# Section 2.2

#### Exercise 2.2.1

Prove Proposition 2.2.5. (Hint: fix two of the variables and induct on the third.) *Proof.* 

We use induction on a. First we do the base case a=0 to show (0+b)+c=0+(b+c). By the definition of addition, we have (0+b)+c=b+c and 0+(b+c)=b+c. Thus the base case is done. Now suppose inductively that (a+b)+c=a+(b+c), now we have to prove ((a++)+b)+c=(a++)+(b+c). By the definition of addition, ((a++)+b)+c=((a+b)+c)+c and (a++)+(b+c)=(a+(b+c))+c. By axiom 2.4 and the hypothesis, ((a++)+b)+c=(a++)+(b+c) thus we have closed the induction.

## Exercise 2.2.2

Prove Lemma 2.2.10. (Hint: use induction.)

Proof. We use induction on a. Let the property P(a) to be "if a is a positive number, then there exists exactly one natural number b such that b++=a". First we do the base case a=0. P(0) is true since the hypothesis is vacuous, thus the base case is done. Now suppose inductively P(a) is true, now we need to show P(a++) is true. By Axiom 2.3 and Definition 2.2.7, a++ is a positive number and hence we need to prove the conclusion is ture. Obviously there exists at least one natural number b++=a++ by Axiom 2.2. For the sake of contradiction that there exists more than one natural number of which the successor is a++, by Axiom 2.4, a contradiction. Thus there exists exactly one natural number b such that b++=a++, thus we have closed the induction.

#### Exercise 2.2.3

Prove Proposition 2.2.12. (Hint: you will need many of the preceding propositions, corollaries, and lemmas.)

Proof.

For (a) (Order is reflexive), by Lemma 2.2.2 and a=a+0, and Definition 2.2.11,  $a \ge a$  is proved.

For (b) (Order is transitive), if  $a \ge b$  and  $b \ge c$ , by Definition 2.2.11 there exists a natural number  $k_0$  such that  $a = b + k_0$  and  $k_1$  such that  $b = c + k_1$ . By substitution, we have  $a = (c + k_1) + k_0$ . By Proposition 2.2.5,  $a = (c + k_1) + k_0 = c + (k_1 + k_0)$ . Since  $k_0 + k_1$  is a natural number (can be proved by induction), we have  $a \ge c$ .

For (c) (Order is anti-symmetric), For the sake of contradiction that  $a \neq b$ . By the trichotomy of order of natural numbers we have a > b or a < b. If a > b, a contradiction to  $a \leq b$ . If a < b, a contradiction to  $a \geq b$ . Thus we have proved a = b.

For (d) (Addition preserves order), to prove  $a \ge b$  iff  $a + c \ge b + c$ , we need to show both  $a \ge b$  implies  $a + c \ge b + c$  and  $a + c \ge b + c$  implies  $a \ge b$ . For the first implication, let x = a + c and y = b + c, we need to show  $x \ge y$ . By definition of ordering,  $a \ge b$  iff there exists a natural number k such that a = b + k. Then we have x = (b + k) + c = (b + c) + k = y + k. Thus we have proved the first implication. For the second implication, suppose a < b, we have a + c < b + c (can be proved in the way similar to the first implication), a contradiction. Thus we have proved the second implication.

For (e), to prove a < b iff  $a++ \le b$ , we need to prove both a < b implies  $a++ \le b$  and  $a++ \le b$  implies a < b. For the first implication, there exists a positive number  $k_1$  (by (f)) such that  $b = a + k_1$ . By Lemma 2.2.10 there exists a natural number  $k_2$  such that  $b = a + k_1 = a + k_2 + + = (a+1) + k_2 = a + + + k_2$ , thus we have proved  $a++ \le b$ . For the second implication, there exists a natural number  $k_3$  such that  $b = a + k_3 = (a+1) + k_3 = a + (1+k_3)$ . By Proposition 2.2.8,  $1 + k_3$  is a positive number, thus a < b. Thus we have proved the second implication.

For (f), to prove a < b iff b = a + d for some positive number d, we need to prove a < b implies b = a + d and b = a + d implies a < b, for some positive number d. For the first implication, we have a = b + d for some natural number d and  $a \neq b$ . For the sake of contradiction that d = 0, we have a = b, a contradiction. Thus d is positive by Definition 2.2.7. For the second implication, by Definition 2.2.11 we have  $a \leq b$ , and we need to show  $a \neq b$ . For the sake of contradiction that a = b, by Proposition 2.2.6 a + 0 = b + d implies d = 0, a contradiction. Thus we have proved a < b.

# Exercise 2.2.4

Justify the three statements marked (why?) in the proof of Proposition 2.2.13. *Proof.* 

To prove " $0 \le b$  for all natural number b" we use induction. First we do the base case  $0 \le 0$ , by Proposition 2.2.12 (a) it is true. Now suppose inductively  $0 \le b$ , we need to show  $0 \le b++$ . Since b++=b+1, by Proposition 2.2.12 (f) we have b < b++, and then by Proposition 2.2.12 (b) we have  $0 \le b++$ . Thus we have closed the induction.

To prove "if a > b, then a++>b", similar to the proof of the first statement, we have a++>a thus  $a++\geq b$ . For the sake of contradiction that a++=b, we have b=a+1 thus b>a, a contradiction. Thus we have proved the statement.

To prove "if a = b, then a++>b ", we have a++=a+1=b+1, thus by definition we have proved a++>b.

## Exercise 2.2.5

Prove Proposition 2.2.14. (Hint: define Q(n) to be the property that P(m) is true for all  $m_0 \le m < n$ ; note that Q(n) is vacuously true when  $n < m_0$ .)

*Proof.* Use the definition of Q(n) in the hint. We induct on the natural n. First we do the base case n = 0. Q(n) is vacuously true for all natural number

 $m_0$  since  $m_0 \leq m < 0$  is vacuous, thus we have proved the base case. Now suppose Q(n) is true, we now need to show Q(n++) is ture. If Q(n) is true, then P(m) is ture for all natural number  $m_0 \leq m \leq n$  by the implication. By Proposition 2.2.12 (b) and n < n++, we have  $m_0 \leq m \leq n++$ . For the sake of contradiction that m = n++, m = n+1 hence m > n, by the trichotomy of ordering, a contradiction. Thus we have proved Q(n++) is ture and closed the induction.

# Exercise 2.2.6

Let n be a natural number, and let P(m) be a property pertaining to the natural numbers such that whenever P(m++) is true, then P(m) is true. Suppose that P(n) is also true. Prove that P(m) is true for all natural numbers  $m \leq n$ ; this is known as the principle of backwards induction. (Hint: apply induction to the variable n.)

*Proof.* We use induction on n. For the base case we need to show P(m) is true for all natural numbers  $m \leq 0$ , which is true by definition. Now suppose P(m) is true for all natural numbers  $m \leq n$ , inductively we need to show that if P(n++) is ture, then P(m) is true for all natural numbers  $m \leq n++$ . If P(n++) is true, then P(n) is true. By the hypothesis we have P(m) is true for  $m \leq n$ . Thus P(m) is true for  $m \leq n++$ , closing the induction.