

# Deep Learning

**Yann LeCun**

Center for Data Science & Courant Institute, NYU  
& Facebook AI Research

yann@cs.nyu.edu

<http://yann.lecun.com>



# Deep Learning = Learning Representations/Features

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## The traditional model of pattern recognition (since the late 50's)

- ▶ Fixed/engineered features (or fixed kernel) + trainable classifier



hand-crafted  
Feature Extractor

"Simple" Trainable  
Classifier

## End-to-end learning / Feature learning / Deep learning

- ▶ Trainable features (or kernel) + trainable classifier



Trainable  
Feature Extractor

Trainable  
Classifier




# ► This Basic Model has not evolved much since the 50's




Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## ■ The first learning machine: the **Perceptron**

- Built at Cornell in 1960
- The Perceptron was a **linear classifier** on top of a simple **feature extractor**
- The vast majority of practical applications of ML today use glorified **linear classifiers** or glorified template matching.
- Designing a feature extractor requires considerable efforts by experts.



$$y = \text{sign} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N W_i F_i(X) + b \right)$$





# Linear Machines And their limitations

# Linear Machines: Regression with Mean Square

---

## Linear Regression, Mean Square Loss:

- decision rule:  $y = W'X$
- loss function:  $L(W, y^i, X^i) = \frac{1}{2}(y^i - W'X^i)^2$
- gradient of loss:  $\frac{\partial L(W, y^i, X^i)}{\partial W} = -(y^i - W(t)'X^i)X^i$
- update rule:  $W(t+1) = W(t) + \eta(t)(y^i - W(t)'X^i)X^i$
- direct solution: solve linear system  $[\sum_{i=1}^P X^i X^{i'}]W = \sum_{i=1}^P y^i X^i$



# Linear Machines: Perceptron

---

## Perceptron:

- decision rule:  $y = F(W'X)$  ( $F$  is the threshold function)
- loss function:  $L(W, y^i, X^i) = (F(W'X^i) - y^i)W'X^i$
- gradient of loss:  $\frac{\partial L(W, y^i, X^i)}{\partial W} = -(y^i - F(W'X^i))X^i$
- update rule:  $W(t+1) = W(t) + \eta(t)(y^i - F(W'X^i))X^i$
- direct solution: find  $W$  such that  $-y^i F(W'X^i) < 0 \quad \forall i$

# Linear Machines: Logistic Regression

---

## Logistic Regression, Negative Log-Likelihood Loss function:

- decision rule:  $y = F(W'X)$ , with  $F(a) = \frac{1 - \exp(a)}{1 + \exp(a)}$  (sigmoid function).
- loss function:  $L(W, y^i, X^i) = 2 \log(1 + \exp(-y^i W'X^i))$
- gradient of loss:  $\frac{\partial L(W, y^i, X^i)}{\partial W} = -(Y^i - F(W'X)) X^i$
- update rule:  $W(t+1) = W(t) + \eta(t)(y^i - F(W(t)'X^i))X^i$

# General Gradient-Based Supervised Learning Machine

---

## Neural Nets, and many other models:

- decision rule:  $y = F(W, X)$ , where  $F$  is some function, and  $W$  some parameter vector.
- loss function:  $L(W, y^i, X^i) = D(y^i, F(W, X^i))$ , where  $D(y, f)$  measures the “discrepancy” between  $A$  and  $B$ .
- gradient of loss:  $\frac{\partial L(W, y^i, X^i)}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial D(y^i, f)}{\partial f} \frac{\partial F(W, X^i)}{\partial W}$
- update rule:  $W(t+1) = W(t) - \eta(t) \frac{\partial D(y^i, f)}{\partial f} \frac{\partial F(W, X^i)}{\partial W}$


## Three Questions:

- What architecture  $F(W, X)$ .
- What loss Function  $L(W, y^i, X^i)$ .
- What optimization method.




# Limitations of Linear Machines

---




The *Linearly separable* dichotomies are the partitions that are realizable by a linear classifier (the boundary between the classes is a hyperplane).



