# Ulllrome Englirh Eubook

A guide on gutting the English language to make more room for freedom

Varad & Hunter

# **Table of Contents**

Dis work belongeb to nobody	3
Foreword	5
Deal I – Abute Willsome English	6
Deal II – Gaelish Staffrow	13
Deal III – Fuþorc Runes	25
Deal IV – Wends	31
Deal V – Tales	33
Deal VI – Speeccraft	39
Deal VII – Fare thee well!	49
Sticky I – Spelling Reversions	51
Sticky II – Reactionary Grammar	55

# Dis work belongeb to nobody

"Intellectual property" is a nonsensical oxymoron and is neither a "property right", correctly concieved, nor very intellectual at all. The idea that because I or Hunter fired up a text editor and smacked buttons on a rectangular contraption that the resultant file is has some immaterial property that it and all derivatives must share is insane. I publish this work under no license, as to not do so is both degenerate and criminal. This is not "my property", and if you are unconvinced, then I hereby give you my """"property right""" as a free gift with no weird legalese nonsense attached. My only request to all who have this file upon their computers is to keep the cover page intact, however, this holds as much moral-legal authority as me gifting you some money and telling you not to spend it all in one place, you still can do so with impunity, it is only a simple wish/advice.

May you have a good day for every day of your life following your reading this book, if for no other reason than the vain hope

you'll associate the increased happiness with reading books and start to read more of them (than you already do).

### **Foreword**

Willsome English is not a fully fledged language, your mileage may vary. This is, as the subtitle suggests, a gutting of the English language, but instead of stuffing it full of our own whims and fancies, we leave it alone. We (as you will see) let you build a language with the huge variety of stuff English had on its own, and give you guidelines as to how to do things. In my view, Willsome English consists in taking this customs-book (customs are called ews in Willsome English, hence the title) to heart, using the wordbook as a base, and then speaking/writing in a consciously willsome way. Basically, this is a template for a constructed dialect of English.

To quote from Hunter's original draft on which this edition is largely based, in a line that I didn't bother carrying over:

"In troubling times when language has become the battlefield, Willsome English serves an important role for the communication of difficult philosophical ideas and 'bitter pills to swallow.'"

# Abuce Willrome English

(About Willsome English)

Greetings! This ewbook ("Customs-book") shall serve as a guide to Willsome English. You may be wondering, "What is this language, and how do I learn it?", but first, I should correct you: this is not a language! It is called Willsome English (and hereafter, WSE) to indicate that this is actually related to English itself, more specifically, it is a constructed dialect of English. The word "willsome" is a WSE word meaning voluntary (from will as in willpower + -some as in awesome). However, it helps to think of WSE as being separate to English just like how Scots is separate to English, a typical speaker of English will hardly understand WSE. In essence, Willsome English is an effort to repair the damage caused by the forced ("unwillsome" i.e. involuntary) introduction of French and Viking words into English from the Norman and Norse raids and reign over England respectively.

Vikings took control of much of Northern coastal England in the 8<sup>th</sup> Century C.E. causing significant loaning of words. Since the languages were somewhat close, being West- and North-Germanic respectively, this is hardly noticed today (as much as, say, Greek loanwords like "Psychology"). Even pronouns are affected, the Old English equivalent of "They" was Hī which would be "Hye" today,

but Norse "They" took its place. More on this is the later deals (parts).

Norman French forces took over England in 1066, and their changes to the language came from their infestation of the "scribe" profession. They efficiently replaced somewhere on the order of thousands of English words with French or French-derived counterparts, brought French morphemes into common use, changed spelling rules (and singlehandedly caused 90% of your confusion pertaining to English to this day: remember <ough>? That's French <ou> + French-caused <gh>.), and ended the use of Futhorch runes and the Insular script, replacing the latter with the similar but different Carolingian script. More on the Insular script in Deal || and more about Futhorch in Deal || ...

Willsome English seeks to repair English from all such involuntary influence, not by simply "purifying" the language, and not by simply speaking Old English, but by returning the language to its Anglo-Saxon roots, by on the one hand removing the involuntary influence and on the other bringing back all voluntary counterparts if such exist. This will ensure that English fits right in with its brothers and cousins like Frisian, Dutch, and German, while also including

several features not presently in mainstream English (MSE) literature, such as contemporary use of the Insular script. On a final note, WSE is not meant to sound medieval in any way, shape, or form, in fact, it's more accurate to conceive of WSE as a parallel stream to English itself, and it can be used for past, modern, and future concepts (just like English).

To put it more simply: Willsome English is an undertaking to remove all involuntary influence on the English language; that is, all influence that happened through coercive forces like war, conquest, and other things only the State ever does, though its ostensible purpose is to prevent them.

By the way, of the words in that above paragraph, 15 are wholly unwillsome (highlighted in yellow)

FAQ:

Q1: Anglish, huh?

A: For those unaware, the Anglish project is an attempt to remove all Norman French influence from the English language, with some members going as far as to remove influences from Norse.

While they are certainly fellow travelers, and much of this Ewbook and also our Wordbook is based on the Anglish project's (wiki here) pages on general rules of thumb and their own wordbook respectively (in fact, myself and Hunter were Anglishers and WSE sprang out of that), the projects are quite different. We're also not too harsh on innovation while Anglish tends to be a bit more weird in that regard, so I wouldn't even say we're "technically" Anglish if those folk would disagree.

Q2: Do you want to replace the mainstream English Language?
A: No. I don't see that as a reasonable goal, and so I don't. Think of WSE as an alternative, not a replacement.

Q3: Should everybody speak Willsome English? / What's the point of learning Willsome English? / How do I become conversational without anybody to converse with?

A: Not everybody speaks WSE, and not everybody needs to. You can use WSE when you know all intended recipients of your message will understand you (which, to be fair, is interpersonal communication 101). Practice is not easy, especially writing. You can indoctrinate a friend and practice speaking with them and the writing you'll just have to fake till you make it.

