

fun-ai-talk

A Primer on Large Language Models (LLM)

github.com/hululuzhu/llm-primer

Last update: Jan 2023
hululu.zhu@gmail.com

Disclaimer

- All content in this deck is based on public papers, shared codes/models, blog articles, social media discussions, and demos
- All opinions in this slide deck are of my personal own (hululu.zhu@gmail.com), and not those of DeepMind[®], Google[®], or Alphabet[®]

Me: 10+ yoe, various roles @ Alphabet®



Intern

SWE

RE

Agenda - LLM Primer

- Intro: Building blocks & capabilities [10 mins]
 - Core: Models, players, concepts, toolings & applications [40 mins]
 - Break [3 mins]
 - Bonus: Deep dive into ChatGPT [20 mins]
 - Q&A
-
- *No/Little coverage*
 - *Multilingual, Multimodal, Bias, Ethics, Safety, Serving, Carbon Emission, AGI*

Part 1/3: LLM Intro

Language Models (LM) and Large Language Models (LLM)

LM for understanding (e.g. *BERT*)


- Text in
- Embedding (numeric representation of understanding) out
 - The Embedding can be connected to other output heads for tasks like classification or regression

LM for generation (e.g. *GPT* or *T5*)

- Text in
- Text out

* In most cases, **LLM** refers to huge (e.g. >1B params) Deep Learning LM for generation

LLM building blocks: Deep Learning and Transformer



LLM is on top of Deep Learning

Transformer as dominating architecture for NLP since 2018

- Multi-head attention
- Encoder-Decoder
- Embedding layers
- Positional encoding
- Cross-Attention in decoder layers
- Output Softmax

Note: Tokenization (e.g. wordpiece, sentencePiece, BPE) is needed (outside Transformer) to convert text to token ids

Note: Sometimes we call it XFormer since there are many variations to the original Transformer


LLM Intro: How are LLMs trained [initially]?

- Fill the blanks (aka masks) for “Masked Language Models”
 - **Ground Truth:** “Paris is a beautiful city”
 - **X:** “Paris is a [MASK] city”
 - **Y:** “beautiful”
 - **Model:** “good”
 - **Optimize:** “good” 👎 “beautiful” 👍
- Predict the remaining text given prompt (on the left), for “Generative Language Models”
 - **Ground Truth:** “Paris is a | beautiful city”
 - **X:** “Paris is a”
 - **Y:** “beautiful”
 - **Model:** “good”
 - **Optimize:** “good” 👎 “beautiful” 👍
 - **X:** “Paris is a beautiful”
 - **Y:** “city”
 - **Model:** “place”
 - **Optimize:** “place” 👎 “city” 👍
- The “Self-supervised” Learning Paradigm
 - It is supervised (given x, predict y)
 - It does NOT require expensive human labels (more precisely, this statement is only true for pre-training)

Decoding/Generating Algorithms in Generative LLMs


Decode **token** by **token**, left to right. A new output token is appended as next token's decoder input

- Beam Search
 - Maintain a max size of searching “beams (paths)” to get best overall best beam
- Sampling
 - Sampling based on probabilities
- Greedy
 - Select the $\text{argmax}(\text{prob})$ token at every position
- Top-k, Top-p and more




How to train LLM (in parallel)?

- Data Parallelism
 - different subsets of the batch on different GPU/TPUs
- Pipeline parallelism
 - different layers of the model on different GPU/TPUs
- Tensor Parallelism
 - Break up tensor operation (e.g. matrix multiplication) to different GPU/TPUs
- Mixture of Experts (sparse)
 - Gated layer to only activate fractions (one of few of all the experts) of the model



An illustration of various parallelism strategies on a three-layer model. Each color refers to one layer and dashed lines separate different GPUs.

