Wireless Local Area Network (IEEE 802.11)

無線區域網路標準-IEEE 802.11


- Specifies a single Medium Access Control (MAC) sublayer and 3 Physical Layer Specifications.
- Stations can operate in two configurations :
 - Ad-hoc mode
 - Infrastructure mode
- Three PHY specifications
 - Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS).
 - Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum (DSSS).
 - Infrared PHY.

IEEE 802.11規範

Data


Link

- IEEE Project 802 Charter:
 - Local & Metropolitan Area Network
 - 1Mbps to 100Mbps and higher
 - 2 lower layers of 7 Layer OSI Reference Model
- IEEE 802.11 Working Group scope:
 - Wireless connectivity for fixed, portable and moving stations within a limited area
 - Appear to higher layer (LLC) the same as existing 802 standards



IEEE 802.11架構


• 1997/6/26通過成為IEEE 802系列標準




Spread Spectrum Technology


- IEEE 802.11:
 - DSSS (Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum)
 - 11channels
 - PN-code長度為11-bit
 - 展頻寬度為11MHz
 - FHSS (Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum)
 - 78 hop sequences
 - 頻帶範圍為1MHz,
 - 跳頻的頻率為每秒2.5次
 - Infrared Ray
- 展頻技術的比較角度:
 - 抗干擾能力
 - 網路容量及可變度
 - 系統傳輸績效
 - 可移動性及安全性等。
- 發展趨勢:DHSS往高速無線區域網路系統發展,適合辦公室環境使用;FHSS會往低價網路應用發展,提供SOHO及家庭應用、或周邊設備之無線網路連結。

直接序列展頻 (DSSS)






跳頻展頻 (FHSS)




Ad Hoc網路



- One Basic Service Set
- Directed Connection
- Limited Coverage Area

Infrastructure網路



Extend Service Set


Infrastructure網路 (cont.)

- Access Point and Mobile Station
- Distributed System Interconnect Multiple Cells via Access Points to form a single network
- Extends Wireless Coverage Area
- Multiple Basic Service Sets connected together form an Extended Service Set
- Allow Mobile Station to access fixed resource
- Support Roaming for Mobile Stations via Access Point
- Point Coordination Function, Power Management, Time Synchronization support through Access Point

IEEE 802.11 Services

- Provides services with the following functionality: roaming within a ESS, multiple data rates in BSSs and Power Management.
- The MAC protocol is Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance (CSMA/CA)
- MAC services
 - Association service
 - Authentication service
 - Distribution service
 - Integration service
 - Deauthentication/Deassociation service

IEEE 802.11通訊協定層級



資料來源:IEEE

IEEE 802.11通訊協定功能

MAC Entity

- Basic access mechanism
- fragmentation
- Encryption

MAC Layer Management Entity

- Synchronous
- Power Management
- Association and Re-association
- Roaming (hand-off)
- MAC MIB

Physical Layer Convergence Protocol (PLCP)

- Support common PHY SAP
- Scramble / Descramble
- Provides Clear Channel AssessmentSignal

⊠Physical Medium

Dependence Sublayer (PMD)

Modulation and Encoding

1 2.4 GHz ISM or Infrared Ray

M Channel tuning

Maintaining PHY MIB

⊠Station Management

Management and PHY
Management

IEEE 802.11 TGa

- The TGa is working on the high data-rate extension in the 5 GHz band, project 802.11a, was able to produce a new draft standard that has the support of at least 80 % of the members.
- TGa is looking at a candidate for worldwide standardization!


IEEE 802.11 TGa (cont.)

- The specifications of the Physical Layer encompass data rates from 6 Mbit/s up to 54 Mbit/s, with 20 MHz spacing between adjacent channels.
- All implementations are required to support 6, 12 and 24 Mbit/s. Optional extensions are for 9, 18, 36, 48 and 54Mbit/s.
- The multi-rate mechanism of the MAC protocol ensures that all devices communicate with each other at the best data rate in the present channel.

IEEE 802.11 TGb

- The TGb is working on the extension of the 1 and 2 Mbit/s data rates in the 2.45 GHz band with 5.5 and 11 Mbit/s has reached a technical compromise solution to improve the co-existence and interoperability characteristics between options.
- The multi-rate mechanism warrants that the 11 Mbit/s operation can switch back to 5.5Mbit/s. Stations that are even further could switch back to the 2 and 1 Mbit/s capabilities of the DSSS modulation.

無線區域網路軟體技術架構




MAC Protocols: Issues

- Hidden Terminal Problem
- Reliability
- Collision avoidance
- Congestion control
- Fairness
- Energy efficiency

Hidden Terminal Problem

- Node B can communicate with A and C both
- A and C cannot hear each other

- When A transmits to B, C cannot detect the transmission using the *carrier sense* mechanism
- If C transmits, collision will occur at node B



MACA Solution for Hidden Terminal Problem [Karn90]

- When node A wants to send a packet to node B, node A first sends a *Request-to-Send (RTS)* to A
- On receiving RTS, node A responds by sending *Clear-to-Send (CTS)*, provided node A is able to receive the packet
- When a node (such as C) overhears a CTS, it keeps quiet for the duration of the transfer
 - Transfer duration is included in RTS and CTS both

Reliability

• Wireless links are prone to errors. High packet loss rate detrimental to transport-layer performance.

