

# L10: Euclidean Domains and PIDs

## Definition 10.1: Norm

Let  $R$  be an integral domain.  
Any function

$$N: R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$$

such that  $N(0) = 0$  is called a **norm**.

**Example 10.1.** The zero norm

$$\begin{aligned} N: R &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\} \\ r &\mapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

**Example 10.2.** The absolute value norm on the integers

$$\begin{aligned} N: \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\} \\ n &\mapsto |n| \end{aligned}$$

## Definition 10.2: Euclidean Domain, Quotient, Remainder

An integral domain  $R$  is a **Euclidean domain** if it admits a norm  $N$  such that for all  $a, b \in R$  and  $b \neq 0$ , there exists  $q, r \in R$  such that

$$a = qb + r$$

where  $r = 0$  or  $N(b) > N(r)$  (i.e Euclidean domains have the *familiar* division property known as the Euclidean condition).

We call  $q$  the **quotient** of  $a$  by  $b$  and  $r$  the **remainder** of  $a$  with respect to  $b$ .

What is nice about Euclidean domains is that you have the Euclidean Division Algorithm

$$a = q_0b + r_0$$

$$b = q_1r_0 + r_1$$

$$r_0 = q_2r_1 + r_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$r_{n-1} = q_{n+1}r_n$$

which must terminate because by the well ordering on the non-negative integers, you are constantly reducing the size of the remainder, so you must eventually reach 0.

$$N(b) > N(r_0) > N(r_1) \cdots > N(r_n) > N(r_{n+1}) = N(0) = 0$$

**Example 10.3.** Fields  $F$  are Euclidean domains with any norm  $N$ .  
If  $a, b \in F$ ,  $b \neq 0$ , then

$$a = \underbrace{(a \cdot b^{-1})}_{\text{quotient}} \cdot b + 0$$

which means in a field, you can always divide evenly.

**Example 10.4.** The integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  are a Euclidean domain with  $N(a) = |a|$ .

**Example 10.5.** If  $F$  is a field, the polynomial ring  $F[X]$  is a Euclidean domain with norm  $N(p) := \deg(p)$ . It's important to note that non-zero elements can have zero norm, as in this case, the constant polynomials have degree 0.

**Proof.**

Let  $a(X), b(X) \in F[X]$  and  $b(X) \neq 0$ .

We proceed by induction on  $\deg(a) = N(a)$ .

If  $a(X) = 0$ , then  $0 = 0 \cdot b(X) + 0$ .

So we may assume  $a(X) \neq 0$ . If  $\deg(a) < \deg(b)$ , then

$$N(a) < N(b) \implies a(X) = 0 \cdot b(X) + a(X)$$

which verifies the Euclidean condition.

Now assume  $\deg(a) \geq \deg(b)$ , i.e

$$a(X) = a_m X^m + a_{m-1} X^{m-1} + \cdots + a_0$$

$$b(X) = b_n X^n + b_{n-1} X^{n-1} + \cdots + b_0$$

and since  $b(X) \neq 0$  then  $b_n \neq 0$  and since the coefficient ring is a field, we know  $b_n^{-1} \in F$ .

Let

$$a'(X) = a(X) - \frac{a_m}{b_n} X^{m-n} \cdot b(X)$$

then  $\deg(a') < \deg(a)$  because we got rid of the term  $a_m X^m$

By induction on  $\deg(a)$  there exist  $q'(X), r'(X)$  such that  $N(r') < N(b)$  or  $r'(X) = 0$  and

$$a' = q' \cdot b + r'$$

Hence we can write

$$a = a' + \frac{a_m}{b_n} X^{m-n} \cdot b(X)$$

$$a(X) = [q'(X) \cdot b(X) + r'(X)] + \left[ \frac{a_m}{b_n} X^{m-n} b(X) \right]$$

$$= \left[ q'(X) + \frac{a_m}{b_n} X^{m-n} \right] b(X) + r'(X)$$

and this also satisfies the Euclidean condition. ■

### Proposition 10.3: Euclidean domains are principal

Every ideal in a Euclidean domain is principal.

#### **Proof.**

If  $I \subset R$  is a non-zero ideal, consider

$$\mathcal{N} = \{N(a) \mid a \in I\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$$

By the well-ordering principle, there exists  $d \in I$  such that  $N(d) = \min \mathcal{N}$ . Clearly

$$d \in I \implies (d) \subset I$$

Conversely, suppose  $a \in I$ , then

$$a = q \cdot d + r$$

where  $r = 0$  or  $N(r) < N(d)$ .

If  $r = 0$ , then

$$a = q \cdot d \implies a \in (d) \implies I = (d)$$

If  $r \neq 0$ , then  $a - qd = r$ . However

$$a, d \in I \implies a - qd \in I \implies r \in I$$

and because by construction  $N(r) < N(d)$  this is impossible as  $d$  is the element with minimum norm. Hence,  $r = 0$  and we go back to the previous situation.

Therefore,  $(d) = I$ . ■

### Corollary 10.4: Ideals in $\mathbb{Z}$ are principal

Every ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}$  is principal.

Think about it like this: in the integers, if you consider the ideal generated by 2 and 3 and you know  $3 = 2 \cdot 1 + 1$ , that means if 3 is in the ideal with 2, 1 must also be in the ideal. So the  $(2, 3) = (1)$ , so you have the whole ring. With similar logic, you can see that  $(4, 6) = (2)$ . This extends to the general Euclidean domain as seen in Prop 10.1, as the ideal  $(d)$  is the greatest common divisor.

