L4: Quotient Rings

Recall that given a ring homomorphism $f: R \to S$, the kernel of f, Ker f, is a subring of R.

Definition 4.1: Coset and Quotient Ring

Given a ring homomorphism $f: R \to S$, let $I = \operatorname{Ker} f$ and $r \in R$.

The **coset** of $r \in R$ with respect to f (or w.r.t I) is the set

$$r + I := \{r + x | x \in I = \operatorname{Ker} f\}$$

The quotient ring of R by I is the set

$$R/I \coloneqq \{r + I | r \in R\}$$

Proposition 4.2: Coset space is a ring

Given a ring homomorphism $f: R \to S$ with $I = \operatorname{Ker} f$, the quotient ring R/I is a ring with operations

$$(r+I) + (s+I) := (r+s) + I$$

 $(r+I) \cdot (s+I) := (r \cdot s) + I$

Note: If I is understood, we will often write \overline{r} for r+I, e.g.

$$(r+I) + (s+I) = (r+s) + I$$

becomes

$$\overline{r} + \overline{s} = \overline{r + s}$$

Lemma 4.3

If $r, s \in R$ and $(r+I) \cap (s+I) \neq \emptyset$, then r+I=s+I

Proof. Suppose $x \in (r+I) \cap (s+I)$, then

$$x \in r + I \implies x = r + a, a \in I$$

$$x \in s + I \implies x = s + b, a \in I$$

These together lead to three equivalent equations

$$r + a = s + b \Longleftrightarrow r = s + (b - a) \Longleftrightarrow s = r + (a - b)$$

Since $I \subset R$ is a subring then we know $b-a, a-b \in I$. Then the previous equations imply

$$r \in s + I, s \in r + I$$

Now take any element $c \in I$, then

$$r + c = (s + (b - a)) + c = s + (b - a + c) \in s + I \implies r + I \subset s + I$$

where the last implication comes from the fact that b - a + c are elements in I and as such their combination is as well.

With similar logic we see that

$$s+c=(r+(a-b))+c=r+(a-b+c)\in r+I\implies s+I\subset r+I$$
 Hence, $r+I=s+I$.

Example 4.1. Let f be the homomorphism from the integers to the integers mod 2, i.e

$$f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$
$$n \mapsto n \bmod 2$$

Immediately we know that the kernel is the set of even integers, Ker $f = 2\mathbb{Z}$. Consider the coset of $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is $1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$, then

$$1 + 2\mathbb{Z} = 3 + 2\mathbb{Z} = -7 + 2\mathbb{Z} = 29 + 2\mathbb{Z}$$

where the equivalence follows from Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.4

If

$$r + I = r' + I$$
$$s + I = s' + I$$

then

$$(r+s) + I = (r'+s') + I$$

 $(r \cdot s) + I = (r' \cdot s') + I$

i.e, +, • are well-defined in R/I

Proof. Let $r, r', s, s' \in R$, then

$$r + I = r' + I \implies r = r' + x, x \in I$$

 $s + I = s' + I \implies s = s' + y, y \in I$

Then their sum

$$r + s = (r' + x) + (s' + y) = (r' + s') + (x + y) \implies r + s \in (r' + s') + I$$

On the other hand $r + s = r + s + 0 \in (r + s) + I$, hence

$$[(r+s)+I] \cap [(r'+s')+I] \neq \emptyset$$

By Lemma 4.1, it is immediate that

$$(r+s) + I = (r'+s') + I$$

Similarly,

$$r \cdot s = (r' + x) \cdot (s' + y) = r's' + r'y + xs' + xy \in r' \cdot s' + I$$

Observe that R/I consists of the equivalence classes in R of the equivalence relation given by

$$x \sim y \iff x - y \in I$$

Proof of Prop 4.1.

We check that the quotient is a ring

$$\overline{0} + \overline{a} = \overline{0 + a} = \overline{a} = \overline{a + 0} = \overline{a} + \overline{0} \qquad (\overline{0} \in R/I \text{ is the additive identity})$$

$$\overline{a} + \overline{(-a)} = \overline{a + (-a)} = \overline{0} = \overline{(-a) + a} = \overline{(-a)} + \overline{a}$$

$$\overline{a} + (\overline{b} + \overline{c}) = \overline{a} + (\overline{b + c}) = \overline{a + (b + c)} = \overline{(a + b) + c} = \overline{(a + b)} + \overline{c} = (\overline{a} + \overline{b}) + \overline{c}$$

$$\overline{a} \cdot (\overline{b} \cdot \overline{c}) = \overline{a} \cdot (\overline{bc}) = \overline{a} \cdot (\overline{b \cdot c}) = \overline{(a \cdot b) \cdot c} = \overline{ab} \cdot \overline{c} = (\overline{a} \cdot \overline{b}) \cdot \overline{c}$$

$$\overline{a} \cdot (\overline{b} + \overline{c}) = \overline{a} \cdot (\overline{b + c}) = \overline{a} \cdot (\overline{b + c}) = \overline{a \cdot b + a \cdot c} = \overline{ab} + \overline{ac} = \overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} + \overline{a} \cdot \overline{c}$$

Definition 4.5: Ideal

Let R be a ring and $I \subset R$.

