



Journal of Statistical Software

MMMMMM YYYY, Volume VV, Issue II.

doi: 10.18637/jss.v000.i00

cubble: An R Package for Structuring Spatio-temporal Data

H. Sherry Zhang
Monash University

Dianne Cook
Monash University

Ursula Laa
University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences

Nicolas Langrené
CSIRO Data61

Patricia Menéndez
Monash University

Abstract

The abstract of the article.

Keywords: spatio-temporal data, R.

1. Introduction

Many data structures have been proposed for spatial (**sf** by [Pebesma \(2018\)](#)) and temporal (**tsibble** by [Wang, Cook, and Hyndman \(2020\)](#)) data in the R community, while less has been done for spatio-temporal data. The lack of such tools could potentially because analysts usually treat the spatial and temporal dimension of the data separately, without realising the need to create a new data structure. While this approach follows the third tidy data principal ([Wickham 2014](#)) (*Each type of observational unit forms a table*), analysts always need to manually join results from different observational units or combining multiple tables into one for downstream analysis. This additional step doesn't add new operations into the data but can be error prone.

Currently, available spatio-temporal data structure in R includes: **spacetime** ([Pebesma 2012](#)), which proposes four space-time layouts: Full grid (STF), sparse grid(STS), irregular (STI), and trajectory (STT). The data structure it uses is based on **sp** ([Pebesma and Bivand 2005](#)) and **xts** ([Ryan and Ulrich 2020](#)), both of which has been replaced by more recent implementations. **spatstat** ([Baddeley and Turner 2005](#)) implements a **ppp** class for point pattern data; and more recent, **stars** ([Pebesma 2021](#)) implements a spatio-temporal array with the **dplyr**'s data cube structure **cubelyr** ([Wickham 2020](#)) as its backend. While these implementations either store spatial and temporal variables all in a single table, hence duplicate the spatial variables for each temporal unit; or split them into two separate tables that has the problem of manually joining, mentioned in the previously. None of these packages enjoy both the benefits of being able to separate manipulation in the two dimensions while also keep the data object as a whole. This create a gap in the software development. The requirement for such a tool is important given the ubiquity of spatio-temporal vector data in the wild: the Ireland wind data from **gstat** is an classic example data that splits variables into spatial (**wind.loc**) and temporal (**wind**) dimension; Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) provides climate observations that are widely applied in agriculture and ecology study; air pollution data.

This paper describes the implementation of a new spatio-temporal data structure: **cubble**. **cubble** implements a relational data structure that uses two forms to manage the switch between spatial and temporal dimension. With this structure, users can manipulate the spatial or temporal dimension separately, while leaves the linking of two dimensions to **cubble**. The software is available from the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN) at [CRAN link].

The rest of the paper will be divided as follows: [complete when the paper structure is more solid]




Figure 1: Illustration of incoming data formats for spatio-temporal data. (1) Data comes in as a single table; (2) Separate tables for spatial and temporal variables; (3) A single table with all the parameters used to query the database and a separate table for queried data; and (4) Cubical data in array or NetCDF format.

2. The cubble package

Spatio-temporal data usually come in various forms and Figure 1 shows four examples of this. No matter which form the data is in, these formats share some common components that characterise spatio-temporal data. A spatial identifier (`id` in the diagram) is the unique identifier of each site. The temporal identifier (`t` in the diagram) prescribes the time stamp each site is recorded. Coordinates, comprising of latitude and longitude (`lon` and `lat` in the diagram), locates each site on the map. These identifiers will be the building blocks for the data structure introduced below. Other variables in the data can be categorised into two groups: spatial variables that are invariant at each time stamp for every site, i.e. the name or code of the weather station and temporal variables that varies with time.

In a cubble, there are two forms: nested form and long form, and Figure 2 sketches the two forms along with the associated attributes. The decision on which form to use is output-oriented, meaning analysts need to first think about whether the output of a particular operation is identified only by the spatial identifier, or a combination of spatial and temporal identifier. The nested cubble is suitable for working with operations that are only identified by site and this type of operation can be a pure manipulation of spatial variables, or a summary of temporal variables by site (i.e. the output of counting the number of raining day is only identified by sites and hence should be performed with the nested form). Underneath the nested form, a cubble is built from a row-wise dataframe (`rowwise_df`) where each site forms a separate group. This structure simplifies the calculation that involves temporal variables by avoiding the use of `map` syntax when working with list-column.

For those operations whose output involves both a spatial and temporal dimension, long form should be used. The long form is identified by both the spatial and temporal identifier and adopts a grouped dataframe (`grouped_df`) to forms each site as a group. Spatial variables are stored separately in a `tibble` as an special attribute of the long cubble. This design avoids repeating the spatial variables at each time stamp while not dropping information from spatial variables.