Q4: Are you trying to revive Old English?

A: See Q1. If you're still unconvinced, I'll paraphrase the answer from Hunter's first draft of the Ewbook:

Willsome English is a reconstruction of English as a whole, nothing about it is meant to resemble an older form of English. We're bringing back the Insular script not as some attempt to show off how cool and reactionary we are (though, editor's note on my part here, we are very cool and very reactionary) but because it just solves so many problems with English spelling and wouldn't have died

without French influence. We would love to see a modern Insular font. (see Q5)

Q5: Any good Insular fonts?

A: Yes! Willsome Serif and Willsome Sans are available as .zip downloads if you contact Hunter (or more advisably, me, Hunter has college stuff I wouldn't want him to miss) via the Matrix Protocol (looking for a Matrix client? If you don't mind being a middle-of-the-bell-curve normie, try Element. If you do mind that, then you can figure out a solution on your own.)

Some samples are given below:

Willsome Serif: 1c hare το το δe bookrhop

Willsome Sans: Ic hare со до со ðe bookrhop

# Deal ||

# Zælirh rzaffnom

(Insular alphabet)

now about 50% easier to write!

How it works: The staffrow (literally: letter-row) is divided into three parts: Mainstaves, which are all the letters that definitely would have remained in English over time, sidestaves, which are known to be unwillsome but are kept there in case you want them for proper nouns (e.g. my own name, which is Varad, but V is not a staff English always had, were my name put under a Willsome English spelling system, it'd be farad (no caps, /v/ represented by <f>) but I might not like that so I may use the mainstream English spelling), and chisestaves, which are letters that may or may not have stood the test of time as mainstaves but which would, because of the way English normally worked, still be letters you could use if the need arose. The staffrow is written on the next leaf (page).

Hunter here, and of the letters on the next leaf, there will be one symbol that was mentioned in the draft but not in this full rendition of the Ewbook. The  $\neg$  is equivalent to the ampersand (&) and is known simply as the *and*. You can use this to replace *and* anywhere, but since it's just a mark, it is not included in the staffrow.

# <u>Mainrearer</u>

**Lirercarer** 

 $a \cdot b \cdot p \cdot z$ 

Sidercarer

J · q · v

Mankr

٦ . -

# At the cost of seeming somewhat complicated, a full explanation of each letter and where to use it.

Note: I understand not everybody can read IPA and will not be using it. Only the use of surrounding angle-brackets (eg. >) to represent graphemes (stuff you write) and the use of surrounding "/"s (eg. /t/) to represent phonemes (stuff you say) will be borrowed.

Note: The reason I will not be using IPA has nothing to do with the fact that not everybody can read it and is purely motivated by humour.

You write WSE the way you speak it, and you speak WSE the way you write it. Spelling is something which the Normans screwed up quite a bit. A long, (supposed-to-be-)exhaustive list of hapless spelling changes (and reversions you can make) can be found in sticky l. To make a long story short, the spelling is *relatively* static whereas the pronunciation may differ more individually (and that's only natural, not everybody can make every sound all the time). Some simple reversions include getting rid of capital letters in favour of decorating whatever letters you think are important by making them bigger and putting dots around them or using trajan/runic forms in their normal stead, and reverting French soft-c to s (see below)

Every vowel letter (cleeper) has three values, namely an unstressed, a short, and a long value. Every consonant letter has pretty specific values outside of certain rules.

Let us start with consonants, or "samedsweyers". Almost all of these are familiar, and all of the ones that are familiar retain their familiar values. However:

<c> makes a /ch/ sound, like in *ch*in  $\rightarrow$  cın, i*ch* (the WSE "I")  $\rightarrow$  1c, or cheese  $\rightarrow$  ceepe.

<f> replaces <v> everywhere except in proper nouns (and even there if you want, I prefer rapad to the MSE rendition of my name, Varad) and can have a value of /f/ or /v/. In dialects like those of Shudh Hindi/Gujarati/etc. speakers where /f/ does not exist, f should have a universal /v/ value unless that goes against common sense (the Persian /f/ often becomes ph in these languages, so <f> having value /ph/ might be better than /v/ when the f is hard, as in "enuff" which is the WSE spelling of enough). Over would become ofen.

< z> can have a value of both soft and hard g. (Hard g is the g in gift, soft g is the y in the word "yiddish"). <  $\delta$  z> makes a j sound as in wedge.

replaces universally (where represents a single phoneme). Cloth (the noun) becomes clob while clothe (the verb) becomes clobe. This letter was lost to the printing press.

 $<\gamma>$  (s) has a value of /s/ and /z/ and replaces <z>. In word-pairs like "mace" and "maze" where two things are becoming  $<\gamma>$ , the /z/ is represented by a double-s. The Anglo-Saxons only used double s when it would cause confusion not to have it, so the suffix -ness was -ner mainly as a design choice.

 $\langle \dot{y} \rangle$  earns a dot!  $\langle i \rangle$  and  $\langle j \rangle$  lose their dots.

It's popular for some dialects to use the choicestaff  $<\delta>$  for <p>where it makes a /dh/ or the "voiced dental fricative" sound, as in clope, sheape, wipout, etc. Note that this was NOT how it was used in Old English, they used both interchangably. This is how its used in Icelandic, but its use as a chisestaff is broadened here for people who want to distinguish the sounds.

The choicestaff can replace < w > if the author chooses to use it. Note that the bottom of should touch the baseline ie. it is the same as the bottom of < a > and it touches the topmost guideline (I forget what its called) ie. it goes up as far as k does. This is to differentiate it from which goes from the top of short letters like a to below the baseline. Also, don't use if you expect dyslexic readers to confuse it with (and want to avoid this outcome). Alternatively, <uu> may be used, as it was before they adopted the , but this is how <uu> formed. Since p is based on a runic form, and in runes <huu> is used to represent the /m/ (for non-natives: this is the sound you'd use if you were saying "whine" and didn't want to be construed as having said "wine" instead), if you bring back the p, then replace ph with hp. (see sticky I for why doing this normally is discouraged)

The choicestaff  $\langle z \rangle$  can replace  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  and  $\langle \gamma \gamma \rangle$  where they make a  $\langle z \rangle$  sound. For dialects like mine which replace  $\langle z \rangle$  with  $\langle j \rangle$ , this can be a  $\langle j \rangle$  letter. Also, it's "zee", zed is Norman influence (you have no idea how much it pained me to type that out)

Now, for the vowels.

