

## Unit root test and GARCH (5.2 - 5.3)

(1)

Consider a  $AR(p)$  model  $X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \phi_2 X_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p X_{t-p} + W_t$ , we want to test if  $\Phi(1) = 0$ , where  $\Phi(z) = 1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2 - \dots - \phi_p z^p$ , i.e. we want to test if  $\sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j = 1$

Other approaches:

Fit  $AR(p)$  model to get  $\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\phi}_2, \dots, \hat{\phi}_p$ , then

(1) To see if the roots of  $\hat{\Phi}(z) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^p \hat{\phi}_j z^j$  are close to 1

(2) To see if  $\hat{\rho}(h)$  decays to 0 fast as  $h$  increases

Both methods are not a proper hypothesis test.

Rewrite  $X_t$  as  $X_t = \beta_1 X_{t-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \beta_{j+1} \Delta X_{t-j} + W_t$   
or  $\Delta X_t = \gamma X_{t-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \beta_{j+1} \Delta X_{t-j} + W_t$

and we test  $H_0: \gamma = 0$

Under the assumption that  $X_t$  follows  $AR(p)$  and  $\Delta X_t$  is stationary  
we can estimate the distribution of  $\hat{\gamma}$ , which is the estimate of  $\gamma$  by regressing  $\Delta X_t$  on  $X_{t-1}, \Delta X_{t-1}, \Delta X_{t-2}, \dots, \Delta X_{t-p+1}$

If  $W_t$ 's are uncorrelated (e.g. usual  $AR(p)$ ), we can use ADF test.  
The R function is `adf.test`

If  $W_t$ 's are correlated (e.g. the error term in  $ARMA(p, q)$ ), we can use PP test.  
The R function is `pp.test`

Note that the "alternative hypothesis: stationary" is only valid when the assumption is true.

Typically, for financial series, the return  $r_t$ , does not have a constant conditional variance, and highly volatile periods tend to be clustered together (ie.  $r_t^2$  depends on its past values) (2)  
 $\Rightarrow W_t$ 's iid assumption does not hold for  $r_t$

Suppose  $r_t$  follows ARMA(p,q) invertible, then  $r_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \pi_j r_{t-j} + W_t$

The conditional variance is  $\text{Var}(r_t | r_{t-1}, r_{t-2}, \dots) = \text{Var}(W_t | r_{t-1}, \dots) = \sigma_w^2$  if  $W_t \sim \text{iid}(0, \sigma_w^2)$

While we need a new model for non-constant conditional variance, we would like to keep the following properties for  $W_t$

1.  $W_t$ 's are white noises, ie.  $E(W_t) = 0$ ,  $\text{Var}(W_t) = E(W_t^2) = \text{constant}$   
 $\text{Cov}(W_t, W_s) = 0$  for  $t \neq s$

so that the considered model is still ARMA(p,q)

2.  $E(W_t | r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t > n \\ W_t & \text{for } t \leq n \end{cases}$

so that the formula for  $\tilde{r}_{n+m}$  is still valid

3.  $W_{n+t}$  and  $W_{n+s}$  are uncorrelated given  $r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots$  so that,  
 from  $r_{n+m} - \tilde{r}_{n+m} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \psi_j W_{n+m-j} = \psi_0 W_{n+m} + \psi_1 W_{n+m-1} + \dots + \psi_{m-1} W_{n+1}$ ,

$\text{Var}(r_{n+m} - \tilde{r}_{n+m} | r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots) = E((r_{n+m} - \tilde{r}_{n+m})^2 | r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \psi_j^2 E(W_{n+m-j}^2 | r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots)$

Therefore, it is natural for us to consider  $W_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t$ , where  $\varepsilon_t \sim \text{iid}(0, 1)$ ,  $E\sigma_t^2 = \sigma^2$  independent of  $t$  and  $\sigma_t$  and  $\varepsilon_t$  are independent. Then, we have

1.  $E(W_t) = E(\sigma_t) E(\varepsilon_t) = 0$ ,  $E(W_t^2) = E(\sigma_t^2) E(\varepsilon_t^2) = \sigma^2$

$\text{Cov}(W_t, W_s) = E(\sigma_t \sigma_s \varepsilon_s) E(\varepsilon_t) = 0$  for  $t \neq s$

2.  $E(W_t | r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots) = E(\varepsilon_t) E(\sigma_t | r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots) = 0$  for  $t > n$

$E(W_t | r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots) = W_t$  comes from the assumption that  $r_t$  is invertible

(3)

$$3. \text{Cov}(W_{nt+t}, W_{nt+s} | r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots) = E(\sigma_{nt+t} \varepsilon_{nt+t} \sigma_{nt+s} \varepsilon_{nt+s} | r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots)$$

(assume  $t > s$ )

$$= E(\varepsilon_{nt+t}) E(\varepsilon_{nt+s} \sigma_{nt+s} \sigma_{nt+t} | r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots) = 0$$

Note that  $E(W_t^2) = E(\sigma_t^2)$ . Therefore, if  $W_t^2$  is stationary, we have  $E\sigma_t^2 = \sigma^2$ . For simplicity, we start from AR(1) model, i.e.

