More Examples

Let's see some basic properties of a ring R:

(i) $0 \cdot a = a \cdot 0 = 0 \quad \forall a \in R$

Proof. Let a be in R, then:

$$0 = 0 + 0 \Rightarrow 0 \cdot a = (0 + 0) \cdot a$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \cdot a = 0 \cdot a + 0 \cdot a$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \cdot a + (-0 \cdot a) = 0 \cdot a + 0 \cdot a + (-0 \cdot a)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = 0 \cdot a$$

(ii) $(-a) \cdot b = a \cdot (-b) = -(a \cdot b) \quad \forall a, b \in R$

$$a \cdot b + -(a \cdot b) = 0$$
 (by definition)

Proof. Let
$$a,b$$
 be in R , then:
$$a \cdot b + -(a \cdot b) = 0 \quad \text{(by definition)}$$
 then
$$a \cdot b + (-a) \cdot b = (a + (-a)) \cdot b = 0 \cdot b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -(a \cdot b) = (-a) \cdot b$$

(iii) $(-a) \cdot (-b) = a \cdot b$ $a, b \in R$

Proof. Let a, b be in R, then:

$$(-a) \cdot (-b) = -(a \cdot (-b)) = -(-(a \cdot b))$$
 But by definition we of additive inverse:
$$-(-(a \cdot b)) + (-(a \cdot b)) = 0$$

$$-(-(a \cdot b)) + (-(a \cdot b)) = 0$$

$$(-a) \cdot (-b) = -(-(a \cdot b)) = a \cdot b$$

(iv) If R has 1, then 1 is unique and $(-a) = (-1) \cdot a$

Proof. First, the multiplicative identity. Assume 1 and 1' are distinct identities.

$$1 = 1 \cdot 1' = 1'$$

So, in fact, they are the same and it is unique.

Now, by definition additive inverses are unique, so $-a = (-1) \cdot a$ must both sum with a to 0. We check

$$a + (-1) \cdot a = 1 \cdot a + (-1) \cdot a = (1 + (-1)) \cdot a = 0 \cdot a = 0$$

which confirms it.

Definition 2.1: Zero Divisor

We say a non-zero element $a \in R$ is a **zero divisor** if $\exists b \neq 0$ such that $a \cdot b = 0$

Example 2.1. Recall that $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of 2x2 matrices with real valued entries and $0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

implies $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a zero divsor.

Example 2.2. Let $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} = \{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}, \overline{5}\}$. Then

$$\overline{2} \cdot \overline{3} = \overline{0}$$

implies $\overline{2}$ is a zero divisor.

<u>Claim:</u> If $\overline{0} \neq \overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is not a zero divisor, then it is a unit.

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \neq 0$ be relatively prime to n. Then Euclid's algorithm (more specifically Bezout's Identity) constructs $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$a \cdot x + n \cdot y = 1 \implies \overline{a} \cdot \overline{x} = \overline{1}$$

Hence, \overline{a} is a unit.

On the other hand, if gcd(a, n) > 1, then let gcd(a, n) = d. Hence, since n is a multiple d we can write for some $q, k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$n = d \cdot q$$
 $a = d \cdot k$

Then,

$$\overline{a} \cdot \overline{q} = \overline{a \cdot q} = \overline{d \cdot k \cdot q} = \overline{n \cdot k} = \overline{n} = \overline{0}$$

Thus, \overline{a} is a zero divisor.

Corollary 2.1: $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a field for prime n

If n is prime, then $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a field.

Proof. If 0 < m < n and n is prime, then gcd(m, n) = 1. From the previous claim, this would mean every element is a unit and therefore $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a field.

Example 2.3. $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ are fields but $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ is not (since $\overline{2} \cdot \overline{2} = \overline{0}$, therefore $\overline{2}$ is a zero divisor and not a unit).

2

Claim: If $a \in R$ is a zero divisor, then it is not a unit

Proof. Let $b \neq 0$ and $a \cdot b = 0$.

Assume $\exists c \in R$ such that $a \cdot c = 1 = c \cdot a$, then

$$c \cdot a \cdot b = c \cdot (a \cdot b) = c \cdot 0 = 0$$

but similarly,

$$c \cdot a \cdot b = (c \cdot a) \cdot b = 1 \cdot b = b$$

contradicting the fact of $b \neq 0$. Hence our assumption is wrong and a is not a unit.

