

## Lecture 10

Lecturer: Baoxiang Wang

Scribe: Baoxiang Wang

## 1 Goal of this lecture

In this lecture we will introduce the formulation of discrete Markov decision processes and some properties induced by the Bellman equation and the Bellman optimality equation thereof.

**Suggested reading:** Chapter 3 of *Bandit algorithms*; Chapter 3 and 4 of *Reinforcement learning: An introduction*; Chapter 1 and 2 of *Reinforcement learning: Theory and algorithms*.

## 2 Recap: Discrete Markov decision processes

We consider the discrete-time Markov decision process (MDP) setting, denoted as the tuple  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{R}, \rho_0, \gamma)$ .

- $\mathcal{S} = [n]$  the state space;
- $\mathcal{A} = [m]$  the action space.  $\mathcal{A}$  can depend on the state  $s$  for  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ ;
- $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{S})$  the environment transition probability function;
- $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathbb{R})$  the reward function;
- $\rho_0 \in \Delta(\mathcal{S})$  the initial state distribution;
- $\gamma \in [0, 1]$  the unnormalized discount factor.

Note that  $\Delta(\mathcal{X})$  denotes the set of all distributions over set  $\mathcal{X}$ .

A stationary MDP follows for  $t = 0, 1, \dots$  as below, starting with  $s_0 \sim \rho_0$ .

- The agent observes the current status  $s_t$ ;
- The agent chooses an action  $a_t \sim \pi(a_t \mid s_t)$ ;
- The agent receives the reward  $r_t \sim \mathcal{R}(s_t, a_t)$ ;
- The environment transitions to a subsequent state according to the Markovian dynamics  $s_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{T}(s_t, a_t)$ .

This process generates the sequence  $s_0, a_0, r_0, s_1, \dots$  indefinitely. The sequence up to time  $t$  is defined as the trajectory indexed by  $t$ , as  $\tau_t = (s_0, a_0, r_0, s_1, \dots, r_t)$ .

The goal is to optimize the expected return

$$\mathbb{E}_{s_t, a_t, r_t, t \geq 0} [R_0] = \mathbb{E}_{s_t, a_t, r_t, t \geq 0} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \right]$$

over the agent's policy  $\pi$ .

### 3 Discrete Markov chains

A Markov chain, also known as a homogeneous Markov chain, refers to a infinite process  $x_1, \dots, x_T, \dots$  where

$$\mathbb{P}(x_{t+1} \mid x_t, \dots, x_1) = \mathbb{P}(x_{t+1} \mid x_t) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(x' \mid x)$$

holds almost surely for some probability measure  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . A discrete Markov chain restricts the state space  $\mathcal{S}$  to be countable and in this lecture notes we assume the state space  $[n]$  to be finite. In a Markov chain,  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(x_{t+1} \mid x_t)$  is called the probability kernel and is required to be time-invariant. When the context is clear we write  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{P}$ .

As  $x, x' \in [n]$ , it is convenient to represent  $\mathbb{P}$  with  $n^2$  many values of probabilities. Define the transition probability matrix  $P$  where the element  $P_{ii'}$  on the  $i$ -th and  $i'$ -th column equals  $\mathbb{P}(x' = i' \mid x = i)$ . Similarly, denote the state value function  $V(s)$  as  $V \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and the reward function  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  as  $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The occupancy vector  $\rho_t$ , where the  $i$ -th element of  $\rho_t$  denotes  $\mathbb{P}(s_t = i \mid s_0 \sim \rho_0)$ , is then  $P^t \rho_0$ .

When  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  is deterministic,  $r$  is a deterministic vector, the Bellman equation then write  $V = r + \gamma P V$ . Since  $P$  is a Markov matrix,  $I - \gamma P$  is invertible and the value function can be solved by

$$V = (I - \gamma P)^{-1} r.$$

**Reducible states** For two states  $i, i' \in [n]$ , if there exists a  $T$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(i' \in \{s_1, \dots, s_T\} \mid s_0 = i) = 1$ , we say that  $i'$  is accessible from  $i$ . If  $i$  is accessible from  $i'$  and  $i'$  is accessible from  $i$ , we say that  $i$  and  $i'$  communicate with each other. If for any  $i, i' \in [n]$ ,  $i$  and  $i'$  communicate with each other, the Markov chain is irreducible.

For Markov chain that is reducible, it is intuitive to partition the chain into irreducible components (likewise, to consider each connected components in a graph). It is therefore sensible to assume that the Markov chain to be irreducible.

**Periodicity** For  $i \in [n]$ , the period of state  $i$  is the largest integer  $d$  satisfying  $\mathbb{P}(s_t \neq i \mid s_0, t \neq 0 \bmod d) = 0$ , or infinity if such a largest integer does not exist. When  $d = 1$ , state  $i$  is aperiodic, and otherwise, state  $i$  is periodic with period  $d$ .

In a irreducible Markov chain, all states have the same period. A irreducible Markov chain is aperiodic is the states are aperiodic. Periodicity plays an important role in the limiting distributions of a Markov chain. Mathematically, a chain is aperiodic if and only if  $P^t$  contains only positive elements for some positive integer  $t$ .

