## Chapter 6

# Principles of Data Reduction

# 6.1 Introduction

<u>Goal</u>: To summarize or reduce the data  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  to get information about an unknown parameter  $\theta$ .

#### Note:

- 1. **X** denotes the random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  and **x** denotes the sample point  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  (i.e., a realization, observation, or observed value of **X**);
- 2. A statistic,  $T(\mathbf{X})$ , defines a form of data reduction or data summary and  $T(\mathbf{x})$  is an observed value of the statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$ ;
- 3. Data Reduction in terms of a statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$  can be thought of as a partition of the sample space  $\mathcal{X}$ , the set of possible observed values of  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- 4.  $\mathcal{T} = \{t : t = T(\mathbf{x}), \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}\}$  is the image of  $\mathcal{X}$  under  $T(\mathbf{x})$ . Then the statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$  partitions the sample space  $\mathcal{X}$  into sets  $A_t, t \in \mathcal{T}$ , defined by  $A_t = \{\mathbf{x} : T(\mathbf{x}) = t, \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}\}$ .

### Two Principles of Data Reduction

- 1. <u>Sufficiency Principle</u>: promotes a method of data reduction that does not discard information about parameter  $\theta$ .
- 2. <u>Likelihood Principle</u>: describes a function of the parameter, determined by the observed sample, that contains all the information about  $\theta$  that is available from the sample.

# 6.2 Sufficiency Principle

A **sufficient statistic** for a parameter  $\theta$  is a statistic that, in a certain sense, captures all the information about  $\theta$  contained in the sample. Any additional information in the sample, besides the value of the sufficient statistic, does not contains any more information about  $\theta$ .

Sufficiency Principle: If  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , then any inference about  $\theta$  should depend on the sample  $\mathbf{X}$  only through the value of  $T(\mathbf{X})$ . That is, if  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are two sample points such that  $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$ , then the inference about  $\theta$  should be the same whether  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$  or  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{y}$  is observed.

## 6.2.1 Sufficiency Statistics

**Definition 6.2.1:** A statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  if the conditional distribution of the sample  $\mathbf{X}$  given the value of  $T(\mathbf{X})$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .

Question: Is there a simpler way to verify a sufficient statistic?

**Theorem 6.2.2:** If  $p(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$  is the joint pdf or pmf  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $q(t|\theta)$  is the pdf or pmf of  $T(\mathbf{X})$ , then  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  if, for every  $\mathbf{x}$  in the sample space, the ratio  $p(\mathbf{x}|\theta)/q(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)$  is a constant function of  $\theta$ .

#### Proof.

$$P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \text{ and } T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x}))}{P_{\theta}(T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x}))}$$
$$= \frac{P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x})}{P_{\theta}(T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x}))} = \frac{p(\mathbf{x} | \theta)}{q(T(\mathbf{x}) | \theta)}$$

#### Example 6.2.3: (Binomial Sufficient Statistic)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid Bernoulli random variables with parameter  $\theta$ , for  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

$$\frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{q(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{1-x_i}}{\binom{n}{t} \theta^t (1-\theta)^{n-t}} \stackrel{\left(t=T(\mathbf{x})=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right)}{=} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{t}}$$

#### Example 6.2.4: (Normal Sufficient Statistic)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid Normal random variables  $n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\sigma^2$  is known. Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \bar{X}$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\mu$ .

#### Example: (Example of a Statistic that is Not Sufficient)

Consider the model of Example 6.2.3 again with n=3. Then  $T(\mathbf{X})=X_1+X_2+X_3$  is sufficient while  $T(\mathbf{X})=X_1+2X_2+X_3$  is not sufficient because:

$$P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0, X_3 = 1 | X_1 + 2X_2 + X_3 = 2)$$

$$= \frac{P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0, X_3 = 1)}{P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0, X_3 = 1) + P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 0)}$$

$$= \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)\theta}{\theta(1 - \theta)\theta + (1 - \theta)\theta(1 - \theta)} = \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)\theta}{\theta(1 - \theta)} = \theta.$$

#### Example 6.2.5: (Sufficient Order Statistic)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid from a pdf f and no other information about f is available. Then it follows that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) = \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{(i)}),$$

where  $x_{(1)} < x_{(2)} < \cdots < x_{(n)}$  are the order statistics.

- $\Rightarrow$  By Theorem 6.2.2, the order statistics are a sufficient statistic.
- $\Rightarrow$  Without additional information about f, we cannot have further reduction.
- $\Rightarrow \text{If } f \text{ is Cauchy pdf, } f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(x-\theta)^2}, \text{ or Logistic pdf, } f(x) = \frac{e^{(x-\theta)}}{\left(1+e^{-(x-\theta)}\right)^2},$  the most reduction we can get are the order statistics.