Any vowel has 3 values

- 1 Unstressed (u)
- 2 Short stressed (s.s.)
- 3 Long

Vowel length is indicated using the magic-e rule, which means putting an e at the end of the syllable of the vowel you want to mark the length of. The magic e at the end of a word is "displaced" by a suffix starting with a vowel, like ride  $\rightarrow$  riding (*NOT* rideing)

su just becomes /schwa/ for A, O, U, and Y, while it becomes a short /i/ sound for I and E

When short but stressed, <a> becomes /a/ (The way you would pronounce c[a]r, mal[a]rkey, [a]pple, [a]sh becomes the same, either it's an aah sound or an æ sound like the MSE pronunciation of [a]sh) but when long (as in m[a]ke, t[a]ke, [a]te) it makes a kind of /ay/ sound. If you want to use s.s. <a> for /aah/ but want a separate character for /æ/, that's what the choicestaff <æ> is for. It hasn't been used unstressed in English history, but could potentially make an unstressed schwa sound in the future. When s.s. it makes an /æ/ sound, as in [a]sh. When long, it makes a longer æ sound as in

[a]pple (appel in WSE). If you choose to use it, this is the only vowel that doesn't have its own long sound as its name, which is interesting.

When s.s., <e> makes an /eh/ sound like in w[e]d. When long, it makes an /eee/ sound, as in h[e]re, m[e]rely, w[e]ed. A notable exception is "edge" where the final e is actually, for the first time, not magic. It made a schwa sound in Shakespearean English when it started being spelled like this instead of Old English ecz which became Middle English egge, which edge replaced. I suppose you can make the schwa sound again, but if you really want complete consistency, you're looking at the wrong project. We're not starryeyed spelling reformers, we're just telling you how it is. Exceptions are a part of life. The same story goes for wedge, ledge, and so forth.

When s.s., I makes an /ih/ sound that's a bit more gentle than it's unstressed counterpart. eg: [I]ndia, [I]ll (as in, unwell). When long, it makes an /aye/ sound, r[i]de, l[i]es, t[i]e, bes[i]de.

When s.s., o makes an /o/ sound, as in [o]range, h[o]nor. When it ends a syllable, it makes an oo sound, eg t[o]day. When long, it makes an /oh/ sound, as in al[o]ne, b[o]ne, [o]de, y[o]ke.

When s.s., u makes a /uh/ sound. It is to schwa what å is to a, darker and softer. If you can't make that sound (like me), schwa works just fine. When long, it makes a long-u sound which used to just be the way "u" is said as a letter (Still survives in "yule") but by Middle English became an /ow/ and as if that wasn't enough, its name was eventually turned into /yew/. The long u nowadays (and the name of the letter) would sound like in d[ow]n. This sound began being spelled by Norman scribes using <ou> and <ou> (though in words like own, know, owe, the <ou> is willsome) which is the source of many problems we face today with English spelling, so many things just became <ou>

y is not its own vowel, it's actually an <i> followed by a (soft) <g>.

Its form is thought to be a ligature, and I believe the dot above it was somehow meant to mark this, though I cannot be sure of this. As such, its value is always the value of I in its position followed by a soft /g/ sound.

Sometimes, the spelling of a word is changed to match its pronunciation. (e.g. own becomes owne to indicate a long o) Sometimes, a word's pronunciation changes to match spelling (e.g.

one should have a long o sound like in b[one]). This is largely based on etymology about which you ought to ask Hunter.

Some higher order spelling rules do exist but I tend to ignore these because I don't like them. You can find these on your own or go bug Hunter about them.

#### **Letter Names**

(Vowel names are just long versions of the vowels except for æ)

a – ay | æ – ash | b – bee | c – chee | ð – dee | ð – that | e – ee |  $\mathfrak r$  – eff | z – ge/ye | h – he | ı – ie | <code>J</code> – <code>jay</code> | <code>k</code> – <code>kay</code> | <code>l</code> – <code>ell</code> | <code>m</code> – <code>em</code> | <code>n</code> – <code>en</code>

$$\mid$$
 o – oe  $\mid$  p – pee  $\mid$  q – cue (cow)  $\mid$  b – thorn  $\mid$  n – arr  $\mid$  r – es  $\mid$  c – tee

$$\mid u - ue \text{ (ow)} \mid v - vee \mid w - twin-ue \text{ (twin-ow)} \mid p - pin \mid x - ex$$

$$|\dot{y} - wye|z - zee$$

**Marks:**  $\mathbb{k}$  - and | - longmark<sup>1</sup>

1. The longmark is just a willsome word I made up for a macron, something that Varad has added to this edition of the Ewbook. Macrons have been used in the past but for many different reasons, so take it as you will for any spelling convention you may

want/need, or don't at all. Varad uses them to indicate long vowels and this **removes the need for the magic-E system**.

## Deal III

# Fubonch nuner

PUPERY: 86U+P

Willsome English can be written using Gunzen Fubonc runes, which is basically a direct rip of this Miraheze article which explains it rather well. The Anglo-Saxons used the Latin Alphabet as well, and over time this became the predominant mode of literation on parchment, but the loss of runes was due to unwillsome French influence as their use was discouraged until they finally disappeared over the many years of Norman rule. Moreover, runes being used as abbreviations are attested in Old English manuscripts, with runes standing in for their name (like M standing in for man), so you could say something like "be war a kinde M" (he was a kind man). If I could compare this to something, it would be like Kanji in Japanese or Hanja in Korean where they can use Chinese letters (also coincidentally called *moonrunes* in WSE) which stand for words by themselves and get used in compounds. You could also do this in WSE, but it's a much more limited "English Kanji". For example, ↑№ could be an abbreviation of *Tyesday* because it literally means Tye + Day which is another word for Tuesday in WSE (and untouched from Viking influence). This is a stretch from how runes have been used in manuscripts, but the point is to give you ideas on how they've been used and also ways people could use them today and in the future.

