

- **Definition of Riemann Integral**

- Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a **bounded function**
- $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , where  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$  – a partition of  $[a, b]$ .
- $\mathcal{P}([a, b])$  – the set of all partitions of  $[a, b]$ .
- Points  $x_i$ 's determine subintervals  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .
- For each subinterval  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ , we denote its length by

$$\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$$

- Define

$$\begin{aligned} m_i(f) &= \inf \{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} \text{ and} \\ M_i(f) &= \sup \{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}. \end{aligned}$$

**NOTE:** Since  $f$  is bounded then the numbers  $m_i(f)$  and  $M_i(f)$  exist.

- Define

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, P) &= \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(f) \Delta x_i \text{ and} \\ U(f, P) &= \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(f) \Delta x_i \end{aligned}$$

and call them the *lower and the upper Darboux sums* with respect to the partition  $P$ .

Lower and the upper sums satisfy the following properties:

- **Lemma** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded then

- $L(f, P) \leq U(f, P)$ , for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ .
- If  $c \in [a, b] \setminus P$  and  $Q = P \cup \{c\}$  then

$$L(f, P) \leq L(f, Q) \text{ and } U(f, Q) \leq U(f, P).$$

- Let  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ , then

$$L(f, P) \leq U(f, Q).$$

**Proof.** Exercise. ■

- We see that if  $P = \{a, b\}$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ , then

$$\inf \{f(x) : x \in [a, b]\} (b - a) = L(f, P) \leq U(f, Q)$$

- Therefore, the set

$$\{U(f, Q) : Q \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\}$$

is bounded below and since

$$L(f, Q) \leq U(f, P) = \sup \{f(x) : x \in [a, b]\} (b - a)$$

is bounded above.

- Therefore, both numbers exist

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f &= s(f) = \sup \{L(f, P) : P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\} \\ \overline{\int_a^b f} &= S(f) = \inf \{U(f, P) : P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\} \end{aligned}$$

and we call them *lower* and *upper Darboux integrals*.

- Since

$$L(f, P) \leq U(f, Q),$$

for all  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ , then

$$\int_a^b f = s(f) = \sup \{L(f, P) : P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\} \leq U(f, Q),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f &= s(f) = \sup \{L(f, P) : P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\} \\ &\leq \inf \{U(f, P) : P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\} = S(f) = \overline{\int_a^b f}. \end{aligned}$$

- Consequently,

$$\int_a^b f \leq \overline{\int_a^b f}.$$

**NOTE:** The above inequality can also be sharp.

**Example:** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [a, b] \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) \cap [a, b] \end{cases}$$

and let

$$P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b]), \quad P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} m_i(f) &= \inf \{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} = 0 \\ M_i(f) &= \sup \{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

- Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, P) &= \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(f) \Delta x_i = 0 \text{ and} \\ U(f, P) &= \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(f) \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i = b - a > 0 \end{aligned}$$

- It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\int_a^b} f &= \sup \{L(f, P) : P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\} \\ &= \sup \{0\} = 0 \text{ and} \\ \overline{\int_a^b} f &= \inf \{U(f, P) : P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\} \\ &= \inf \{b - a\} = b - a > 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\underline{\int_a^b} f < \overline{\int_a^b} f.$$

We define Riemann integrability for a bounded function as follows:

**Definition** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded.

We say that  $f$  is *Riemann integrable* over  $[a, b]$  if

$$\overline{\int_a^b} f \leq \underline{\int_a^b} f.$$

We call the number

$$\underline{\int_a^b} f = \overline{\int_a^b} f = \int_a^b f$$

the *Riemann integral* of  $f$  over  $[a, b]$ .

- We see that the function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [a, b] \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) \cap [a, b] \end{cases}$$

is not Riemann integrable over  $[a, b]$  since  $\underline{\int_a^b} f < \overline{\int_a^b} f$ .

**Theorem** A bounded function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Riemann integrable over  $[a, b]$  if and only if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ , such that

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon.$$

**Proof.** Assume that  $f$  is Riemann integrable.

- By the definition

$$S(f) = s(f),$$

where  $S(f)$  and  $s(f)$  denote the upper and the lower Darboux integral respectively.

- Take  $\epsilon > 0$ .