# Number of Linearly Separable Dichotomies


The probability that  $P$  samples of dimension  $N$  are linearly separable goes to zero very quickly as  $P$  grows larger than  $N$  (Cover's theorem, 1966).




- Problem: there are  $2^P$  possible dichotomies of  $P$  points.
- Only about  $N$  are linearly separable.
- If  $P$  is larger than  $N$ , the probability that a random dichotomy is linearly separable is very, very small.

# Example of Non-Linearly Separable Dichotomies


---



- Some seemingly simple dichotomies are not linearly separable
- **Question:** How do we make a given problem linearly separable?




# Making $N$ Larger: Preprocessing



- **Answer 1:** we make  $N$  larger by augmenting the input variables with new “features”.
- we map/project  $X$  from its original  $N$ -dimensional space into a higher dimensional space where things are more likely to be linearly separable, using a vector function  $\Phi(X)$ .
- $E(Y, X, W) = D(Y, R)$
- $R = f(W'V)$
- $V = \Phi(X)$



# Adding Cross-Product Terms




- Polynomial Expansion.
- If our original input variables are  $(1, x_1, x_2)$ , we construct a new *feature vector* with the following components:

$$\Phi(1, x_1, x_2) = (1, x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_1 x_2)$$

i.e. we add all the cross-products of the original variables.

- we map/project  $X$  from its original  $N$ -dimensional space into a higher dimensional space with  $N(N+1)/2$  dimensions.

# Polynomial Mapping



- Many new functions are now separable with the new architecture.
- With cross-product features, the family of class boundaries in the original space is the conic sections (ellipse, parabola, hyperbola).
- to each possible boundary in the original space corresponds a linear boundary in the transformed space.
- Because this is essentially a linear classifier with a preprocessing, we can use standard linear learning algorithms (perceptron, linear regression, logistic regression...).


# Problems with Polynomial Mapping

---

- We can generalize this idea to higher degree polynomials, adding cross-product terms with 3, 4 or more variables.
- Unfortunately, the number of terms is the number of combinations  $d$  choose  $N$ , which grows like  $N^d$ , where  $d$  is the degree, and  $N$  the number of original variables.
- In particular, the number of free parameters that must be learned is also of order  $N^d$ .
- This is impractical for large  $N$  and for  $d > 2$ .
- Example: handwritten digit recognition (16x16 pixel images). Number of variables: 256. Degree 2: 32,896 variables. Degree 3: 2,796,160. Degree 4: 247,460,160.....

## Next Idea: Tile the Space

place a number of equally-spaced “bumps” that cover the entire input space.



- For classification, the bumps can be Gaussians
- For regression, the basis functions can be wavelets, sine/cosine, splines (pieces of polynomials)....
- **problem:** this does not work with more than a few dimensions.
- The number of bumps necessary to cover an  $N$  dimensional space grows exponentially with  $N$ .




# Sample-Centered Basis Functions (Kernels)

Place the center of a basis function around each training sample. That way, we only spend resources on regions of the space where we actually have training samples.


- Discriminant function:

$$f(X, W) = \sum_{k=1}^{k=P} W_k K(X, X^k)$$



- $K(X, X')$  often takes the form of a *radial basis function*:  
 $K(X, X') = \exp(b||X - X'||^2)$  or a polynomial  $K(X, X') = (X \cdot X' + 1)^m$
- This is a very common architecture, which can be used with a number of energy functions.
- In particular, this is the architecture of the so-called **Support Vector Machine** (SVM), but the energy function of the SVM is a bit special. We will study it later in the course.

# The Kernel Trick



- If the kernel function  $K(X, X')$  verifies the *Mercer conditions*, then there exist a mapping  $\Phi$ , such that  $\Phi(X) \cdot \Phi(X') = K(X, X')$ .
- The Mercer conditions are that  $K$  must be symmetric, and must be positive definite (i.e  $K(X, X)$  must be positive for all  $X$ ).
- In other words, if we want to map our  $X$  into a high-dimensional space (so as to make them linearly separable), and all we have to do in that space is compute dot products, we can take a shortcut and simply compute  $K(X^1, X^2)$  without going through the high-dimensional space.
- This is called the “**kernel trick**”. It is used in many so-called Kernel-based methods, including Support Vector Machines.

