
L15: Modules

Consider the vector space $\mathbb{R}^n := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We know from our experiences in linear algebra that addition is defined as

$$\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \\ \mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \implies \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} := (v_1 + w_1, \dots, v_n + w_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$



<u>Note:</u> $(\mathbb{R}^n,+)$ is an abelian (commutative) group with addition:

- (Additive identity) $\exists \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{v} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- (Associative) $(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- (Additive inverse) $\forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \exists -\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathbf{v} + (-\mathbf{v}) = (-\mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$
- (Abelian) $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v} \quad \forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

 \mathbb{R}^n also has **scalar multiplication**: If $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then

$$a \cdot \mathbf{v} = (av_1, av_2, \dots, av_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

We can think of scalar multiplication as a map

$$\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$$

$$(a, \mathbf{v}) \mapsto a \cdot \mathbf{v}$$

Suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then scalar multiplication has the following properties

- $(1) (a+b) \cdot \mathbf{v} = a \cdot \mathbf{v} + b \cdot \mathbf{v}$
- (2) $(ab) \cdot \mathbf{v} = a \cdot (b \cdot \mathbf{v})$
- (3) $a \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = a \cdot \mathbf{v} + a \cdot \mathbf{w}$
- $(4) \ 1 \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$

Definition 15.1: R-module

Let R be a ring.

A (left) module over R or (R-module) is a set M with

- (1) a binary operation + such that (M, +) is an Abelian group,
- (2) an action of R on M i.e a map

$$R \times M \to M$$

$$(r,m)\mapsto r\bullet m$$

such that for $r, s \in R$ and $m, n \in M$

- (i) $(r+s) \cdot m = r \cdot m + s \cdot m$
- (ii) $(rs) \cdot m = r \cdot (s \cdot m)$
- (iii) $r \cdot (m+n) = r \cdot m + r \cdot n$
- (iv) If $1 \in R$ then $1 \cdot m = m$ and the module is called **Unital**.

Note: We can define a **right** R-module by $m \cdot r$ with scalar multiplication on the right. The only difference is associativity being $m \cdot (rs) = (m \cdot r) \cdot s$. Contrast this to property (ii) which says the action of rs on m is the action of s first and then acting by r, whereas now, it is the action of r first then acting by s and these two notions coincide only when s is commutative.

We will always be talking about left R-modules unless explicitly stated

Note: If R is a commutative ring then any left R-module has a natural right R-module structure as well: $(rs) \cdot m = (sr) \cdot m \longleftrightarrow m \cdot (sr) = m \cdot (rs) = (m \cdot r) \cdot s$

Definition 15.2: F-vector space

If F is a field, then we refer to F-modules as F-vector spaces. In this sense \mathbb{R}^n is an \mathbb{R} -vector space.

Observe If $R \subset S$ is as subring and M is an S-module then M is also a R-module by restricting scalar multiplication to R. For example, \mathbb{C}^2 is a \mathbb{C} -vector space but it is also an \mathbb{R} -vector space.

If $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ then $a \cdot \mathbf{v} = (av_1, av_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ still makes sense.

Example 15.1. For any ring R, consider

$$R^n := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in R, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

with component-wise addition

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) + (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) := (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots, a_n + b_n)$$

Exercise: $(R^n, +)$ is an abelian group. Scalar multiplication is also component-wise, for $a \in R$ and $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in R^n$, defined as

$$a \cdot (a_1, \dots, a_n) := (a \cdot a_1, \dots, a \cdot a_n)$$

Exercise: $(R^n, +)$ is an R-module with this scalar multiplication.

This is called the free R-Module of rank n.

Example 15.2. The trivial module $0 := \{0\}$ which has $\forall r \in R, r \cdot 0 := 0$.

Example 15.3. Any ideal of a ring $I \subset R$ is an R-module with scalar multiplication as ring multiplication:

$$R \times I \to I$$

 $(r, a) \mapsto ra$

Example 15.4. Quotient rings of R are R-modules with scalar multiplication as ring multiplication:


$$R \times R/I \to R/I$$
$$(r, \bar{a}) \mapsto \overline{r \cdot a}$$

Exercise: Module property (ii) $(rs) \cdot \bar{a} = r \cdot (s \cdot \bar{a})$ holds.