We say I is a

(i) **Left ideal** if I is a subring such that for all $a \in R, x \in I$

$$a \cdot x \in I$$

(ii) **Right ideal** if I is a subring such that for all $a \in R, x \in I$

$$x \cdot a \in I$$

(iii) **Ideal** if I is both a left and right ideal (sometimes called a **two-sided ideal**).

Observe that if $f: R \to S$ is a ring homomorphism then Ker f is an ideal in R. <u>Note:</u> We may define R/I for **any** ideal $I \subset R$, whether or not I = Ker f for some ring homomorphism $f: R \to S$.

Theorem 4.6: The First Isomorphism Theorem

If $f: R \to S$ is a ring homomorphism and $I = \operatorname{Ker} f$. Then

$$R/I \cong \operatorname{Im} f$$

as rings.

Proof. We first prove a smaller claim.

Claim: If $r \in R$, then

$$r+I=f^{-1}(f(r))=\{x\in R|f(x)=f(r)\}$$

(Here f^{-1} is the preimage, not the inverse).

Proof. If $a \in I$, then

$$f(r+a) = f(r) + f(a) = f(r) \implies r+a \in f^{-1}(f(r)) \implies r+I \subset f^{-1}(f(r))$$

Similarly, if $x \in f^{-1}(f(r))$, then

$$f(r) = f(x) \implies f(r) - f(x) = 0 \implies f(r - x) = 0$$

This last equality means r - x (and x - r) $\in \text{Ker } f$, hence

$$x - r \in \operatorname{Ker} f \implies x = r + (x - r) \in r + I \implies f^{-1}(f(r)) \subset r + I$$

Therefore, both inclusions are proved and $r + I = f^{-1}(f(r))$.

There is a bijective map

$$\overline{f}: R/I \to \operatorname{Im} f$$
 $\overline{r} \mapsto f(r)$

The point being that \bar{r} is independent of the representative $r \in R$.

Theorem 4.7: Canonical quotient map is surjective

If $I \subset R$ is an ideal, then the quotient map

$$f: R \to R/I$$

 $r \mapsto \overline{r}$

is a surjective ring homomorphism with Ker f = I

Proof. Firstly, f is clearly surjective because every element of $r \in R$ will be an element of its own equivalence class. It remains to show that this is a homomorphism.

$$f(a+b) = \overline{a+b} = \overline{a} + \overline{b} = f(a) + f(b)$$
$$f(a \cdot b) = \overline{a \cdot b} = \overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} = f(a) \cdot f(b)$$

For the kernel, by definition of the map $f(a) = \overline{a}$, but if we also have that $f(a) = \overline{0}$ then by definition of equivalence classes $\overline{a} = \overline{0}$ because if $a \sim 0$ then $\overline{a} = \overline{0}$.

Therefore $a \in I = \text{Ker } f$.

Example 4.2. For any integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that

$$n\mathbb{Z} = \{nx | x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is an ideal in \mathbb{Z} .

Furthermore, the quotient ring of \mathbb{Z} by $n\mathbb{Z}$ is exactly the ring $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 4.3. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]$ and define

$$I := \{p(X) \in R | \text{ all nonzero terms have degree at least } 2\}$$

e.g
$$7X^2 + 3X^3 + 10X^9 \in I$$

<u>Note:</u> $0 \in I$ because it has **no** terms with non-zero coefficient. **Exercise:** Prove that I is an ideal. Now consider two polynomials $p(X), q(X) \in R$ and $\overline{p(X)} = \overline{q(X)}$, then by definition of equivalence, $p - q \in I$.

So p-q consists of terms of at least degree 2, i.e the degree 0 and degree 1 parts of p,q agree, e.g

$$5 + X + 7X^3 = 5 + X - 21X^5 + 7X^{19}$$

This implies that the polynomials of degree at most 1 represent distinct cosets in R/I, e.g

$$5 + X$$
, $-7 + 2X$, $11 - 4X$

Therefore, there is a bijection between

$$R/I \iff \{a + bX | a, b, \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Observe that R/I has zero divisors: $\overline{x} \cdot \overline{X} = \overline{X^2} = \overline{0}$.

Example 4.4. Let R be a ring and X a non-empty set. Consider the ring

$$\mathcal{F}(X,R) := \{ f : X \to R \}$$

For a fixed element $a \in X$, the **evaluation map** at a is

$$\operatorname{Ev}_a : \mathcal{F}(X, R) \to R$$

 $f \mapsto f(a)$

Exercise: Ev $_a$ is a ring homomorphism.

Moreover, Ev_a is a *surjective* ring homomorphism and

$$Ker(Ev_a) := \{ f \in \mathcal{F}(X, R) | f(a) = 0 \}$$

In particular, by the First Isomorphism Theorem we have

$$\mathcal{F}(X,R)/\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Ev}_a) \cong R$$