- Since

$$s(f) = \sup \{L(f, Q) \mid Q \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\},$$

it follows

$$s(f) - \epsilon/2$$

is not an upper bound, so

there is  $Q_1 \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ , such that

$$s(f) - \epsilon/2 < L(f, Q_1).$$

- Analogously, since

$$S(f) = \inf \{U(f, Q) \mid Q \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\},$$

then

$$S(f) + \epsilon/2$$

is not a lower bound, so

there is  $Q_2 \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ , such that

$$U(f, Q_2) < S(f) + \epsilon/2.$$

- Let  $P = Q_1 \cup Q_2$ , then

$$Q_i \subseteq P, i = 1, 2,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) &\leq U(f, Q_2) \text{ and} \\ L(f, P) &\geq L(f, Q_1). \end{aligned}$$

- Since  $f$  is Riemann integrable,

$$S(f) = s(f),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - L(f, P) &\leq U(f, Q_2) - L(f, Q_1) \\ &< (S(f) + \epsilon/2) - (s(f) - \epsilon/2) \\ &= (S(f) - s(f)) + \epsilon = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

- We showed that if  $f$  is Riemann integrable then

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ , such that

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon.$$

- **Conversely**, notice that, for any  $P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ ,

$$L(f, P) \leq s(f) \text{ and } S(f) \leq U(f, P),$$

so

$$S(f) - s(f) \leq U(f, P) - L(f, P).$$

- Since for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ , such that

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon,$$

it follows that,

$$S(f) - s(f) \leq U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$$

for every  $\epsilon > 0$  :

$$0 \leq S(f) - s(f) < \epsilon.$$

- Consequently,

$$S(f) - s(f) = 0, \text{ so } S(f) = s(f),$$

and we showed that  $f$  is Riemann integrable.

This finishes our proof. ■

- **Example** We show that  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(x) = x$$

is Riemann integrable.

- Let  $U(f, P)$  and  $L(f, P)$  be upper and lower Darboux sums.
- It is sufficient to show that for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a partition

$$P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

of  $[a, b]$ , such that

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon.$$

- Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given.
- Take  $n > \frac{(b-a)^2}{\epsilon}$  and define

$$x_i = a + \frac{b-a}{n}i, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$$

- Let

$$P_n = \{x_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

then

$$\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i-1} = \frac{b-a}{n}$$

and since  $f$  is increasing on  $[a, b]$

$$\begin{aligned} M_i(f) &= \sup \{x : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} = x_i = a + \frac{b-a}{n}i, \\ m_i(f) &= \inf \{x : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} = x_{i-1} = a + \frac{b-a}{n}(i-1) \end{aligned}$$

- Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
U(f, P_n) - L(f, P_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i(f) - m_i(f)) \Delta x_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( a + \frac{b-a}{n} i - \left( a + \frac{b-a}{n} (i-1) \right) \right) \frac{1}{n} (b-a) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{n} (b-a) \right) \frac{1}{n} (b-a) = \frac{1}{n^2} (b-a)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n 1 = \frac{1}{n^2} (b-a)^2 n \\
&= \frac{(b-a)^2}{n} < \frac{(b-a)^2}{\frac{(b-a)^2}{\epsilon}} = \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

- It follows that  $f$  is Riemann integrable over  $[a, b]$ .
- To find  $\int_a^b x$ , we need to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
U(f, P_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(f) \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( a + \frac{b-a}{n} i \right) \frac{1}{n} (b-a) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} (b-a) \sum_{i=1}^n \left( a + \frac{b-a}{n} i \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} (b-a) \left( \underbrace{a \sum_{i=1}^n 1}_n + \frac{b-a}{n} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n i}_{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} \right) = \frac{1}{n} (b-a) \left( na + \frac{b-a}{n} \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right) \\
&= a(b-a) + \frac{(b-a)^2}{n^2} \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

- Analogously, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
L(f, P_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(f) \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( a + \frac{b-a}{n} (i-1) \right) \frac{1}{n} (b-a) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} (b-a) \sum_{i=1}^n \left( a + \frac{b-a}{n} (i-1) \right) = \frac{1}{n} (b-a) \left( na + \frac{b-a}{n} \frac{(n-1)n}{2} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} (b-a) a + \frac{(b-a)^2}{n^2} \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

