STA4030: Categorical Data Analysis Loglinear Model Fitting: Likelihood Equations

Instructor: Bojun Lu

School of Data Science CUHK(SZ)

December 8, 2020

Agenda

- 10.6 Loglinear Model Fitting: Likelihood Equations
- 2 10.7 Direct Calculation of Fitted Values
- 10.8 Iterative Methods of Model Fitting

- We next discuss loglinear model fitting. Results with models for three-way tables are presented.
- Fir simplicity, derivations use the Poisson sampling model, compared with multinomial sampling model, Poisson sampling model does not require constraints on $\{\mu_{ijk}\}$.
- For three-way tables, the joint Poisson distribution that cell counts $\{Y_{ijk} = n_{ijk}\}$ is

$$L(\mu) = \prod_{i} \prod_{j} \prod_{k} \frac{e^{-\mu_{ijk}} \mu_{ijk}^{n_{ijk}}}{n_{ijk}!}, \tag{1}$$

with product taken over all cells of the table.

The kernel of the log likelihood is

$$I(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} n_{ijk} \log \mu_{ijk} - \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \mu_{ijk}. \tag{2}$$

Recall the general loglinear model (which is also the saturated model),

$$\log \mu_{ijk} = \lambda + \lambda_i^X + \lambda_j^Y + \lambda_k^Z + \lambda_{ij}^{XY} + \lambda_{ik}^{XZ} + \lambda_{jk}^{YZ} + \lambda_{ijk}^{XYZ}.$$
 (3)

• For the saturated model (3), equation (2) is reduced as,

$$\begin{split} I(\pmb{\mu}) &= n\lambda + \sum_{i} n_{i++} \lambda_{i}^{X} + \sum_{j} n_{+j+} \lambda_{j}^{Y} + \sum_{k} n_{++k} \lambda_{k}^{Z} \\ &+ \sum_{i} \sum_{j} n_{ij+} \lambda_{ij}^{XY} + \sum_{i} \sum_{k} n_{i+k} \lambda_{ik}^{XZ} + \sum_{j} \sum_{k} n_{+jk} \lambda_{jk}^{YZ} \\ &+ \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} n_{ijk} \lambda_{ijk}^{XYZ} - \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \exp(\lambda + \dots + \lambda_{ijk}^{XYZ}). \end{split}$$

- For simpler loglinear models, certain parameters are zero and the relative log likelihood $I(\mu)$ in terms of $\lambda, \ldots, \lambda_{iik}^{XYZ}$ simplifies as well.
- The fitted values for a model are solutions to the likelihood equations.
- Next we try to derive likelihood equations in terms of a general formula for a loglinear model.
- For the *N* cells of a contingency table, we introduce notations,

$$\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_N)^T, \quad n = \sum_{i=1}^N n_i,$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_N)^T$$
,

which denotes the column vectors of observed and expected counts for the *N* cells.

We can also represent loglinear models in the following matrix form,

$$\log \mu = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta},\tag{4}$$

where \boldsymbol{X} denotes a model matrix and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ denotes model parameters.

For example, for a 2×2 table, consider the independence model,

$$\log \mu_{ij} = \lambda + \lambda_i^X + \lambda_j^Y$$

with constraints $\lambda_2^X = \lambda_2^Y = 0$. We have that,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \log \mu_{11} \\ \log \mu_{12} \\ \log \mu_{21} \\ \log \mu_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \lambda_1^X \\ \lambda_1^Y \end{bmatrix}.$$

• For the model $\log \mu = X\beta$, we have that,

$$\log \mu_i = \sum_j x_{ij}\beta_j, \ \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Then equation (2) can be rewritten as,

$$I(\mu) = \sum_{i} n_{i} \log \mu_{i} - \sum_{i} \mu_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i} n_{i} \left(\sum_{j} x_{ij} \beta_{j} \right) - \sum_{i} \exp \left(\sum_{j} x_{ij} \beta_{j} \right).$$
 (5)

Next we derive the likelihood equations,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} I(\mu) = 0, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

Calculate the following partial derivatives,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} \left[\exp \left(\sum_j x_{ij} \beta_j \right) \right] = x_{ij} \exp \left(\sum_j x_{ij} \beta_j \right) = x_{ij} \mu_i,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j}I(\mu) = \sum_i n_i x_{ij} - \sum_i x_{ij}\mu_i, \ \ j=1,2,\ldots,p.$$

Thus can derive the likelihood equations in the following form,

$$\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{X}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$$

• Note that based on GLM theory, the sufficient statistic for β_j is its coefficient $\sum_i n_i x_{ij}$, thus the likelihood equations also equate the sufficient statistics to their expected values.