**Remark:** Outside the exponential family of distributions, it is rare to have a sufficient statistic of smaller dimension than the size of the sample and in many cases order statistics is the best we can do.

#### Example: (Sufficient Statistic for Poisson Family)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid Poisson population with the parameter  $\lambda > 0$ . Then  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\lambda$ .

**Proof.** Notice that  $T(\mathbf{X})$  has a Poisson distribution with the parameter  $n\lambda$ .

**Question:** Can we find a sufficient statistic by simple examination of the pdf or pmf?

#### Theorem 6.2.6: (Factorization Theorem)

Let  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$  denote the joint pdf or pmf of a sample  $\mathbf{X}$ . A statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  if and only if there exists functions  $g(t|\theta)$  and  $h(\mathbf{x})$  such that, for all sample points  $\mathbf{x}$  and all parameter points  $\theta$ ,

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = h(\mathbf{x})g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta). \tag{6.2.3}$$

**Remark:** To use the Factorization Theorem to find a sufficient statistic, we factor the joint pdf of the sample into two parts, with one part not depending on  $\theta$ . The part that does not depend on  $\theta$  constitutes the h(x) function. The other part, the one that depends on  $\theta$ , usually depends on the sample  $\mathbf{x}$  only through some function  $T(\mathbf{X})$  and this function  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is the sufficient statistic of  $\theta$ .

#### Example 6.2.7: (Continuation of Example 6.2.4)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid  $n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\sigma^2$  is known. Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \bar{X}$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\mu$  using the Factorization Theorem.

### Example 6.2.8: (Uniform Sufficient Statistic)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid from a discrete uniform on  $1, \ldots, \theta$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = X_{(n)} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

Example 6.2.9: (Normal Sufficient Statistic,  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  unknown) Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid  $n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = (T_1(\mathbf{X}), T_2(\mathbf{X})) = (\bar{X}, S^2)$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

**Remark:** For a normal model  $n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  contain all information about  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ . However, if the model is not normal, this may not necessarily be true.

#### Example: (Sufficient Statistic for Poisson Family)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid Poisson population with parameter  $\lambda > 0$ . Then use the Factorization Theorem to show that both  $T'(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and  $T(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1, \sum_{i=2}^n X_i)$  are sufficient statistics for  $\lambda$ .

**Question:** Is there an easy way to find a sufficient statistic for an exponential family of distributions?

**Theorem 6.2.10:** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid from a pdf or pmf  $f(x|\theta)$  that belongs to an exponential family given by

$$f(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})t_i(x)\right),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d), d \leq k$ . Then

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_1(X_j), \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_2(X_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_k(X_j)\right)$$

is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

#### Example: (Sufficient Statistic for Poisson Family)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid Poisson population with the parameter  $\lambda$ . Since

$$f(x|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}e^{-\lambda} = \frac{1}{x!}e^{-\lambda}\exp\left(x\log(\lambda)\right),$$

we have

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{x!}$$
,  $c(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda}$ ,  $w(p) = \log(\lambda)$  and  $t(x) = x$ .

Then based on Theorem 6.2.10, we have  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\lambda$ .

<u>Note</u>: There can be more than one sufficient statistic for a given model (e.g., **X** itself is a sufficient statistic; any one-to-one function of a sufficient statistic is also a sufficient statistic).

## 6.2.2 Minimal Sufficient Statistics

<u>Definition 6.2.11</u>: A sufficient statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is called minimal sufficient statistic if, for any other sufficient statistic  $T'(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a function of  $T'(\mathbf{X})$ .

#### Note:

- 1. The partition associated with a minimal sufficient statistic is the coarsest possible partition for a sufficient statistic so that it achieves the greatest possible data reduction for a sufficient statistic;
- 2. Minimal sufficient statistic "eliminates" all the extraneous information in the sample and leaves only that which contains information about  $\theta$ ;
- 3. How to find the minimal sufficient statistics?

## Example 6.2.12: (Two Normal Sufficient Statistics)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid  $n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\sigma^2$  is known. As seen in Example 6.2.9,  $T'(\mathbf{X}) = (\bar{X}, S^2)$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\mu$  ( $\sigma^2$  is a known parameter in this case). However, we can reduce further  $T'(\mathbf{X})$  by defining the function r(a,b) = a so that if  $T(\mathbf{X}) = r(\bar{X}, S^2) = \bar{X}$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\mu$  (which we can find from Example 6.2.7). Note that we have not shown that  $\bar{X}$  is minimal sufficient for  $\mu$  in this case where  $\sigma^2$  is known.

