

# L3: Ring Homomorphisms

## Polynomial Rings

Fix a commutative ring  $R$  with 1 (e.g.  $R = \mathbb{Z}, R = \mathbb{Q}$ , etc) Let  $X$  be an indeterminate (this means  $X$  is just a symbol without an exact representation, compared to when you think  $x$  is a variable representing a number). [Read more about it here!](#)

### Definition 3.1: Polynomial in a ring

A **polynomial** in  $X$  with coefficients in  $R$  is a formal, finite sum

$$a_n X^n + a_{n-1} X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 X + a_0, \quad a_i \in R, i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$$

**Note:** If  $a_n \neq 0$  and  $a_m = 0, \forall m > n$ . Then we say the **degree** of the polynomial is  $n$ . If  $a_k = 1$ , we often omit it from the notation, e.g

$$X^2 + 2$$

has a 1 "missing" in front of  $X^2$ .

If  $a_n = 1$ , we say the polynomial is **monic**

### Definition 3.2: Ring of Polynomials and Constant Polynomial

The **set of polynomials** in  $X$  w/ coefficients in  $R$  is denoted

$$R[X] := \{a_n X^n + \cdots + a_0 | a_i \in R\}$$

If the degree of  $p \in R[X]$  is zero, we say  $p$  is a **constant** polynomial.

**Observe** that there is an obvious inclusion map from a ring into the ring of polynomials, by taking each element  $a \in R$  to the constant polynomial  $a \in R[X]$ .

$$R \rightarrow R[X]$$

$$a \mapsto a$$

**Claim:**  $R[X]$  is a ring.

**Proof.** We check the ring properties

(i) Closure under addition

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_n X^n + a_{n-1} X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 X + a_0) + (b_n X^n + b_{n-1} X^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1 X + b_0) \\ &= (a_n + b_n) X^n + (a_{n-1} + b_{n-1}) X^{n-1} + \cdots + (a_1 + b_1) X + (a_0 + b_0) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Closure under multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_n X^n + a_{n-1} X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 X + a_0) \cdot (b_n X^n + b_{n-1} X^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1 X + b_0) \\ &= (a_0 \cdot b_0) + (a_1 \cdot b_0 + a_0 \cdot b_1) X + (a_2 \cdot b_0 + a_1 \cdot b_1 + a_0 \cdot b_2) X^2 \\ & \quad + \cdots + \left( \sum_{k=0}^l a_k \cdot b_{l-k} \right) X^l + \cdots + (a_n \cdot b_m) X^{n+m} \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**Example 3.1.**  $\mathbb{Z}[X], \mathbb{Q}[X], \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , which are rings of polynomials with coefficients in different number systems. In particular, we may write  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  coefficients without the "overbar" notation,

$$X + 2, X^3 + 2X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}[X]$$

Factoring polynomials depends on the coefficient ring. For example

$$X^2 - 2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

$$X^2 - 2 = (X + \sqrt{2}) \cdot (X - \sqrt{2}) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$$

Here we can see that  $X^2 - 2$  can not be factored further in the integers, but in the real numbers it can.

Similarly,  $X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[X], X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ . These polynomials doesn't factor in either ring, but it does factor in  $\mathbb{C}[X]$

$$X^2 + 1 = (X + i)(X - i)$$

it also factors in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[X]$

$$X^2 + 1 = (X + 1)(X + 1) \pmod{2}$$

Because  $X^2 + 2X + 1 \equiv X^2 + 1 \pmod{2}$

### Proposition 3.3: $R[X]$ is an integral domain

Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $p(X), q(X) \in R[X]$

- (i)  $\deg(p(X) \cdot q(X)) = \deg p(X) + \deg q(X)$ .
- (ii)  $R[X]^\times = R^\times$
- (iii)  $R[X]$  is an integral domain

#### **Proof.**

(i) The leading term is

$$(a_n \cdot b_m)X^{n+m}$$

Since  $R$  is an integral domain and  $a_n, b_m \neq 0$ . Then  $a_n \cdot b_m \neq 0$  (This also proves (iii))

(ii) Suppose  $p(X) \in R[X]^\times$ , say  $p(X) \cdot q(X) = 1$ .