The full runerow with MSE and WSE names and values is on this page and the next:

Rune	MSE Name	WSE Name	Examples
٣	Fee	~	lea <b>f</b> /lea <b>v</b> es
V	Our	Une	r <b>oo</b> t/p <b>u</b> t
Þ	Thorn	Þonn	<b>th</b> is/ <b>th</b> istle
۴	Oose	~	g <b>o</b> /sh <b>ow</b>
R	Road	~	rat/tar
k	Cheen	Гееп	church
Х	Yift	Gıŗc	<b>y</b> ellow/ <b>g</b> reen
P	Win	~	woe
N	Hail	~	<b>h</b> unter
+	Need	~	<b>n</b> o
I	Ice	Ιŗe	p <b>i</b> ll
*	Year	Беар	yo!¹
1	Yew	Беш	u <b>gh!</b> (dialectal)
Ľ	Pearth	Реарр	<b>p</b> an
Ť	Elks	~	fla <b>x</b> ²
Ч	Sile	~	cats/dogs
1	Tye	~	<b>t</b> read
B	Birch	Вірс	<b>b</b> read
М	Eh <sup>3</sup>	E	f <b>e</b> d/l <b>e</b> d
M	Man	~	<b>m</b> ark
1	Lay	~	<b>l</b> ord
X	Ing	~	mi <b>ng</b> le/so <b>ng</b>

M	Day	~	<b>d</b> oom
\$	Ethel	Єþel	f <b>u</b> n/s <b>o</b> n
۴	Oak	~	f <b>a</b> ther
<b>F</b>	Ash	~	<b>a</b> pple/h <b>a</b> t
Υ	Ear	~	s <b>ea</b> /f <b>ee</b> d
IJ	Ire	~	N/A <sup>1</sup>
<b>†</b>	Calk	~	<b>c</b> ool/ <b>k</b> ing
X	Gar	~	<b>g</b> ood/ <b>g</b> reat

- 1: Some runes had vague purpose, which would likely have become more apparent over time, but because of the loss of Futhorch due to French influence, we will never know exactly how it would turn out. They still represent certain words (such as \* for year) but their use is optional, and with most of WSE we leave this up to you.
- 2: This is used like X is in English, so sa**cks** would be ԿեսԿ while Englese**x**es (WSE for Anglo-Saxons) is MtXthM**Y**lh

Words are spelled stressed. So the adjective "Learned" would be spelled ↑Ջ℟Ⅎ℠M instead of ↑Ջ℟Ⅎ℠M. The verb form "Learned" would be spelled ↑Ջ℟Ⅎ℠.

3: The Eh, or E, is not just the sound value as it would seem. It's actually a word that means a horse or steed.

The following is a table of some Futhorch rune combinations that represent specific sounds. These may or may not be present in your dialect, but here are some specific sounds that are known to exist in the English language (widespread or not). Thanks to Hurlebatte for the original table which you can see <a href="here">here</a>.

Combo	<b>IPA</b>	Example	Status
۴۱	[31]	b <b>oy</b>	innovative
LΧ	[dʒ] / [ʒ]	bri <b>dg</b> e	assumed
MP	[M]	<b>wh</b> ich	$\sim$ untouched
IR	[13,]	s <b>ear</b>	tweaked
41	$[\int]$	fi <b>sh</b>	$\sim$ untouched
MR	[3/3]	b <b>ir</b> d	repurposed
MI	[eɪ/ɛi]	gr <b>ey</b>	innovative
MIR	[eɪ.3 <sup>2</sup> ]	pl <b>ayer</b>	innovative
MIX	[eɪ.ɪŋ]	saying	innovative
FI	[aɪ/əɪ]	$\mathrm{fl}\mathbf{y}$	innovative
۴N	[c]	awe	repurposed
۴N	[aʊ]	m <b>ou</b> se	assumed
₽R	[٤3]	th <b>ere</b>	repurposed
۴X	[æŋ/eɪŋ]	s <b>ang</b>	tweaked
Ϋ́R	[i.3 <sup>3</sup> ]	s <b>eer</b>	repurposed

You can also use Futhorch runes as numbers that work precisely like Roman Numerals (more on this in deal V which is about numbers). This way of counting is attested from a manuscript by Saint Bede, a famous Anglo-Saxon Christian.

# Deal In

**W**end<sub>f</sub>

(Changes)

This section will explain pronunciations that are reverted:

#### **In General**

- One and once (WSE onre) go back to having the long-o sound as in *alone*.
- <ie> and its sound /i/ is rarely willsome. Words like *friend* and *fiend* originally had a long-e sound and rhymed, indicated in the WSE spelling of these: ppeend and peend respectively. This is a wend of pronunciation and spelling.
- Bringing back the /khh/ semitic snoring sound (like the Scottish pronunciation of lo**ch**) is ill-advised. Sticky 1 contains spelling reversions which explains where you would put /khh/ if you do want to bring it back (long story short, you'd sound like you were speaking Hebrew some of the time)
- The pronunciation doesn't change much from mse. There's no reason to think more than a few of the changes in pronunciation of words is unwillsome. Again, we aren't reformers, we're undoing all the stupid reforms wrought by Norman conquest and general statism.

