

Milestone 1 Presentation

Team 3

Hector Liu

Da Teng

Guoqing Zheng

Xiawen Chu

Ryan Carlson

Pipeline Overview

1. Pre-process training data
2. Linking to external resources
3. Indexing and searching
4. Semantic and dependency parsing
5. Question Types
6. Answer Classifiers
7. Combining!

Pre-Processing Training Data

- Raw text is kind of a mess
- There's useful information in there
 - but also a lot of not-so-useful stuff.
- Regexs, POS tagging to clean up data
- Tag with “relevant info”, “author”, “citation”, etc

Linking to External Resources

- External knowledge can be useful
 - If we know the background information of a term, we can know its type, its description and many other details
 - For example, we would like to know that “alzheimer” is a kind of disease
- We use DBpedia as an external resource
 - We might be more interested in Bio related resources in the future

Indexing & Searching

- Built our own Solr server;
- Annotations from previous phases can serve to construct better queries (new fields, new queries, etc.);
- Beyond the current implementation, we may also try to use higher order interactions from terms and alternative retrieval models to help retrieve better results from the index.

Semantic & Dependency Parsing

- **Stanford CoreNLP:**

- StanfordCoreNlpSentence: Sentences in docs
- StanfordCoreNlpToken: Tokens in docs
- StanfordDependencyNode
- StanfordDependencyRelation: dependency relation in sentence
- StanfordEntityMention: different type of name entities

- **FansepNLP:**

- FansepDependencyRelation: label the dependency relations between lexical items
- FansepSemanticRelation: annotate basic semantic relations for each items
- FansepTokenAnnotation: Annotate token with its dependency and semantic relations.

Question Types

- 5 question types
 - factoid, causal, method, purpose, true/false
- Train a model to identify these types given the text (and possibly the training data)
 - manually annotate (some of?) the ~300 examples
 - cross-validate to get a measure of generalizability
 - probably also need an i_have_no_idea tag
- Also annotate with NOT where appropriate

Answer Classifiers

- Given a question type, use the training data and our data type representation to select an answer

(very open question right now)

Putting it all together

- We might have several methods for answering any given question, need some mechanism to combine them
- Linearly interpolate system values (based on confidences?)

No PMI Baseline

- We focus more on clean up the current annotations
- We set up the original baseline (voter) provided using the cleaned text
 - c@1 score:0.22
 - c@1 score:0.11000000000000000001
 - c@1 score:0.11000000000000000001
 - c@1 score:0.3
 - Avg : 0.1925
- We are not sure about the performance, probably due to the mixture of our annotation with the old one

PMI Baseline

- We also tried a baseline using the PMI, this time we achieve something higher than random
 - c@1 score:0.22
 - c@1 score:0.55
 - c@1 score:0.33
 - c@1 score:0.2
 - Avg : 0.325
- We will use this as the baseline to beat and build our system on top of it.

Future Plan

- Semantic based re-ranking
 - Question: Which technique was used to determine the cellular CLU1 and CLU2 gene products?

Which **technique** was **used** to **determine** the cellular -LCB- **locations** of the CLU1 and CLU2 -RCB- gene **products** ?

MEANS	USING	CONTINGENCY	LOCALE	EXPERTISE
Means			Locale	
Determinant				
Instrument			Purpose	

- Answer sentence: {immunofluorescence and Western blot studies:Answer Phrase:Arg0} {indicate:Answer Head} that {CLU1 and CLU2:Arg0-clause} both {produce:clause Head} secreted proteins that are similar to those detected {in the human brain:ArgM-Loc}
- Two step approach
 - Ranking first, semantic for reranking

Thanks!

Questions?
Comments?
Suggestions?
Concerns?
Gripes?