- Since, for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
L(f, P) &\leq \sup \{L(f, Q) : Q \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\}, \text{ so in particular} \\
L(f, P_n) &\leq \underbrace{\sup \{L(f, Q) : Q \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\}}_{\int_a^b f(x) dx}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf \{U(f, Q) : Q \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\} &\leq U(f, P), \text{ so in particular} \\
\underbrace{\inf \{U(f, Q) : Q \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\}}_{\int_a^b f(x) dx} &\leq U(f, P_n), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

- Therefore, since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(f, P_n)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(f, P_n)$  exist and

$$L(f, P_n) \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \overline{\int_a^b f(x) dx} \leq U(f, P_n),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(f, P_n) &\leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \overline{\int_a^b f(x) dx} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(f, P_n), \text{ so} \\ a(b-a) + \frac{(b-a)^2}{2} &\leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \overline{\int_a^b f(x) dx} \leq a(b-a) + \frac{(b-a)^2}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

- hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b x &= \int_a^b f(x) dx = \overline{\int_a^b f(x) dx} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(f, P_n) \\ &= a(b-a) + \frac{(b-a)^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(b^2 - a^2). \end{aligned}$$

- Notice that using calculus

$$\int_a^b x dx = \frac{1}{2} x^2 \Big|_a^b = \frac{1}{2}(b^2 - a^2)$$

**Proposition** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be monotone function ( $a < b$ ).

Then  $f$  is Riemann integrable.

**Proof.** Clearly, if  $f$  is a constant function, then

- $f$  is Riemann integrable since,  $f(x) = k$ , for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and

$$U(f, P) = L(f, P)$$

for any partition  $P$  of  $[a, b]$ .

- Therefore,

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) = 0 < \epsilon$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

- Assume that  $f$  is *not constant* and non-decreasing.
- Therefore,

$$f(a) < f(b).$$

- Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and let

$$\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{f(b) - f(a)} > 0.$$

- Consider a partition

$$P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

of  $[a, b]$ , such that

$$\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i-1} < \delta, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

- Since  $f$  is *non-decreasing*,  
it follows that, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  :

$$m_i(f) = \inf \{f(x) \mid x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} = f(x_{i-1})$$

and

$$M_i(f) = \sup \{f(x) \mid x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} = f(x_i).$$

- Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(f) \Delta x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(f) \Delta x_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i(f) - m_i(f)) \Delta x_i < \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i(f) - m_i(f)) \delta \\ &= \delta \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i(f) - m_i(f)) = \delta \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})) \\ &= \delta (f(x_1) - f(x_0) + f(x_2) - f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})) \\ &= \delta (f(x_n) - f(x_0)) = \frac{\epsilon}{f(b) - f(a)} (f(b) - f(a)) = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

- We showed that,  
for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a partition  $P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ , such that

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon.$$

- It follows that whenever  $f$  is not constant and non-increasing,  
then  $f$  is Riemann integrable over  $[a, b]$ .
- Analogous argument works for when  $f$  is non-increasing.

This finishes our proof. ■

- **Theorem** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous.  
Then  $f$  is Riemann integrable.

**Proof.** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given.

- We need to show that there is a partition

$$P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

of  $[a, b]$ , such that

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon.$$

- Since  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and  $[a, b]$  is compact  
( $[a, b]$  is closed and bounded, so it is compact by Heine-Borel Theorem).
- Then by the theorem,  $f$  is *uniformly continuous*.



- Thus, there is  $\delta > 0$ , such that,  
for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$ , if  $|x - y| < \epsilon$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{b - a}.$$

- Let  $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$ , such that

$$\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i-1} < \delta.$$

- Thus, for all  $x, y \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ ,

$$|x - y| \leq x_i - x_{i-1} = \Delta x_i < \delta.$$

- Hence,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{b - a}.$$

- Since  $f$  is continuous on  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ , by the *extreme value theorem*,  
there are  $x_i^*, y_i^* \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_i^*) &= \sup \{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} = M_i(f), \text{ and} \\ f(y_i^*) &= \inf \{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} = m_i(f), \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

