wedge q) \vee (q \wedge r) = q \wedge (p \vee r)$$

7. **SQ** Prove or disprove the following arguments by truth tables. (Notice that the priority of operators = from the highest to the lowest: 1. \neg 2. \wedge , \vee 3. \rightarrow , \leftrightarrow)

(a) $p \rightarrow q$

$q \rightarrow p$

$\therefore p \vee q$

$p \rightarrow q$

(b) q

$\therefore p$

$$(a) \begin{array}{ccccc} p & q & p \rightarrow q & q \rightarrow p & p \vee q \\ T & T & T & T & T \\ F & F & T & T & F \\ T & F & F & T & T \\ F & T & T & F & T \end{array}$$

X (a).

$$(b) \begin{array}{ccc} p & q & p \rightarrow q \\ T & T & T \\ F & F & T \\ T & F & F \\ F & T & T \end{array}$$

X (b)

$$(c) \quad q \quad r \quad p \quad p \rightarrow q \quad \neg q \vee r$$

T	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

x(c)

$$(c) \quad p$$

$$p \rightarrow q$$

$$\neg q \vee r$$

$$\therefore r$$

$$p \wedge q \rightarrow \neg r$$

$$(d) \quad p \vee \neg q$$

$$\neg q \rightarrow p$$

$$\therefore \neg r$$

$$p \rightarrow q \vee r$$

$$(e) \quad \neg q \vee \neg r$$

$$\therefore \neg p \vee \neg r$$

$$p \rightarrow q$$

$$(f) \quad \neg p$$

$$\therefore \neg q$$

$$p \rightarrow q \vee \neg r$$

$$(g) \quad q \rightarrow p \wedge r$$

$$\therefore p \rightarrow r$$

$$(d) \quad p \quad q \quad \neg r \quad p \wedge q \rightarrow \neg r \quad p \vee \neg q \quad \neg q \rightarrow p$$

T	F	F	T	T	T
---	---	---	---	---	---

x(d)

$$(e) \quad p \quad q \quad r \quad p \rightarrow q \vee r \quad \neg q \vee \neg r \quad \neg p \vee \neg r$$

T	F	T	T	T	F
---	---	---	---	---	---

x(e)

$$(f) \quad p \quad q \quad p \rightarrow q \quad \neg p \quad \neg q$$

F	T	T	T	F
---	---	---	---	---

x(f)

$$(g) \quad p \quad q \quad r \quad p \rightarrow q \vee \neg r \quad q \rightarrow p \wedge r \quad p \rightarrow r$$

T	F	F	T	T	F
---	---	---	---	---	---

x(g)

08. T/F

F (a) $p \wedge \neg p$ is an valid argument.
 $\therefore p$

F (b) $p \vee \neg p$ is an valid argument.
 $\therefore p$

T (c) q
 $\therefore p \vee \neg p$ is an valid argument.

F (d) The floor is dry
 \therefore Today is sunny is an valid argument.

T (e) $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow p)$ is a tautology.

T (f) $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)) \wedge \neg(p \leftrightarrow q)$ is a contradiction.

$$B \quad C \quad D \quad E$$

$$X \quad V \quad X \quad V$$

0

$$X \quad (X) \quad V \quad V/X$$

$$B \quad C \quad D \quad E$$

$$V \quad V \quad X \quad V$$

X

Δ \times \times \times \checkmark

9

SQ A detective has interviewed four witnesses to a crime. From their stories, the detective has concluded that

- (a) If the butler is telling the truth, then so is the cook.
- (b) The cook and the gardener cannot both be telling the truth.
- (c) The gardener and the handyman are not both lying.
- (d) If the handyman is telling the truth then the cook is lying.

Cook must be lying.

Deduce who **MUST** be lying? (There may be more than one liar.) Show your steps.

10

SQ Eve was killed by two person out of 4 suspects Alice, Bob, Carol and Dave. Detective Conan has the following observation

- (a) If Dave didn't kill Eve, then Alice is ~~not~~ a murderer implies Carol is a murderer.
- (b) Bob is a murderer only if Alice killed Eve.
- (c) If Dave killed Eve, then it is impossible for Bob to be a murderer.
- (d) Carol is not a murderer if Bob didn't kill Eve.

Deduce who are the murderers.

C and D.

	A	B	C	D.
Δ	\checkmark	\times	\checkmark	\times
	\times	\times	\checkmark	\times
Δ	\times	\times	\checkmark	\checkmark