# Examples of Kernels

---

- **Quadratic kernel:**  $\Phi(X) = (1, \sqrt{2}x_1, \sqrt{2}x_2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2, x_1^2, x_2^2)$  then

$$K(X, X') = \Phi(X) \cdot \Phi(X') = (X \cdot X' + 1)^2$$

- **Polynomial kernel:** this generalizes to any degree  $d$ . The kernel that corresponds to  $\Phi(X)$  being a polynomial of degree  $d$  is


$$K(X, X') = \Phi(X) \cdot \Phi(X') = (X \cdot X' + 1)^d.$$

- **Gaussian Kernel:**

$$K(X, X') = \exp(-b||X - X'||^2)$$

This kernel, sometimes called the Gaussian Radial Basis Function, is very commonly used.

# Sparse Basis Functions




- Place the center of a basis function around areas containing training samples.
- Idea 1: use an unsupervised clustering algorithm (such as K-means or mixture of Gaussians) to place the centers of the basis functions in areas of high sample density.
- Idea 2: adjust the basis function centers through gradient descent in the loss function.

The discriminant function  $F$  is:

$$F(X, W, U^1, \dots, U^K) = \sum_{k=1}^{k=K} W_k K(X, U^k)$$




## Other Idea: Random Directions



- Partition the space in lots of little domains by randomly placing lots of hyperplanes.
- Use many variables of the type  $q(W^k X)$ , where  $q$  is the threshold function (or some other squashing function) and  $W_k$  is a randomly picked vector.
- This is the original Perceptron.
- Without the non-linearity, the whole system would be linear (product of linear operations), and therefore would be no more powerful than a linear classifier.
- **problem:** a bit of a wishful thinking, but it works occasionally.

# Neural Net with a Single Hidden Layer

A particularly interesting type of basis function is the sigmoid unit:  $V_k = \tanh(U'^k X)$



- a network using these basis functions, whose output is  $R = \sum_{k=1}^{K} W_k V_k$  is called a *single hidden-layer neural network*.
- Similarly to the RBF network, we can compute the gradient of the loss function with respect to the  $U^k$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial L(W)}{\partial U^j} &= \frac{\partial L(W)}{\partial R} W_j \frac{\partial \tanh(U'_j X)}{\partial U_j} \\ &= \frac{\partial L(W)}{\partial R} W_j \tanh'(U'_j X) X'\end{aligned}$$

Any well-behaved function can be approximated as close as we wish by such networks (but  $K$  might be very large).

# Architecture of "Mainstream" Pattern Recognition Systems


Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## Modern architecture for pattern recognition

- ▶ Speech recognition: early 90's – 2011




- ▶ Object Recognition: 2006 - 2012



# Deep Learning = Learning Hierarchical Representations

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

It's **deep** if it has **more than one stage** of **non-linear feature transformation**



Feature visualization of convolutional net trained on ImageNet from [Zeiler & Fergus 2013]



# Trainable Feature Hierarchy

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

- Hierarchy of representations with increasing level of abstraction

- Each stage is a kind of trainable feature transform

- Image recognition


  - ▶ Pixel → edge → texton → motif → part → object

- Text

  - ▶ Character → word → word group → clause → sentence → story

- Speech

  - ▶ Sample → spectral band → sound → ... → phone → phoneme → word



# Learning Representations: a challenge for ML, CV, AI, Neuroscience, Cognitive Science...

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## ■ How do we learn representations of the perceptual world?

- ▶ How can a perceptual system build itself by looking at the world?
- ▶ How much prior structure is necessary

## ■ ML/AI: how do we learn features or feature hierarchies?

- ▶ What is the fundamental principle? What is the learning algorithm? What is the architecture?