$r_t = W_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t$ . Then checking if  $W_t^2 (= r_t^2)$  is stationary and fitting models for  $W_t^2$  are strict forward as we have observations  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  (but we don't have the corresponding  $\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2$ ).

Autoregressive Conditionally Heteroscedastic (ARCH)

$$\text{ARCH}(1) \quad r_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t \quad \sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2 \quad (5.37)$$

If  $|\alpha_1| < 1$  and  $\text{Var}(r_t) = E\sigma_t^2 < \infty \quad \forall t$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} E\sigma_t^2 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 E\sigma_{t-1}^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 E\sigma_{t-2}^2) \\ &= \alpha_0 (1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_1^{k-1}) + \alpha_1^k E\sigma_{t-k}^2 \\ &= \alpha_0 \left( \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_1} \right) \quad (\text{take } k \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

Or, we can consider  $E\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 E\sigma_{t-1}^2 + \tilde{w}_t$ , with  $\tilde{w}_t = 0 \quad \forall t$ , as a AR(1) model for  $E\sigma_t^2$  so that  $E\sigma_t^2$  is stationary if  $|\alpha_1| < 1$  and hence  $E\sigma_t^2 = \sigma^2 \quad \forall t$  and  $\sigma^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \sigma^2 \Rightarrow \sigma^2 = \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_1}$ .

We can rewrite (5.37) as  $r_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t$

$$r_t^2 - (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2) = \sigma_t^2 (\varepsilon_t^2 - 1) \stackrel{\text{let}}{=} V_t, \text{ where}$$

$$E V_t = 0, \quad \text{Cov}(V_t, V_s) = E(V_t V_s) = E(\varepsilon_t^2 - 1) E(\sigma_t^2 V_s) = 0 \quad \text{for } t > s$$

$$\text{Note that } \sigma_t^4 = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2)^2 = \alpha_0^2 + 2\alpha_0 \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_1^2 r_{t-1}^4$$

$$\Rightarrow E\sigma_t^4 = (\alpha_0^2 + 2\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \sigma^2) + \alpha_1^2 (E\varepsilon_{t-1}^4) E\sigma_{t-1}^4$$

$\therefore$  If  $\alpha_1^2 (E\varepsilon_{t-1}^4) < 1$  (e.g.  $\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, 1) \Rightarrow E\varepsilon_t^4 = 3$ ), then  $E\sigma_t^4$  is also "causal stationary" and hence

$$\text{Var}(V_t) = E V_t^2 = E\sigma_t^4 E(\varepsilon_t^2 - 1)^2 \text{ does not depend on } t$$

$\therefore V_t$  is a white noise and  $r_t^2$  follows causal stationary AR(1) model.



The unknown parameters  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  are estimated by conditional MLE with  $r_1$  is fixed. (4)

$$f(r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots, r_2 | r_1) = f(r_n | r_{n-1}, \dots, r_1) \cdots f(r_2 | r_1) \\ = \prod_{t=2}^n f(r_t | r_{t-1})$$

Assume  $\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, 1)$ , then  $r_t | r_{t-1} \sim N(0, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2)$

$$\therefore f(r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots, r_2 | r_1) = \prod_{t=2}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2)}} e^{-\frac{r_t^2}{2(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2)}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = -\log f(r_n, \dots, r_2 | r_1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^n \log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^n \log(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^n \left( \frac{r_t^2}{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2} \right)$$

And  $\hat{\alpha}_0$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  is the minimizers of  $\ell(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$

for more general ARCH(p) model,

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2 + \dots + \alpha_p r_{t-p}^2$$

The conditional likelihood given  $r_1, \dots, r_p$  is

$$f(r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots, r_{p+1} | r_p, \dots, r_1) = \prod_{t=p+1}^n f(r_t | r_{t-1}, \dots, r_{t-p}) \\ = \prod_{t=p+1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_t^2}} e^{-\frac{r_t^2}{2\sigma_t^2}}$$

$$(\because r_t | r_{t-1}, \dots, r_{t-p} \sim N(0, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2 + \dots + \alpha_p r_{t-p}^2) \\ = N(0, \sigma_t^2))$$

Also note that

$r_t^2 - (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2 + \dots + \alpha_p r_{t-p}^2) = \sigma_t^2 (\varepsilon_t^2 - 1) = v_t$  which is an AR(p) model or suitable choices of  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$  so that  $E\sigma_t^2$  and  $E\sigma_t^4$  are time  $t$  invariant.