 Mechanisms needed to reduce packet loss rate experienced by upper layers

A Simple Solution to Improve Reliability

• When node B receives a data packet from node A, node B sends an Acknowledgement (Ack). This approach adopted in many protocols [Bharghavan94,IEEE 802.11]

• If node A fails to receive an Ack, it will retransmit the packet

IEEE 802.11 Wireless MAC

• Distributed and centralized MAC components

- Distributed Coordination Function (DCF)
- Point Coordination Function (PCF)

• DCF suitable for multi-hop ad hoc networking

IEEE 802.11 DCF

- Uses RTS-CTS exchange to avoid hidden terminal problem
 - Any node overhearing a CTS cannot transmit for the duration of the transfer
- Uses ACK to achieve reliability
- Any node receiving the RTS cannot transmit for the duration of the transfer
 - To prevent collision with ACK when it arrives at the sender
 - When B is sending data to C, node A will keep quite


Collision Avoidance

- With half-duplex radios, collision detection is not possible
- **CSMA/CA:** Wireless MAC protocols often use *collision avoidance* techniques, in conjunction with a (physical or virtual) *carrier sense* mechanism
- Carrier sense: When a node wishes to transmit a packet, it first waits until the channel is idle
- Collision avoidance: Once channel becomes idle, the node waits for a randomly chosen duration before attempting to transmit

Congestion Avoidance: IEEE 802.1 DCF

- When transmitting a packet, choose a backoff interval in the range [0,cw]
 - cw is contention window
- Count down the backoff interval when medium is idle
 - Count-down is suspended if medium becomes busy
- When backoff interval reaches 0, transmit RTS

DCF Example



cw = 31

B1 and B2 are backoff intervals at nodes 1 and 2

Congestion Avoidance

- The time spent counting down backoff intervals is a part of MAC overhead
- Choosing a *large cw* leads to large backoff intervals and can result in larger overhead
- Choosing a *small cw* leads to a larger number of collisions (when two nodes count down to 0 simultaneously)

MAC Protocols: Issues

- Hidden Terminal Problem
- Reliability
- Collision avoidance
- Congestion control
- Fairness
- Energy efficiency

Congestion Control

• Since the number of nodes attempting to transmit simultaneously may change with time, some mechanism to manage congestion is needed

• IEEE 802.11 DCF: Congestion control achieved by dynamically choosing the contention window *cw*

Binary Exponential Backoff in DCF

- When a node fails to receive CTS in response to its RTS, it increases the contention window
 - cw is doubled (up to an upper bound)
- When a node successfully completes a data transfer, it restores *cw* to *CWmin*


MILD Algorithm in MACAW

- When a node fails to receive CTS in response to its RTS, it multiplies *cw* by 1.5
 - Similar to 802.11, except that 802.11 multiplies by 2
- When a node successfully completes a transfer, it reduces *cw* by 1
 - Different from 802.11 where cw is restored to Cwmin
 - In 802.11, cw reduces much faster than it increases
 - MACAW: cw reduces slower than it increases
 Exponential Increase Linear Decrease
- MACAW can avoid wild oscillations of cw when congestion is high

Fairness Issue

• Many definitions of fairness plausible

• Simplest definition: All nodes should receive *equal* bandwidth



Fairness Issue

• Assume that initially, A and B both choose a backoff interval in range [0,31] but their RTSs collide

- Nodes A and B then choose from range [0,63]
 - Node A chooses 4 slots and B choose 60 slots
 - After A transmits a packet, it next chooses from range
 [0,31]
 - It is possible that A may transmit several packets before
 B transmits its first packet

Fairness Issue


• Unfairness occurs when one node has backed off much more than some other node

MACAW Solution for Fairness

- When a node transmits a packet, it appends the *cw* value to the packet, all nodes hearing that *cw* value use it for their future transmission attempts
- Since *cw* is an indication of the level of congestion in the vicinity of a specific receiver node, MACAW proposes maintaining *cw* independently for each receiver
- Using per-receiver *cw* is particularly useful in multi-hop environments, since congestion level at different receivers can be very different

Some IFS relationships and basic access method


Immediate access when medium is free>=DIFS




Random backoff time

- Backoff time = INT(CW * Random())*aSlotTime
- INT(x): 小於或等於x的最大整數
- CW(contention window parameter):介於 CWmin和Cwmax間的整數,CW依序遞增的順序為(7,15,31,63,127,255,255,255,.....)
- Random():介於0到1間的實數
- aSlotTime:傳送器開啟延遲+媒介傳遞延遲+ 媒介忙碌偵測反應時間

An example of exponential increase of CW



Backoff procedure





RTS/CTS/data/ACK and NAV setting

