ECS 222A: Assignment #7

Due on Thursday, March 12, 2015

 $Daniel\ Gusfield\ TR\ 4:40pm\hbox{-}6:00pm$

Wenhao Wu

Contents

Problem 1	9
Problem 2	9
Problem 2(a)	3
Problem 2(b)	4
Problem 3	_

Problem 1

In class on thursday, we talked about the factor of two approximation algorithm for minimum-size node cover problem in an undirected graph G: find a maximal Independent Set of edges of G, call it M, then form A(G) by taking both ends of each edge in M. A(G) is a node cover, and we proved that its size is at most twice the size of O(G), where O(G) is a minimum-size node cover of G. That is, $|A(G)|/|O(G)| \leq 2$. In class, I said that we can find a subset A'(G) of A(G) (possibly equal), which is also a node cover of G, such that |A'(G)|/|O(G)| < 2. More precisely, we have the following:

Claim: Either some node x can be removed from A(G) so that $A'(G) = A(G)\{x\}$ is a node cover of G, or A(G) is a minimum-size node cover.

Prove the claim, and show how it establishes the better ratio. As a hint, examine two cases: either there is a node v in A(G) such that for every edge (u, v) in G, u is also in A(G); or there is no such node. To handle the latter case, extend the proof we gave in class that $|A(G)|/|O(G)| \leq 2$.

Answer:

Problem 2

In class we stated that the Satisfiability problem is NP-complete, that the Independent Set problem is NP-complete and the Node-Cover Problem is NP-complete. So in this problem you may assume those problems, but only those problems, are known to be NP-complete.

Problem 2(a)

In a problem we call the ZZZ problem, the input is a number k, and bipartite graph G, where the two node sets on the two sides of G are denoted A and B. The answer to an instance of problem ZZZ is yes if and only if there is a subset S of size at most k of the nodes in A, such that every node in B is adjacent to at least one node in S. Prove that problem ZZZ is NP-complete.

Answer: Firstly, if the answer to problem ZZZ is "yes", there exists a subset $S \subset A$ of size at most k (certificate) which can be verified in $O(|V|^2)$ time that every vertex in B is adjacent to at at least one vertex in S. And if the answer to problem ZZZ is "no", no certificate can trick the verification into saying "yes". Consequently, ZZZ problem is NP.

An instance (G, k) for a Node-Cover problem, i.e. whether there is a node cover C of size at most k in graph G = (V, E), can be reduced into an instance of ZZZ problem as follows. For the bipartite graph H, define A = V, $B = \{uv | (u, v) \in E\}$, i.e. A contains the same node as G and for each edge in G a node is added to G. The edge set G of G is defined as G and for each edge in G a node is added to edges G of G is defined as G is the node corresponding to the edge G of G is the node corresponding to the edge G of size at most G is a problem.

Lemma 2.1 The answer to instance (G, k) of Node-Cover problem is "yes" iff the answer to instance (H, k) of ZZZ problem is "yes".

Proof Suppose S is a node cover in G, we claim that every node in B is adjacent to at least one node in $S \subset A$. To see this, suppose for contradiction that there exists a node $uv \in B$ that is not adjacent to any node in S, then there exists two nodes $u \in A \setminus S$, $v \in A \setminus S$ such that $(u,v) \in E$. Consequently, we find an edge in G that is not covered by S, which contradicts with the fact that S is a node cover. As a result, the answer to instance (H,k) of ZZZ problem is "yes" if the answer to instance (G,k) of Node-Cover problem is "yes"

On the other hand, suppose in bipartite graph H there is $S \in A$ such that every node in B is adjacent to some node in S, we claim S is also a node cover in G. To see this, suppose for contradiction that S is not

a node cover in G, i.e. there exists $(u, v) \in E$ such that $u \notin S$ and $v \notin S$. In bipartite graph H, consider node $uv \in B$. From the construction of H, uv is adjacent to only u and v, therefore uv is not adjacent to any node in S. This contracdits with the assumption. As a result, the answer to instance (G, k) of Node-Cover problem is "yes" if the answer to instance (H, k) of ZZZ problem is "yes".

Since we assume Node-Cover problem to be NP-complete, from Lemma 2.1 we know that ZZZ problem is NP-hard. Since ZZZ problem is also NP, we conclude that ZZZ problem is NP-complete.

Problem 2(b)

In a problem we call the QQQ problem, the input is an undirected graph G = (V, E) and an undirected graph G_1 . There are no node or edge labels. The answer to an instance of problem QQQ is yes if and only if there is an "induced" subgraph G' = (V', E') of G which is isomorphic (indentical in this context) to G_1 . In an induced subgraph containing the set of nodes V', the edge set E' consists of every edge whose two endpoints are both in V'. Prove that Problem QQQ is NP-complete.

Answer: Firstly, if the answer to problem QQQ is "yes", there exists an induced subgraph G' of G which is isomorphic to G_1 . Define the certificate as G' and the correspondence between nodes in G' and G_1 , the correctness of G' can be verified in $O(|V|^2)$ time. And if the answer to problem QQQ is "no", no certificate can trick the verification into saying "yes". Consequently, QQQ problem is NP.