Deal N

**C**aler

Tale is a word meaning "number", etymologically separate from its meaning as "story". Tales in Willsome English are mostly the same as in Mainstream English, but there's a few differences in how names are said. Earlier in this very ewbook I mentioned the wend (change) in utespeech (outspeaking, pronunciation) of "one". There are more changes, including to the forms of digits themselves. You see, the reason we use number forms like we do today is because after Arabic invasions of India, native Brahmic numberforms were transported hastily into the Arabic writing system and then hastily exported to Rome, causing the numbers to change form. The tentales (digit) system of counting is thus changed in terms of the symbols used, tracing instead a path from Brahmic numerals to aesthetic consistency with written Englesex (Anglosaxon) manuscripts:

o – nauc

**\** − one

**₹** – **c**wo

3 – ppee

≽ – rowen

o – fife

9 - 1x

7 – refen

3 – e1c

9 – nine

∖∘ – cen

**∖**∖ – eleŗen

The rest of the numbers remain roughly the same in terms of name and you already know all of the digits used, so you can extrapolate everything else.

Except for a minor change in how number names after 20 are spoken:

۲۰ – cwencý

3√ – one and cwencý

#### २२ – cwo and cwencý

... and so on. This is how number names were said natively in Old English and the modern names are strongly linked to French influence. Interestingly, this is more akin to how numbers are said in languages from the Sanskrit family, with the major difference that in these language, the names are compounds of the ones place + the tens place, as in Gujarati "Bavis" ==  $22 \rightarrow$  "Ba" (from "Be" == 2) + "Vis" == 20

The numbers after a hundred are preceded by "One hundred -", as in 165, "One hundred and γικε and γικε χ"

A million now becomes a *thousand thousand* i.e. a purend purend which is attested from Old English.

Numbers after a million are not attested, and we haven't came up with anything right now but here's a place to mention that Willsome English isn't about our standards that you *must* use, this book is simply some ews (customs) to base your speech and writing off of from what we know is willsome and what isn't. By all means make

your own words and conventions for your situation, this is how languages are when left untouched.

We don't only count in digits in our day-to-day lives. We also use Roman Numerals, and these Roman Numerals have a willsome equivalent. The Christian Saint Bede introduced a Roman-like system of counting to Anglo-Saxon England using Futhorch Runes instead of Trajan letters for the numerals.

#### Fubonc Taler

I

V N

X X

L 1

C k

D M

M M (Eh, **not** man)

Example: The year is MMXXI.

Speaking of years, the way that we mark passage of times within years does not fundamentally change but the names of the months and seasons do. This is a helpful chart made by Hunter for the Anglish wiki:

## **Anglish Yeartides** 7 Months Lent 3. Lide 4. Eastermonth 5. Threemilk Summer 6. Erelithe 7. Afterlithe 8. Weedmonth Harvest 9. Holimonth 10. Winterfulth 11. Blootmonth Winter 12. Ereyule 1. Afteryule 2. Soalmonth

### deal N

# Speecchaft

(Grammar)

Your first thought upon seeing the chapter name might have been "Two different values of <c> right next to each other? What the hell!" but I want you to step back, with that thought fresh in your mind, and consider a very popular unwillsome MSE word: success. If you're still salty, go bug Hunter about it. Hunter here, come at me bro

It's worth noting that the grammar Varad will be explaining is by no means definitive. Because grammar changed so much even in Old English, we can't put our foot on the ground and say what is and isn't willsome (for the most part). What we can do is provide widespread grammar from before the Norman invasion so you can take what you will. There's also a simpler system of grammar that was present in southern dialects of early Middle English, but since this is after the Norman invasion, it remains unclear whether or not the Normans simplified it or not.

Anyway, onto the chapter itself!

#### For starters, let's warm up with a table:

X	Nomin.	Accus.	Dative	D. Genit.	I. Genit.	Reflex.
First, singular	1C	mec	me	mẏe/ mine	mine	mÿerelr/ me
First, dual	шıс	unkıc	unk	unken	unkenr	unkrelrer/ unk
First, plural	we	urıc	uŗ	ире	ирег	unerelrer/ ur
Second, singular	þue	þec	þee	þýe/þine	þıne	þýerelr/ þue
Second, dual	ζιτ	ınkız	ınk	ınken	ınkenr	ınkrelrer
Second, plural	zе	дешс	zem	дешеп	zewenr	zewenrelrer
Third, sing.	he	hine	hım	hıŗ	hıŗ	hımrelr
Third, '' female	She / hoo	hep	hen	henr	henr	henrelr
Third, '' neuter	1Շ	1Շ	17	ιτη	ιτη	ıcrelr
Third, plural	hýe	hem	hem	heep	heepr	hemrelrer

#### Various notes:

The accusative-dative distinction (The difference between the "You" in "I love you" and "I send you flowers", respectively, or between "tumse" and "tumhe" in Hindi, respectively) is from Old English. Over time, the datives

just became the accusatives, and if you want to you can just use the datives for accusatives in your own writing, but it's worth noting that the accusative-dative distinction is unlikely to have died out without involuntary creolization, so if you want to speak a "pure"r dialect, insofar as such a measure is objective (it is not), you should try and retain this slightly archaic grammar.

For the dependent genitive, it has both forms "Mye" & "Þye" and "Mine" & "Þine", with the first pair appearing before consonant sounds and the latter appearing before vowels (In this sense, mye/þye are like the article "a" and mine/þine, "an")

The reflexive case for the first person and for second singular is simply linguistic bloat. It serves no purpose. "We saw us", "Wit saw unk", and "I saw me" are just as clear as "We saw ourselves" and so forth.

ic as the first person singular is straight from Old English. It only became "I" today because of the Chancery standard, which was unwillsome.

Originally, in Old English, mec, bec, hine, incit, uncit, ūsic, and ēowic were accusatives, and by the Middle English period, these had all but died out, except for hine, which survived some time longer.

Mye and bye are spelled with an e to show that the y is long. They are pronounced just alike with how you probably say them already.

Due is pronounced as ð followed by a long-u sound, which is roughly /ow/.