## ■ Neuroscience: how does the cortex learn perception?

- ▶ Does the cortex “run” a single, general learning algorithm? (or a small number of them)

## ■ CogSci: how does the mind learn abstract concepts on top of less abstract ones?

## ■ Deep Learning addresses the problem of learning hierarchical representations with a single algorithm



- ▶ or perhaps with a few algorithms



# The Mammalian Visual Cortex is Hierarchical

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

- The ventral (recognition) pathway in the visual cortex has multiple stages
- Retina - LGN - V1 - V2 - V4 - PIT - AIT ....
- Lots of intermediate representations



[picture from Simon Thorpe]

[Gallant & Van Essen]



# Let's be inspired by nature, but not too much

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

- It's nice imitate Nature,
- But we also need to **understand**
  - ▶ How do we know which details are important?
  - ▶ Which details are merely the result of evolution, and the constraints of biochemistry?
- For airplanes, we developed aerodynamics and compressible fluid dynamics.
  - ▶ We figured that feathers and wing flapping weren't crucial
- **QUESTION: What is the equivalent of aerodynamics for understanding intelligence?**



L'Avion III de Clément Ader, 1897

(Musée du CNAM, Paris)


His Eole took off from the ground in 1890, 13 years before the Wright Brothers, but you probably never heard of it.

# Trainable Feature Hierarchies: End-to-end learning

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## ■ A hierarchy of trainable feature transforms

- ▶ Each module transforms its input representation into a higher-level one.
- ▶ High-level features are more global and more invariant
- ▶ Low-level features are shared among categories




- ## ■ How can we make all the modules trainable and get them to learn appropriate representations?


# Three Types of Deep Architectures

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato


- Feed-Forward: multilayer neural nets, convolutional nets



- Feed-Back: Stacked Sparse Coding, Deconvolutional Nets



- Bi-Drectional: Deep Boltzmann Machines, Stacked Auto-Encoders





# Three Types of Training Protocols

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## ■ Purely Supervised

- ▶ Initialize parameters randomly
- ▶ Train in supervised mode
  - ▶ typically with SGD, using backprop to compute gradients
- ▶ Used in most practical systems for speech and image recognition

## ■ Unsupervised, layerwise + supervised classifier on top

- ▶ Train each layer unsupervised, one after the other
- ▶ Train a supervised classifier on top, keeping the other layers fixed
- ▶ Good when very few labeled samples are available

## ■ Unsupervised, layerwise + global supervised fine-tuning

- ▶ Train each layer unsupervised, one after the other
- ▶ Add a classifier layer, and retrain the whole thing supervised
- ▶ Good when label set is poor (e.g. pedestrian detection)

## ■ Unsupervised pre-training often uses regularized auto-encoders

# Do we really need deep architectures?

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

- **Theoretician's dilemma:** “We can approximate any function as close as we want with shallow architecture. Why would we need deep ones?”

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^P \alpha_i K(X, X^i) \quad y = F(W^1 . F(W^0 . X))$$

- ▶ kernel machines (and 2-layer neural nets) are “universal”.

- **Deep learning machines**

$$y = F(W^K . F(W^{K-1} . F(\dots F(W^0 . X) \dots)))$$

- **Deep machines are more efficient for representing certain classes of functions, particularly those involved in visual recognition**

- ▶ they can represent more complex functions with less “hardware”

- **We need an efficient parameterization of the class of functions that are useful for “AI” tasks (vision, audition, NLP...)**

# Why would deep architectures be more efficient?

[Bengio & LeCun 2007 "Scaling Learning Algorithms Towards AI"]

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## ■ A deep architecture trades space for time (or breadth for depth)

- ▶ more layers (more sequential computation),
- ▶ but less hardware (less parallel computation).

## ■ Example1: N-bit parity

- ▶ requires  $N-1$  XOR gates in a tree of depth  $\log(N)$ .
- ▶ Even easier if we use threshold gates
- ▶ requires an exponential number of gates if we restrict ourselves to 2 layers (DNF formula with exponential number of minterms).

## ■ Example2: circuit for addition of 2 N-bit binary numbers

- ▶ Requires  $O(N)$  gates, and  $O(N)$  layers using  $N$  one-bit adders with ripple carry propagation.
- ▶ Requires lots of gates (some polynomial in  $N$ ) if we restrict ourselves to two layers (e.g. Disjunctive Normal Form).
- ▶ Bad news: almost all boolean functions have a DNF formula with an exponential number of minterms  $O(2^N)$ .....