2.1. Create a cubble in the nested form

To use functionalities from cubble, data analysts first need to create a cubble. `as_cubble` create a `cubble` by supplying the three key components: `key` as the spatial identifier; `index`




Figure 2: Illustration of nested and long cubble.

as the temporal identifier; and a vector of `coords` in the order of longitude first and then latitude. The naming of `key` and `index` follows the convention in the `tsibble` package. The cubble created by default is in the nested form. Below is an example of creating a cubble:

```
# cubble: id [5]: nested form
# bbox:      [115.97, -32.94, 133.55, -12.42]- check gap on long and lat
# temporal: date [date], prcp [dbl], tmax [dbl], tmin [dbl]
  id          lat  long elev name           wmo_id ts
  <chr>      <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <chr>        <dbl> <list>
1 ASN00009021 -31.9 116. 15.4 perth airport  94610 <tibble [366 x 4]>
2 ASN00010311 -31.9 117. 179   york         94623 <tibble [366 x 4]>
3 ASN00010614 -32.9 117. 338 narrogin     94627 <tibble [366 x 4]>
4 ASN00014015 -12.4 131. 30.4 darwin airport 94120 <tibble [366 x 4]>
5 ASN00015131 -17.6 134. 220  elliott      94236 <tibble [366 x 4]>
```

There are a few information in the `cubble` header: the name of the `key` variable, `bbox`, and also the name of variable nested in the `ts` column. In this example, each site is identifier is `id` and the number in the bracket means there are 5 unique `id` in this dataset. The `bbox` in the second row gives the range of the coordinates. The temporal variables are all nested in the `ts` column, but it could be useful to know the name these variables. The third row in the cubble header shows these names and in this example this includes: precipitation, `prcp`, maximum temperature, `tmax`, and minimum temperature, `tmin`.

2.2. Stretch a nested cubble into the long form

The long cubble is suitable to manipulate the time dimension of the data. The function `stretch()` switches the nested cubble into the long cubble by first extracts all the spatial variables into a separate tibble and store in the `spatial` attribute and then unnests the `ts` column:

```
# cubble: date, id [5]: long form
# bbox: [115.97, -32.94, 133.55, -12.42]- check gap on long and lat
# spatial: lat [dbl], long [dbl], elev [dbl], name [chr], wmo_id [dbl]
  id      date      prcp  tmax  tmin
  <chr>    <date>    <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
1 ASN00009021 2020-01-01     0  31.9  15.3
2 ASN00009021 2020-01-02     0  24.9  16.4
3 ASN00009021 2020-01-03     6  23.2  13
4 ASN00009021 2020-01-04     0  28.4  12.4
5 ASN00009021 2020-01-05     0  35.3  11.6
# ... with 1,825 more rows
```

Notice here that the third line in the header now shows the name of spatial variables rather than the temporal variables.

2.3. Tamp a long cubble back to the nested form

Manipulation on the spatial and temporal dimension can be an iterative process. Many times, analysts will need to go back and forth between the nested and long cubble. The `stretch()` function introduced in the previous section switches a nested cubble into a long cubble and function `tamp()` is its inverse function to switch a long cubble back to the nested cubble:

```
# cubble: id [5]: nested form
# bbox: [115.97, -32.94, 133.55, -12.42]- check gap on long and lat
# temporal: date [date], prcp [dbl], tmax [dbl], tmin [dbl]
  id      lat  long  elev name          wmo_id ts
  <chr>    <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <chr>        <dbl> <list>
1 ASN00009021 -31.9 116. 15.4 perth airport  94610 <tibble [366 x 4]>
2 ASN00010311 -31.9 117. 179   york        94623 <tibble [366 x 4]>
3 ASN00010614 -32.9 117. 338 narrogin    94627 <tibble [366 x 4]>
4 ASN00014015 -12.4 131. 30.4 darwin airport 94120 <tibble [366 x 4]>
5 ASN00015131 -17.6 134. 220  elliott     94236 <tibble [366 x 4]>
```

2.4. Migrate spatial variables to a long cubble

As a final data output for modelling or visualisation, spatio-temporal data is usually expected to be in a single table. Function `migrate()` moves the spatial variables from the `spatial` attribute into the long cubble:

```
# cubble: date, id [5]: long form
# bbox: [115.97, -32.94, 133.55, -12.42]- check gap on long and lat
```

```
# spatial: lat [dbl], long [dbl], elev [dbl], name [chr], wmo_id [dbl]
#> id      date      prcp   tmax  tmin  long   lat
#> <chr>    <date>    <dbl>  <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
#> 1 ASN00009021 2020-01-01     0  31.9  15.3  116. -31.9
#> 2 ASN00009021 2020-01-02     0  24.9  16.4  116. -31.9
#> 3 ASN00009021 2020-01-03     6  23.2  13    116. -31.9
#> 4 ASN00009021 2020-01-04     0  28.4  12.4  116. -31.9
#> 5 ASN00009021 2020-01-05     0  35.3  11.6  116. -31.9
# ... with 1,825 more rows
```

In this workflow described above, data objects come into **cubble** in the nested form, then various operations on the spatial and temporal dimension can go back and forth between the nested and long form, and finally, the data will come out of **cubble** in the long form for further modelling or visualisation.