Recall a vector subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a subset such that

- (i) $\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 \in W$, $\forall \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in W$
- (ii) $\mathbf{0} \in W$
- (iii) $a \cdot \mathbf{w} \in W$, $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{w} \in W$
- (iv) $-\mathbf{w} \in W$, $\forall \mathbf{w} \in W$

Example 15.5. \mathbb{R}^2 has subspaces $\mathbf{0}, \mathbb{R}^2, \operatorname{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$



Definition 15.3: Submodule, Subspace

A **submodule** of an R-module M is a subgroup $N \subset M$ such that it is closed under scalar multiplication, i.e for all $r \in R, n \in N, r \cdot n \in N$.

If F is a field, we call F-submodules F-subspaces

Example 15.6. Every module is a submodule of itself.

Example 15.7. Every module has the 0-module.

Example 15.8. If we think about a ring R as a module over itself, then the submodules of R are the ideals of R.

<u>Note:</u> The only subspaces of \mathbb{R} are 0 or \mathbb{R} (since the only ideals in a field are 0 and the field itself).

Example 15.9. \mathbb{Z} -modules.

Let M be any abelian group. Define for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in M$,

$$n \cdot a := \begin{cases} \underbrace{a + a + a + \dots + a}_{n \text{-times}} & n > 0 \\ 0 & n = 0 \\ \underbrace{(-a) + (-a) + (-a) + \dots + (-a)}_{(-n) \text{-times}} & n < 0 \end{cases}$$

Exercise: $(n+m) \cdot a = n \cdot a + m \cdot a$ and $(nm) \cdot a = n \cdot (m \cdot a)$

This is a common sense way to come up with a \mathbb{Z} -module structure on any abelian group and so $\{\mathbb{Z}\text{-modules}\}=\{\text{Abelian groups}\}\$ For example $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -module as

$$n \cdot \overline{0} = \overline{0}, \quad n \cdot \overline{1} = \overline{n}, \quad n \cdot \overline{2} = \overline{2n}, \quad n \cdot \overline{3} = \overline{3n}$$

We can then immediately think of a large list of \mathbb{Z} -modules: \mathbb{Z}^n for $n \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ for $n \geq 2$.

Example 15.10. $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ -module

Let M be a $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ -module.

Then

$$\underbrace{(1+1+1+\cdots+1)}_{n\text{-times}} \cdot a = 0 \cdot a = 0 \quad \forall a \in M$$

$$=\underbrace{1 \cdot a + 1 \cdot a + 1 \cdot a + \cdots + 1 \cdot a}_{n\text{-times}}$$

$$=\underbrace{a+a+a+\cdots+a}_{n\text{-times}}$$

So in a $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module, the sum of any element with itself n times is going to be equal to 0. For example $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ -module because 1 added to itself four times is 0 as seen

$$\underbrace{(1 \bmod 2) + (1 \bmod 2)}_{0 \bmod 2} + \underbrace{(1 \bmod 2) + (1 \bmod 2)}_{0 \bmod 2} = 0 \bmod 2$$

A linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a map between two vector spaces such that

$$T(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = T\mathbf{v} + T\mathbf{w}$$

 $T(a\mathbf{v}) = a \cdot T\mathbf{v}$

As an example,

$$T: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$$

 $(x, y, z) \mapsto (2x + y - z, x + 2y)$

which, recall, we can represent as a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2x + y - z \\ x + 2y \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition 15.4: R-module homomorphism, F-linear transformation

Let R be a ring and M, N be R-modules.

An R-module homomorphism from M to N is a map $f: M \to N$ such that

- (1) $f(m+n) = f(m) + f(n) \quad \forall m, n \in M$
- (2) $f(a \cdot m) = a \cdot f(m) \quad \forall a \in R, m \in M$

If F is a field, we call F-module homomorphisms F-linear transformations.