# Which Models are Deep?

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

■ 2-layer models are not deep (even if you train the first layer)

- ▶ Because there is no feature hierarchy


■ Neural nets with 1 hidden layer are not deep

■ SVMs and Kernel methods are not deep

- ▶ Layer1: kernels; layer2: linear
- ▶ The first layer is “trained” in with the simplest unsupervised method ever devised: using the samples as templates for the kernel functions.

■ Classification trees are not deep

- ▶ No hierarchy of features. All decisions are made in the input space





# Are Graphical Models Deep?

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

■ There is no opposition between graphical models and deep learning.


- ▶ Many deep learning models are formulated as factor graphs
- ▶ Some graphical models use deep architectures inside their factors

■ Graphical models can be deep (but most are not).

■ Factor Graph: sum of energy functions

- ▶ Over inputs  $X$ , outputs  $Y$  and latent variables  $Z$ . Trainable parameters:  $W$

$$-\log P(X, Y, Z / W) \propto E(X, Y, Z, W) = \sum_i E_i(X, Y, Z, W_i)$$



■ Each energy function can contain a deep network

■ The whole factor graph can be seen as a deep network

## ■ Deep Learning involves non-convex loss functions

- ▶ With non-convex losses, all bets are off
- ▶ Then again, every speech recognition system ever deployed has used non-convex optimization (GMMs are non convex).

## ■ But to some of us all “interesting” learning is non convex

- ▶ Convex learning is invariant to the order in which sample are presented (only depends on asymptotic sample frequencies).
- ▶ Human learning isn't like that: we learn simple concepts before complex ones. The order in which we learn things matter.



## ■ No generalization bounds?

- ▶ Actually, the usual VC bounds apply: most deep learning systems have a finite VC dimension
- ▶ We don't have tighter bounds than that.
- ▶ But then again, how many bounds are tight enough to be useful for model selection?

## ■ It's hard to prove anything about deep learning systems

- ▶ Then again, if we only study models for which we can prove things, we wouldn't have speech, handwriting, and visual object recognition systems today.

## ■ Deep Learning is about representing high-dimensional data

- ▶ There has to be interesting theoretical questions there
- ▶ What is the geometry of natural signals?
- ▶ Is there an equivalent of statistical learning theory for unsupervised learning?
- ▶ What are good criteria on which to base unsupervised learning?

## ■ Deep Learning Systems are a form of latent variable factor graph

- ▶ Internal representations can be viewed as latent variables to be inferred, and deep belief networks are a particular type of latent variable models.
- ▶ The most interesting deep belief nets have intractable loss functions: how do we get around that problem?

## ■ Lots of theory at the 2012 IPAM summer school on deep learning

- ▶ Wright's parallel SGD methods, Mallat's "scattering transform", Osher's "split Bregman" methods for sparse modeling, Morton's "algebraic geometry of DBN",....

# Deep Learning and Feature Learning Today

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## ■ Deep Learning has been the hottest topic in speech recognition in the last 2 years

- ▶ A few long-standing performance records were broken with deep learning methods
- ▶ Microsoft and Google have both deployed DL-based speech recognition system in their products
- ▶ Microsoft, Google, IBM, Nuance, AT&T, and all the major academic and industrial players in speech recognition have projects on deep learning

## ■ Deep Learning is the hottest topic in Computer Vision

- ▶ Feature engineering is the bread-and-butter of a large portion of the CV community, which creates some resistance to feature learning
- ▶ But the record holders on ImageNet and Semantic Segmentation are convolutional nets

## ■ Deep Learning is becoming hot in Natural Language Processing

## ■ Deep Learning/Feature Learning in Applied Mathematics

- ▶ The connection with Applied Math is through sparse coding, non-convex optimization, stochastic gradient algorithms, etc...