#### Theorem 6.2.13: (Minimal Sufficient Statistics)

Let  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$  be the pdf or pmf of sample  $\mathbf{X}$ . Suppose there exists a function  $T(\mathbf{X})$  such that, for every two sample points  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , the ratio of  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)/f(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$  is constant as a function of  $\theta$  if and only if  $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$ . Then  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

### Example 6.2.14: (Normal Minimal Sufficient Statistic)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid  $n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown. Let  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  be two sample points with corresponding sample means and variances  $(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, S_{\mathbf{x}}^2)$  and  $(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, S_{\mathbf{y}}^2)$ . Show that  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

**Note:** If the set of  $\mathbf{x}$  values on which the pdf or pmf is positive depends on the parameter  $\theta$ , then, for the ratio in Theorem 6.2.13 to be constant as a function of  $\theta$ , the numerator and denominator must be positive for exactly the same values of  $\theta$ .

## Example 6.2.15: (Uniform Minimal Sufficient Statistic)

Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are iid uniform observations on the interval  $(\theta, \theta+1)$ , for  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ . Show that  $T(X) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is a minimal sufficient statistic. (In this example, the dimension of the minimal sufficient statistic does not match the dimension of the parameter.)

<u>Note</u>: A minimal sufficient statistic is not unique! Any one-to-one function of a minimal sufficient statistic is also minimal sufficient statistic.

#### Illustration:

- 1. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid uniform observations on the interval  $(\theta, \theta + 1)$ . As shown above,  $T(\mathbf{X}) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is a minimal sufficient for  $\theta$ . Hence,  $(X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}, (X_{(1)} + X_{(n)})/2)$  is also a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
- 2. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid  $n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown.  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Hence,  $(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2)$  is also a minimal sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

Question: Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid observations from uniform $(\theta, \theta + 1)$ , for  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ .  $T(X) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , is  $X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}$  also a minimal sufficient statistic?

## 6.2.3 Ancillary Statistics

**Definition 6.2.16:** A statistic  $S(\mathbf{X})$  whose distribution does not depend on the parameter  $\theta$  is called an ancillary statistic.

### Example 6.2.17: (Uniform Ancillary Statistic)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid uniform observations on the interval  $(\theta, \theta + 1)$ , for  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ . Show that the range statistic,  $R = X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}$ , is an ancillary statistic.

## Example 6.2.18: (Location Family Ancillary Statistic)

Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are iid observations from a location parameter family with cdf  $F(x-\theta)$ ,  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ . Show the range statistic,  $R = X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}$ , is an ancillary statistic.

#### Example 6.2.19: (Scale Family Ancillary Statistic)

Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are iid observations from a scale parameter family with cdf  $F(x/\sigma)$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ . Then any statistic that depends on the sample only through the n-1 values  $(X_1/X_n, \ldots, X_{n-1}/X_n)$  is an ancillary statistic.

**Remark:** From Chapter 4 (Example 4.3.6), it was shown that if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are iid  $n(0, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\sigma = 1$ , then  $X_1/X_2$  is Cauchy(0, 1). In fact, this also holds for any  $\sigma > 0$ .

## 6.2.4 Sufficient, Ancillary and Complete Statistics

Question: Is an ancillary statistic not related at all to minimal sufficient statistic"?

Recall tat if  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are iid uniform observations on the interval  $(\theta, \theta + 1)$ , then  $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  and  $(X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}, (X_{(1)} + X_{(n)})/2)$  are minimal sufficient statistics for  $\theta$ . However, we also know that  $R = X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic. Hence, in this case the minimal sufficient and ancillary statistics are related.

**Question:** When is a minimal sufficient statistic independent of every ancillary statistic?

**<u>Definition 6.2.21</u>**: Let  $f(t|\theta)$  be a family of pdfs or pmfs for a statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$ . The family of probability distributions  $f(t|\theta)$  is called *complete* if

$$E_{\theta}(g(T)) = 0$$
 for all  $\theta \in \Theta$  implies  $P_{\theta}(g(T) = 0) = 1$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

Equivalently,  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is called a complete statistic.

**Illustration:** Consider the family of distributions  $n(\theta, 1)$ ,  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ . If g(X) = X, then  $E_{\theta}g(X) = E_{\theta}X = 0$  when  $\theta = 0$  but P(g(X) = 0) = P(X = 0) = 0 since X is a continuous random variable. So this family of distributions is complete for  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ .

Example: Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid  $n(\theta, 1)$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1, X_2)$  is not complete.

Example: Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid observations from uniform $(\theta, \theta + 1)$ , for  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is not complete.

## Example 6.2.22: (Binomial Complete Sufficient Statistic)

Suppose that T has a binomial(n, p) distribution with 0 . Show that <math>T is a complete statistic.

## Example 6.2.23: (Uniform Complete Sufficient Statistic)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid uniform $(0, \theta)$  observations,  $0 < \theta < \infty$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = X_{(n)}$  is a complete statistic

Question: Is there an easier way to find a complete statistic?