 To illustrate how to solve likelihood equations, we continue the analysis of model (XZ, YZ),

$$\log \mu_{ijk} = \lambda + \lambda_i^X + \lambda_j^Y + \lambda_k^Z + \lambda_{ik}^{XZ} + \lambda_{jk}^{YZ}.$$
 (6)

 Actually, Model (6) assumes that categorical variables X and Y are conditionally independent, given Z. That is independence holds for each partial table within which Z is fixed,

$$\pi_{ij(k)} = \pi_{i+(k)}\pi_{+j(k)}, \quad \forall i, j, k.$$

or for joint probabilities, equivalently,

$$\pi_{ijk} = \pi_{i+k}\pi_{+jk}/\pi_{++k}, \forall i, j, k.$$



Recall the saturated model (3) and the relative log-likelihood,

$$\begin{split} I(\mu) &= n\lambda + \sum_{i} n_{i++} \lambda_{i}^{X} + \sum_{j} n_{+j+} \lambda_{j}^{Y} + \sum_{k} n_{++k} \lambda_{k}^{Z} \\ &+ \sum_{i} \sum_{j} n_{ij+} \lambda_{ij}^{XY} + \sum_{i} \sum_{k} n_{i+k} \lambda_{ik}^{XZ} + \sum_{j} \sum_{k} n_{+jk} \lambda_{jk}^{YZ} \\ &+ \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} n_{ijk} \lambda_{ijk}^{XYZ} - \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \exp(\lambda + \dots + \lambda_{ijk}^{XYZ}). \end{split}$$

• For Model (6) with $\lambda^{XY} = \lambda^{XYZ} = 0$, the log-likelihood is simplified.



The derivatives become.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{ik}^{XZ}} I(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = n_{i+k} - \mu_{i+k},$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{jk}^{YZ}} I(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = n_{+jk} - \mu_{+jk}.$$

Then.

$$\hat{\mu}_{i+k} = n_{i+k}, \forall i, k,$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_{+jk} = n_{+jk}, \quad \forall j, k,$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{++k} = n_{++k}, \quad \forall k.$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{++k} = n_{++k}, \ \forall k.$$

• Setting $\pi_{ijk} = \mu_{ijk}/n$, then

$$\pi_{ijk} = \pi_{i+k}\pi_{+jk}/\pi_{++k}, \quad \forall i, j, k,$$

can be reduced to

$$\mu_{ijk} = \mu_{i+k}\mu_{+jk}/\mu_{++k}, \quad \forall i, j, k.$$

• Then we have that,

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mu}_{ijk} &= \frac{\hat{\mu}_{i+k}\hat{\mu}_{+jk}}{\hat{\mu}_{++k}} \\ &= \frac{n_{i+k}n_{+jk}}{n_{++k}}, \ \forall i, j, k. \end{split}$$

 Note that ML estimates of functions of parameters are the same functions of the ML estimates of those parameters.

- For models having explicit formulas for \(\hat{\mu}_{ijk}\), the estimates are said to be direct.
- Many loglinear models do not have direct estimates. ML estimation then requires iterative methods.

Table 1: Fitted Values for Loglinear Models in Three-Way Tables

Model	Probabilistic Form	Fitted Value
(X,Y,Z)	$\pi_{ijk} = \pi_{i++}\pi_{+j+}\pi_{++k}$	$\hat{\mu}_{ijk} = \frac{n_{i++}n_{+j+}n_{++k}}{n^2}$
(XY, Z)	$\pi_{ijk} = \pi_{ij+}\pi_{++k}$	$\hat{\mu}_{ijk} = \frac{n_{ij+}n_{++k}}{n}$
(XY, XZ)	$\pi_{ijk} = rac{\pi_{ij+}\pi_{i+k}}{\pi_{i++}}$	$\hat{\mu}_{ijk} = \frac{n_{ij+}n_{i+k}}{n_{i++}}$
(XY, XZ, YZ)	$\pi_{ijk} = \psi_{ij}\phi_{jk}\omega_{ik}$	Iterative methods
(XYZ)	No restriction	$\hat{\mu}_{ijk} = n_{ijk}$

10.8 Loglinear Model Fitting: Iterative Methods

- When a loglinear model does not have direct estimates, iterative algorithm such as Newton-Raphson can solve the likelihood equations.
- For the Newton-Raphson method, we identify $I(\beta)$ as the log-likelihood for Poisson loglinear models.
- Recall Equation (5), and let,

$$I(\beta) = \sum_{i} n_{i} \left(\sum_{j} x_{ij} \beta_{j} \right) - \sum_{i} \exp \left(\sum_{j} x_{ij} \beta_{j} \right).$$
 (7)

• Then calculate the first order and second order derivatives.

$$u_j := \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} I(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_i n_i x_{ij} - \sum_i \mu_i x_{ij}.$$

$$h_{jk} := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta_j \partial \beta_k} I(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = -\sum_i \mu_i x_{ij} x_{ik}.$$



10.8 Loglinear Model Fitting: Iterative Methods

• Therefore, the tth approximation yields,

$$u_j^{(t)} = \sum_i x_{ij} (n_i - \mu_i^{(t)}),$$

and

$$h_{jk}^{(t)} = -\sum_{i} \mu_i^{(t)} x_{ij} x_{ik}.$$

• Note that the *t*th approximation $\mu^{(t)}$ for $\hat{\mu}$ derives from $\beta^{(t)}$ through

$$\mu^{(t)} = \exp(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)}).$$

The iterative relationship is as follows,

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t+1)} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)} + [\boldsymbol{X}^T \text{Diag}(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(t)}) \boldsymbol{X}]^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T (\boldsymbol{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(t)}).$$

This in turn produces $\mu^{(t+1)}$, and so on.



10.8 Loglinear Model Fitting: Iterative Methods

- The iterative process begins with all $\mu_i^{(0)} = n_i$, or with an adjustment such as $\mu_i^{(0)} = n_i + 0.5$ if any $n_i = 0$.
- For loglinear models with a concave $l(\beta)$, $\mu^{(t)}$ and $\beta^{(t)}$ usually converge rapidly to the ML estimates $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ as t increases.

(End of the extended discussion of ML estimation for Loglinear models.)