An instance (G, k) of the independent set problem, i.e. whether there is an independent set of edges S of size at most k in graph G = (V, E), can be reduced into a QQQ problem instance (G, G_1) , where $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$. We define $V_1 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$ and $E_1 = \{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_k, y_k)\}$. Apparently, an independent set of size k corresponds to an induced subgraph isomorphic to G_1 . Consequently, the answer to instance (G, k) of independent set problem is "yes" iff the answer to instance (G, G_1) of QQQ problem is "yes".

Since we assume Independent Set problem to be NP-complete, from the above reduction we know that QQQ problem is NP-hard. Since QQQ problem is also NP, we conclude that QQQ problem is NP-complete.

Problem 3

In an undirected, connected graph G, a subset S of nodes of G is called a *Dominating Set* if every node in G is adjacent to at least one node in S. Note that a Dominating Set is not the same as a Node Cover. The Dominating Set Problem has input (G, k). The answer to an instance of the Dominating Set problem is yes, if and only if G has a Dominating Set of size at most k.

The following idea shows how to reduce any instance of the Node Cover Problem, when the input graph is connected, to an Instance of the Dominating Set Problem. Note that the Node Cover problem is NP-complete even when the restricted to the case where the input graph is required to be connected.

Given an instance (H, t) of the Node Cover Problem (H is a connected, undirected graph, and t is the target), create a new graph G consisting of H plus one new node uv for each edge (u, v) in H. Node uv in G has an edge to node u in G and an edge to node v in G. So each edge (u, v) in H is associated with a triangle in G consisting of nodes u, v, uv. It helps to draw a picture. Then the input to the Dominating Set Problem is (G, k), where k = t.

Prove that H has a Node Cover of size at most t if and only if G has a Dominating Set of size at most t. Hint: establish first that a smallest Dominating Set of G can be found using only the original nodes in H.

Answer: Firstly we establish a smallest Dominating Set of G can be found using only the original nodes in H.

Lemma 3.1 If S is a dominating set in G, then for each edge (u,v) in H, at least one of u, v is in S.

Proof Suppose for contradiction that there exists a dominating set S and an edge (u, v) in H such that $u \notin S$ and $v \notin S$. According to the construction of G, node uv is adjacent only 2 two nodes u and v, consequently, uv is not adjacent to any node in S. This contradicts with the fact that S is a dominating set. Consequently, we conclude that at least one of u, v is in S.

Lemma 3.2 For a dominating set S. The following procedure results in a dominating set S' containing only the original nodes in H: Initialize S' = S. For any node $uv \in S$

- If uv, v in S, replace uv with u in S'.
- If uv, u in S, replace uv with v in S'.

(From Lemma 3.1 these are the only 2 possible scenarios.) Apparently, the number of nodes in S' is equal to or less than that in S.

Proof To see that S' is also a dominating set, for any node $x \in G$, since S is a dominating set, we have x must be adjacent to some node $y \in S$.

- If $y \in H$, i.e. y is an original node in H, then $y \in S'$. Consequently, node x is still adjacent to some node in S'.
- If y = uv corresponds to some edge (u, v) in H, then we have either x = u or x = v. Since both u and v are in S', x must be adjacent to some node in S'.

Consequently, S' is also a dominating set.

Corollary 3.3 A smallest Dominating Set of G can be found using only the original nodes in H.

Proof From Lemma 3.2, suppose S is a smallest Dominating Set, we can always construct a dominating set S' no larger than S that contains only the original nodes in H.

Now we are ready to show that H has a Node Cover of size at most t if and only if G has a Dominating Set of size at most t.

- If G has a dominating set S of size t, from Corollary 3.3 G has a dominating set S' of size $t' \leq t$ contains only the original nodes in H. We claim that S' is a node cover in H. Assume for contradiction that there exists an edge (u, v) in H such that $u \notin S'$ and $v \notin S'$, then node $uv \in G$ is not adjacent to any node in S' since uv is adjacent only to u and v. This contradicts with the assumption that S is a dominating set in G. Consequently, S' is a node cover in H of size at most t.
- If H has a node cover S of size t, we claim that S is also a dominating set in G. To see this, given $x \in G$, we show that either $x \in S$ or x is adjacent to some node in S:
 - If x = uv corresponds to some edge (u, v) in H, then edge (u, v) must be covered by S in H, therefore either $u \in S$ or $v \in S$. Since uv is adjacent to both u and v, uv is adjacent to at least one node in S.
 - If x = u corresponds to an original node in H, we have either $u \in S$ or $u \notin S$. In the latter case, since H is connected, there exists node v such that edge (u, v) is in H, thus covered by S. Then $u \notin S$ indicates that $v \in S$, therefore u is adjacent to some node in S.

Consequently, S is a dominating set of size t in G.

In conclusion, H has a Node Cover of size at most t if and only if G has a Dominating Set of size at most t