With respect to dual forms, I can't put it better than Hurlebatte of the Anglish wiki did:

Like the other Germanic tongues in their early stages, Old English had a set of dual pronouns for the first and second persons. There were no special grammatical forms for the dual in verbs, however; the plural forms were used instead. In theory, since there was a dual, the plural pronouns would have been used only in reference to three or more. However, the dual was not always used, and whenever it was used, it was to show that only two people were referred to. The dual pronouns later died out sometime in the early 14th century.

Yewer is pronounced with the <ewer> making the sound that <ur> does in the MSE word *during* 

She and hoo are both acceptable willsome forms, because in some dialects, the masculine and feminine thrid person singular nominatives came to be the exact same, so a need was felt to distinguish them. Hoo is a more etymological way of doing this, because it stays true to the OE hēo. She is still acceptable though,

For the third person neuter, you can simply apply the generic masculine as well and end up with a repeat of the masculine.

Hy, hem, and heer are the willsome equivalents to they, them, and their respectively. The th- pronouns were introduced during the Viking conquest of England. Note that the contraction 'em in MSE comes from native English hem.

Conjugation works differently in WSE. The way that "Throw" becomes "Throws" when it becomes present continous, there are various other conjugations. A table is below:

X	Present	Past
First	[N/A] (1c <i>rzep</i> 1n muð)	[-ed[ (1c <i>rcepped</i> 1n mud)
Second	[-erc] (þue <i>rceperc</i> in muð)	[-erc]/[-edrc] (þue rceperc/rcepedrc in mud)
Third	[-eþ]/[r] (he rcepeþ/rcepr ın muð)	[-ед]
Plural	[N/A]	[-ед]

<sup>\*</sup> The first, second, and third persons are all singular

-eþ is the archaic -eth that you'll find in Shakespeare. If you didn't know where to use -eth and where not, then this table should tell you; it's in the same place as MSE -s as in throw → throws. So a verb like hand (MSE hand, as in "hand him a gift") becomes handeþ.

The suffix eþ, like it's MSE counterpart, creates special forms: I δο work vs he δοϸ (pronounced duþ, with the du like in the word MSE word dust) work. Ic hare an appel vs he haþ an appel. Ic ray noc whac Ic know co be whong vs he raiþ/rayr noc whac he knowr/knoweþ co be whong

<sup>\*\*</sup> Recall how dual forms obey the rules of the plural forms

<sup>\*\*\* -</sup>eþ and -s are both willsome, both are attested since Old English

The conjugation of "be" and auxiliary verbs is a bit difficult:

X	Present	Past
1C	am	war
ше	rınd / ane	шере
þue	***	***
ýе	be	шере
he/rhe/ιτ	ıŗ	war
hý	be	шере

<sup>\*</sup>Are is only used for the present plural indicative, unlike MSE. It only started being used elsewhere because of Old Norse cognates which influenced it to be used elsewhere. Sind is used in its place in the subjective mood and in some other cases. Yes, that is the same sind as in German.

Some other oddball forms are listed below

X	present	past
бо	ροις	διδητ
have	hart	hadrt
can	canrc	candrc
maÿ	maỳŗτ	mızhcrc

<sup>\*\*</sup>Bist can technically be used for any of the present singulars.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>See the table of inflections for at the top of page 48

unll	unlc	mouldrc
rhall	rhallrt	rhouldrz
murc	murc	murc
aωτ (MSE ought)	ашсегс	ашсегс

-est is the characteristic suffix of the second person singular. What that means is, if "bue" is the subject of a sentence in the present tense, the verb is inflected to agree with it.

Inflection is foolishly simple:

If your verb is in the present tense, simply stick an "est" at the end. So love  $\rightarrow$  loverc; call  $\rightarrow$  callerc

If your verb is in the past, then:

If it's regular (as in, it's past tense is just its present tense + ed), then attach an "edst" to the infinitive (The thing you'd put after "to" to mark that verb, as in "to quell"):

- (co) Wanc → Wancedrc
- (το) Look → Lookeδrτ

If it's irregular, then attach "est" to its past form:

Go → Wenzerz

If it's irregular, but the past form is the same as the infinitive, then attach an edst to that:

a note to be base is that while -est is generally incorporated into the final syllable of a verb (i.e. lofest is one syllable, as is sawest), this is not true for words where this would become difficult i.e. ending in s, sh, c, and so forth, and words ending in st (like kirrer, warher, ceacer which take the <e> as having a value of /e/), and the <e> in -edst makes an /e/ sound regardless of position or the base verb.

Here is a table of second person singular inflections for *be*:

Þue/ζe (be)	Singular	Plural	
Present Indicative	Αητ	Аре	
Past "	Шагс	Шере	
Present Subjunctive	Be	Be	
Past ''	Шерс	Шере	
Imperative	Be	Be	

Sticky II contains a note on Reactionary Grammar, if you are a fan of the way Old English generally sweys.

## deal NII

rape bee well!

Ic rhall hope bue leanned a bing on two rnom bir embook, and ic hope monero bue harert a zneat day and life ahead.

Wip be help of be wondbook which buncen Γ ic find wonking on, bue will be able to nead and white WSE af bue white more mSE today.

# Sticky I Spelling Reversions

The way English has been spelled has undergone massive changes due to Norman French influence, among other things.

Revert <ch> to <c> where it makes a /c/ sound. If you run into *major* problems (like the words cat or coke now having two different pronounciations), then represent /k/ with <k>. Note that c and still represent /k/ in a word like cparc, even making both its possible sounds next to one another as in preeccparc. This shouldn't cause confusion (You are able to read the word "success" in MSE.), and it was like this in OE.

Revert <qu> to <cw> in words like cwell, cweme and so forth.
Alternatively, <kw> works as well.

Revert French  $\langle u \rangle$ ,  $\langle ou \rangle$  and  $\langle u...e \rangle$  (where it makes a /ju/ sound) to  $\langle ew \rangle$  like you  $\rightarrow$  **zetu** (this **z** will make sense below) and true  $\rightarrow$  trew. If it makes more sense etymologically, revert it to  $\langle oo \rangle$  instead, like yule  $\rightarrow$  **zool** (from ME Yōl).

