

Homework 8

89. Let $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ be a unital $*$ -homomorphism between von Neumann algebras.

(1) Prove that the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (a) Φ is *normal*: $x_\lambda \nearrow x$ implies $\Phi(x_\lambda) \nearrow \Phi(x)$.
- (b) Φ is σ -WOT continuous.

Proof. For a bounded net of positive operators x_λ increasing to a positive operator x , we know that convergence in the WOT, SOT, σ -WOT, and σ -SOT are all equivalent. Suppose Φ is σ -WOT continuous. If $x_\lambda \nearrow x$, then in particular $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$ σ -WOT, so $\Phi(x_\lambda) \rightarrow \Phi(x)$ σ -WOT as well.

On the other hand, suppose that $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ is normal. Let $\psi \in N_*$, the dual of N under the σ -WOT topology, be positive. Since ψ is σ -WOT continuous, ψ is normal, so $\psi \circ \Phi$ is normal and positive, and hence σ -WOT continuous by problem 88. Since M_* is spanned by positive linear functionals (Corollary 4.3.4 of Jesse Peterson's notes), this shows that $\psi \circ \Phi$ is σ -WOT continuous for every $\psi \in N_*$. Since the σ -WOT topology is the weak topology induced by the predual, this is precisely the condition for Φ to be σ -WOT continuous. \square

(2) Prove that if Φ is normal, then $\Phi(M) \subseteq N$ is a von Neumann subalgebra.

Proof. If $\ker(\Phi) = 0$, then by problem 72 part 2, we know that $\Phi(M)$ is a von-Neumann subalgebra of N . Therefore, it suffices to construct unital Von-Neumann algebra $*$ -homomorphism $C : M \rightarrow \text{coker}(\Phi)$, with an injective factorization $\bar{\Phi} : \text{coker}(\Phi) \rightarrow N$ so that $\Phi = \bar{\Phi}C$. Since $\{0\} \subseteq N$ is σ -WOT closed and Φ is σ -WOT continuous, $\ker(\Phi)$ is σ -WOT closed. Since Φ is a ring homomorphism, $\ker(\Phi)$ is a 2-sided ideal. By results proven in class, $\ker(\Phi)$ is of the form Mz for some $z \in P(Z(M))$. Since $z \in Z(M)$, we know that zH and $(1 - z)H$ are M -invariant subspaces of H ; if $m - n \in \ker(\Phi)$, then $(m - n)z = m - n$, so $(m - n)(1 - z) = 0$. Let $C : M \rightarrow M(1 - z)$ be the compression map, a σ -WOT continuous unital $*$ -homomorphism for sure. If $C(x) = C(y)$, then $\Phi(x) = \Phi(y)$, so the map $\bar{\Phi} : M(1 - z) \rightarrow N$ given by $\bar{\Phi}(m(1 - z)) = \Phi(m)$ is a well-defined homomorphism. By definition, $\bar{\Phi}$ is unital, and since $(1 - z)$ is central and self-adjoint, C and $\bar{\Phi}$ are $*$ -homomorphisms. But $(m(1 - z))(1 - z) = m(1 - z)$, so $\bar{\Phi}$ is actually a restriction of Φ , and hence still σ -WOT continuous.

For the sake of completeness, we should say why the σ -WOT topology on $M(1 - z)$ is the subspace topology coming from M . When constructing the predual, we found that for a von-Neumann algebra A , we have $A_* \cong L^1(B(H))/A_\perp$, where A_\perp is precisely those elements $y \in L^1(B(H))$ so that $\text{tr}(ay) = 0$ for every $a \in A$. Therefore, the σ -WOT topology on any $A \subseteq B(H)$ is actually induced by the (usually redundant) family of seminorms $(a \rightarrow |\text{tr}(ay)|)_{y \in L^1(B(H))}$, and every von-Neumann algebra $A \subseteq B(H)$ has the induced σ -WOT topology from $B(H)$. \square

(3) Let φ be a normal state on a von Neumann algebra M , and let $(H_\varphi, \Omega_\varphi, \pi_\varphi)$ be the cyclic GNS representation of M associated to φ , i.e. $H_\varphi = L^2(M, \varphi)$, $\Omega_\varphi \in H_\varphi$ is the image of $1 \in M$ in H_φ , and $\pi_\varphi(x)m\Omega_\varphi = xm\Omega_\varphi$ for all $x, m \in M$.