# In Many Fields, Feature Learning Has Caused a Revolution (methods used in commercially deployed systems)

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## ■ Speech Recognition I (late 1980s)

- ▶ Trained mid-level features with Gaussian mixtures (2-layer classifier)

## ■ Handwriting Recognition and OCR (late 1980s to mid 1990s)

- ▶ Supervised convolutional nets operating on pixels

## ■ Face & People Detection (early 1990s to mid 2000s)

- ▶ Supervised convolutional nets operating on pixels (YLC 1994, 2004, Garcia 2004)
- ▶ Haar features generation/selection (Viola-Jones 2001)

## ■ Object Recognition I (mid-to-late 2000s: Ponce, Schmid, Yu, YLC....)

- ▶ Trainable mid-level features (K-means or sparse coding)

## ■ Low-Res Object Recognition: road signs, house numbers (early 2010's)


- ▶ Supervised convolutional net operating on pixels

## ■ Speech Recognition II (circa 2011)

- ▶ Deep neural nets for acoustic modeling

## ■ Object Recognition III, Semantic Labeling (2012, Hinton, YLC,...)


- ▶ Supervised convolutional nets operating on pixels






Y LeCun

MA Ranzato







SHALLOW

DEEP

Y LeCun

MA Ranzato

Boosting

Perceptron

AE

SVM

RBM

GMM

Sparse  
Coding

DecisionTree

Neural Net

RNN

D-AE

Conv. Net

DBN

DBM

BayesNP

$\Sigma\Pi$

In this talk, we'll focus on the  
simplest and typically most  
effective methods.



# What Are Good Feature?



# Discovering the Hidden Structure in High-Dimensional Data

## The manifold hypothesis


Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

### ■ Learning Representations of Data:

- ▶ Discovering & disentangling the independent explanatory factors

### ■ The Manifold Hypothesis:

- ▶ Natural data lives in a low-dimensional (non-linear) manifold
- ▶ Because variables in natural data



# Discovering the Hidden Structure in High-Dimensional Data

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato


## ■ Example: all face images of a person

- ▶ 1000x1000 pixels = 1,000,000 dimensions
- ▶ But the face has 3 cartesian coordinates and 3 Euler angles
- ▶ And humans have less than about 50 muscles in the face
- ▶ Hence the manifold of face images for a person has <56 dimensions

## ■ The perfect representations of a face image:

- ▶ Its coordinates on the face manifold
- ▶ Its coordinates away from the manifold

## ■ We do not have good and general methods to learn functions that turns an image into this kind of representation






# Disentangling factors of variation

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato


## The Ideal Disentangling Feature Extractor



# Data Manifold & Invariance: Some variations must be eliminated

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

 Azimuth-Elevation manifold. Ignores lighting. [Hadsell et al. CVPR 2006]



# Basic Idea for Invariant Feature Learning


Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

■ Embed the input **non-linearly** into a high(er) dimensional space

- ▶ In the new space, things that were non separable may become separable

■ Pool regions of the new space together


- ▶ Bringing together things that are semantically similar. Like pooling.



# Non-Linear Expansion → Pooling

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

Entangled data manifolds







# Sparse Non-Linear Expansion → Pooling

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

■ Use clustering to break things apart, pool together similar things






# Overall Architecture:

Normalization → Filter Bank → Non-Linearity → Pooling

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato



## ■ Stacking multiple stages of

- ▶ [Normalization → Filter Bank → Non-Linearity → Pooling].

## ■ Normalization: variations on whitening

- ▶ Subtractive: average removal, high pass filtering
- ▶ Divisive: local contrast normalization, variance normalization

## ■ Filter Bank: dimension expansion, projection on overcomplete basis

## ■ Non-Linearity: sparsification, saturation, lateral inhibition....

- ▶ Rectification (ReLU), Component-wise shrinkage, tanh, winner-takes-all

## ■ Pooling: aggregation over space or feature type

- ▶  $X_i$ ;  $L_p: \sqrt[p]{X_i^p}$ ;  $PROB: \frac{1}{b} \log \left( \sum_i e^{bX_i} \right)$

# SOFTWARE

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## **Torch7: learning library that supports neural net training**

- <http://www.torch.ch>
- <http://code.cogbits.com/wiki/doku.php> (tutorial with demos by C. Farabet)
- <http://eblearn.sf.net> (C++ Library with convnet support by P. Sermanet)

