### Math 215: Theorem Packet

#### Hunter Hawkins-Stark Vandal Number V00655052

July 13, 2020

## 1 Proof of The Division Algorithm

Claim: Let a,b be integers with b not equal to zero. Then, there exists a unique pair of integers q and r, such that  $a = b \cdot q + r$  and  $0 \le r < |b|$ 

Proof: Let a and b be integers with b not equal to zero. If b = 1, then q = a, so we will assume that b > 1. Then there exists a set S of the form a-k·b, where k is an integer, and the set S contains all natural numbers that fit the form.

We must now show that S is non-empty, because if S is non-empty the well ordering principle will give us the least element of S. This least element will be r.

Case 1:  $a \ge 0$ , so we will set k = 0, plugging into our formula we get  $a - 0 \cdot b$ . The solution is then simply just a, which means that a > 0 of S, thus the set S is non-empty.

Case 2: a < 0, so we will set k = a. Plugging into our formula we get a - kb, with k being equal to a we once again substitute to get a - ab. Factoring out an a gives us the form a(1 - b), and due to a < 0 and b > 1, a(1 - b) must be greater than 0 of S. Thus the set S is non-empty.

With both cases of a either being greater than 0, and being less then zero resulting in a non-empty empty set S, S must have a least element r which is equal to a-qb for some integer q. Thus  $a=q \cdot b + r$  and r > 0. Now we need to show that r < b, and that q and r are unique.

Show r < b: Suppose  $r \ge b$ , then r = b + z, where z is an integer that fits the form of  $0 \le z < r$ . Using our original equation  $a = q \cdot b + r$  and the fact that r = b + z we perform a substitution for r in the original equation resulting in  $a = q \cdot b + b + z$ . Simplifying we arrive at the result  $z = a - (q + 1) \cdot b$  which is also an element of our set S, and is smaller than r. Using this we arrive at a contradiction where r is not the least element of S, thus r < b.

Show q and r are unique: Let there exist integers x and y that satisfy a < xb + y and  $0 \le y < b$ . Using the assumption of  $y \ge r$  we get  $0 \le r - y < b$ . With xb + y being equal to qb + r we get r - y = b(x - q). With us knowing  $0 \le r - y < b$ , we then know b divides r - y. This y = r and x = q and thus they are unique.

QED

# 2 Proof of the Extended Euclidean Algorithm

Claim: If d divides a, d dives b, and d = ax + by for some integers x and y, the  $d = \gcd(a,b)$ .

Proof: Let there exist integers a, b,x,y, and d, such that d divides a, d divides b, and d = ax+by. We want to show that  $d = \gcd(a,b)$  With d dividing both a and b, d cannot exceed the greatest common divisor which means that  $d \leq \gcd(a,b)$ . Also, since the  $\gcd(a,b)$  is a common factor of a and b, it must also divide ax + by. Using this we get  $\gcd(a,b) \leq d$ . After finding the facts that  $d \leq \gcd(a,b)$  and  $\gcd(a,b) \leq d$  we can conclude that  $d = \gcd(a,b)$ .

QED

### 3 Proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Claim: If a is an integer larger than 1, then a can be written as a product of primes. Furthermore, this factorization is unique except for the order of the factors.

Proof: This proof will be divided up into two parts, each of which will use the well-ordering principle for the set of natural numbers. First we will prove that every a >1 can be written as a product of prime factors. Then we will prove that this factorization is unique except for reordering of the factors. Let there exist an integer z, which is greater than 1, that cannot be written as a product of primes. Using the well ordering principle there is a smallest z that fits the criteria, thus z is not prime so z = bcdotc where 1 < b and c < a for a and b being integers. So b and c can be written as a product of prime factors due to z being the smallest integer than cannot be, but since  $c = b \cdot c$  this makes a contradiction as the equation makes z a product of prime factors. In order to prove uniqueness let there exist an integer c > 1 that has two different prime factorizations, say c = c = c + c where c = c + c and c = c + c where c = c + c and c = c + c this makes c = c + c where c = c + c and c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, say c = c + c for some integer k. Since c = c + c so prime and c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, say c = c + c for some integer k. Since c = c + c is prime and c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, c = c + c that has two different prime factorizations, c = c + c that has two d

QED