Building from an underlying **tbl_df** structure, it is natural to implement methods available in **dplyr** to **cubble**. Supported methods in the **cubble** with **dplyr** generics includes:

basics	mutate , filter , summarise , select , arrange , rename , left_join
grouping	group_by , ungroup
slice family	slice_head , slice_tail , slice_sample , slice_min and slice_max

cubble is also compatible with **tsibble** in the sense that the original list-column can be a **tbl_ts** object. Duplicates and gaps should be first checked before structuring the data into a **cubble**. If the input data is a **tsibble** object, the long form **cubble** is also a **tsibble** where users can directly apply time series operations.




Figure 3: Relationship between the station and cluster level cubble in both long and nested form.

3. Advanced features/ considerations

3.1. Hierarchical structure

Spatial locations can have further grouping structure either in nature (i.e. countries are nested in continents), or calculated by some clustering algorithms. Rather than investigate the read from individual locations, group character summarised from multiple locations can give a clearer picture of the local dynamic. In cubble, `switch_key()` can be used to create a new level of grouping of spatial location by specifying a clustering variable. Figure 3 illustrates the relationship of bubbles at site and cluster level, in both the long and nested form. By specifying `cluster_nested <- station_nested %>% switch_key(key = cluster)`, the cubble re-defines the cubble key from `id` in `station_nested` to `cluster` in `cluster_nested`. All the spatial variables variant to `cluster` are now nested into a `.val` column and cluster level variables can be computed in the same fashion as station level variables in `station_nested`. Following the same principal of `stretch`, the long form cluster level cubble expands the time series variables with both indices: `cluster` and `id`. All the cluster level variables are stored separately for recovering the nested from from the long form.

3.2. Data fusion and matching

To be a valid pair of matching, the matched pair from different data sources need to

- be spatially close to each other, and
- have similar temporal movement




Figure 4: An illustration of temporal matching in **cubble**. Three highest peaks are identified in each series and intervals are constructed on series A. Two peaks in series a fall into the intervals and hence the two series are considered to have 2 matches.

`match_sites()` from **cubble** matches data based on these two criteria. It first matches the two data sources spatially through computing the pairwise distance based on latitude and longitude. Pairs that pass the spatial matching are then matched temporally through computing the number of matched peaks within a fixed length window. Figure 4 illustrate this temporal matching in more details. Given two series A and a, 3 peaks have been picked in each series. An interval, with default length of 5, is constructed for each peak in series A and the peaks in series a are tested against whether they fall into the any of the intervals. In this illustration, there are 2 matches for these two series. Several arguments are available in `match_sites()` to fine-tune the matching:

- `spatial_n_keep`: the number of spatial match for each site to keep
- `spatial_dist_max`: the maximum distance allowed for a matched pair
- `temporal_n_highest`: the number of peak used - 3 in the example above
- `temporal_window`: the length of the interval - 5 in the example above
- `temporal_min_match`: the minimum number of matched peak for a valid matched pair

This functionality can be seen as a matching (Stuart 2010; McIntosh, Jenkins, White, Barnard, Thomson, Dolby, Simpson, Streicher, Kleinman, Ragan *et al.* 2018) exercise in the spatio-temporal domain to pair up nearby observations. It can also be considered as a form of data fusion (Castanedo 2013; Cocchi 2019), where data from multiple sources are combined together.

3.3. Interactive graphics

The cubble structure fits in naturally with the interactive graphic pipeline discussed in the literature (Buja, Asimov, and Hurley 1988; Buja, Cook, and Swayne 1996; Sutherland, Rossini,




Figure 5: demon interactivity

Lumley, Lewin-Koh, Dickerson, Cox, and Cook 2000; Xie, Hofmann, and Cheng 2014; Cheng, Cook, and Hofmann 2016). Diagram 5 illustrates how linking works with the two forms in a cubicle, where a time series plot is created with the long cubicle and a map is created with the nested cubicle. When a user action is captured from the map, the site will be activated in the nested cubicle. Then, the nested cubicle will communicate to the long cubicle to activate all the observations with the same `id`. The long cubicle will then highlight the activated series in the time series plot.

