

# Lecture 9

## The Chinese Remainder Theorem

### Definition 9.1

Let  $R, S$  be rings.

The **direct product** of  $R$  and  $S$  is the ring

$$R \times S := \{(r, s) | r \in R, s \in S\}$$

with ring operations

$$(r_1, s_1) + (r_2, s_2) := (r_1 + r_2, s_1 + s_2)$$

$$(r_1, s_1) \cdot (r_2, s_2) := (r_1 \cdot r_2, s_1 \cdot s_2)$$

More generally, if  $\{R_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$  is any collection of rings, then the **direct product** of the collection is the ring

$$\prod_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha := \{(r_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} | r_\alpha \in R_\alpha\}$$

with ring operations

$$(r_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} + (s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} := (r_\alpha + s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$$

$$(r_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \cdot (s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} := (r_\alpha \cdot s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$$

Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we say they are **relatively prime** if the greatest common divisor is 1. Equivalently, we say  $a, b$  are relatively prime if there exists  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$am + bn = 1$$

### Definition 9.2

In a commutative ring  $R$  with  $1 \neq 0$ , two ideals  $A, B \subset R$  are **comaximal** if  $A + B = R$ .

### Theorem 9.1

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_k \subset R$  be ideals in a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$ .

If they are pairwise comaximal then

$$A_1 \cdot A_2 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_k$$

**Proof.** We already know that

$$A_1 \cdot A_2 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k \subset A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_k$$

It suffices to show

$$A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_k \subset A_1 \cdot A_2 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k$$

First, consider comaximal ideals  $A, B$ .

Let  $x \in A \cap B$ , then we want to show  $x \in A \cdot B$

By comaximality,

$$\exists a \in A, b \in B, a + b = 1 \in A + B$$

In particular,

$$x = x \cdot 1 = x \cdot (a + b) = x \cdot a + x \cdot b$$

therefore

$$x \in A \cap B \implies \left. \begin{array}{l} x \in A \implies x \cdot b \in A \cdot B \\ x \in B \implies x \cdot a \in A \cdot B \end{array} \right\} \implies x \cdot a + x \cdot b \in A \cdot B$$

Hence  $x \in A \cdot B \implies A \cap B \subset A \cdot B$ , and we can conclude

$$A \cdot B = A \cap B$$

The general case follows if we can show

$$A = A_1, B = A_2 \cdot A_3 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k$$

are comaximal; we can do this with induction.

By assumption of comaximality  $A_1, A_i$  are comaximal for all  $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$  therefore

$$\exists x_2 \in A_1, y_2 \in A_2, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad 1 = x_2 + y_2$$

$$\exists x_3 \in A_1, y_3 \in A_3, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad 1 = x_3 + y_3$$

$\vdots$

$$\exists x_k \in A_1, y_k \in A_k, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad 1 = x_k + y_k$$

and this implies

$$1 = (x_2 + y_2) \cdot (x_3 + y_3) \cdot \dots \cdot (x_k + y_k) \in A_1 + (A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k)$$

and we conclude  $A_1, A_2 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k$  are comaximal. ■

### Theorem 9.2: Chinese Remainder Theorem

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_k \subset R$  ideals in a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$ .

The map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: R &\rightarrow (R/A_1) \times (R/A_2) \times (R/A_3) \times \dots \times (R/A_k) \\ r &\mapsto (r + A_1, r + A_2, r + A_3, \dots, r + A_k) \end{aligned}$$

is a ring homomorphism with  $\text{Ker } \phi = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_k$ .

If they are pairwise comaximal, then  $\phi$  is surjective.

### Corollary 9.1

If  $A_1, \dots, A_k \subset R$  are pairwise comaximal ideals in a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$ , then there is an isomorphism of rings

$$R/(A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k) \cong R/(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_k) \cong (R/A_1) \times (R/A_2) \times (R/A_3) \times \dots \times (R/A_k)$$

**Corollary 9.2**

Let  $n$  be a positive integer with factorization into unique primes

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$$

then

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{\alpha_1}\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/p_2^{\alpha_2}\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p_k^{\alpha_k}\mathbb{Z})$$

**Example 9.1** Here are factorizations of two integer modulo rings:

$$\mathbb{Z}/30\mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})$$

$$\mathbb{Z}/168\mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})$$