SUMMARY

• The frequency of use of UML components varies considerably: Class, Sequence, and Use Case Diagrams are used most often, while Collaboration Diagrams are used least.

• Apparently, at least half of UML projects are not Use Case driven: Class Diagram use substantially exceeds Use Case Diagram and Narrative use.

• Contrary to claims in the popular literature, developers appear to believe that UML diagrams can be understood by clients: Clients are most involved with Use Case Narratives and Activity Diagrams, but are more involved with the remaining components than we expected.

• While systems analysts and programmers rely most on Class and Sequence Diagrams they also use the Use Case Narratives, suggesting that the potential communication disconnect may not be a concern in practice.

• Use Case Narratives appear not to capture all requirements: Class, Sequence, and Statechart Diagrams provide the most additional information beyond Use Case Narratives.

• The complexity of UML is a concern, suggesting more programs are needed to help IS professionals and their clients learn the language and how to use it more effectively.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ambler, S. Agile Modeling: Effective Practices for Extreme Programming and Unified Process. John Wiley, New York, 2002.
- 2. Booch, G. Object-Oriented Analysis and Design with Applications, 2nd ed. Benjamin/Cummings, Redwood City, CA, 1994.
- 3. Booch, G., Rumbaugh, J., and Jacobson, I. The Unified Modeling Language User Guide. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1999.
- 4. Dobing, B. and Parsons, J. Understanding the role of Use Cases in UML: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Database Management* 11, 4 (2000), 28–36.
- 5. Jacobson, I., Booch, G., and Rumbaugh, J. The Unified Software Development Process. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1999.
- 6. Jacobson, I., Christerson, M., Jonsson, P., and Overgaard, G. Object-Oriented Software Engineering: A Use Case Driven Approach. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1992.
- 7. Kobryn, C. Will UML 2.0 Be agile or awkward? *Commun. ACM 45*, 1 (2002), 107–110.
- 8. Rumbaugh, J., Blaha, M., Premerlani, W., Eddy, F., and Lorensen, W. Object-Oriented Modeling and Design. Prentice Hall, 1991.
- 9. Siau, K. and Cao, Q. How complex is the Unified Modeling Language? *Advanced Topics in Database Research 1*. Idea Publishing Group, Hershey, PA, 2002, 294–306.
- 10. Zeichick, A. Modeling usage low; Developers confused about UML 2.0, MDA. *SD Times*, July 15, 2002. (Available at www.sdtimes. com/news/058/story3.htm).

BRIAN DOBING (brian.dobing@uleth.ca) is an associate professor of information systems in the Faculty of Management, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada.

JEFFREY PARSONS (jeffreyp@mun.ca) is a professor of information systems and associate dean (research) in the Faculty of Business Administration, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada.

UML should not be considered exclusively as a language for software professionals; a greater understanding of UML diagrams and their roles in building systems is needed throughout organizations. Standardization of UML has made a major contribution toward this goal; standardization of usage guidelines is needed as well.