Replace a syllable-starting  $\langle y \rangle$  with  $\langle z \rangle$ . Year  $\rightarrow$  zeap, Yellow  $\rightarrow$  zellow.

Indicate long u, like used to happen before the indication (magic E) disappeared due to French influence in <ow> and <ou>. Cow  $\rightarrow$  cue, Loud  $\rightarrow$  lu $\rightarrow$ e, and so forth. This doesn't apply to oue, oun, know, rnow, ppow, etc. where the <ow> is native as opposed to french.

Revert french "soft c" back to  $\langle s \rangle$  as in since  $\rightarrow$  ringe, cinder  $\rightarrow$  ringen.

If you catch <i...e> making anything other than an /ai/ sound, revert it to match what sound its making. Fiend  $\rightarrow$  reend. The pronunciation of "friend" is reverted to /freend/ and thus so is its spelling. Thief  $\rightarrow$  beer, Shield  $\rightarrow$  rheeld.

Indicate long  $\langle i \rangle$  and kick  $\langle gh \rangle$  out of the language entirely when its silent. Light  $\rightarrow$  lize, Night  $\rightarrow$  nize, Might  $\rightarrow$  mize.

An -ie ending like the words lie and pie may be replaced by a long y, indicated by a magic e. However, -ie instead of -ye is not unwillsome. In fact, it's an older spelling and can seperate words like *hie* (high) from *hye* (the WSE *they* pronoun equivalent).

You may use a single  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  unless need to differentiate different words, like mase and masse (maze and mace respectively). So darkness  $\rightarrow$   $\delta$ ankner.

The Insular Script has a unique feature where the first letter of a writ or even chapter is drawn larger, fancier, and decorated with red dots and flourishes. You can recreate this by typing it in a larger font size and different font color. Alternatively, if you're writing something down physically, you have tools available to you of which Anglo-Saxon Scribes could not even have dreamt. Use these to your advantage.

Scythe  $\rightarrow$  r1be, tongue  $\rightarrow$  cunz, island  $\rightarrow$  1land, among other etymologically accurate changes are all encouraged.

If you're expecting dyslexic readers to confuse the Win (*p*) with a p (p) then don't go for it.

<sh> is willsome and its use predates what was previously thought to be its unwillsome cause. You don't need to replace it with <sc>, and I would advise against doing so unless you know what you're doing.

<wh> replacing <hw> seems to be perfectly willsome, having occurred under the increasing instance of <wr>, <wl>, and so forth and the relative absence of <hr>, <hl> and so forth. Basically, if you're bringing back <hw>, then go ahead and bring back <hr>, <hl> and so forth without which it would have naturally been dethroned.

Insular capitals are generally used only in the beginning of paragraphs, and are generally made a bigger font size at that. Basically, think "the opposite of German" when it comes to how frequently caps are used.

<æ>, like the phoneme it represents, may be absent in some dialects. The same goes for <ð> or <wh>.

The Semitic snoring sound (last time you'll ever read this joke) /khh/ evolved into an <f> sound like draught which became draft in American spelling or laugh, so you may want to replace some <gh>'s with <ff> or <f>.

## Sticky II

Reactionary Grammar

Let's start with the definite article: the. What does WSE replace this with? Look at the case-gender table below:

MSE The	Nom.	Accus.	Dat.	Gen.	Instrumenta l
Masculine	re	þone	þeam, þome	þar	þý***, þon
Neuter	þac	рас	þeam, þome	þar	þý***, þon
Feminine	re*	þoe	þene**	þene**	þene**
Plural	þoe	þoe	þeam, þome	þепе, þопе	þeam, þome

<sup>\*</sup>The feminine nominative was originally distinctive from its masculine counterpart like in other cases (A seo to the masculine se) and might retain this. Perhaps masculine becomes se and feminine see?

#### Q. Forgive me, what are cases?

A. Nominative: Means "name", as in "name the jew". This is just in direct reference to the subject: boe cılonen pay, bac book ır olo, arr.

Accusative: Unto. Something like: 1c ree boe puchknicher, 1c want to blow up bone middleigh puchbilding, agg.

Dative: To/for. 1c renc porer co þepe ppeccý ζιρί, 1c renc bombr co þeam/þome lopehure like Teð Kaczýnrki.

Genitive: Belonging/origin. ree zınl came rnom beam nıc or Kanrar

<sup>\*\*</sup>Is this pronounced like MSE there or would it be pronounced theer? And is the  $\langle p \rangle$  a  $\langle p \rangle$  or a  $\langle \delta \rangle$ ? That question is also possible to ask for any of the other genders and cases

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>This y is short

#### Instrumental: via. 'cıll beac bue wıb bon hammen

All of these replace the definite article. Replacing the indefinite is somewhat trickier, English historically lacked an indefinite article, using ān (one) like we might use "a particular" today. Assuming ān becomes an, the following table shows its declension in a modern format:

a	Nom.	Accus.	Dat.	Gen.	Ins.
Masculine	an	ann	anum	aner	ane
Feminine	an	an	anum	aner	ane
Neuter	an	ane	anep	anep	anep

Some example nominative sentences: an wife walked dune pone poad an bipd his mye windsheeld

#### Accusative:

ic kicked ane rcone 'cam ann lad or rixceen

#### Dative:

what hart bue bere norer ron? ane hy ron anum zinl?
If it cook mye hammen to anen bnoken table, bat table would be whole again in minuter

#### Genitive:

þac can ιr or aner wealþý man þue anc an ron or anen rheehunde

#### **Instrumental:**

zo rhore anen rcick up bine boccom

#### ic roobly wedded ane whope

Now for nouns. Let's look at a strong (regular) noun, and a weak (irregular) noun.

Note that strong nouns are those ending with a consonant sounds, and weak those ending with a vowel sound.

