Opening sheet for criminal appeal (Under Section 419 Cr.P IN THE HON, ABLE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT P Judicial Department Appellate SideCriminal Case No.

Criminal Appeal under section 516/517 Cr.P.C/ 48 CNSA 1997.

Nowshera	/2 /03/2015	Sahibzada Sikander Advocate	
		himself or by pleader/Agent	petition
District	Date Of filing petition	Whether filed by appellant	Stamp on

EST Deen Khan S/O Shereen Khan R/O Qader Kalla, Naguman, Peshawar. 29 FEB 2016

VERSUS

The State

Appeal from the order of ----- Additional Session Judge/Judge Special Court (v) Nowshera.

Dated-----23/02/2015.

Charge Under Section--- 9© CNSA 1997 R/W 2(S),16. 156(1)(8)(89) Custom Act 1969.

Conviction and Sentence......(Truck Bearing Registration No.C-9258 Nowshera, was refused to hand over on superdari.

Prayer in Appeal---- To set aside the order of Learned Additional Session Judge/Judge Special Court (v) Nowshera, and to release the vehicle/truck in question on Superdari to the Appellant.

Grounds Of Appeal Attached)

Sahibzada Sikander Advocate High Court. Peshawar.

JUDGMENT SHEET IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR

(Judicial Department)

Cr. A No.163-P of 2015.

JUDGMENT

Date of hearing 22.10.2015
Appellant-Petitioner (Sameen Khan) By
1 0 . 11 1 D 1. Advoca
Respondent (Slah) By Mahammad Ighad Mo. Advocate.
Advocat.
MUHAMMAD YOUNIS THAHEEM, J Through the instant
criminal appeal, the appellant Sameen Khan has impugned the order
dated 23.02.2015, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-
V/Judge Special Court, Nowshera, whereby the petition for the
release of Truck bearing Registration No. C-9258 on Superdari was
refused.
2. Brief facts of the case are that the Truck bearing
t 1 (1 laral malian

29 FEB 2016

2. Brief facts of the case are that the Truck bearing registration No. C-9258 was taken into custody by the local police after recovery of charas weighing 94 Killograms and accused Fazalullah was arrested vide case FIR No. 47/2010 under section 9

(BC)

(C) CNSA r/w 2(S) 16, 156(1) (8) (89) Customs Act, 1969, Police Station Customs Investigation and Prosecution Branch, Customs House Peshawar. The learned Special Court Nowshera on conclusion of trial convicted the accused Fazalullah, declared co-accused Muhammad Tariq as proclaimed offender and ordered that the case property including the Truck in question shall remain intact till the arrest of absconding co-accused, while on appeal the sentence of accused Fazalullah was reduced to already under gone. The appellant filed petition for release of truck in question on Superdari

before the learned Additional Sessions Judge-V/Judge Special Court, Noshera, which was refused on 23.02.2015, hence, the instant appeal.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that he is bonafide purchaser of the truck in question and is thus ostensible owner who has no connection with the commission of alleged crime. He further argued that there is no other rival claimant of the same. He went on to say that the condition of Truck is deteriorating day by day. He further added that it is not known as to when the absconding accused Tariq would surrender or arrested for which the Truck

Sint ?



cannot be kept in custody for indefinite period. He lastly argued that the Truck in question is the only source of income for the appellant and his family and he shall undertake to produce the same before the Court as and when required, if given the truck on Superdari to him.

4. On the other hand learned State counsel opposing the arguments advanced by learned counsel for appellant contended that huge quantity of narcotics has been recovered from the Truck and

CO-accused Tariq is still absconding and is the case property. He

AMINETAER added that the learned trial court has ordered case property

including the Truck shall remain intact till the arrest and trial of absconding co-accused Tariq. He further argued that ownership of appellant is not above board, hence, he is not entitled for release of Truck on Superdary to him.

- 5. Arguments heard and record perused.
- 6. Perusal of the record reveals that admittedly two accused namely Fazalullah and Tariq have been charged for transporting the huge quantity of narcotics from Peshawar to down area through Truck in question. During trial one of the accused namely Fazalullah has been convicted and co-accused Muhammad

Tariq has been declared as proclaimed offender and the case property including the Truck was ordered to be kept intact till the arrest of absconding co-accused, while on appeal the sentence of accused Fazalullah was reduced to already under gone. In given situation, section 74 of the Control of Narcotics Substances Act provides a bar on release of any such vehicle, which is reproduced as under:

"74. Application of other laws-If an offence

ATTESTE Dunishable under this Act is also an offence in any
other law for the time being in force, nothing in that
Frankwar High Court
law shall prevent the offender from being punished
under this act:

Provided that nothing contained in section 523 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898 (Act V of 1989), or any other provisions of the said Code or any other law for time being in force, the custody of narcotic production or manufacture of such drugs or substances or any conveyance used in import, export transport or transshipment thereof or for commission of an offence under this act, shall not be given on custody to the accused or any of his associate or relative or any private individual till the conclusion of the case."

((U3))

7. Perusal of above provision of law provides that, where trial is pending and it is yet to be decided whether said vehicle was liable for confiscation or otherwise and any conveyance used in transportation of narcotics, shall not be given in custody to the accused or any of his associate or relative or any private individual till the conclusion of the case. As in the instant case huge quantity of narcotics has been recovered from the Truck in question, the co-accused Tariq is still absconding and the trial against him has not yet

been concluded and further the appellant is father of accused

29 FEB 2016 Fazalullah, hence, he is not entitled for custody of Truck on

Superdari.

8. Moreover, the appellant alleged in his application that he is bonafide purchaser of the Truck in question, but he has not annexed any lawful proof in this respect. Iqrarnama placed on file shows that the same has been purchased by his son Fazalullah who was accused in the instant case and transfer letter placed on record also shows the name of original owner namely Sardar Hussain, whereas no name of purchaser has been mentioned in it, hence, the appellant cannot be termed as owner of the vehicle unless declared

Sex 2

so by competent court of law. The appellant has failed to establish his ownership as well, hence, he is not entitled for custody of Truck on Superdari.

For the reasons discussed above, the instant appeal being bereft of merits is dismissed.

office May 02/11/15