The linking is also available from the time series plot to the map. The selection on the time series is through selecting the point on the time series and once a point is selected, it will be activated in the long cubicle. All the observations that share the same `id`, either in the long and nested cubicle, are then activated. This includes other points in the same time series in the long cubicle and the corresponding observation of site in the nested cubicle. These activated observations will then be reflected in the updated plots and Diagram 13 in the Appendix illustrates this process.

3.4. Glyph map

Glyph map (Wickham, Hofmann, Wickham, and Cook 2012) plots the time series as single glyphs on the map. In R, GGally implements the glyph map through the `glyphs()` function, which outputs a data frame with calculated position (`gx`, `gy`, `gid`) of each point on the time series given the major and minor xy variable using linear algebra (Equation 1 and 2 in Wickham *et al.* (2012)). The data can then be piped into `ggplot` to create the glyph map:

```
R> library(ggplot2)
R> gly <- glyphs(data,
```

```
+      x_major = ... , x_minor = ... ,
+      y_major = ... , y_minor = ... , ... )
R>
R> ggplot(gly, aes(gx, gy, group = gid)) +
+   geom_path()
```

While this calculation can be seen as part of the `setup_data()` in a `ggproto`. A re-implementation of the glyph map as `GeomGlyph` has been made in `cubble` to create the glyph map with `geom_glyph`:

```
R> ggplot(data = data) +
+   geom_glyph(aes(x_major = ... , x_minor = ... ,
+                  y_major = ... , y_minor = ... ))
```

Polar glyph map can be specify as a parameter, `polar = TRUE`, in the `geom_glyph()`, along with `width` and `height` in either absolute or relative value. Global and local scale can also be controlled by the parameter `global_rescale`, which default to `TRUE`. Reference box and line can be added with separate `geom_glyph_box()` and `geom_glyph_line()`.

4. Examples

4.1. Australia historical maximum temperature

Global Historical Climatology Network (GHCN) provides daily climate measures from stations across the world and the dataset `weatherdata::historical_tmax` extracts the historical maximum temperature recorded for 236 Australian stations. The data `historical_tmax` is already presented as a cubble, with `id` as the key, `date` as the index, and `c("longitude", "latitude")` as the coordinates. Other variables include `elevation`, `name`, `wmo_id`, `first_year`, and `last_year` in the nested form and `tmax` in the long form. This example compares the maximum temperature in two periods: 1971 - 1975 and 2016 - 2020 for stations in Victoria and New South Wales.

Stations in the two states can be subsetted on the station number: Australia GHCN station number starts with `ASN00` and followed by the **Bureau of Meteorology (BOM)** station number, where the 2nd and 3rd digit (7th and 8th in the GHCN number) denote the state a station belongs to. New South Wales stations start from 46 to 75 and Victoria stations then follow from 76 to 90. Extracting Victoria and New South Wales stations is a filter operation in the spatial dimension and hence is operated in the nested form:

```
R> tmax <- weatherdata::historical_tmax %>%
+   filter(between(stringr::str_sub(id, 7, 8), 46, 90))
```

The five year window is chosen to remove the effect of a particular year and the historical period of 1971 - 1975 is used since all the stations have records from 1970. This following chunk filters on records in the two study periods and summarises the maximum temperature into monthly measure. `stretch()` is used to convert the nested form cubble into the long form for these operations:

```
R> tmax <- tmax %>%
+   stretch() %>%
+   filter(lubridate::year(date) %in% c(1971:1975, 2016:2020)) %>%
+   mutate(month = lubridate::month(date),
+         group = as.factor(ifelse(lubridate::year(date) > 2015,
+                                   "2016 ~ 2020", "1971 ~ 1975"))) %>%
+   group_by(month, group) %>%
+   summarise(tmax = mean(tmax, na.rm = TRUE))
```

A data quality issue with GHCN data is that while the first and last year of each series is provided, years missing in this period is not reported. There are a few stations which don't have records during 1971 - 1975 and these stations are filtered out by examining whether the summarised `tmax` has a total of 24 months. This is again a station-wise operation and is operated in the nested form, which is switched to from the long form by `tamp()`:

```
R> tmax <- tmax %>%
+   tamp() %>%
+   filter(nrow(ts) == 24)
```

Lastly, to create a glyph map, both the major (`longitude`, `latitude`) and minor (`month`, `tmax`) coordinates need to be in the same table. Spatial variables can be moved to the long form with `migrate()`:

```
R> tmax <- tmax %>%
+   stretch() %>%
+   migrate(latitude, longitude)
```

Figure 6 shows the glyph map made with the data after the wangling above. One issue with this map is that the similar pattern shown from a few nearby stations around Sydney (151E, 34S) and New castle (152E, 33S) can be distracting. Aggregation is a useful technique to observe the general pattern of a collection of series and will be the topic of the next example.