LLM capabilities: High-level tasks that LLMs can do



LLM capabilities: Some advanced tasks


- Write code, [GitHub's AI Coding Assistant Copilot Launches - Voicebot.ai](#)
- Writing a journal paper, [Researcher Tells AI to Write a Paper About Itself, Then Submits It to Academic Journal](#)
- “Sentient” Dialog conversation, [Google Sidelines Engineer Who Claims Its AI \(Google LaMDA\) Is Sentient - The New York Times](#)
- Quantitative reasoning, [Google AI Blog: Minerva: Solving Quantitative Reasoning Problems with Language Models](#)
- Explaining a joke, [Google's Massive New Language Model Can Explain Jokes](#)

LLM capabilities: Even more challenging tasks

- Write competitive code, [DeepMind's AlphaCode AI writes code at a competitive level | TechCrunch](#)
- Write better code with reinforcement learning, [Salesforce's CodeRL Achieves SOTA Code Generation Results With Strong Zero-Shot Transfer Capabilities | Synced](#)
- Solve college level Math/Physics/Chemistry/Economics problems, see [Google AI Introduces Minerva: A Natural Language Processing \(NLP\) Model That Solves Mathematical Questions](#)
- Solve Math Olympiad Problems, [OpenAI: Solving \(Some\) Formal Math Olympiad Problems](#)
- GPT-F by OpenAI, [automated prover and proof assistant for the Metamath formalization language](#)

Part 2/3: LLM Core

LLM example: BERT (encoder-only LLM)



Pretraining:

- Masked language training
- Next sentence prediction (NSP)

Fine-tuning:

- Connect to BERT output and work for many tasks

LLM example: GPT (decoder-only LLM)

GPT often refers to a family of models (GPT, GPT2, GPT3...)

First influential decoder-only models

GPT creates the “Few/Zero shot Prompt”

OpenAI started to “un-share” models since GPT2


Few-shot

In addition to the task description, the model sees a few examples of the task. No gradient updates are performed.

1	Translate English to French:	← task description
2	sea otter => loutre de mer	← examples
3	peppermint => menthe poivrée	
4	plush girafe => girafe peluche	
5	cheese =>	← prompt

[Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training](#), [OpenAI says its text-generating algorithm GPT-2 is too dangerous to release](#), [What is GPT-3? Everything your business needs to know about OpenAI's breakthrough AI language program | ZDNET](#)

LLM example: T5 (encoder-decoder LLM)



T5: unified framework that converts all text-based language problems into a **text-to-text** format

- T5 works well on a variety of tasks out-of-the-box with “prompts”

LLM Example: GLM 130B (public for research, Chinese+English)

Hardware	GPU Memory	Quantization	Weight Offload
8 * A100	40 GB	No	No
8 * V100	32 GB	No	Yes (BMInf)
8 * V100	32 GB	INT8	No
8 * RTX 3090	24 GB	INT8	No
4 * RTX 3090	24 GB	INT4	No
8 * RTX 2080 Ti	11 GB	INT4	No



LLM Players: OpenAI and selected work

- [GPT-1](#) 2018
- [GPT-2](#), 2019
 - [OpenAI: Too dangerous to share, How OpenAI Sold its Soul for \\$1 Billion](#)
- [GPT-3](#), 2020
 - 175B parameters! 100x larger
- [Codex](#) (powers [github copilot](#)), 2021
 - Text+Code pretrain
- [GPT-3.5](#), Q4 2021
 - Instruction finetune
- [InstructGPT](#), Q1 2022
 - RLHF (Reinforcement Learning Human Feedback)
- [ChatGPT](#), Dec 2022
 - Product launch

LLM Players: Google/DeepMind and selected work

- [BERT](#), 2018
 - Completely changed the NLP research and industry
- [T5](#), 2020
 - Consolidate all NLP task to text-to-text
- [FLAN](#), 2021
 - Instruction Fine-Tuning (probably inspires GPT3.5)
- [LaMDA](#), 2021
 - [LaMDA and the Sentient AI Trap | WIRED](#)
- [Chinchilla](#), 2022
 - "Most LLMs are under-trained!"
- [PaLM](#), 2022
 - 540B params, 3x GPT3
- [Sparrow](#), 2022
 - Reinforcement-learning LLM, only paper, no public product

LLM Players: Facebook (aka Meta) and selected models

- [RoBERTa](#), 2019
 - A more popular version of enhanced BERT for the industry
- [BART](#), 2020
 - Pretraining sequence-to-sequence models
- [OPT-175B](#), 2022
 - “Democratizing access to large-scale language models”
- [BlenderBot3](#), 2022
 - Probably largest chatbot-specific LM
- [Galactica \(research purpose LLM\)](#), 2022
 - [Taken down after 3 days](#) after many harsh criticism like [this](#)

LLM Players: Other multinational companies

- [Megatron-Turing by NVidia and Microsoft](#)
 - 530B params on 2240 NVIDIA A100 GPUs
- [CodeT5](#) and [CodeRL](#) by Salesforce
 - [probably] the most popular coding-assist base models
- *[Most likely missing many great work from other organizations, sorry]*