(a) Show that π_φ is normal.

Proof. Suppose $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$ σ -WOT. For every $z, y \in M$, we have

$$\langle \pi_\varphi(x - x_\lambda)y\Omega, z\Omega \rangle = \varphi(z^*(x - x_\lambda)y)$$

Since multiplication is σ -WOT continuous in each coordinate and φ is σ -WOT continuous by (1),

$$\langle \pi_\varphi(x - x_\lambda)y\Omega, z\Omega \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

Since z and y were arbitrary and vectors of the form $y\Omega$ are norm-dense in H_φ , this shows that $\pi_\varphi(x_\lambda) \rightarrow \pi_\varphi(x)$ WOT. Since $\pi_\varphi(x_\lambda)$ is bounded by $\|\pi_\varphi(x)\|$, and the σ -WOT and WOT agree on bounded sets, $\pi_\varphi(x_\lambda) \rightarrow \pi_\varphi(x)$ σ -WOT as well. By part (1), this is enough to show that π_φ is normal. \square

- (b) Deduce that if φ is faithful, then $M \cong \pi_\varphi(M) \subseteq B(H_\varphi)$ is a von Neumann algebra acting on H_φ .

Proof. If φ is faithful, then for $x \neq y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_\varphi(x - y)\|^2 &\geq \|\pi_\varphi(x - y)\Omega\|_\varphi^2 \\ &= \varphi((x - y)^*(x - y)) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $(x - y)^*(x - y)$ is a nonzero positive operator. Hence, π_φ is a faithful representation and the claim follows from (2). \square

91. (1) It's easy to see that J is a conjugate linear isometry:

$$\begin{aligned}
 J((\lambda a + b)\Omega) &= (\lambda a + b)^* \Omega = \bar{\lambda} a \Omega + b \Omega, \quad \text{and} \\
 \|J(a\Omega)\| &= \|a^* \Omega\| \\
 &= \langle a^* \Omega, a^* \Omega \rangle \\
 &= \text{tr}(aa^*) \\
 &= \text{tr}(a^* a) \\
 &= \langle a \Omega, a \Omega \rangle \\
 &= \|a \Omega\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, $J[M\Omega] = M\Omega$, because M is $*$ -closed. Since $M\Omega$ is dense in $L^2 M$ by construction, we have that $J[M\Omega]$ is dense in $L^2 M$ as well.

(2) From the previous part, we know that J extends uniquely to a conjugate linear isometry $L^2 M \rightarrow L^2 M$.

Now fix $\xi \in L^2 M$, and take a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in M such that $a_n \Omega \rightarrow \xi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 J^2 \xi &= J^2 \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \Omega \right) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J^2(a_n \Omega), \quad \text{since } J^2 \text{ is continuous} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((a_n^*)^* \Omega) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \Omega \\
 &= \xi
 \end{aligned}$$

so that $J^2 = 1$ on $L^2 M$.

Next, for $\xi = b\Omega, \eta = a\Omega \in M\Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle J\eta, J\xi \rangle &= \langle a^* \Omega, b^* \Omega \rangle \\
 &= \text{tr}(ba^*) \\
 &= \text{tr}(a^* b) \\
 &= \langle b\Omega, a\Omega \rangle \\
 &= \langle \xi, \eta \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now if $\xi, \eta \in L^2 M$, we can write $\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \Omega$ and $\eta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \Omega$ for some sequences $(a_n), (b_n) \in M$.

Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle J\eta, J a_k \Omega \rangle &= \left\langle J \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \Omega, J a_k \Omega \right\rangle \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J(b_n \Omega), J(a_k \Omega) \rangle \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle a_k \Omega, b_n \Omega \rangle \\
 &= \left\langle a_k \Omega, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \Omega \right\rangle \\
 &= \langle a_k \Omega, \eta \rangle. \quad \text{Then} \\
 \langle J\eta, J\xi \rangle &= \left\langle J\eta, J \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k \Omega \right\rangle \\
 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle J\eta, J a_k \Omega \rangle \\
 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle a_k \Omega, \eta \rangle \\
 &= \left\langle \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k \Omega, \eta \right\rangle \\
 &= \langle \xi, \eta \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\langle J\eta, J\xi \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ for all $\xi, \eta \in L^2 M$.

(3) For $a, b \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ja^*Jb\Omega &= Ja^*b^*\Omega = (ba)\Omega, \quad \text{and thus for } m \in M, \\ (JmJb)(a\xi) &= (JmJ)(ba)\xi \\ &= bam^*\xi \\ &= b(JmJa)\xi \\ &= b(JmJ)(a\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus JmJ commutes with b on $M\Omega$, and since $M\Omega$ is dense in L^2M , it follows that JmJ commutes with b on L^2M .

Since $b \in M$ was arbitrary, it follows that $JmJ \in M'$, so $JMJ \subseteq M'$.

(4) For $a, b, c \in M$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ja^*Jb\Omega, c\Omega \rangle &= \langle ba\Omega, c\Omega \rangle \\ &= \text{tr}(c^*ba) \\ &= \text{tr}(ac^*b) \\ &= \langle b\Omega, (ac^*)^*\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle b\Omega, ca^*\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle b\Omega, JaJc\Omega \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(JaJ)^* = Ja^*J$, again because $M\Omega$ is dense in L^2M .

(5) For all $a \in M$ and $y \in M'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Jy\Omega, a\Omega \rangle &= \langle Jy\Omega, Ja^*\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle a^*\Omega, y\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle y^*a^*\Omega, \Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle a^*y\Omega, \Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle y^*\Omega, a\Omega \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle Jy\Omega, a\Omega \rangle = \langle y^*\Omega, a\Omega \rangle$ for all $a \in M$, and $M\Omega$ is dense in L^2M , we have $Jy\Omega = y^*\Omega$ for all $y \in M'$.

(6) Take $x, y, z \in M'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Jx^*Jy\Omega &= Jx^*y^*\Omega = yx\Omega, \quad \text{and thus} \\ \langle Jx^*Jy\Omega, z\Omega \rangle &= \langle yx\Omega, z\Omega \rangle \\ &= \text{tr}(z^*yx) \\ &= \text{tr}(xz^*y) \\ &= \langle y\Omega, (xz^*)^*\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle y\Omega, zx^*\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle y\Omega, JxJz\Omega \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so that $(JxJ)^* = Jx^*J$.

(7) For $a, b \in M$ and $x, y \in M'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle xJyJa\Omega, b\Omega \rangle &= \langle a\Omega, Jy^*Jx^*b\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle a\Omega, Jy^*Jbx^*\Omega \rangle \\ &= \text{tr}(xb^*JyJa) \\ &= \text{tr}(b^*JyJax) \\ &= \text{tr}(b^*JyJxa) \\ &= \langle JyJxa\Omega, b\Omega \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(8) Thus from the above we see that each $x \in M'$ commutes with each $JyJ \in JMJ$, so that $M' \subseteq (JM'J)' = JMJ$, so that $M' = JMJ$. \square

92. Let Γ be a discrete group, and let $L\Gamma = \{\lambda_g\}'' \subseteq B(\ell^2\Gamma)$. Consider the faithful σ -WOT continuous tracial state $\text{tr}(x) = \langle x\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle$ on $L\Gamma$.

- (1) Show that $u\delta_g = \lambda_g$ uniquely extends to a unitary $u \in B(\ell^2\Gamma, L^2L\Gamma)$ such that for all $x \in L\Gamma$ and $\xi \in \ell^2\Gamma$, $L_x u\xi = ux\xi$ where $L_x \in B(L^2L\Gamma)$ is left multiplication by x , i.e., $L_x(y\Omega) = xy\Omega$.