Then

$\deg(p \cdot q) = \deg(1) = 0 \implies \deg(p) + \deg(q) = 0 \implies \deg(p) = \deg(q) = 0 \implies p(X) \in R$   
i.e  $p(X)$  is a constant polynomial whose constant coefficient, say  $p$ , is from the ring  $R$ .

Hence, since  $p(X)$  is a unit, so is  $p$ . ■

**Example 3.2.** Consider  $2X^2 + 1, 2X^5 + 3X \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}[X]$

$$(2X^2 + 1) \cdot (2X^5 + 3X) = 2 \cdot 2X^7 + \text{lower terms} = 0 \cdot X^7 + \text{lower terms}$$

This implies

$$\deg((2X^2 + 1) \cdot (2X^5 + 3X)) < \deg(2X^2 + 1) + \deg(2X^5 + 3X)$$

When  $R$  isn't an integral domain, the degree of the product of polynomials can be less than the degree of their sum (in general, the degree is at most the sum).

# Ring Homomorphisms

## Definition 3.4: Ring Homomorphism and Isomorphism

Let  $R, S$  be rings. A **ring homomorphism** is a map  $f : R \rightarrow S$  such that

(i)  $f(a +_R b) = f(a) +_S f(b)$       (**Group homomorphism**)

(ii)  $f(a \cdot_R b) = f(a) \cdot_S f(b)$

If  $f$  is a bijective ring homomorphism, we say it is a **ring isomorphism**.

We say, in this case  $R$  is **isomorphic** to  $S$  as rings and write

$$R \cong S$$

## Definition 3.5: Kernel

The **kernel** of a ring homomorphism  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is the subset

$$\text{Ker } f := f^{-1}(0_S) \subset R$$

## Proposition 3.6: $\text{Ker } f$ is an ideal

Let  $R, S$  be rings and  $f : R \rightarrow S$  a homomorphism, then

(i)  $\text{Im } f \subset S$  is a subring

(ii)  $\text{Ker } f \subset R$  is a subring

where  $\text{Im}$  is the image of  $f$  and  $\text{Ker}$  is the kernel.

Moreover, if  $r \in R, a \in \text{Ker } f$  then  $r \cdot a \in \text{Ker } f$ .

(this is a stronger property of the kernel, which shows it is more than just a subring, since it is also closed under multiplication with elements from outside the kernel, in particular from the ring).

Both proofs rely on using the Subring Criterion Test mentioned in Lecture 2.

**Proof (i).** First, we check show that it is non empty.

**Claim:**  $f(0_R) = 0_S$  and in particular  $\text{Im } f \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** By definition of ring homomorphism

$$f(0_R) = f(0_R + 0_R) = f(0_R) + f(0_R) \implies 0_S = f(0_R)$$

Where we have subtracted (in  $S$ )  $f(0_R)$  from both sides. ■

Suppose now  $f(a), f(b) \in \text{Im } f$ , then

$$f(a) \cdot f(b) = f(a \cdot b) \in \text{Im } f$$

which shows the product is also in the image.

Finally, what's left to show is that the difference is also in the image. To see  $f(a) - f(b) \in \text{Im } f$ , it suffices to see that  $-f(b) = f(-b)$ .