## **Python-based learning library (U. Montreal)**

- <http://deeplearning.net/software/theano/> (does automatic differentiation)

## **RNN**

- [www.fit.vutbr.cz/~imikolov/rnnlm](http://www.fit.vutbr.cz/~imikolov/rnnlm) (language modeling)
- <http://sourceforge.net/apps/mediawiki/rnnl/index.php> (LSTM)

## **CUDAMat & GNumPy**

- [code.google.com/p/cudamat](http://code.google.com/p/cudamat)
- [www.cs.toronto.edu/~tijmen/gnumpy.html](http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~tijmen/gnumpy.html)

## **Misc**

- [www.deeplearning.net//software\\_links](http://www.deeplearning.net//software_links)

# REFERENCES

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## Convolutional Nets

- LeCun, Bottou, Bengio and Haffner: Gradient-Based Learning Applied to Document Recognition, Proceedings of the IEEE, 86(11):2278-2324, November 1998
- Krizhevsky, Sutskever, Hinton “ImageNet Classification with deep convolutional neural networks” NIPS 2012
- Jarrett, Kavukcuoglu, Ranzato, LeCun: What is the Best Multi-Stage Architecture for Object Recognition?, Proc. International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV'09), IEEE, 2009
- Kavukcuoglu, Sermanet, Boureau, Gregor, Mathieu, LeCun: Learning Convolutional Feature Hierarchies for Visual Recognition, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS 2010), 23, 2010
- see [yann.lecun.com/exdb/publis](http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/publis) for references on many different kinds of convnets.
- see <http://www.cmap.polytechnique.fr/scattering/> for scattering networks (similar to convnets but with less learning and stronger mathematical foundations)

# REFERENCES

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## Applications of Convolutional Nets

- Farabet, Couprie, Najman, LeCun, “Scene Parsing with Multiscale Feature Learning, Purity Trees, and Optimal Covers”, ICML 2012
- Pierre Sermanet, Koray Kavukcuoglu, Soumith Chintala and Yann LeCun: Pedestrian Detection with Unsupervised Multi-Stage Feature Learning, CVPR 2013
- D. Cirezan, A. Giusti, L. Gambardella, J. Schmidhuber. Deep Neural Networks Segment Neuronal Membranes in Electron Microscopy Images. NIPS 2012
- Raia Hadsell, Pierre Sermanet, Marco Scoffier, Ayse Erkan, Koray Kavackuoglu, Urs Muller and Yann LeCun: Learning Long-Range Vision for Autonomous Off-Road Driving, Journal of Field Robotics, 26(2):120-144, February 2009
- Burger, Schuler, Harmeling: Image Denoisng: Can Plain Neural Networks Compete with BM3D?, Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2012,



# REFERENCES

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## Applications of RNNs

- Mikolov “Statistical language models based on neural networks” PhD thesis 2012
- Boden “A guide to RNNs and backpropagation” Tech Report 2002
- Hochreiter, Schmidhuber “Long short term memory” Neural Computation 1997
- Graves “Offline arabic handwriting recognition with multidimensional neural networks” Springer 2012
- Graves “Speech recognition with deep recurrent neural networks” ICASSP 2013



# REFERENCES

Y LeCun  
MA Ranzato

## Deep Learning & Energy-Based Models

- Y. Bengio, Learning Deep Architectures for AI, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, 2(1), pp.1-127, 2009.
- LeCun, Chopra, Hadsell, Ranzato, Huang: A Tutorial on Energy-Based Learning, in Bakir, G. and Hofman, T. and Schölkopf, B. and Smola, A. and Taskar, B. (Eds), Predicting Structured Data, MIT Press, 2006
- M. Ranzato Ph.D. Thesis “Unsupervised Learning of Feature Hierarchies” NYU 2009

## Practical guide

- Y. LeCun et al. Efficient BackProp, Neural Networks: Tricks of the Trade, 1998
- L. Bottou, Stochastic gradient descent tricks, Neural Networks, Tricks of the Trade Reloaded, LNCS 2012.
- Y. Bengio, Practical recommendations for gradient-based training of deep architectures, ArXiv 2012