Table 2. Association of EL Mass with Cardiovascular Risk Factor

Variable	Total R (p-Value)		Men R (p-Value)		Women R (p-Value)	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
Age	0.14 (0.004)	0.048 (0.29)	0.08 (0.09)	0.11 (0.06)	0.13 (0.01)	0.06 (0.44)
BMI	0.28 (<0.001)	0.22 (<0.001)	0.24 (<0.001)	0.15 (0.01)	0.33 (<0.001)	0.26 (<0.001)
Waist circumference	0.28 (<0.001)	0.26 (<0.001)	0.25 (<0.001)	0.17 (<0.017)	0.38 (<0.001)	0.3 (0.001)
Blood pressure	0.18 (<0.001)	0.24 (<0.001)	0.15 (<0.001)	0.26 (<0.001)	0.21 (<0.001)	0.19 (<0.001)
Fasting glucose	0.11 (0.001)	0.16 (0.002)	0.1 (0.04)	0.14 (0.04)	0.13 (0.01)	0.19 (0.02)
HOMA-IR	0.27 (<0.001)	0.24 (<0.001)	0.22 (<0.001)	0.23 (<0.001)	0.33 (<0.001)	0.23 (0.003)
Triglycerides	0.22 (<0.001)	0.133 (0.004)	0.23 (<0.001)	0.13 (0.03)	0.22 (<0.001)	0.18 (0.016)
Total cholesterol	0.14 (<0.001)	0.11 (0.04)	0.1 (0.03)	0.1 (0.18)	0.19 (<0.001)	0.18 (0.01)
LDL-C	0.12 (<0.001)	0.07 (0.12)	0.06 (0.25)	0.01 (0.87)	0.2 (<0.001)	0.18 (0.01)
АроВ	0.22 (<0.001)	0.21 (<0.001)	0.2 (<0.001)	0.18 (0.002)	0.25 (<0.001)	0.27 (<0.001)
HDL-C	-0.11 (0.002)	-0.18 (<0.001)	-0.13 (0.005)	-0.12 (0.05)	-0.1 (0.08)	-0.15 (0.04)
ApoA-I	-0.042 (0.23)	-0.05 (0.32)	-0.08 (0.075)	0.032 (0.59)	0.016 (0.8)	-0.03 (0.67)

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0030022.t002

measure of insulin resistance [33], are shown in Table 2. There was a significant but modest positive correlation between pre-heparin EL mass and age in the entire cohort (r = 0.14, p = 0.004) and in women (r = 0.13, p = 0.013) (Table 2). There were significant positive correlations between both pre-heparin (r = 0.28, p < 0.001) and post-heparin (r = 0.22, p< 0.001) EL concentrations and both BMI and waist circumference, and these associations remained significant when each gender was examined separately (Table 2). In addition, EL concentrations were greater in obese (BMI > 30) compared to lean men and women in pre-heparin plasma, 575 ± 364 ng/ml versus 456 ± 328 ng/ml, p < 0.001, and in post-heparin plasma, $1,820 \pm 1,253$ ng/ml versus $1,417 \pm 836$ ng/ml, p < 0.001. Both pre- and post-heparin EL mass were correlated with an increased HOMA-IR score: r = 0.27, p <0.001 and r = 0.24, p < 0.001, respectively.

Association of EL Concentrations with Plasma Lipid and Lipoprotein Concentrations

Both pre-heparin and post-heparin EL mass concentrations were positively associated with aspects of an atherogenic lipoprotein profile (Table 2). In pre- and post-heparin plasma, EL mass concentrations were significantly positively correlated with fasting plasma triglyceride and apolipoprotein B (apoB) concentrations in men and women and with LDL-C in women but not men.

To further explore the relationship between plasma EL mass and apoB-containing lipoproteins, we examined the correlations between plasma EL mass and lipoprotein particle size as determined by NMR lipoprotein analysis (Table 3). A significant positive correlation was found between post-heparin EL mass and large VLDL concentrations in men and women, consistent with the positive association of EL with triglycerides. There was a very modest association of EL mass with concentrations of intermediate-size LDL particles in the entire group, which was not significant when assessed separately in men or women. There were no other significant associations of EL mass concentrations with apoB-containing lipoprotein subclasses.

There was a modest, but highly statistically significant, negative association between HDL-C concentrations and both pre- and post-heparin EL concentrations (see Table 2). EL mass concentrations in the lowest and the highest HDL quartile were significantly different, with the difference more pronounced in post-heparin plasma, 1,766 \pm 1,231 ng/ml in the lowest HDL quartile versus 1,342 \pm 917 ng/ml in the highest (p=0.001). Interestingly, the NMR analysis revealed a negative association of post-heparin EL concentrations with large HDL particles, and a positive association of EL mass with small HDL particles (Table 3). There was no correlation between either pre-heparin or post-heparin EL mass and apoA-I concentrations.

Table 3. Correlations between Post-Heparin EL Mass and Lipoprotein Subclasses Assessed by NMR

Lipoprotein Subclass	Total (n = 510) R (p-Value)	Men (n = 294) R (p-Value)	Women (n = 216) R (p-Value)
Small VLDL (27–35 nm)	0.06 (0.16)	0.05 (0.42)	0.1 (0.14)
Intermediate VLDL (35-60 nm)	0.04 (0.34)	0.05 (0.43)	0.07 (0.32)
Large VLDL (60–200 nm)	0.14 (0.002)	0.12 (0.04)	0.18 (0.01)
Small LDL (18.3-19.7 nm)	0.04 (0.35)	0.03 0.6)	0.04 (0.6)
Intermediate LDL (19.8–21.2 nm)	0.1 (0.03)	0.08 (0.18)	0.07 (0.34)
Large LDL (21.3–23 nm)	-0.04 (0.38)	-0.05 (0.46)	-0.06 (0.4)
Small HDL (7.3–8.2 nm)	0.16 (<0.001)	0.17 (0.005)	0.14 (0.05)
Intermediate HDL (8.2-8.8 nm)	0.04 (0.36)	0 (0.99)	0.1 (0.15)
Large HDL (8.8–13 nm)	-0.17 (<0.001)	-0.17 (0.004)	-0.22 (<0.001)

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0030022.t003

