## Lecture 1

## Definition 1.1: Rings and Fields

A ring R is a set with two binary operations  $+, \cdot$  (addition and multiplication), i.e

$$+: R \times R \rightarrow R$$

• : 
$$R \times R \rightarrow R$$

such that:

- (i) (R, +) is an **abelian group**, i.e
  - (Additive Identity) There exists a unique  $0_R \in R$ , such that  $\forall a \in R$

$$a + 0_R = 0_R + a = a$$

• (Additive Inverse)  $\forall a \in R$  there exists a unique  $(-a) \in R$  such that

$$a + (-a) = (-a) + a = 0_R$$

- (Associativity) For all  $a, b, c \in R$ , (a + b) + c = a + (b + c)
- (Commutativity) For all  $a, b \in R$ , a + b = b + a
- (ii) is **associative**, i.e  $\forall a, b, c \in R$

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

(iii) • is **distributive** over +, i.e  $\forall a, b, c \in R$ 

$$a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$$

Now we see variations and the extension of a ring, the field:

• We say R has an **identity element**,  $1_R$ , if there exists a  $1_R \in R$  such that  $\forall a \in R$ 

$$a \cdot 1_R = 1_R \cdot a = a$$

• We say R is **commutative** if  $\forall a, b \in R$ 

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a$$

• If R is a commutative ring with  $1_R \neq 0_R$ , then we say R is a **field** if every non-zero element has a multiplicative inverse, i.e  $\forall a \neq 0 \in R, \exists a^{-1} \in R$  such that

$$a \cdot (a^{-1}) = (a^{-1}) \cdot a = 1_R$$

For the rest of the notes, I will omit the R subscript from the additive and multiplicative identity, unless necessary. Anyways, now we can look at some examples of rings:

**Example 1.1**  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \bullet)$ , The integers with the usual addition and multiplication is a ring.

**Example 1.2**  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \bullet)$ ,  $(\mathbb{C}, +, \bullet)$ ,  $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \bullet)$  are fields.

**Example 1.3**  $(\mathbb{N}, +, \bullet)$  is **not** a ring, since there are no additive inverses.

**Example 1.4** ( $\mathbb{R}^3, +, \cdot$ ) is **not** a ring. It has addition  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \Rightarrow \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , but no proper multiplication operator. You can check that the cross product,  $\times$ , not distributive.

1

## Definition 1.2: Unit

We say  $a \in R$  is a **unit** if there exists a  $b \in R$  such that  $a \cdot b = b \cdot a = 1$ . Basically, a unit is an element whose multiplicative inverse is also in the ring.

**Example 1.5** In  $\mathbb{R}$ , every element except 0 is a unit.

**Example 1.6** In  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the only units are  $\{1, -1\}$ .

Now let us look at examples of rings other than the standard number types  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ :

**Example 1.7** The integers modulo n are also a ring. This set is written as  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . To understand this, first define the set of multiples of an integer n as

$$n\mathbb{Z} := \{n \cdot a | a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} := \mathbb{Z}/\sim$$

where  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

$$x \sim y \iff x - y \in n\mathbb{Z}$$

which basically means two integers are equivalent if their difference is a multiple of n. Think about it like this, if x and y are multiples of n plus the same remainder, i.e

$$x = nk + r$$
  $y = nl + r$ 

for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  then their difference is exactly a multiple of n,

$$x - y = nk + r - (nl + r) = n(k - l) = nm$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . They are equivalent in the sense of producing the same remainder when n is divided by them. This can be written in modulo arithmetic as

$$x \equiv y \pmod{n}$$

So,  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  will contain equivalence classes of remainders when dividing any integer by n, and each of these classes contain all integers that produce such remainder

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} := \{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}$$

The numbers with bars indicate the equivalence classes generated when taking the integers modulo n. For example  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  are the integers modulo 3

$$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} = \{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}\}$$

where

$$\overline{0} = \{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots\}$$

$$\overline{1} = \{1, 4, 7, 10, \dots\}$$

$$\overline{2} = \{2, 5, 8, 11, \dots\}$$

Now, if  $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $a \in \overline{a}, b \in \overline{b}$  then we define

$$\overline{a} + \overline{b} = \overline{a+b}, \quad \overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} = \overline{a \cdot b}$$

This set with the two operations is a ring. (Exercise to show these operations are well defined).

**Example 1.8** We can also have a rings of functions. Let R be a ring and X a set, define the set  $\mathfrak F$ 

$$\mathcal{F} := \{ f : X \to R \}$$

which is the set of functions which take elements of the set X to elements of the ring R. Then

$$(f+g): X \to R$$
  $(f \cdot g): X \to R$   $x \mapsto f(x) + g(x)$   $x \mapsto f(x) \cdot g(x)$ 

are operations which with  $\mathfrak{F}$ , form a ring.

**Example 1.9** Define the set of continuous functions on the closed interval [0, 1]

$$C[0,1] := \{ f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} | f \text{ continuous} \}$$

We know from calculus that if  $f, g \in C[0, 1]$ , then f + g and  $f \cdot g$  are also in C[0, 1]. Hence, C[0, 1] is a ring.

Example 1.10 Sets of matrices can also be rings. Define

$$M_n(\mathbb{R}) := \{n \times n \text{ matrices with real coefficients}\}$$

Then for matrices A, B:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots & b_{1n} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

we have

$$A + B := \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} + b_{11} & a_{12} + b_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} + b_{1n} \\ a_{21} + b_{21} & a_{22} + b_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} + b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} + b_{n1} & a_{n2} + b_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} + b_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A \cdot B := (a_{ik} \cdot b_{ki})$$

In the product, the notation indicates that each element is the dot product of a row vector in A and a column vector in B (the variable i indicates the ith row and ith column, while the k varies to multiply the kth element of each vector). This is the usual matrix multiplication we are all aware of.

Also, the additive and multiplicative identity are

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$