

Generalized least-squares (GLS)

We consider a more general multiple linear regression model:

$$\underline{y} = X \underline{\beta} + \underline{\varepsilon}$$

Here, we assume

$$E(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \underline{0}, \quad \text{Cov}(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \sigma^2 V$$

where

σ^2 is unknown, V is known

matrix V , of size $n \times n$, represents the structure of the variances and covariances among random errors.

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \underline{y} : \text{of size } n \times 1 \\ X : \text{of size } n \times p \\ \underline{\beta} : \text{of size } p \times 1 \\ \underline{\varepsilon} : \text{of size } n \times 1 \\ n \text{ is the number of data points} \end{array} \right)$$

Note:

V is non-singular
and positive definite.

GLS model parameter estimator:

- Since V is non-singular and positive definite, we let

$$\underline{V} = K^T K = K K, \quad \text{where } K \text{ is symmetric, square-root of } V$$

K is also positive definite

Due to the above equality, we let

$$\underline{z} = K^{-1} \underline{y}, \quad B = K^{-1} X, \quad \underline{g} = K^{-1} \underline{\varepsilon}$$

therefore, we have $\underline{z} = B \underline{\beta} + \underline{g}$, where

$$E(\underline{g}) = K^{-1} E(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \underline{0}$$

$$\text{Cov}(\underline{g}) = E \{ (\underline{g} - E(\underline{g})) (\underline{g} - E(\underline{g}))^T \} = E \{ (K^{-1} \underline{\varepsilon}) (K^{-1} \underline{\varepsilon})^T \}$$

$$= K^{-1} \cdot E(\underline{\varepsilon} \underline{\varepsilon}^T) K^{-1} = \sigma^2 \cdot K^{-1} V K^{-1} = \sigma^2 I$$

implies \nearrow

multiplication of K^{-1}
to $\underline{\varepsilon}$ "whitens" the
original error terms!

Now, after the transformation, the elements of \underline{g} have zero-mean, constant variance σ^2 , and are uncorrelated.

The model parameter estimator $\hat{\underline{\beta}}$ is obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\underline{\beta}} &= \underset{\underline{\beta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} S(\underline{\beta}) \\ &= \underset{\underline{\beta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} (\underline{z} - B\underline{\beta})^T (\underline{z} - B\underline{\beta})\end{aligned}$$

where $(\underline{z} - B\underline{\beta})^T (\underline{z} - B\underline{\beta})$ is equivalent to $(\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})^T V^{-1} (\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{This is because } (\underline{z} - B\underline{\beta})^T (\underline{z} - B\underline{\beta}) &= (K^T \underline{y} - K^T X \underline{\beta})^T (K^T \underline{y} - K^T X \underline{\beta}) \\ &= (\underline{y} - X \underline{\beta})^T K^{-1} K^{-1} (\underline{y} - X \underline{\beta}) \\ &= (\underline{y} - X \underline{\beta})^T V^{-1} (\underline{y} - X \underline{\beta}).\end{aligned}$$

Now, we solve $\hat{\underline{\beta}}$ from the following minimization problem:

$$\hat{\underline{\beta}} = \underset{\underline{\beta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} (\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})^T V^{-1} (\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})$$

Similarly, we take the derivative of the cost function w.r.t. $\underline{\beta}$ and set it equal to zero, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial (\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})^T V^{-1} (\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})}{\partial \underline{\beta}} = \underline{0} \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\underline{\beta}} = (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \underline{y}}$$

The properties of $\hat{\beta}$ are as follows:

- $E(\hat{\beta}) = (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} E(\underline{y}) = (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} X \underline{\beta} = \underline{\beta}$

this is due to $E(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \underline{0}$

- $\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}) = E\{(\hat{\beta} - E(\hat{\beta}))(\hat{\beta} - E(\hat{\beta}))^T\}$
 $= E\{(X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \underline{\varepsilon} \cdot \underline{\varepsilon}^T V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1}\}$
 $= (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} E(\underline{\varepsilon} \underline{\varepsilon}^T) V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1}$
 $= \underline{\sigma^2 \cdot (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1}}$

This is because $E(\underline{\varepsilon} \underline{\varepsilon}^T) = \sigma^2 V$.

