

# FAF.PCAIT21.1 Fall 2023

## Midterm 2 Evaluation Grid

Handed out: Friday, November 24, 2023

Evaluation criteria	Very good (9–10)	Good (7–8)	Satisfactory (5–6)	Unsatisfactory (1–4)
<b>Forms and Interviews</b>	Forms and proven interviews with <b>every</b> stakeholder / user group	Forms and couple of interviews with more than one stakeholder	Forms with potential users	No interviews / forms done
<b>Validation and Pivoting</b>	Thorough analysis of responses performed. All hypothesis validated / unvalidated. Changes made accordingly	Some analysis of responses performed. All hypothesis validated / unvalidated	Some analysis of responses performed. Some hypothesis validated / unvalidated	No analysis performed
<b>Application Modeling</b>	Processes correctly extracted. Requirements analysis correct and complete. Diagrams provide useful context to chapter. Chapter would have meaning despite absence of diagrams	Processes extracted <b>AND</b> requirements analysis performed. Diagrams provide context to chapter. Chapter would have meaning despite absence of diagrams	Processes extracted <b>OR</b> requirements analysis performed. Diagrams present	Application modeling convoluted, incomplete or absent
<b>UML Diagrams</b>	Diagrams are correct, easy to read / follow. Diagrams created using the same designing tool	Diagrams contain few mistakes. Diagrams understandable	Diagrams contain a significant number of mistakes. Diagrams can be followed if trying hard enough	UML diagrams incorrect, unreadable or absent
<b>Visual Solution Representation</b>	User flow diagrams correct and cover all processes. Mockups consistent and provide useful context	User flow diagrams contain few mistakes and cover main and secondary processes. Mockups consistent and provide context	User flow diagrams contain a significant number of mistakes and cover some processes. Mockups provide some context	User flow diagrams incorrect, unreadable or absent
<b>Report and Presentation</b>	Report/Presentation follows project suggestions. Presentation respects time limits. Team members participate equally in presentation	Report/Presentation follows project suggestions. Presentation respects time limits	Report/Presentation roughly follows project suggestions. Presentation roughly follows time limits	Report/Presentation does not follow project suggestions. Presentation does not respect time limits