4.2. Australia precipitation pattern in 2020

There are 639 stations that record daily precipitation in Australia and these series will overlay on top of each other in a glyph map. Aggregation can be helpful to group series into clusters before visualising the cluster with glyph map. This example shows how to organise data at both level with the cubble function `switch_key()`.

A simple kmean algorithm based on the distance matrix is used here to create 20 clusters. This creates `station_nested` as a station level nested cubble with a cluster column indicating which group each station belongs to. More complex algorithms can be used for other problem, as long as there is a mapping from each station to a cluster.

```
R> station_nested

# cubble: id [639]: nested form
# bbox: [113.53, -43.66, 153.64, -10.05]
# temporal: date [date], prcp [dbl], tmax [dbl], tmin [dbl]
#             id      lat    long  elev name          wmo_id ts      cluster
#             <chr>    <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <chr>        <dbl> <list>    <int>
# 1 ASN00001006 -15.5  128.   3.8 wyndham aero  95214 <tibble [1,827 ~     3
# 2 ASN00001007 -13.8  126.    6  troughton island 94102 <tibble [1,827 ~     3
# 3 ASN00001018 -16.4  126.  546  mount elizabeth 94211 <tibble [1,460 ~    16
# 4 ASN00001019 -14.3  127.   23  kalumburu       94100 <tibble [1,827 ~     3
# 5 ASN00001020 -14.1  126.   51  truscott        95101 <tibble [1,827 ~     3
# ... with 634 more rows
```

To create a group level cubble, use `switch_key()` with the new key variable, `cluster`:

```
R> cluster_nested <- station_nested %>% switch_key(cluster)
```

With the group level cubble, `get_centroid()` is useful to compute the centroid of each cluster, which will be used as the major axis for the glyph map later:

```
R> cluster_nested <- cluster_nested %>% get_centroid()
```




Figure 6: Glyph map of the mean maximum temperature by month for Victoria and New South Wales weather stations. On the top left corner is a detailed legend for station Cobar highlighted in the black box. Compared to 1971 - 1975, the period 2016 - 2020 sees an increase of mean maximum temperature in spring and summer in Australia (end and beginning of the year). A larger increase during the first three months of the year is observed at stations with a lower latitude.




Figure 7: Profile of aggregated precipitation from 639 weather stations in Australia. Subplot A shows the glyph map of the weekly averaged precipitation of each cluster. The group number printed in the middle of y minor axis and can be used as a reference line to read the magnitude of precipitation. Subplot B shows the station membership of each cluster

Long form cubble at both levels can be access through stretching the nested form and operations applicable to long form cubble are still available. With access to both station and cluster level cubbles, various plots can be made to understand the cluster. Figure 7 shows two example plots that can be made with this data: subplot A makes a glyph map with cluster level cubble and subplot B inspects the station membership of each cluster using the nested station level cubble.

4.3. River level data in Victoria water gauges

Bureau of Meteorology also collects [water data](#) from river gauges, which includes electrical conductivity, turbidity, water course discharge, water course level, and water temperature. In particular, water level will interactive with precipitation from the climate data since rainfall will raise the water level in the river. Figure 8 gives the location of available weather station and water gauges in Victoria.

From the map, a few water gauges and weather stations are close to each other and there is the potential that fluctuation of the water level can reflect the precipitation measured by the climate station. As introduced in Section 3.2, `match_sites()` can be used to match one source of data with another source in a cubble. Here `prcp` in `climate` will be matched to `Water_course_level` in `river`, which is specified in the argument `temporal_var_to_match`. `temporal_independent` controls the dataset that will be used to construct the interval (see the illustration in section 3.2 for details on this). Here the goal is to see if precipitation will be reflected by the water level in the river and hence a lagged effect of water level on precipitation. This will put precipitation data, `climate`, as the independent. Given there is one year worth of data, the number of peak (`temporal_n_highest`) to consider is slightly raised from a default 20 to 30. `temporal_min_match` filters out pairs don't have enough




Figure 8: Location of climate station and river gauges in Victoria, Australia.

match and to return all the pairs, set `temporal_min_match` to 0

```
R> res <- match_sites(
+   river, climate,
+   temporal_var_to_match = c("Water_course_level" = "prcp"),
+   temporal_independent = "prcp",
+   temporal_n_highest = 30,
+   temporal_min_match = 15
+ )
```