* When we additionally assume $\underline{\varepsilon} \sim N(\underline{0}, \sigma^2 V)$, then we have as well

$\hat{\beta} \sim N(\underline{\beta}, \sigma^2 (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1})$

The parameter estimator of σ^2 :

• First, define SS_{res} to be:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{SS}_{\text{res}} &= (\underline{z} - \hat{\underline{z}})^T (\underline{z} - \hat{\underline{z}}) = (K^{-1} \underline{y} - K^{-1} X \hat{\beta})^T (K^{-1} \underline{y} - K^{-1} X \hat{\beta}) \\ &= (K^{-1} \underline{y} - K^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \underline{y})^T (K^{-1} \underline{y} - K^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \underline{y}) \\ &= \underline{y}^T \underbrace{(K^{-1} - K^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1})^T (K^{-1} - K^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1})}_{A} \underline{y} \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily verified that

$A = V^{-1} - V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1}$

(to be shown on WB)

We know that :

- If A is a $k \times k$ matrix of constants, and \underline{y} is a $k \times 1$ random vector with mean $\underline{\mu}$ and non-singular covariance matrix Σ , then.

$$E(\underline{y}^T A \underline{y}) = \text{trace}(A \Sigma) + \underline{\mu}^T A \underline{\mu}$$

Normal
distribution is
No Γ assumed.

We apply the above result to

$$E(SS_{\text{res}}) = \text{tr}(\sigma^2 V \cdot (V^{-1} - V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1}))$$

$$+ (\underline{x}\beta)^T (V^{-1} - V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1}) \underline{x}\beta$$

$$= \sigma^2 \cdot \text{trace}(I - X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1})$$

$$+ \underline{\beta}^T (X^T V^{-1} X - X^T V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} X) \underline{\beta}$$

$$= \sigma^2 \cdot (\text{tr}(I_n) - \text{tr}(X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1}))$$

$$= \underline{\sigma^2 (n-p)}$$

And we let $MS_{\text{res}} = \frac{SS_{\text{res}}}{n-p}$ to be an estimator of σ^2 . It can easily shown with the aid of the above result :

$$E(MS_{\text{res}}) = \sigma^2 \rightarrow \text{unbiased estimator of } \sigma^2$$

The explicit expression of MS_{res} is :

$$MS_{\text{res}} = \frac{\underline{y}^T (V^{-1} - V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1}) \underline{y}}{n-p}$$

Generalized Gauss-Markov Theorem:

$$\hat{\underline{\beta}} = (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \underline{y} \quad \text{is the BLUE estimator}$$

When $E(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \underline{0}$, and $\text{Cov}(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \sigma^2 V$.

Yet another version:

$$\hat{\underline{\beta}} = (X^T \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T \Sigma^{-1} \underline{y} \quad \text{is the BLUE estimator}$$

When $E(\underline{\varepsilon}) = 0$ and $\text{Cov}(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \Sigma$

Proof omitted! \rightarrow see textbook Appendix C.11 [Optional]

Two special cases:

① $V = I$, this leads to "ordinary" LS.

② $V = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{w_n})$, with $w_i > 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n \Rightarrow$

Uncorrelated error but with non-constant variance!

The second special case is called "weighted LS" (WLS) in the text book.

For this case, we could simply let $W = V^{-1} = \text{diag}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$, then

$$\hat{\underline{\beta}} = (X^T W X)^{-1} X^T W \underline{y}$$

and the transformation involves:

$$B = K^{-1} X = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{w_1} & & & \\ & \sqrt{w_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sqrt{w_n} \end{bmatrix} X, \quad \underline{z} = K^{-1} \underline{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \sqrt{w_1} \\ y_2 \sqrt{w_2} \\ \vdots \\ y_n \sqrt{w_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