- Since,  $x_i^*, y_i^* \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ ,  
 $|x_i^* - y_i^*| < \delta$ , so

$$M_i(f) - m_i(f) = f(x_i^*) - f(y_i^*) = |f(x_i^*) - f(y_i^*)| < \frac{\epsilon}{b - a}.$$

- We see that

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i(f) - m_i(f)) \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i^*) - f(y_i^*)) \Delta x_i < \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\epsilon}{b - a} \Delta x_i \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{b - a} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i = \frac{\epsilon}{b - a} (\Delta x_1 + \Delta x_2 + \dots + \Delta x_n) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{b - a} ((x_1 - x_0) + (x_2 - x_1) + \dots + (x_n - x_{n-1})) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{b - a} (x_n - x_0) = \frac{\epsilon}{b - a} (b - a) = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

- We proved that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  
there is a partition  $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $[a, b]$ , such that

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon.$$

- It follows that  $f$  is Riemann integrable.

This finishes our proof. ■

- **Theorem** Let  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Riemann integrable over  $[a, b]$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

i)  $\alpha f$  is Riemann integrable and  $\int_a^b \alpha f$  is Riemann integrable and

$$\int_a^b \alpha f = \alpha \int_a^b f$$

ii)  $f \pm g$  is Riemann integrable and

$$\int_a^b f \pm g = \int_a^b f \pm \int_a^b g$$

iii) If  $f \leq g$  then

$$\int_a^b f \leq \int_a^b g$$

iv)  $|f|$  is Riemann integrable and

$$\left| \int_a^b f \right| \leq \int_a^b |f|$$

v) For any  $c \in [a, b]$ ,  $f$  is Riemann integrable over  $[a, c]$  and  $[c, b]$  and

$$\int_a^b f = \int_a^c f + \int_c^b f$$

**Proof.** We prove only 3) and 4).

- Since  $f$  and  $g$  are Riemann integrable

$$\begin{aligned} s(f) &= \int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx \text{ and} \\ s(g) &= \int_a^b g(x) dx = \int_a^b g(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

- For any partition  $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ ,

$$\inf \{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} = m_i(f) \leq f(x) \leq g(x), \text{ so}$$

$m_i(f)$  is a lower bound for the set

$$\{g(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\},$$

hence

$$m_i(f) \leq m_i(g)$$

since

$$m_i(g) = \inf \{g(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}$$

is the greatest lower bound for

$$\{g(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}.$$

- It follows, that

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(f) \Delta x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(g) \Delta x_i = L(g, P).$$

- Since

$$L(g, P) \leq \sup \{L(g, Q) : Q \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\} = \int_a^b g(x) dx$$

the number  $\int_a^b g(x) dx$  is an upper bound for the set

$$\{L(f, R) : R \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\},$$

so

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \sup \{L(f, R) : R \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\} \leq \int_a^b g(x) dx,$$

since  $\int_a^b f(x) dx$  is the least upper bound of

$$\{L(f, R) : R \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])\}.$$

- We showed that

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \int_a^b g(x) dx.$$

- Since  $f$  and  $g$  are Riemann integrable,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(x) dx &= \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \int_a^b g(x) dx = \int_a^b g(x) dx, \text{ so} \\ \int_a^b f(x) dx &\leq \int_a^b g(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

This finishes our proof for 3). ■

**Proof.** For 4), let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given.

- Since  $f$  is Riemann integrable over  $[a, b]$ , there is a partition

$$P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

of  $[a, b]$ , such that

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon.$$

- Notice that, for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $x, y \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ ,

$$f(x), f(y) \in [m_i(f), M_i(f)],$$

we see that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M_i(f) - m_i(f).$$

- Furthermore, by the *reverse triangle inequality*, i.e.

$$|a| - |b| \leq ||a| - |b|| \leq |a - b|, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R},$$

for all  $x, y \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} ||f|(x) - |f|(y)| &= ||f(x)| - |f(y)|| \leq |f(x) - f(y)| \\ &\leq M_i(f) - m_i(f). \end{aligned}$$

- In particular, for all  $x, y \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$  :

$$|f|(x) - |f|(y) \leq M_i(f) - m_i(f) .$$

- Now, let  $y \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$  ,  
then for all  $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$  :

$$\begin{aligned} |f|(x) &\leq M_i(f) - m_i(f) + |f|(y) , \text{ so} \\ M_i(|f|) &= \sup \{|f|(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} \\ &\leq M_i(f) - m_i(f) + |f|(y) \end{aligned}$$