The output from matching is also a cubble, with additional column `dist` and `group` produced from spatial matching and `n_match` from the temporal matching.

```
# cubble:  id [8]: nested form
# bbox:      [144.52, -37.73, 146.06, -36.55]
# temporal: date [date], prcp [dbl]
  id      name          lat  long ts      type    dist group n_match
  <chr>   <chr>        <dbl> <dbl> <list>    <chr>  <dbl> <int>   <int>
1 405234 SEVEN CREEKS @ D~ -36.9 146. <tibble [~ river  6.15     5     21
2 ASN00082042 strathbogie -36.8 146. <tibble [~ clim~  6.15     5     21
3 404207 HOLLAND CREEK @ ~ -36.6 146. <tibble [~ river  8.54    10     21
4 ASN00082170 benalla airport -36.6 146. <tibble [~ clim~  8.54    10     21
5 230200 MARIBYRNONG RIVE~ -37.7 145. <tibble [~ river  6.17      6     19
6 ASN00086038 essendon airport -37.7 145. <tibble [~ clim~  6.17      6     19
7 406213 CAMPASPE RIVER @~ -37.0 145. <tibble [~ river  1.84      1     18
8 ASN00088051 redesdale     -37.0 145. <tibble [~ clim~  1.84      1     18
```




Figure 9: Matched weather stations and river gauges on the map (A) and across time (B). Precipitation and water level have been standardised between 0 and 1 to be displayed on the same scale. The increases in the precipitation is reflected well by the water level.

Figure 9 plots the matched pairs on the map or to view the matched series and with the chosen parameter, there are four pairs of matches, which all locates in the middle Victoria and the concurrent increase of precipitation and water level can be observed.

4.4. ERA5: climate reanalysis data

NetCDF (Network Common Data Form) is a data format commonly used in climatology community to deliver global mapping of atmosphere, ocean, and land. It has two major components: dimension to define the spatiotemporal grid (longitude, latitude, and time) and variable which populates the defined grid. Attributes are usually associated with dimension and variable in the NetCDF format data and a [metadata convention for climate and forecast](#) has been designed to standardise this data format. Current packages on manipulating NetCDF data in R include a high-level R interface: `ncdf4`([Pierce 2019](#)), a low-level interface that calls C-interface: `RNetCDF`([Michna and Woods 2021, 2013](#)), and a tidyverse implementation: `tidync`([Sumner 2020](#)).

Cubble provides an `as_cubble()` method to coerce the `ncdf4` class from the `ncdf4` package into a `cubble`. It maps each combination of longitude and latitude into an `id` (the `key`) and nests time and variables in the nested form:

```
R> # read in the .nc file as a ncdf4 class
R> raw <- ncdf4::nc_open(here::here("data/era5-pressure.nc"))
R>
R> # convert the variable q and z in the ncdf4 into a cubble
R> dt <- as_cubble(raw, vars = c("q", "z"))
```

Memory limit with Netcdf data in cubble depends on longitude grid point x latitude grid




Figure 10: A reproduction of the second row of Figure 19 in Hersbach (2020).

point x time grid point x number of variable. Cubble can handle slightly more than 300 x 300 (longitude x longitude) grid points for 3 variables in one year and spatial grid can be reduced to trade for longer time period and more variables. A 300 by 300 spatial grid can be a bounding box of [100, -80, 180, 0] at 0.25 degree resolution or global bounding box [-180, -90, 180, -90] at 1 degree resolution. Subsetting longitude and latitude grid is available through `long_range` and `lat_range` if the Netcdf file has finer resolution than needed.

```
R> # Assume `my_ncdf` has bounding box of [-180, -90, 180, -90]
R> # at 0.25 degree resolution and subset it to have
R> # 1 degree resolution:
R> dt <- as_cubble(my_ncdf, vars = c("q", "z"),
+                     long_range = seq(-180, 180, 1),
+                     lat_range = seq(-90, 90, 1))
```

Figure 10 gives an example of reproducing Figure 19 in Hersbach, Bell, Berrisford, Hirahara, Horányi, Muñoz-Sabater, Nicolas, Peubey, Radu, Schepers *et al.* (2020) using ERA5 data. ERA5 data (Hersbach *et al.* 2020) is the latest reanalysis of global atmosphere, land surface, and ocean waves from 1950 onwards and is available in the NetCDF format. It can be directly downloaded from the [Copernicus Climate Data Store \(CDS\)](#) website or programmatically via R package `ecmwfr`(Hufkens, Stauffer, and Campitelli 2019). Variable Specific humidity and geopotential are queried on the 10 hPa pressure level for four dates: 2002-09-22, 2002-09-26, 2002-09-30, and 2002-10-04 and once downloaded, the data can be read into a cubble using the code above. Readers who are interested in the details of can refer to Simmons, Soci, Nicolas, Bell, Berrisford, Dragani, Flemming, Haimberger, Healy, Hersbach *et al.* (2020) and Simmons, Hortal, Kelly, McNally, Untch, and Uppala (2005).