### Definition 10.5: Multiple, Divisor, GCD

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$  and  $a, b \in R$  such that  $b \neq 0$ .

(1) We say  $a \in R$  is a **multiple** of  $b$  if there exists an  $r \in R$  such that

$$a = r \cdot b$$

We call  $b$  a **divisor** of  $a$ , in this case, (i.e  $b \mid a$ ).

(2) A **greatest common divisor** of  $a, b \in R$  is  $d \neq 0$  such that

(i)  $d \mid a, d \mid b$

(ii) If  $d' \mid a, d' \mid b$ , then  $d' \mid d$ .

We write  $d = \gcd(a, b)$  or sometimes just  $d = (a, b)$ .

Recall that  $b \mid a$  if and only if  $(a) \subset (b)$ .

### Definition 10.6: Ideal GCD

Let  $I = (a, b) \subset R$ , then  $d \in R$  is a **greatest common divisor**  $d = \gcd(a, b)$  if

- (i)  $I \subset (d)$
- (ii) If  $I \subset (d')$ , then  $(d) \subset (d')$ .

In other words,  $d \in R$  is a greatest common divisor of  $a, b \in R$  if  $(d)$  is the smallest principal ideal containing  $(a, b)$ .

### Proposition 10.7

If  $a, b \in R$  are nonzero, and  $(a, b) = (d)$  then  $d = \gcd(a, b)$

### Theorem 10.8: GCDs exist in Euclidean domains

If  $R$  is a Euclidean domain, then greatest common divisors **always** exist

*Proof.*

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a = q_0b + r_0 \\ b = q_1r_0 + r_1 \\ r_0 = q_2r_1 + r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_{n-1} = q_{n+1}r_n \end{array} \right\} \implies r_n = \gcd(a, b)$$

■

### Definition 10.9: Principal Ideal Domain

A **principal ideal domain** (PID) is an integral domain in which every ideal is principal

### Theorem 10.10: Euclidean domain is PID is Integral domain

Every Euclidean domain is a PID, i.e

$$\text{Integral domain} \supsetneq \text{PID} \supsetneq \text{Euclidean domain}$$

**Theorem 10.11**

Let  $R$  be a PID and  $a, b \in R$  nonzero. If  $(a, b) = (d)$  (this always exists in a PID), then

- (1)  $d$  is a greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ .
- (2) There exist  $x, y \in R$  such that  $d = ax + by$ .
- (3)  $d$  is a unique to multiplication by a unit.

**Claim:**  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is an integral domain BUT in particular  $(2, X)$  is not principal therefore  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is not a PID.

**Proof.**

Suppose it is principal, i.e.  $(2, X) = (p(X))$ , then

$$2 = q(X)p(X) \implies \deg p(X) = 0$$

i.e.  $p(X) \equiv a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Moreover  $a \mid 2$  implies  $a = \pm 1, \pm 2$ . Also,  $(2, X) \neq \mathbb{Z}[X]$  as for example

$$3 \neq \underbrace{2p(X)}_{\substack{3 \text{ is not even}}} + \underbrace{X \cdot q(X)}_{\substack{\text{would need to be } 0}}$$

Then,  $p(X) \neq \pm 1$  otherwise  $(2, X) = (1) = \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Therefore  $p(X)$  must be  $\pm 2$ .

But  $(2, X) \neq (2)$  because  $X \neq 2 \cdot q(X)$ . Essentially, the issue is that 2 has no multiplicative inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}$  but the coefficient of  $X$  is 1. So, nothing makes sense when  $p(X) = \pm 1, \pm 2$  which means the initial assumption was false and  $(2, X)$  is not principal. ■

**Theorem 10.12: Nonzero primes ideals are maximal in PID**

Every non-zero prime in a PID is maximal, e.g. in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , every prime is maximal.

**Proof.** Let  $(p) \subset R$  be a nonzero prime in a PID.

There exists a maximal ideal  $M \subset R$  such that  $(p) \subset M$ .

Since  $R$  is a PID, then every ideal is principal, hence

$$M = (m) \implies m \mid p \implies \exists r \in R, p = r \cdot m$$

Because  $(p)$  is prime either  $r \in (p)$  or  $m \in (p)$ .

If  $m \in (p)$  then  $(m) = (p)$ .

Suppose  $r \in (p)$ , say  $r = s \cdot p$ ,  $s \in R$ . Then

$$p = r \cdot m = (s \cdot p) \cdot m \implies p \cdot (1 - s \cdot m) = 0$$

Since  $R$  is an integral domain and  $p \neq 0$ , then

$$1 - sm = 0 \implies sm = 1 \implies m \in R^\times$$

But then  $(m) = R$ , which means  $(m)$  is not maximal, by definition. This is a contradiction and hence  $(p) = (m)$  is maximal. ■

**Theorem 10.13: If  $R[X]$  is PID then  $R$  is field**

If  $R$  is a commutative ring such that  $R[X]$  is a PID, then  $R$  is a field.

***Proof.***

Suppose  $R[X]$  is a PID (in particular, an integral domain), then  $R \subset R[X]$  is an integral domain. We use a clever trick

$$R[X]/(X) \cong R \implies (X) \text{ is prime} \implies (X) \text{ is maximal} \implies R \text{ is a field} \quad \blacksquare$$