#### Strong noun example:

Scone	Singular	Plural
Nominative/accusative	- 1e rcone	-er ie rcone + er = rconer
Dative	- 1e rcone	-um 1e rconum
Genitive	-er 1e rconer	-а 1е тсопа

Note that the nominative/accusative plural converges with the genitive singular, but you can undo even that, and bring it back to being "-as". More liberally (cuck) you can turn the genitive singular into a simple -s, and pronounce the e in the nominative-accusative (hereafter standard) plural. Likewise, you can revert the dative singular to -e.

Note that the magic e is displaced by the suffixes starting with a vowel, but the o is long in all of the above forms

#### Another example:

Sheeld	Singular	Plural
Standard	Sheeld	Sheelder (on Sheeldar)
Dative	Sheeld (on Sheelde)	Sheeldum
Genitive	Sheelder (on Sheeldr)	Sheelda

#### A weak noun:

Сие	Sin.	Plu.
Nom.	- 1е Сие	-en ıe. Ľuen
Acc.		
Dat.	-en 1e Euen	-um 1e Eum
Gen.		-па 1е Гипа

Note that like with stone, that u is long in all of those above forms Minor nouns or u-declensions are done away with, because they get unwieldy. You can revive these yourself, but you're **really** stretching what might have happened sans-viking

## Adjectives Strong example:

Боод	M. S.	M. P.	F.S.	F.P.	N.S	N.P.
Nom.	- 1е Боод	- 1е Боод	- 1е Боод	- 1е Боода	- 1е Боод	- 1е Боод
Acc.	-en 1e Gooden	-um 1e Goodum	- 1е Боод	-um 1e Goodum	- 1е Боод	-um 1e Goodum
Dat.	-um 1e Goodum	-um 1e Goodum	-еп 1е, Боодеп	-um 1e Goodum	-um 1e Goodum	-um 1e Goodum
Gen.	-er 1e Gooder	-ра 1е Боодра	-еп 1е Боодеп	-ра 1е Боодра	-er 1e Gooder	-ра 1е Боодра
Ins.	- 1е Боод	-um 1e Goodum	-ра 1е Боодра	-um 1e Goodum	- 1е Боод	-um 1e Goodum

#### Another example, with the columns arranged a bit differently

Вад	M.S.	F.S.	N.S	M.P.	F.P.	N.P.
Nom	Вад	Вад	Вад	Вад	Вада	Вад
Acc	Вадеп	Вад	Вад	Вадит	Вадит	Вадит
Dat	Вадит	Вадер	Вадит	Badum	Badum	Вадит
Gen	Вадег	Вадер	Вадег	Вадра	Вадра	Вадра
Ins	Вад	Вадра	Вад	Badum	Badum	Вадит

#### A weak adjective now:

Blue	Masculine	Feminine	Neuter	Plural
N	- 1e Blue	- 1e Blue	1e Blue	-en 1e Bluen
A	-en 1e Bluen	-en 1e Bluen	- 1e Blue	-en 1e Bluen
D	-en 1e Bluen	-en 1e Bluen	-en 1e Bluen	-um 1e Blum
G	-en 1e Bluen	-en 1e Bluen	-en 1e Bluen	-en 1e Bluen
Ι	-en 1e Bluen	-en 1e Bluen	-en 1e Bluen	-um 1e Blum

The demonstrative That exists as the article þat in WSE. What about This? In OE, þas was inflected for gender, case, and number. Let's look at a modernized table.

þıŗ	Masculine	Feminine	Neuter	Plural
Nominative	<b>խ</b> ւբ	þer	þıŗ	þar
Accusative	þıŗen	þar	þıŗ	þar
Dative	þıŗŗum	þıŗŗ	þıŗŗum	þıŗrum

Genitive	þırrer	<b>þ</b> ıŗŗ	þırrer	þıŗa
Instrumental	þýŗe	þıŗŗ	þýŗe	þıŗŗum

You might have realized I haven't been doing examples for a while. That's because I want you to do them yourself. Practice makes perfect, after all. I'll also give you many many examples using multiple oferolde speeccraft (WSE for over-old ie. Reactionary grammar) concepts at the end.

On to the next thing, number declension, which I discourage beyond making the genitive form of "one" "ones" ie. This is ones spider's web or this is ones man's home. With one being pronounced correctly now, with a long o sound as in alone, this becomes way easier to pronounce.

Two and three are plural by definition and somewhat harder. Here they are:

#### Two:

Tuio	Masculine	Femine	Neuter
N	Twezen	Тоо	Тшо
A	Tuezen	Тоо	Two
D	Twam	Twam	Twam
G	Тшеда	Тшеда	Тшеда
I	Tuam	Twam	Twam

#### And three:

Pnee	M	F	N
N	þņýe	þnee	þnee
A	þņýe	þnee	þnee
D	þµm	þրim	þµım

G	рреер	рреер	рреер
I	þµm	þլսm	þnum

## A bunch of simple sentences for you to translate, using **reactionary grammar**:

- (I) I walked into my friend's house. I then shot his dog. (Hint: dog is hunde in WSE)
- (II) The Vikings raided England a long time ago. Today, the consequences of this are felt strongly.
- (III) Hunter is King. Long live Hunter
- (IV) The State is an institution of theft.
- (V) Everybody should read Hans-Hermann Hoppe's books. I would say the best one is Democracy: The God That Failed (hint: never translate proper nouns)
- (VI) Via the instrumental case, I will rule the world.

#### Answer key:

- (I) ic walked into mye preend'r hupe. ic þen phot hip hunder (hunde + genitive, and that's the only grammatical change)
- (II) þoe uncingr onuaðeð england an long cime ago. coðaý, þac arcenmaþ or þir be relc rcnonglý.
- (III) huncen birc king. long life huncen.
- (IV) pac puc beep an recreming or perc (note: stefen works here too)
- (V) erenýbodý rhall nead hanr-henmann hoppe'r bookr. ic will raý bac berc one ir Democnacý: The God Thac Failed.
- (VI) þnu þý/þon coolfall abýinz, ic rhall weelð þac wonlð