**Ergodicity** A Markov chain that is irreducible and aperiodic must be ergodic. We commonly assume a chain to be ergodic without loss of generality.

### 4 Policy evaluation

Recall that in reinforcement learning, our goal is to optimize over the policy space to maximize the value function. This describes reinforcement learning as a optimization problem,

where we have no explicit expression of either the objective function. One critical information that the majority of the algorithm needs is at least the query access to this objective function, known as the optimization oracle. In the context of reinforcement learning, this corresponds to computing the value function given a fixed policy. Note that the computation can be approximate or probabilistic in general.

When the policy is fixed, the MDP reduces into a Markov chain as described in the last section. Therefore, the policy evaluation problem is to find  $V$  or equivalently  $Q$  given  $P$ ,  $r$ , and  $\gamma$ . When at least one of  $P$  and  $r$  is known, the problem is policy evaluation with known a model. When both  $P$  and  $r$  are unknown we can make an effort to estimate a  $P'$  such that  $P$  and  $P'$  is close in some measure of discrepancy. If we do so our method is categorized into model-based policy evaluation. If otherwise and we only utilize the access to the environment transition, the method is categorized as model-free policy evaluation.

#### 4.1 Policy evaluation with a known model

Under discrete state and action spaces, when both  $P$  and  $r$  are known the solution  $V = (I - \gamma P)^{-1}r$  is immediate when  $\gamma < 1$  as we pointed out in the last section. One argument to show that  $(I - \gamma P)$  is indeed invertible is that the largest element of  $(I - \gamma P)x$  for an arbitrary vector non-negative  $x$  is at least  $(1 - \gamma)\|x\|_\infty$ , which is strictly positive. The eigenvalue of  $(I - \gamma P)$  must therefore be nonzero, indicating that its rank must be full ( $n$ ).

Alternatively, one can resort an iterative solution which maintains an value function that converges to the true value function.

---

##### Algorithm 1: Iterative policy evaluation

---

**Input:** Policy  $\pi$ , threshold  $\epsilon > 0$

**Output:** Value function estimation  $V \approx V^*$

Initialize  $\Delta > \epsilon$  and  $V$  arbitrarily

**while**  $\Delta > \epsilon$  **do**

$\Delta = 0$

**for**  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  **do**

$v = V(s)$

$V(s) = \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} \mathbb{P}(s', r|s, a) [r + \gamma V(s')]$

$\Delta = \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|)$

---

When the reward function is deterministic and  $\gamma < 1$ , the error of the estimation decreases at a rate of  $\gamma^t$ , as the update  $V(s) = \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} \mathbb{P}(s', r|s, a) [r + \gamma V(s')]$  forms a contraction.

#### 4.2 Model-based policy evaluation

A model-based approach does not know the model, but can maintain an estimation of it and use the estimation when calculating the value function. It is then very straightforward to consider replacing  $P$  with  $\hat{P}$  for some estimation  $\hat{P}$ , where the most simple way to obtain  $\hat{P}$  is to use the empirical distribution of the state transitions collected from the trajectories.

## 5 The Bellman optimality equation

We have already discussed that the Bellman equation leads to the exact solution  $V = (I - \gamma P)^{-1}r$  of the value function, where  $P$  corresponds to the transition matrix given a fixed policy. We now discuss the Bellman optimality equation on an optimal policy. Without loss of generality, let the reward function be deterministic. We also assume that the reward is bounded by  $[0, 1]$  in discrete MDPs unless otherwise stated. Then the reward function  $\mathcal{R}(s, a)$  is written by a matrix  $r \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ , where the element at the  $i$ -th row and the  $j$ -th column denotes  $\mathcal{R}(i, j)$ . Let  $P_j$  be the transition matrix for the policy that choose action  $j$  at every state. Recall that in discrete MDPs a value function  $V$  is optimal if and only if the Bellman optimality equation is satisfied. In fact, the ‘if’ relation is immediate, and the ‘only if’ relation is shown in Page 64 of *Reinforcement learning: An introduction*.

The Bellman optimality equation states that the optimal value function  $V$  equals  $r + \gamma P^*V$ , for some  $P^*$  optimized over the policies. In the discrete setting, this translates to that  $V$  is greater than or equal to  $r + \gamma PV$  for any feasible  $P$ . Since the “greater than or equal to  $\geq$ ” operator is element-wise, it is equivalent to that  $V$  is greater than or equal to  $r + \gamma PV$  for every  $P \in \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$ .

By exhausting the action set under the max operator and numbering the actions from 1 to  $m$ , the Bellman optimality equation is formulated into the below linear program:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{V}{\text{minimize}} && \mathbf{e}^T V \\ & \text{subject to} && (I - \gamma P_j)V - r_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}$  is the all-one vector and  $\mathbf{e}^T V$  is the dummy objective. Linear programming is in P and can be solved in  $\text{poly}(n, m)$ . We consider a problem solved if we can cast it to a linear program. Though, this requires  $P_i$  to be known.