Definition 2.2: Group of Units

If R is a ring with $1 \neq 0$, we denote the set of units by

$$R^{\times} := \{ a \in R | \exists b \in R \quad a \cdot b = b \cdot a = 1 \}$$

Claim: (R^{\times}, \bullet) is a group.

Proof. We check the properties of a group

- (i) $1 \in R^{\times}$ $(1 \cdot 1 = 1)$
- (ii) $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}, a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a$
- (iii) Associativity follows since \cdot is associative in R
- (iv) $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, by the definition of \mathbb{R}^{\times} there exists $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a = 1$$

but this is the same as

$$b \cdot a = a \cdot b = 1$$

hence b, the inverse of a, is also a unit and therefore $b \in R^{\times}$.

A field F is a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$ such that $F^{\times} = F \setminus \{0\}$

Definition 2.3: Integral Domain

We say a commutative ring R with $1 \neq 0$ is an **integral domain** if it has no zero divisors

Example 2.4. $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ is **not** an integral domain. $(\overline{2} \cdot \overline{2} = \overline{0} \implies \overline{2}$ is a zero divisor)

Example 2.5. $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ is **not** an integral domain. Then,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

implies $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a zero divsor.

Example 2.6. $\mathbb Z$ is an integral domain

Proposition 2.1: Cancellation Law

Let R be a ring and $a, b, c \in R$.

Suppose a is not a zero divisor, then

$$ab = ac \implies b = c$$

Proof. If $a \neq 0$, then $a \cdot (b - c) = 0$. Since we supposed a is not a zero divisor then it must be

$$b - c = 0 \implies b = c$$

Example 2.7. To show why a must **not** be a zero divisor, consider $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. We have $\overline{2} \cdot \overline{2} = \overline{0}$ and $\overline{2} \cdot \overline{0} = \overline{0}$. So

$$\overline{2} \cdot \overline{2} = \overline{2} \cdot \overline{0}$$

but

$$\overline{2} \neq \overline{0}$$

Corollary 2.2: Finite integral domain is field

If R is a finite (as a set) integral domain then R is a field

Proof. Fix $a \in R$ and $a \neq 0$. Then define a map

$$f_a:R\to R$$

$$x\mapsto a \cdot x$$

Claim: f_a is an injective map by cancellation

Proof. Suppose $f_a(x) = f_a(y)$, then

$$a \cdot x = a \cdot y \implies x = y$$

hence, it is injective.

By the Pigeonhole Principle f_a is also surjective. This bijection implies that there exists $x \in R$ such that $a \cdot x = 1$. Hence, a is a unit and is an element of the group of units, i.e $a \in R^{\times}$.

Since every non-zero a is shown to be in R^{\times} this way, they are all units, and hence R is a field (since every element in the ring has a multiplicative inverse).

Definition 2.4: Subring

A subring S of a ring R is a subgroup that is closed under multiplication. That is $S \subset R$ such that $\forall a, b \in S$,

(i) $a + b \in S$ (closure under +) (ii) $0 \in S$ (additive identity) (iii) $-a \in S$ (additive inverse)

(closure under •)

Proposition 2.2: Subring Criterion

(iv) $a \cdot b \in S$

If $S \subset R$ is a subset of a ring such that $\forall a, b \in S$

- (i) $S \neq \emptyset$
- (ii) $a b \in S$
- (iii) $a \cdot b \in S$

then S is a subring.

Proof. Suppose $a, b \in S$ and the conditions above are true, then

- (i) $a a = 0 \in S$
- (ii) $0 a = -a \in S$
- (iii) $a b = a + (-b) \in S$
- (iv) $a \cdot b \in S$

thus satisfying the definition of a subring.

Example 2.8. $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$ are all subrings.

Example 2.9. $2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is a subring and more generally $n\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is a subring.

Example 2.10. $C[0,1] \subset \mathcal{F} := \{f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}\}$ is a subring.

Definition 2.5: Subfield

If F is a field and $F' \subset F$ is a subring such that

- (i) $1 \in F'$
- (ii) $\forall a \in F', a^{-1} \in F'$

then we say F' is a **subfield** of F.

Warning: Not all subrings of fields are subfields! (e.g $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$)

Claim: If $R \subset F$ is a subring of a field with $1 \in R$, then R is an integral domain.