**Claim:**  $-f(b) = f(-b)$

**Proof.** Again using the ring homomorphism definition

$$0 = f(0_R) = f(b + (-b)) = f(b) + f(-b) \implies f(-b) = -f(b) \quad \blacksquare$$

Therefore, with the subring criterion satisfied, then  $\text{Im } f$  is a subring in  $S$ . ■

**Proof (ii).** Since  $f(0_R) = 0_S \implies 0_R \in \text{Ker } f$ , hence  $\text{Ker } f$  is nonempty. Suppose  $a, b \in \text{Ker } f$ , then

$$f(a - b) = f(a) - f(b) = 0 - 0 = 0 \implies a - b \in \text{Ker } f$$

and

$$f(a \cdot b) = f(a) \cdot f(b) = 0 \cdot 0 = 0 \implies a \cdot b \in \text{Ker } f$$

Hence,  $\text{Ker } f$  is a subring in  $R$ .

Now suppose  $r \in R$

$$f(r \cdot a) = f(r) \cdot f(a) = f(r) \cdot 0 = 0$$

which proves the additional property. ■

**Example 3.3.** Consider the map which takes even numbers to 0 and odd numbers to 1.

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ a &\mapsto a \pmod{2} \end{aligned}$$

Check the possible situations (these show the sums and products follow the homomorphism properties)

Addition	$\begin{array}{ll} \bar{0} + \bar{0} = \bar{0} & \text{even} + \text{even} = \text{even} \\ \bar{0} + \bar{1} = \bar{1} & \text{even} + \text{odd} = \text{odd} \\ \bar{1} + \bar{1} = \bar{0} & \text{odd} + \text{odd} = \text{even} \end{array}$
Multiplication	$\begin{array}{ll} \bar{0} \cdot \bar{0} = \bar{0} & \text{even} \cdot \text{even} = \text{even} \\ \bar{0} \cdot \bar{1} = \bar{0} & \text{even} \cdot \text{odd} = \text{even} \\ \bar{1} \cdot \bar{1} = \bar{1} & \text{odd} \cdot \text{odd} = \text{odd} \end{array}$

Therefore  $\text{Ker } f = \{\text{evens}\} = 2\mathbb{Z}$  and observe that

$$f^{-1}(\bar{1}) = \{\text{odds}\} = 1 + 2\mathbb{Z} = \{1 + 2n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = 1 + \text{Ker } f$$

**Example 3.4.** The following is a non-example. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} f_n : \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ a &\mapsto n \cdot a \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$f_n(a + b) = n \cdot (a + b) = n \cdot a + n \cdot b = f_n(a) + f_n(b)$$

But

$$f_n(a \cdot b) = n(a \cdot b) \stackrel{?}{=} n^2(a \cdot b) = (n \cdot a) \cdot (n \cdot b) = f_n(a) \cdot f_n(b)$$

So  $f_n$  is a ring homomorphism if and only if  $n^2 = n$  (i.e  $n = 0, 1$ ).  $f_0$  is the constant map

zero and  $f_1$  is the identity.

Therefore  $f_2, f_3, \dots$  are **NOT** ring homomorphisms. In particular, it shows that a group homomorphism is not necessarily a ring homomorphism.

**Example 3.5.** Here is a polynomial homomorphism which maps a polynomial to its own constant term

$$\begin{aligned}\phi : \mathbb{R}[X] &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ p(X) &\mapsto p(0)\end{aligned}$$

This can easily be checked

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(p + q) &= (p + q)(0) = p(0) + q(0) = \phi(p) + \phi(q) \\ \phi(p \cdot q) &= (p \cdot q)(0) = p(0) \cdot q(0) = \phi(p) \cdot \phi(q)\end{aligned}$$

Its kernel (which are polynomials who have 0 as a root) can be written

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ker}\{p \in \mathbb{R}[X] \mid p(0) = 0\} &= \{p \in \mathbb{R}[X] \mid p(X) = X \cdot p'(X) \text{ for some } p' \in \mathbb{R}[X]\} \\ &\text{(} p' \text{ is not the derivative, just another polynomial).}\end{aligned}$$

**Question:** What about

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1 : \mathbb{R}[X] &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ p(X) &\mapsto p(1)\end{aligned}$$