#### Theorem 6.2.25: (Complete Statistics in Exponential Family)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid observations from an exponential family with pdf or pmf of the form

$$f(x|\theta) = h(x)c(\theta) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i(\theta)t_i(x)\right),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$ . Then the statistic

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_1(X_j), \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_2(X_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_k(X_j)\right)$$

is complete if  $\{(w_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, w_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})) : \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta\}$  contains an open set in  $\mathfrak{R}^k$ .

Example: The distribution  $n(\mu, \mu^2)$  (recall from Example 3.4.8 that this distribution is a member of the curved exponential family of distributions) does not contain a two-dimensional open set because it contains only points on the parabola. Hence, this distribution would not satisfy the conditions of Theorem 6.2.25.

#### Theorem 6.2.24: (Basu's Theorem)

Let  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a complete and minimal sufficient statistic, then  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is independent of every ancillary statistic.

**Remark:** Basu's Theorem allows us to deduce the independence of two statistics without ever finding the joint distribution of the two statistic.

### Example 6.2.26: (Using Basu' Theorem - I)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid exponential( $\theta$ ) observations. Compute  $E_{\theta}g(\mathbf{X})$  where  $g(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{X_n}{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}$ .

#### Example 6.2.27: (Using Basu' Theorem - II)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid observations from  $n(\mu, \sigma^2)$  population. Using Basu's Theorem, show that  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  are independent.

## Theorem 6.2.2.28: (Bahadur's Theorem)

If a minimal sufficient statistic exists, then any complete sufficient statistic is also a minimal sufficient statistic.

#### Example: (A Minimal Sufficient Statistic NOT Complete)

A minimal sufficient statistic is not necessarily a complete statistic. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid observations from uniform $(\theta, \theta + 1)$ , for  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ . From Example 6.2.15, we know that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is a minimal sufficient statistic. However,  $T(\mathbf{X}) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is not complete.

# 6.3 Likelihood Principle

We study a specific, important statistic called the likelihood function that can also be used to summarize data.

**<u>Definition 6.3.1</u>**: Let  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$  denote the joint pdf or pmf of the sample  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Then give that  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$  is observed, the function of  $\theta$  defined by

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$$

is called the likelihood function.

Question: What is the difference between the likelihood function and the pdf (or pmf)?

<u>Answer</u>: They have the same formula. The distinction between them is which variable is fixed and which is varying.

<u>Likelihood Principle</u>: If  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are two sample points such that  $L(\theta|\mathbf{x})$  is proportional to  $L(\theta|\mathbf{y})$ , i.e., there exists a constant  $C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  such that  $L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})L(\theta|\mathbf{y})$ , then the conclusions drawn from  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  for  $\theta$  should be identical.

#### Remark:

- 1. Likelihood principle states that even if two sample points have only proportional likelihoods, then they will contain equivalent information about  $\theta$ .
- 2. Given two parameter values  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , the likelihood function tells us if  $\theta_1$  is a more plausible (not probable) parameter value than  $\theta_2$  in light of the data gathered.
- 3. Fiducial inference (Fisher, 1930) interprets likelihoods as probabilities for  $\theta$ , called *inverse probabilities*, without calling on prior probability distributions required in Bayesian inference.

#### Example 6.3.2: (Negative Binomial Likelihood)

Let X have a negative binomial distribution with r=3 and success probability p. If x=2 is observed, then the likelihood function is the fifth-degree polynomial on  $0 \ge p \ge 1$  defined by

$$L(p|2) = P_p(X=2) = {4 \choose 2} p^3 (1-p)^2.$$

In general, if X = x is observed, then the likelihood function is polynomial of degree 3 + x,

$$L(p|x) = {3+x-1 \choose x} p^3 (1-p)^x.$$

### Example 6.3.3: (Normal Fiducial Distribution)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid  $\mathrm{n}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\sigma^2$  known. Then

$$L(\mu|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$
$$= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

First, note that  $C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  exists if and only if  $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$ , in which case

$$C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

Thus, the Likelihood Principle states that the conclusions about  $\mu$  drawn from any sample points satisfying  $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$  should be identical.

Second, the fiducial distribution,  $M(\mathbf{x})L(\mu|\mathbf{x})$ , has a normal distribution  $n(\bar{x}, \sigma^2/n)$ , where

$$M(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(\mu|\mathbf{x}) d\mu}.$$

Thus we have

$$0.95 = P(-1.96 < \frac{\mu - \bar{x}}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} < 1.96)$$
$$= P(\bar{x} - 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu < \bar{x} + 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}})$$

#### Example: (Likelihood Function for Uniform Distribution)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid uniform $(0, \theta)$ , then the likelihood function is

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{[0 < x_{(n)} < \theta]}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$