- Since  $M_i(|f|)$  is the least upper bound of

$$\{|f|(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} .$$

- Since  $y \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$  is arbitrary, for all  $y \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$

$$\begin{aligned} M_i(|f|) - M_i(f) + m_i(f) &\leq |f|(y) , \text{ so} \\ M_i(|f|) - M_i(f) + m_i(f) &\leq \inf \{|f|(y) : y \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} = m_i(|f|) \end{aligned}$$

since  $m_i(|f|)$  is the greatest lower bound for

$$\{|f|(y) : y \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} .$$

- Therefore,

$$M_i(|f|) - m_i(|f|) \leq M_i(f) - m_i(f) .$$

- Now, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} U(|f|, P) - L(|f|, P) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i(|f|) - m_i(|f|)) \Delta x_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i(f) - m_i(f)) \Delta x_i \\ &= U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon . \end{aligned}$$

- We showed that,

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a partition  $P$  of  $[a, b]$ , such that

$$U(|f|, P) - L(|f|, P) < \epsilon ,$$

so by the theorem,

- $|f|$  is Riemann integrable over  $[a, b]$ .

This finishes our proof for 4). ■

- **Remark:** The **converse statement is not always true:**

- Consider the following function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q} \\ -1 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) \end{cases} .$$

- Clearly, for any partition  $P$  of  $[0, 1]$  :

$$\begin{aligned} M_i(f, P) &= \sup \{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} \\ &= 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

- Since each subinterval  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  contains a rational number.
- Moreover, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} m_i(f, P) &= \inf \{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} \\ &= -1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

- Since every subinterval  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  contains a irrational number.
- Therefore,

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(f, P) \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n 1 \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i = 1$$

and

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(f, P) \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1) \Delta x_i = - \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i = -1$$

- Hence, we see that,

$$U(f, P) = 1 \text{ and } L(f, P) = -1,$$

for every partition  $P$  of  $[0, 1]$ .

- Now, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\int_0^1} f(x) dx &= \inf \{U(f, P) : P \text{ is a partition of } [0, 1]\} \\ &= \inf \{1\} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\int_0^1} f(x) dx &= \sup \{L(f, P) : P \text{ is a partition of } [0, 1]\} \\ &= \sup \{-1\} = -1. \end{aligned}$$

- Consequently, we see that

$$\overline{\int_0^1} f(x) dx = 1 \neq -1 = \underline{\int_0^1} f(x) dx,$$

so  $f$  is not Riemann integrable.

- However,  $|f| : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is the function defined by

$$|f|(x) = |f(x)| = 1,$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

- Clearly,  $|f|$  is Riemann integrable over  $[0, 1]$ .

- As we see, if  $|f|$  is Riemann integrable, then  $f$  needs not to be Riemann integrable.

**Proof.** Finally, we show that

$$\left| \int_a^b f(x) dx \right| \leq \int_a^b |f(x)| dx.$$

- As one can verify,  
since  $f$  is Riemann integrable,  
 $|f|$  and  $-|f|$  are also Riemann integrable<sup>1</sup>.
- Furthermore, since for all  $x \in [a, b]$ ,

$$-|f(x)| \leq f(x) \leq |f(x)|,$$

- By one of the properties of the Riemann integral<sup>2</sup> that

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_a^b |f(x)| dx &\leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \int_a^b |f(x)| dx, \text{ so} \\ \left| \int_a^b f(x) dx \right| &\leq \int_a^b |f(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Above, we applied inequality  $-a \leq x \leq a$  iff  $|x| \leq a$ .

This finishes our argument. ■

---

<sup>1</sup>In Problem #12, we showed exactly that, if  $f$  is Riemann integrable, then  $|f|$  is also Riemann integrable. Furthermore, since  $|f|$  is Riemann integrable, then also its constant multiple  $-|f|$  is Riemann integrable.

<sup>2</sup>As we showed in Problem #11, if  $f$  and  $g$  are Riemann integrable over  $[a, b]$  and  $f(x) \leq g(x)$ , for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , then  $\int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \int_a^b g(x) dx$ .