Companies have a responsibility to make algorithms that are NOT addictive.

TRUE

FALSE

Isn't this why cigarettes aren't allowed to be sold to children?

Addiction (even to technology) could be considered a risk. At the very least, shouldn't companies be responsible for communicating the risk to their customers

They have behavioral scientists that do the opposite, so yes, they can do this.

In an ethical universe, yes, but not in our country's current paradigm of where responsibility lies.

I think companies do have the right to create a product that is meant to hold your attention, after all that is advertising's goal. We also need to do a good job of teaching our children how to manage themselves and their own mental

I kept trying to click on the zoom screenshare to make a sticky note.

FALSE - addictive content is what makes a business successful in this area. People need to be able to make their own choices. The only limitation might be for children

They want their product used and to be popular, so it must be addictive

They build it and then they see who comes...we have a choice.

not any company's responsibility

Is it ethical? No. But are companies always ethical?! No. All they care about is $$

We need to be careful of addictive stuff in the marketplace. But we shouldn't leave it up to companies, because they can't be trusted. We should have stronger governmental institutions that can keep us safe.

That would be great, but No.

Does that mean they have to make something we DON'T want to consume? What would be the point of that?

company job : make that $$$$

False- the addictive nature is what drives people to use their platform and make them money.

Fallacy

gov't responsibility

we need govt regulation - can't expect companies to manage themselves unfortunately

Teachers should use social media apps like TikTok to interact with their students.

TRUE

I would. Somebody like Nicholas Ferroni uses it to raise awareness for what teachers are going through, as well as teach kids history

Do students have a school tiktok account? I imagine there would be issues with private "sexy" clips. But teachers in my school have used them to increase community engagement.

False - I couldn't possibly get the expertise to do this as well as students,

ABSOLUTELY NOT

FALSE

NO !!! Isn't this prohibited by the DOE?

Noooooo. So many have tried but most teachers come off "mad corny".

They can if they want and believe they can balance it professionally. But I don't think they should. Social media is asking for some trouble.

False because I don't want to.

can, not should.

No, I can not imagine that being OK,

I don't think there's an obligation to do that, but they're welcome to if they want.

legitimizes platforms, excludes non-users

:thumbsdown:

"Should" makes it false for me.

No thanks!

It sounds like a great way to engage students with platforms that are relevant to them, but at this point, it doesn't seem safe/secure.

Can, not should... again

The government has a responsibility to protect the digital privacy of its citizens.

TRUE

FALSE

Yes, just like every other rule they create to protect something

That'd be nice.

Yes. Citizens have almost no recourse against companies exploiting their privacy. That's where fines and regulation come in.

An inappropriate note.

yap. But do they? nope.

Heck yeah

100%

If not the gov't, then who?

Yes.

Yes. A company being an independent and money making entity is going to try to do just that. Governments need to be the entity keeping everyone accountable.

Definitely!

I would appreciate that!

Yes. It should be a right.

No, this is a matter of personal choice, and noone has to use these applications, The only government role should be in insuring that people are aware of their choices

Yes!

yes!!!

ABSOLUTELY

as we move into a new era, this should be part of a new bill of rights, basic ensured freedoms, etc

But we do have to use these applications.

The US gov't has the right to force the sale of a company that can be compelled to share private data with other countries.

TRUE

FALSE

Yes

If it is legitimately a matter of national security and the protection of its citizens, then sure. As long as congress approves.

Where national security is involved the government should have the right, as it does with any issue of national defense

Is it discriminatory? What about Russian companies? How about American companies?

What about WeChat?

WeChat was on the same list as TikTok

NO! Let businesses do their own thing (unless it's illegal), people don't have to use them.

This was a great idea!

No to fascism. I'm antifa

no, trump is just being a baby since he got embarrassed by tiktokers

No forced sale BUT yes to responsibility to protect data.

Yes. But has this ever happened before TikTok?

If they have legitimate, transparent reasons that our representative government favors, but not by executive fiat.

No. That is different. Maybe limit their us e, or require a warning when used in the US.

any government should have sovereignty over this

...but the tiktok case is not exactly unique. there are many apps who store data in china.... this one just attracted Cheeto's attention

Does the forced sale actually protect privacy?

The question is - can governments ban companies because they are owned by parties outside their country? I want to say no, they shouldn't be able to.

I don't think the right to protect privacy goes that far... Still not sure about this issue