The dual of the linear program (1) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m}{\text{maximize}} && \sum_j \lambda_j^T r_j \\ & \text{subject to} && \sum_j (I - \gamma P_j^T) \lambda_j = \mathbf{e}, \\ & && \lambda_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that letting  $A$  and  $B$  be the optimal value of the primal and the dual, the derivation of the slackness equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \min_V \max_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0} \mathbf{e}^T V - (\lambda_1^T ((I - \gamma P_1)V - r_1) + \dots + \lambda_m^T ((I - \gamma P_m)V - r_m)) \\ &\geq \max_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0} \min_V \mathbf{e}^T V - (\lambda_1^T ((I - \gamma P_1)V - r_1) + \dots + \lambda_m^T ((I - \gamma P_m)V - r_m)) \\ &= \max_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0} \min_V (\lambda_1^T r_1 + \dots + \lambda_m^T r_m) - (-e^T + \lambda_1^T (I - \gamma P_1) + \dots + \lambda_m^T (I - \gamma P_m))V = B. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1** *There exists an optimal dual solution  $\lambda_j^*$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , an optimal deterministic policy  $\pi^*(\cdot)$ , and the corresponding transition matrix  $P^*$ , such that*

$$\sum_j \lambda_j^* = (I - \gamma P^{*T})^{-1} \mathbf{e},$$

and the  $i$ -th entry of  $\lambda_j^*$  equals to the  $i$ -th entry of  $\sum_j \lambda_j^*$  if  $\pi^*(i) = j$ , and zero otherwise.

**Proof:** Denote the superscript  $(i)$  as the  $i$ -th element for a vector and as the  $i$ -th row for a matrix. Specify  $\xi_j^*$  to be any dual optimal solution and construct the policy  $\pi^*(i) = \arg \max_j \xi_j^{*(i)}$  where  $\arg \max$  breaks ties arbitrarily. Then, let

$$\lambda^* = (I - \gamma P^{*T})^{-1} \mathbf{e},$$

where  $P^*$  is the transition matrix of  $\pi^*(\cdot)$ . The inversion exists since all the eigenvalues of the Markov matrix  $P^*$  are smaller than one. Define  $\lambda_j^*$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , such that  $\lambda_j^{*(i)} = \lambda^{*(i)}$  whenever  $\pi^*(i) = j$  and zero otherwise. We have for  $\lambda_j^*$  that

$$\sum_i \sum_j \lambda_j^{*(i)} (I - \gamma P_j)^{(i)} = \mathbf{e},$$

which rewrites the dual feasibility by summing over  $i$ . We also have  $\lambda_i^{*(i)} = 0$  whenever  $\xi_j^{*(i)} = 0$  for any  $j$  and  $i$ , and together with the slackness

$$\xi_j^{*T} ((I - \gamma P_j)V - r_j) = 0,$$

we have  $\lambda_j^{*T} ((I - \gamma P_j)v - r_j) = 0$ . The optimality of  $\lambda_j^*$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2** The  $\ell^1$ -norm  $\|\sum_j \lambda_j^*\|_1$  of the dual optimum is exactly  $n/(1 - \gamma)$ .

**Proof:** By definition we have  $\|\sum_j \lambda_j^*\|_1 = \|\lambda^*\|_1$  and  $(I - \gamma P^{*T})\lambda^* = \mathbf{e}$ . Since  $P^*$  is a Markov matrix, we have  $\|P^{*T}\lambda^*\|_1 = \|\lambda^*\|_1$ . Taking  $\ell^1$ -norm and we have  $\|\lambda^*\|_1 - \gamma\|\lambda^*\|_1 = \|\mathbf{e}\|_1$ . The statement follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3** The stochastic policy  $\pi(j|i) = \lambda_j'^{(i)} / \sum_{j'} \lambda_{j'}'^{(i)}$  achieves a value  $V'$  such that  $\mathbf{e}^T V' = \sum_j \lambda_j'^T r_j$ .

**Proof:** With Lemma 1 showing the existence, specify  $\lambda'' = (I - \gamma P''^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}$  and  $\lambda_j''$  to be the optimal solution of the dual problem, where  $P''$  is the corresponding transition matrix. The Bellman optimality equation indicates that  $((I - \gamma P_j)V' - r_j)^{(i)} = 0$  whenever  $\lambda_j''^{(i)} > 0$ . It is equivalent to  $(I - \gamma P'')V' - \tilde{r} = 0$  where  $\tilde{r}^{(i)} = r_{\pi(i)}^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence,

$$\mathbf{e}^T V' = \mathbf{e}^T (I - \gamma P'')^{-1} \tilde{r} = \tilde{r}^T (I - \gamma P'')^{-1} \mathbf{e} = \tilde{r}^T \lambda'' = \sum_j \lambda_j'^T r_j,$$

where the last equality follows the definition of  $\lambda''$ .  $\square$

Armed with these lemmas, we find that the dual of the Bellman optimality equation serves as a formulation of policy optimization. As we investigate more methods in MDPs without a known model, we will see similar interconnections of value optimization and policy optimization

## Acknowledgement

This lecture notes partially use material from *Reinforcement learning: An introduction* and *Reinforcement learning: Theory and algorithms*.