4.5. Interative graphic with cubble

With spatio-temporal data, users may wish to make plots to learn the spatial distribution of a variable or to find patterns such as trend or seasonality in the time series. Combining this two types of plot with interactivity let users to link between points on the map and their corresponding time series and explore the spatial and temporal dimension of the data

simultaneously. Below is an example that describes the process of building an interactive graphic with **cubicle** and **crosstalk**.

Starting with the original data, some pre-processing may be required to summarise the data before the visualisation. This example explores the variation of monthly temperature range across 639 weather stations in Australia and with `weatherdata::climate_full`, daily temperature range is first calculated as the difference between `tmax` and `tmin`. The three daily variables are then averaged into month over 2016 - 2020 in the long form. Variance of the temperature difference is then calculated for each station in the nested form. Then, a `SharedData` object is constructed for each form of the cubicle and the same `group` argument ensures the cross-linking of the two forms via the common `id` column. The spatial map and time series plot are then made with each `SharedData` objects separately. In this example, stations on the Australia map, made from the nested form, are coloured by the calculated variance and a ribbon band is constructed using the long form cubicle to show the maximum and minimum temperature of each station across month. With a different dataset, users are free to calculate any per station measure in the nested form or to make any time-wise summary of the data in the long form to customise the spatial or temporal view. And the cross-linking is always safeguarded by the shared `id` column embedded in the cubicle structure. Below is the pseudo code that outlines the process to construct an interactive graphic described above:

```
R> # data pre-processing
R> clean <- weatherdata::climate_full %>% ...
R>
R> # created SharedData instance for crosstalk
R> nested <- clean %>% SharedData$new(~id, group = "cubicle")
R> long <- stretch(clean) %>% SharedData$new(~id, group = "cubicle")
R>
R> # create the spatial and temporal view each with a SharedData instance
R> p1 <- nested %>% ...
R> p2 <- long %>% ...
R>
R> # Combine p1 and p2
R> crosstalk::bscols(plotly::ggplotly(p1), plotly::ggplotly(p2), ...)
```

In Figure 11, the first row shows the initial view of the interactive graphic. Overall, most regions in Australia have low variance of temperature range across different months while the north-west coastline, bottom of South Australia, and Victoria stands out with larger monthly changes. In the second row, Mount Elizabeth is selected on the map given its dark colour and this links to the ribbon on the right where there is a larger temperature range is presented in Australian winter (June to August). The third row selects the Grampian station in Victoria and the linked ribbon shows an opposite wider range in Australian summer period (December to February). The last row selects point with the lowest minimum temperature in August in the ribbon plot and surprisingly, this links to the Thredbo Airport in the Victoria and New South Wales border, rather than somewhere in the Tasmania island!

With leaflet popup, the temperature range can be displayed as a small subplot upon clicking on the map. This would require first creating the popup plots from the long form cubicle as a vector and then add these plots to a leaflet map, created from the nested cubicle, with `leafpop::addPopupGraphs()`:




Figure 11: Exploring temperature variation using linking of a map and seasonal display. Each row is a screen dump of the process. The top row shows all locations and all temperature profiles. Selecting a location with high variance on the map produces the plot in the second row. The maximum nad minimum temperature is shown using a ribbon. The bottom row first selects the lowest temperature in August in the seasonal display. A location in the Tasmania Island is then selected and surprisingly, it is actually warmer than the Thredbo AWS.




Figure 12: Screenshots of variance of monthly temperature range from 2016 to 2020 in Australia. Upon clicking a single station on the leaflet up, the temperature band will be shown as a subplot in the popup box.

```
R> # data pre-processing
R> clean <- weatherdata::climate_full %>% ...
R>
R> # use the long form to create subplots for each station
R> df_id <- unique(clean$id)
R> p <- map(1:length(df_id), function(i){
+   dt <- clean %>% filter(id == df_id[i])
+   ggplot(dt) %>% ...
+ })
R>
R> # create nested form leaflet map with temperature band as subplots
R> nested <- tamp(clean)
R> leaflet(nested) %>%
+   addTiles() %>%
+   addCircleMarkers(group = "a", ...) %>%
+   leafpop::addPopupGraphs(graph = p, ...)
```

Figure 12 shows the same content as Figure 11 but made with leaflet and popups.

5. Conclusion

6. Appendix

References

Baddeley A, Turner R (2005). “Spatstat: An R Package for Analyzing Spatial Point Patterns.”




Figure 13: demon interactivity

Journal of Statistical Software, **12**(6), 1–42. URL <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v012.i06>.

Buja A, Asimov D, Hurley C (1988). “Elements of a viewing pipeline.” *Dynamic Graphics Statistics*, p. 277.