Another extension of ARCH is the generalized ARCH, GARCH model or GARCH(1, 1),  $r_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t$ ,  $\varepsilon_t \sim iid(0, 1)$

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2$$

$$\text{consider } r_t^2 - (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2) = \sigma_t^2 (\varepsilon_t^2 - 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow r_t^2 - \alpha_0 - (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) r_{t-1}^2 = -\beta_1 (r_{t-1}^2 - \sigma_{t-1}^2) + \sigma_t^2 (\varepsilon_t^2 - 1) = v_t - \beta_1 v_{t-1}$$

which is a causal ARMA(1, 1) if  $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 < 1$  (note that  $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \geq 0$ ) and  $v_t$  is a white noise (constraints on  $\alpha_1, \beta_1$  so that  $E\sigma_t^4$  and  $E\sigma_t^2$  are constant)

For GARCH(p, q),  $\sigma_t^2 = \omega_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j r_{t-j}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j \sigma_{t-j}^2$  (5)

The conditional likelihood function given  $r_1, \dots, r_{\max(p,q)}$  and  $\sigma_1^2 = \dots = \sigma_q^2 = 0$

If  $(p \geq q)$ ,  $f(r_n, \dots, r_{p+1} | r_p, \dots, r_1) = \prod_{t=p+1}^n f(r_t | r_{t-1}, \dots, r_1)$

Note that  $\sigma_1^2 = \dots = \sigma_q^2 = 0$  and  $r_1, \dots, r_p$  are known  $\Rightarrow \sigma_{q+1}^2$  is known (with  $\omega_0, \alpha_j, \beta_j$ )  
 $\Rightarrow r_{q+1} \sim N(0, \sigma_{q+1}^2)$

$\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_q^2, \sigma_{q+1}^2$  and  $r_1, \dots, r_p, r_{p+1}$  are known  $\Rightarrow \sigma_{q+2}^2$  is known and  
 $r_{q+2} \sim N(0, \sigma_{q+2}^2)$

$\therefore$  Given  $r_1, \dots, r_{t-1} \Rightarrow \sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_{t-1}^2$  are known  $\Rightarrow \sigma_t^2$  is known and  $r_t \sim N(0, \sigma_t^2)$

$\therefore f(r_n, \dots, r_{p+1} | r_p, \dots, r_1) = \prod_{t=p+1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_t^2}} e^{-r_t^2 / 2\sigma_t^2}$

For even more general ARMA(p, q) - GARCH(h, k) model

$$r_t = \phi_1 r_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p r_{t-p} + w_t + \theta_1 w_{t-1} + \dots + \theta_q w_{t-q}$$

$$w_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t, \quad \sigma_t^2 = \omega_0 + \sum_{j=1}^h \alpha_j w_{t-j}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j \sigma_{t-j}^2$$

We can apply conditional MLE to estimate the parameters

(1)  $r_1, \dots, r_p$  are given and  $w_p = \dots = w_{p+1-q} = 0$  (for ARMA(p, q))

(2)  $w_1, \dots, w_{\max(h,k)} = 0$  and  $\sigma_1^2 = \dots = \sigma_k^2 = 0$  (for GARCH(h, k))

Then  $f(r_n, \dots, r_{p+1} | r_p, \dots, r_1) = \prod_{t=p+1}^n f(r_t | r_{t-1}, \dots, r_1)$  (assume  $p \geq \max(h, k)$ )

$$r_{p+1} | r_p, \dots, r_1 = \phi_1 r_p + \dots + \phi_p r_1 + \theta_1 w_p + \dots + \theta_q w_{p+1-q} + w_{p+1} | r_p, \dots, r_1$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow \sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_{p+1}^2$  are known  $\Rightarrow w_{p+1} | r_p, \dots, r_1 \sim N(0, \sigma_{p+1}^2)$  (assume  $r_t$  causal)

$$\therefore r_{p+1} | r_p, \dots, r_1 \sim N\left(\sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j r_{p+1-j} + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j w_{p+1-j}, \sigma_{p+1}^2\right)$$

Similarly,  $r_{p+2} | r_{p+1}, r_p, \dots, r_1 \sim N\left(\sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j r_{p+2-j} + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j w_{p+2-j}, \sigma_{p+2}^2\right)$

In general,  $r_t | r_{t-1}, \dots, r_1 \sim N\left(\sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j r_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j w_{t-j}, \sigma_t^2\right)$

And hence the conditional MLE can be computed.

With the estimated parameters, one-step-ahead forecast of  $\sigma_t^2 | r_t, \dots, r_1$

is  $\hat{\sigma}_{t+1}^2 = \hat{\omega}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^h \hat{\alpha}_j \hat{r}_{t+1-j}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\beta}_j \hat{\sigma}_{t+1-j}^2$