LLM Players: Large Chinese companies


- [Pangu-alpha](#) by Huawei, 2021
 - 200B params, *[I read from articles mentioning it was believed to be under-trained, lack reference]*
- [Wudao 2.0 by BAAI](#), 2021
 - A **sparse** (thus less powerful IMO) multimodal model with 1.75 Trillion params
- [Ernie 3.0 Titan](#) by Baidu, 2021
 - 260B params, on top of PaddlePaddle (Baidu Deep learning framework), most likely the best Chinese LLM
- [M6 by Alibaba & Tsinghua](#), 2021
 - 100B, Later 2021 [a sparse version with 1 trillion+ params](#)

LLM Players: Selected Institutes, Groups & Startups

- [Allen Institute for AI \(AI2\)](#)
- [Tsinghua University](#) ([GLM 130B](#) 2022 public)
- [BigScience research workshop](#) ([bloom, 176B](#), 2022 public)
- [Eleuther AI](#) ([GPT-neox 20B](#) public, 2021)
- [Anthropic](#) (founders wrote the Transformer paper, their [RLHF LLM paper](#), 2022)
- [Zhuiyi Technology](#) ([Su Jianlin](#) and [RoFormer](#) 2021)

LLM Concepts: Foundational Models

- Brought up by [Researchers @ Stanford HAI](#)
- LLM is one of the foundational models



LLM Concepts: Benchmarks

- GLUE: A Multi-Task Benchmark for NLP, 2018
 - Leaderboard: <https://gluebenchmark.com/leaderboard/>
- [SuperGLUE](#), 2019
- [BIG-bench](#), 2020
- [GSM8K](#) (math), 2021
- And more...

LLM Concepts: Pretraining, Finetuning & Prompt-Tuning

- Pretraining
 - Self-supervised training with Masked Language Prediction or Next Token Prediction objectives
- Finetuning
 - Take a pretraining model into a downstream use case
 - The parameters of pretraining model will often change
- Prompt-Tuning
 - The pretrained generative model will keep unchanged
 - The prompt (input to the model) will be tuned/engineered

* The whole concepts here also applied to vision domains recently, e.g. [MAE work](#)

LLM Concepts: Scaling Laws for LLM

OpenAI, 2020

- If you have 10x more budget, ~5x model size, ~2x data size

DeepMind, 2022

- If you have 10x more budget, ~3x model size, ~3x data size
- “Most LLMs are under-trained” *[because oversized model, while lacking training data]*
- But, [karpathy: I can't exactly reproduce Chinchilla paper results](#)

LLM Concepts: Prompt Engineering (aka “In-context learning” or “hard prompt”)

“***Let’s think step by step***” to increase accuracy from 17.7% to 78.7!

- See [Zero-Shot Reasoners](#) for details

More prompt engineering guide, see [openai-cookbook/techniques_to_improve_reliability](#)

No.	Category	Template	Accuracy
1	instructive	Let’s think step by step.	78.7
2		First, (*1)	77.3
3		Let’s think about this logically.	74.5
4		Let’s solve this problem by splitting it into steps. (*2)	72.2
5		Let’s be realistic and think step by step.	70.8
6		Let’s think like a detective step by step.	70.3
7		Let’s think	57.5
8		Before we dive into the answer,	55.7
9		The answer is after the proof.	45.7
10	misleading	Don’t think. Just feel.	18.8
11		Let’s think step by step but reach an incorrect answer.	18.7
12		Let’s count the number of "a" in the question.	16.7
13		By using the fact that the earth is round,	9.3
14	irrelevant	By the way, I found a good restaurant nearby.	17.5
15		AbraKadabra!	15.5
16		It’s a beautiful day.	13.1
-		(Zero-shot)	17.7


LLM Concepts: Prompt Tuning (aka soft prompt [embedding])

“learning **soft prompts**” to condition **frozen language models** to perform specific downstream tasks”

- Model is frozen (or most of it)
- Additional small task-specific embeddings are learnt per task
- Often with small number of “soft prompt training” samples

See [paper](#) for details


Also note soft prompt can be combined with hard prompt to “hard-soft prompt tuning”, see [Med-PaLM paper](#)



LLM Concepts: “Emerging abilities”

See [paper](#) for more details

- Note X axis unit is floating-point operations per second (FLOPs)



LLM Concepts: Chain of Thoughts ([paper](#))

chain of thought (CoT)

- A series of intermediate reasoning steps (as part of prompt)
- Significantly improves ability of LLM

See [CoT paper](#) for details


Standard Prompting	Chain-of-Thought Prompting
<p>Model Input</p> <p>Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?</p> <p>A: The answer is 11.</p> <p>Q: The cafeteria had 23 apples. If they used 20 to make lunch and bought 6 more, how many apples do they have?</p>	<p>Model Input</p> <p>Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?</p> <p>A: Roger started with 5 balls. 2 cans of 3 tennis balls each is 6 tennis balls. $5 + 6 = 11$. The answer is 11.</p> <p>Q: The cafeteria had 23 apples. If they used 20 to make lunch and bought 6 more, how many apples do they have?</p>
<p>Model Output</p> <p>A: The answer is 27. ❌</p>	<p>Model Output</p> <p>A: The cafeteria had 23 apples originally. They used 20 to make lunch. So they had $23 - 20 = 3$. They bought 6 more apples, so they have $3 + 6 = 9$. The answer is 9. ✅</p>

LLM Concepts: Least-to-most Prompting

2 stage prompting:

1. reducing a complex problem into a list of subproblems
2. and then sequentially solving these subproblems

See [Least-to-most prompting paper](#) for details



LLM Concepts: Hallucination (part of safety concerns)

“What is the world record for crossing the English Channel entirely on foot?” from Twitter



What is the world record for crossing the English Channel entirely on foot?



According to the Guinness World Records, the fastest time for crossing the English Channel entirely on foot is 12 hours and 10 minutes. This record was set by George Reiff in 2016. The English Channel is a body of water that separates England from France, and is approximately 35 kilometers (22 miles) wide at its narrowest point. Many people attempt to cross the English Channel on foot as a endurance challenge, and the route is usually completed by either swimming or using a combination of swimming and wading.



LLM Concepts: Retrieval-system powered LLM

- [RETRO by DeepMind](#), 2021
- [LaMDA 2022 paper by Google](#), 2022
- [Atlas by Facebook](#), 2022


** personally, I think LLM+Retrieval System is the good way to balance LLM capabilities and data freshness to meet business purposes in the short term*

LLM Concepts: RLHF for LLM

- RL (reinforcement learning) was something popular back to 2016 when [AlphaGo](#) is made the news
- OpenAI has some [RLHF research](#) back in 2017
- [InstructGPT](#) (paper published 2022) is believed to let GPT3 be more powerful
- Other players
 - Anthropic
 - Google
 - DeepMind
 - More

LLM Concepts: MoE LLM

- [MoE concept by Google](#), 2017
- [Jeff Dean Pathways](#), 2021
 - “Today's models are dense and inefficient. Pathways will make them sparse and efficient.”



LLM Tooling: HuggingFace

- Best LLM tools and model hub, period [my favorite!]
- Easy
 - [To load models](#)
 - [To tokenize](#)
 - To start [out of the box with Pipelines](#)
 - To [tune with examples](#)
 - To [publish](#) and [deploy](#)
- My pet projects
 - Chinese poem model <https://huggingface.co/hululuzhu/chinese-poem-t5-mengzi-finetune>
 - Solidity code model <https://huggingface.co/hululuzhu/solidity-t5>



* Do you know the super popular [Stable Diffusion model](#) is published and hosted at HuggingFace?

LLM Tooling: TF Hub, PyTorch-NLP & PaddleNLP

[TF Hub](#) by Google (and community)

[PyTorch-NLP](#) by Meta (and community)

[PaddleNLP](#) by Baidu (and community)

Alibaba recently [started ModelScope](#)

** No one is ever close to HuggingFace as of Jan 2023, in my opinion*

LLM Tooling: Transformers, Colossal-AI, Ray & NanoGPT

[Transformers Library](#) (github 77k stars) by Huggingface

- Best of the best

[Colossal-AI](#) by Prof Yang You (who developed [LAMB optimizer](#))

- Pretty promising open-source distributed AI training infra

[Ray by anyscales](#)

- Believed to be used to train ChatGPT

[NanoGPT](#)

- A tiny but cool library by Andrej Karpathy (I am his big fan!)

LLM Tooling: Other [more fine-grained] toolings


- TensorFlow
- PyTorch
- PaddlePaddle
- Keras
- PyTorch Lightning
- Jax/Haiku/Flax/Trax/T5X

LLM Applications:

- Search/Ranking, Recommendation
- Chatbot
- Spam detect
- Censorship
- Code assist
- And more

Part 3/3: ChatGPT


ChatGPT's Model Evolving



Check out [this great article](#) by Yao Fu, yao.fu@ed.ac.uk, pic above is from this article too

ChatGPT Research overview


[OpenAI chatGPT blog](#) only mentioned “using the same methods as InstructGPT”, so assume InstructGPT is the research behind



Check out this [nice video](#) (Chat GPT (可能)是怎麼煉成的- GPT 社會化的過程) by Dr. Hung-yi Lee if you understand Chinese

Possible next steps for ChatGPT? (by Jonathan Hui)

- Step 1: Instead of pure human labeler, we may use reward model to pick good data for “semi-supervised” learning
- Step 2: Ranking stage can also be “semi-supervised”
- Step 3: Besides RL based self-play, we can use [GAN](#) (generative adversarial network)



ChatGPT Engineering

Frontend

- One-page application
- Social login supported
- Perceived low latency (as if someone is typing)
- Markdown support (tables, pics, ascii art, code besides text)

Backend

- Hard to know how many model replicas
 - Assume half million people use GPT 3x per day, so average QPS = $1.5M/86400 \sim 20qps$
- Recently (from 01/10), ChatGPT is often too crowded to reject use

[Very very rough] \$\$ estimate to train/serve (1-month) a ChatGPT?

People: 25 ([OPT 20](#), [GPT3 ~30](#), [LaMDA ~40](#), [GLM ~20](#))

- Assume \$25k per month, avg ~6 months, thus 3.75 million USD

Pretraining Computing

- Let's say 2M (references from [0.5M](#) to [4.6M](#))

Labeling (people write high quality instructions)

- Assume 100 (20-200 people based on Anthropic and InstructGPT and my irresponsible guess)
- Each paid 2k USD per month * 3 mon, so roughly 0.6M USD

Finetuning & RLHF training

- Hard to predict, but finetune is <10% than pretrain, while RL varies from 100% to 10x in my opinion
- So assume same cost as pretrain, 2M

Serving

- Assume half million users issued 3 request per day, and OpenAI CEO: "[average is probably single-digits cents per chat](#)", so if we serve for 1 month
- 2-9 cents per request * 1.5 million request per day * 30 = 0.9 million to 4 million USD, assume 2M

* My [probably bad] guess: $3.75 + 2 + 0.6 + 2 + 2 = 10.35$ million USD!

Assume enough resources (\$\$), what are the technical challenges?

1. **Difficult** to train GPT-3 codex version basic model using a large amount of text and code on a GPU cluster
2. Using high-quality expert Q&A data as a demonstration, fine-tuning it into a GPT-SFT.
3. **Difficult** to open the model and understand which representative questions are asked in which scenarios by the users.
4. **Difficult** to collect Q&A scenarios, allowing the model to generate various answers for human sorting, and using this to learn the reward model (input Q&A, output predicted reward value).
5. **Very difficult** to use language models and reward models to improve ability through reinforcement learning."
6. Difficult to wrap steps three to five to iterate multiple times, expecting to understand more questions, have a more accurate reward model, and a stronger model. The process also needs to introduce better evaluation mechanisms.
7. Using Moderation API to determine if the user's question is harmful.
8. ChatGPT system is released, questions are first reviewed and then the language model outputs the answer

Timeline of ChatGPT-related research between Google and OpenAI

- June 2017, DeepMind proposed the concept of RLHF, but in the environment of game and robot simulation.
- June 2017, Google released Transformer, which became the infrastructure of all subsequent LLMs.
- June 18, OpenAI released decoder-only GPT-1 (110 million parameters), which did not become very popular.
- October 2018, Google released encoder-only BERT (max 350 million parameters), can be used to fine-tune tasks, and was super popular.
- February 19, OpenAI announced GPT-2 up to 1.5B params. OpenAI said that GPT2 was too dangerous to share, and was ridiculed by crowd.
- September 19, OpenAI used GPT2 (0.77B params) to use RLHF to finetune.
- October 2019, Google released encoder-decoder T5 with up to 11 billion params. Compatible with the tasks for BERT and GPT.
- May 2020, OpenAI published the GPT-3 paper (175B params), mentioned prompt engineering (e.g. the famous "Let's think step by step" later discovered 2022), semi-open through API.
- September 20, OpenAI used GPT3 (13B params) to use RLHF to summarize long texts (ChatGPT uses RLHF), and also used PPO.
- October 21, Google published FLAN (137B parameters, decoder-only), and proposed instruction tuning used by ChatGPT.
- November 21, DeepMind published a Gopher paper (280B params), joining the LLM battle without too many waves.
- January 22, Google published the LaMDA paper (137B params, decoder-only), which is the famous "sentient" model.
- March 22, OpenAI released InstructGPT (175B parameters), instruction finetune and RLHF were used, but it did not attract attention.
- March 22, DeepMind published Chinchilla (70 billion parameters). The selling point was the discovery of a new scaling law.
- April 22, Google released flagship PaLM (540B parameters, decoder-only) on Pathways system, also mentioned "Chain of Thought"
- September 22, DeepMind released Sparrow (70 billion), applied RLHF and added Retrieval (Google Search).
- December 22, OpenAI released ChatGPT product, claiming to use InstructGPT, everyone said the future has come, and Google is in trouble..

1/3 moments Google/DeepMind chose a less optimal path in my personal opinion

- *Decoder-only architecture, Google/DeepMind waited 20 months after GPT1*
- June 2017, DeepMind proposed the concept of RLHF, but in the environment of game and robot simulation.
- June 2017, Google released Transformer, which became the infrastructure of all subsequent LLMs.
- June 18, OpenAI released decoder-only GPT-1 (110 million parameters), which did not become very popular.
- October 2018, Google released encoder-only BERT (max 350 million parameters), can be used to fine-tune tasks, and was super popular.
- February 19, OpenAI announced GPT-2 up to 1.5B params. OpenAI said that GPT2 was too dangerous to share, and was ridiculed by crowd.
- September 19, OpenAI used GPT2 (0.77B params) to use RLHF to finetune.
- October 2019, Google released encoder-decoder T5 with up to 11 billion params. Compatible with the tasks for BERT and GPT.
- May 2020, OpenAI published the GPT-3 paper (175B params), mentioned prompt engineering (e.g. the famous "Let's think step by step" later discovered 2022), semi-open through API.
- September 20, OpenAI used GPT3 (13B params) to use RLHF to summarize long texts (ChatGPT uses RLHF), and also used PPO.
- October 21, Google published FLAN (137B parameters, decoder-only), and proposed instruction tuning used by ChatGPT.
- November 21, DeepMind published a Gopher paper (280B params), joining the LLM battle without too many waves.
- January 22, Google published the LaMDA paper (137B params, decoder-only), which is the famous "sentient" model.
- March 22, OpenAI released InstructGPT (175B parameters), instruction finetune and RLHF were used, but it did not attract attention.
- March 22, DeepMind published Chinchilla (70 billion parameters). The selling point was the discovery of a new scaling law.
- April 22, Google released flagship PaLM (540B parameters, decoder-only) on Pathways system, also mentioned "Chain of Thought"
- September 22, DeepMind released Sparrow (70 billion), applied RLHF and added Retrieval (Google Search).
- December 22, OpenAI released ChatGPT product, claiming to use InstructGPT, everyone said the future has come, and Google is in trouble..

2/3 moments Google/DeepMind chose a less optimal path in my personal opinion

- **Dense super-large LLM, Google/DeepMind waited 18 months after GPT3**
- June 2017, DeepMind proposed the concept of RLHF, but in the environment of game and robot simulation.
- June 2017, Google released Transformer, which became the infrastructure of all subsequent LLMs.
- June 18, OpenAI released decoder-only GPT-1 (110 million parameters), which did not become very popular.
- October 2018, Google released encoder-only BERT (max 350 million parameters), can be used to fine-tune tasks, and was super popular.
- February 19, OpenAI announced GPT-2 up to 1.5B params. OpenAI said that GPT2 was too dangerous to share, and was ridiculed by crowd.
- September 19, OpenAI used GPT2 (0.77B params) to use RLHF to finetune.
- October 2019, Google released encoder-decoder T5 with up to 11 billion params. Compatible with the tasks for BERT and GPT.
- May 2020, OpenAI published the GPT-3 paper (175B params), mentioned prompt engineering (e.g. the famous "Let's think step by step" later discovered 2022), semi-open through API.
- September 20, OpenAI used GPT3 (13B params) to use RLHF to summarize long texts (ChatGPT uses RLHF), and also used PPO.
- October 21, Google published FLAN (137B parameters, decoder-only), and proposed instruction tuning used by ChatGPT.
- November 21, DeepMind published a Gopher paper (280B params), joining the LLM battle without too many waves.
- January 22, Google published the LaMDA paper (137B params, decoder-only), which is the famous "sentient" model.
- March 22, OpenAI released InstructGPT (175B parameters), instruction finetune and RLHF were used, but it did not attract attention.
- March 22, DeepMind published Chinchilla (70 billion parameters). The selling point was the discovery of a new scaling law.
- April 22, Google released flagship PaLM (540B parameters, decoder-only) on Pathways system, also mentioned "Chain of Thought"
- September 22, DeepMind released Sparrow (70 billion), applied RLHF and added Retrieval (Google Search).
- December 22, OpenAI released ChatGPT product, claiming to use InstructGPT, everyone said the future has come, and Google is in trouble..

3/3 moments Google/DeepMind chose a less optimal path in my personal opinion

- *RLHF for LLM, Google/DeepMind waited 36+ months*

- June 2017, DeepMind proposed the concept of RLHF, but in the environment of game and robot simulation.
- June 2017, Google released Transformer, which became the infrastructure of all subsequent LLMs.
- June 18, OpenAI released decoder-only GPT-1 (110 million parameters), which did not become very popular.
- October 2018, Google released encoder-only BERT (max 350 million parameters), can be used to fine-tune tasks, and was super popular.
- February 19, OpenAI announced GPT-2 up to 1.5B params. OpenAI said that GPT2 was too dangerous to share, and was ridiculed by crowd.
- September 19, OpenAI used GPT2 (0.77B params) to use RLHF to finetune.
- October 2019, Google released encoder-decoder T5 with up to 11 billion params. Compatible with the tasks for BERT and GPT.
- May 2020, OpenAI published the GPT-3 paper (175B params), mentioned prompt engineering (e.g. the famous "Let's think step by step" later discovered 2022), semi-open through API.
- September 20, OpenAI used GPT3 (13B params) to use RLHF to summarize long texts (ChatGPT uses RLHF), and also used PPO.
- October 21, Google published FLAN (137B parameters, decoder-only), and proposed instruction tuning used by ChatGPT.
- November 21, DeepMind published a Gopher paper (280B params), joining the LLM battle without too many waves.
- January 22, Google published the LaMDA paper (137B params, decoder-only), which is the famous "sentient" model.
- March 22, OpenAI released InstructGPT (175B parameters), instruction finetune and RLHF were used, but it did not attract attention.
- March 22, DeepMind published Chinchilla (70 billion parameters). The selling point was the discovery of a new scaling law.
- April 22, Google released flagship PaLM (540B parameters, decoder-only) on Pathways system, also mentioned "Chain of Thought"
- September 22, DeepMind released Sparrow (70 billion), applied RLHF and added Retrieval (Google Search).
- December 22, OpenAI released ChatGPT product, claiming to use InstructGPT, everyone said the future has come, and Google is in trouble..

ChatGPT fun facts and discussions

- [Role-playing prompts](#)
 - “Act as a [...], blabla”
- [Moderation API](#)
 - A separate API/model as compared to ChatGPT model
- Coding help and analysis
- Context size
 - [8192 tokens?](#)
- Integration with Microsoft
 - [Bing](#)
 - [Office](#)
- More to be added


ChatGPT Challenges

- [Safety](#)
- [Bias](#)
- [Banned by schools](#) (others said [should NOT ban](#)), [stackoverflow](#), and...
- Potentially help to [cheat](#) for online interviews
- [Hallucination](#)
 - Gary Marcus: "[How come GPT can seem so brilliant one minute and so breathtakingly dumb the next?](#)"
 - [Non-existing source citation](#)
- Copyright ([who ultimately owns content](#))
- Cost
 - [Paid version](#) came out recently
- And more


ChatGPT may become a next [...]?



iPhone?



Alexa?



Clubhouse?

Thank you!

A Primer on Large Language Models (LLM)

github.com/hululuzhu/llm-primer

Last update: Jan 2023
hululu.zhu@gmail.com