Buja A, Cook D, Swayne DF (1996). “Interactive high-dimensional data visualization.” *Journal of computational and graphical statistics*, **5**(1), 78–99. URL <https://doi.org/10.2307/1390754>.

Castanedo F (2013). “A review of data fusion techniques.” *The scientific world journal*, **2013**.

Cheng X, Cook D, Hofmann H (2016). “Enabling interactivity on displays of multivariate time series and longitudinal data.” *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, **25**(4), 1057–1076. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/10618600.2015.1105749>.

Cocchi M (2019). *Data fusion methodology and applications*. Elsevier.

Hersbach H, Bell B, Berrisford P, Hirahara S, Horányi A, Muñoz-Sabater J, Nicolas J, Peubey C, Radu R, Schepers D, et al. (2020). “The ERA5 global reanalysis.” *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **146**(730), 1999–2049.

Hufkens K, Stauffer R, Campitelli E (2019). “The ecwmfr package: an interface to ECMWF API endpoints.” doi:10.5281/zenodo.2647541. URL <https://bluegreen-labs.github.io/ecmwfr/>.

McIntosh AI, Jenkins HE, White LF, Barnard M, Thomson DR, Dolby T, Simpson J, Streicher EM, Kleinman MB, Ragan EJ, et al. (2018). “Using routinely collected laboratory data

- to identify high rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis burden communities in the Western Cape Province, South Africa: A retrospective spatiotemporal analysis.” *PLoS medicine*, **15**(8), e1002638.
- Michna P, Woods M (2013). “RNetCDF—A package for reading and writing NetCDF datasets.” *The R Journal*, **5**(2), 29–36.
- Michna P, Woods M (2021). *RNetCDF: Interface to 'NetCDF' Datasets*. R package version 2.5-2, URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=RNetCDF>.
- Pebesma E (2012). “spacetime: Spatio-Temporal Data in R.” *Journal of Statistical Software*, **51**(7), 1–30. URL <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v051.i07>.
- Pebesma E (2021). *stars: Spatiotemporal Arrays, Raster and Vector Data Cubes*. R package version 0.5-2, URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=stars>.
- Pebesma E, Bivand RS (2005). “S classes and methods for spatial data: the sp package.” *R news*, **5**(2), 9–13.
- Pebesma EJ (2018). “Simple features for R: standardized support for spatial vector data.” *R Journal*, **10**(1), 439.
- Pierce D (2019). *ncdf4: Interface to Unidata netCDF (Version 4 or Earlier) Format Data Files*. R package version 1.17, URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ncdf4>.
- Ryan JA, Ulrich JM (2020). *xts: eXtensible Time Series*. R package version 0.12.1, URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=xts>.
- Simmons A, Hortal M, Kelly G, McNally A, Untch A, Uppala S (2005). “ECMWF analyses and forecasts of stratospheric winter polar vortex breakup: September 2002 in the Southern Hemisphere and related events.” *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*, **62**(3), 668–689.
- Simmons A, Soci C, Nicolas J, Bell B, Berrisford P, Dragani R, Flemming J, Haimberger L, Healy S, Hersbach H, et al. (2020). *Global stratospheric temperature bias and other stratospheric aspects of ERA5 and ERA5. 1*. European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts.
- Stuart EA (2010). “Matching methods for causal inference: A review and a look forward.” *Statistical science*, **25**(1), 1.
- Sumner M (2020). *tidync: A Tidy Approach to 'NetCDF' Data Exploration and Extraction*. R package version 0.2.4, URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tidync>.
- Sutherland P, Rossini A, Lumley T, Lewin-Koh N, Dickerson J, Cox Z, Cook D (2000). “Orca: A visualization toolkit for high-dimensional data.” *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, **9**(3), 509–529. URL <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10618600.2000.10474896>.
- Wang E, Cook D, Hyndman RJ (2020). “A new tidy data structure to support exploration and modeling of temporal data.” *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, **29**(3), 466–478. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/10618600.2019.1695624>.

- Wickham H (2014). “Tidy Data.” *Journal of Statistical Software*, **59**(10), 1–23. URL <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v059.i10>.
- Wickham H (2020). *cubelyr: A Data Cube ‘dplyr’ Backend*. R package version 1.0.1, URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=cubelyr>.
- Wickham H, Hofmann H, Wickham C, Cook D (2012). “Glyph-maps for visually exploring temporal patterns in climate data and models.” *Environmetrics*, **23**(5), 382–393.
- Xie Y, Hofmann H, Cheng X (2014). “Reactive programming for interactive graphics.” *Statistical Science*, pp. 201–213. URL <https://doi.org/10.1214/14-STS477>.

Affiliation: