Ph: 9082235 Fax: 9220406 ngu

REGISTERED No. Crl.P.1297/2017- SCJ SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN Islamabad, 26 Jan 2018

Peshawar High C

Receipt No.

Date:

1- MIT

?- Dir (HRC)

4- Dir (HR.W

3- Dir (ReG) 19

From

The Registrar,

Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Islamabad.

To

The Registrar,

Peshawar High Court.

Peshawar.

Subject:

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 1297 OF 2017

Zaib Khan

Versus

The State

On appeal from the Judgment/Order of the Peshawar High Court, Peshawar dated 20/10/2017 in Crl.Misc.B.A.No.2218-P/2017 in case FIR No.591/2017 dated 14/09/2017 registered at Police Station Rustam, District Mardan

Dear Sir,

I am directed to enclose herewith a certified copy of the Order/Judgment of this Court dated 08/01/2018 dismissing as not pressed the above cited case in the terms stated therein for information and further necessary action.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter along with its enclosure immediately.

Encl: Order/Judgment:

Yours faithfully,

(MUHAMMAD MUJAHID MEHMOOD) ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (IMP) FOR REGISTRAR

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

PRESENT:

MR. JUSTICE MANZOOR AHMAD MALIK MR. JUSTICE SARDAR TARIQ MASOOD

Crl. Petition No. 1297 of 2017

(Against the order of the Peshawar High Court Peshawar dated 20.10.2017 passed in Cr.Misc/BA No. 2218-P of 2017

Zaib Khan

... Petitioner(s)

<u>VERSUS</u>

The State

... Respondent(s)

For the Petitioner(s)

: Mr. Gohar Ali Khan, ASC

Mr. M.S. Khattak, AOR

For the State

Mr. Zahid Yousaf Qureshi, Addl. PG KPK

Muhammad Qayyum, Inspector

Date of hearing

: 08.01.2018

ORDER

MANZOOR AHMAD MALIK, J. - Crl. M.A. No. 2152

of 2017: For reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and delay in filing the criminal petition is condoned.

- 2. Impugned herein is the order dated 20.10.2017 whereby bail was refused to the petitioner by the learned Peshawar High Court, Peshawar in case FIR No. 591 dated 14.09.2017, offence under section 9(c) of the Control of Narcotic Substances Act, 1997, registered at P.S. Rustam District Mardan.
- 3. After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Law Officer and perusal of available record, it has been observed by us that petitioner was allegedly apprehended while selling the narcotics and 3020 grams of Charas was recovered from

Court Associate
Supreme Court of Pakistan
Islamabad

TESTED

. With A decision

anto processor into National anto page 1995 est Page 1995 est his possession. Therefore, the case against the petitioner squarely falls within the prohibitory clause. Learned counsel for the petitioner referred to the judgment of this Court reported in Para Din and others v. The State (2016 SCMR 806) to argue that it is clear from the FIR that Charas allegedly recovered from the petitioner was in pieces and the police failed to take sample from each piece, therefore, the case against the petitioner is one of further enquiry. We are afraid the afore-referred judgment of this judgment, distinguishable that as in conviction/sentence of the appellant was challenged, whereas through the instant petition, the petitioner is asking for bail after arrest and the ratio declared in Ameer Zeb v. the State (PLD 2012 SC 380) is not applicable at bail stage as already held in the case reported as Socha Gul v. The State (2015 SCMR 1077).

For the foregoing, this petition having no merit is dismissed and leave to appeal is refused.

> Mansos Ahmad Malik, Sd/-, J Sardar Taria, Massod, Sd/-, J

Certified to be True Copy

sociate Supreme Court of Pakistan Islamabad

Service of the servic