Proof. Since $(\delta_g)_{g \in \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal basis in $\ell^2\Gamma$, in order to show that $u\delta_g = \lambda_g$ extends uniquely to a unitary, it suffices to prove that $(\lambda_g\Omega)_{g \in \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2L\Gamma$. First, we check that $(\lambda_g\Omega)_{g \in \Gamma}$ is orthonormal:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda_g\Omega, \lambda_h\Omega \rangle &= \text{tr}(\lambda_h^* \lambda_g) \\ &= \langle \lambda_g \delta_e, \lambda_h \delta_e \rangle \\ &= \langle \delta_g, \delta_h \rangle \\ &= \delta_{g=h}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that $\text{span}\{\lambda_g\Omega : g \in \Gamma\}$ is dense in $L^2L\Gamma$. By construction, $\{x\Omega : x \in L\Gamma\}$ is dense in $L^2L\Gamma$, so it suffices to prove that $\text{span}\{\lambda_g\Omega : g \in \Gamma\}$ is dense in $\{x\Omega : x \in L\Gamma\}$ is dense in $L^2L\Gamma$.

Let $x \in L\Gamma$. By the definition of $L\Gamma$, there is a net $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ so that $x_i \in \text{span}\{\lambda_g : g \in \Gamma\}$ for each $i \in I$ and $x_i \rightarrow x$ SOT. By the Kaplansky density theorem, we may assume $\|x_i\| \leq \|x\|$ for all $i \in I$. Then since multiplication is jointly continuous on bounded sets, $(x - x_i)^*(x - x_i) \rightarrow 0$ SOT. Therefore, $(x - x_i)^*(x - x_i) \rightarrow 0$ WOT and hence σ -WOT, since everything is bounded. Now since tr is σ -WOT continuous,

$$\|x\Omega - x_i\Omega\|_2^2 = \text{tr}((x - x_i)^*(x - x_i)) \rightarrow \text{tr}(0) = 0.$$

That is, $x_i\Omega \rightarrow x\Omega$ in $L^2L\Gamma$. This completes the proof that $(\lambda_g)_{g \in \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2L\Gamma$.

Now for all $g, h \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\lambda_g} u\delta_h &= L_{\lambda_g} \lambda_h \Omega \\ &= \lambda_g \lambda_h \Omega \\ &= \lambda_{gh} \Omega \\ &= u\delta_{gh} \\ &= u\lambda_g \delta_h. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by linearity and continuity of u and each λ_g , we have $L_x u\xi = ux\xi$ for all $\xi \in \ell^2\Gamma$ and all $x \in \text{span}\{\lambda_g : g \in \Gamma\}$. It remains to check that if $x_i \rightarrow x$ SOT, then $L_{x_i} \rightarrow L_x$ SOT. By the Kaplansky density theorem, we may assume $\|x_i\| \leq \|x\|$ for all i . Then for $y \in L\Gamma$, we have $\|x_i y\| \leq \|xy\|$ and $x_i y \rightarrow xy$ SOT. Hence, by the computation in the previous paragraph, $L_{x_i}(y\Omega) \rightarrow L_x(y\Omega)$ in $L^2L\Gamma$. Since this holds for all $y \in L\Gamma$, we have $L_{x_i} \rightarrow L_x$ SOT as desired. \square

- (2) Deduce from Problem 91 that $L\Gamma' = R\Gamma$.

Proof. By problem 91, it suffices to show $JL\Gamma J = R\Gamma$. For $g, h \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (JL_x J)(\lambda_h \Omega) &= JL_x(\lambda_{h^{-1}} \Omega) \\ &= J(x\lambda_{h^{-1}} \Omega) \\ &= \lambda_h x^* \Omega \\ &= R_{x^*}(\lambda_h \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\lambda_h \Omega)_{h \in \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2L\Gamma$, we have $JL_x J = R_{x^*}$. Therefore, $JL\Gamma J = R\Gamma$. \square