

Homework 10

100. (1) First, notice that the inclusion $\iota : N \rightarrow M$ is an isometry with respect to the trace-norm: If $a, b \in N$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle a, b \rangle_N &= \text{tr}(b^*a) \\ &= \text{tr}(\iota(b)^*\iota(a)) \\ &= \langle a, b \rangle_M\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, ι extends to an isometry $N \rightarrow L^2(M, \text{tr})$. Since ι is an isometry, ι extends continuously to the trace-norm closure of N , which is $L^2(N, \text{tr})$. Being an isometry, $\iota : L^2(N, \text{tr}) \rightarrow L^2(M, \text{tr})$ must still be an inclusion.

- (2) Being an orthogonal projection, e_N is norm-decreasing, and therefore continuous, with a continuous adjoint e_N^* . As for any projection onto a closed Hilbert subspace, we have $e_N^* = \iota$, and so $e_N^*e_N$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\iota(L^2(N, \text{tr}))$, while $e_N e_N^* = 1_{L^2(N, \text{tr})}$.

Suppose $a, b \in N$, and let Ω_N be the image of 1_N in $L^2(N, \text{tr})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}JaJe_N^*b\Omega_N &= JaJb\Omega_N \\ &= ba^*\Omega_N \\ &= e_N^*ba^*\Omega_N \\ &= e_N^*JaJb\Omega_N\end{aligned}$$

Since multiplication by a member of n is continuous in one component (by Cauchy-Schwarz for the trace) and e_N^* is norm-continuous, we can replace $b\Omega_N$ with any member of $L^2(N, \text{tr})$, so e_N^* commutes with the right-action of N . Taking adjoints, e_N also commutes with the right action: for $a \in N$, $\eta \in L^2(M, \text{tr})$, and $\xi \in L^2(N, \text{tr})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle JaJe_N\eta, \xi \rangle &= \langle \eta, e_N^*Ja^*J\xi \rangle \\ &= \langle \eta, Ja^*Je_N^*\xi \rangle \\ &= \langle e_NJaJ\eta, \xi \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the left action of a member of M and the right action of a member of N on $L^2(M, \text{tr})$ commute. Combining these three facts, for every $x \in M$, $e_Nxe_N^*$ commutes with the right action of N on $L^2(N, \text{tr})$. By problem 91 part 8, we have $e_Nxe_N^* \in (JNJ)' = N$, where commutant is relative to $B(L^2(N, \text{tr}))$.

- (3) Pick $x \in M$. Then, for any $y \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{tr}(E(x)y)_N &= \langle e_Nx^*e_N^*\Omega_N, y\Omega_N \rangle_N \\ &= \langle x^*e_N^*\Omega_N, e_N^*y\Omega_N \rangle_M \\ &= \langle x^*\Omega_M, y\Omega_M \rangle_M \\ &= \text{tr}(xy)_M\end{aligned}$$

A Hilbert space is in weak duality with itself, so for any Hilbert space H , an element $\eta \in H$ is uniquely determined by a choice of $(\langle \eta, \xi \rangle)_{\xi \in H}$, provided such an η exists. In particular, this holds for $\eta = E(x)$.

101. (1) First, notice that E preserves positivity: Suppose $x \in M$ is positive. Then for any $\eta \in L^2(N, \text{tr})$, we have

$$\langle E(x)\eta, \eta \rangle = \langle xe_N^*\eta, e_N^*\eta \rangle \geq 0$$

Expanding on the last argument, the sesquilinear form induced by $E(x)$ is a restriction of the sesquilinear form induced by x : for $\eta, \xi \in L^2(N, \text{tr})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle E(x)\eta, \xi \rangle_N &= \langle xe_N^*\eta, e_N^*\xi \rangle_M \\ &= \langle x\eta, \xi \rangle_M\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $x_\lambda \nearrow x$, then $E(x_\lambda)$ is still an increasing sequence of positive operators bounded by $E(x)$, and $E(x_\lambda) \nearrow E(x)$ weakly, and hence σ -WOT. By definition, E is normal.

- (2) By the previous remark about sesquilinear forms, $E(1_M) = 1_N$. Let $y, z, w \in N$ and $x \in M$ be given; then by part (3) of 100,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}((yE(x)z)w)_N &= \mathrm{tr}(E(x)zwy)_N \\ &= \mathrm{tr}(xzyw)_M \\ &= \mathrm{tr}(yxzw)_M \\ &= \mathrm{tr}(E(yxz)w)_N\end{aligned}$$

and by uniqueness, $E(yxz) = \mathrm{tr}(yE(x)z)$.

- (3) This follows from the remark about sesquilinear forms.
 (4) Let a matrix $a = ((a_{i,j})_{i=1}^n)_{j=1}^n \in M_n(M)$ be given. As an unjustified notation, let $E(a) = (E(a_{i,j}))_{i=1}^n)_{j=1}^n$. Choose a vector $\eta = (\eta_k)_{k=1}^n$ in $L^2(N, \mathrm{tr})^n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle a\eta, \eta \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \langle a_{i,j}\eta_j, \eta_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \langle E(a_{i,j})\eta_j, \eta_i \rangle \\ &= \langle E(a)\eta, \eta \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if a is positive, then $E(a)$ is also positive, as desired.

- (5) Let $x \in M$. Then for every $\eta \in L^2(N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle E(x)^*E(x)\eta, \eta \rangle_N &= \langle E(x)\eta, E(x)\eta \rangle_N \\ &= \langle e_N^*e_N(xe_N^*\eta), xe_N^*\eta \rangle\end{aligned}$$

As explained in solving the previous problem, $e_N^*e_N$ is an orthogonal projection, so we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle E(x)^*E(x)\eta, \eta \rangle_N &\leq \langle xe_N^*\eta, xe_N^*\eta \rangle \\ &= \langle E(x^*x)\eta, \eta \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Since η was chosen arbitrarily, $E(x)^*E(x) \leq E(x^*x)$.

- (6) The key here is that the inclusion $N \subseteq M$ is unital. If $E(x^*x) = 0$, then in particular $\langle E(x^*x)\Omega_N, \Omega_N \rangle = \langle x^*x\Omega_M, \Omega_M \rangle = \|x\|^2 = 0$, so $x = 0$.

102. Notation: Let H be a Hilbert space on which M acts as a von Neumann algebra. It seems to us that we can prove a little more: rather than just considering M with the GNS-representation, we can consider any representation of M which induces an equivalent operator norm on H . We proceed in this generality. The case $H = L^2(M, \mathrm{tr})$ is often easier.

- (1) Assume first that (x_λ) is a bounded net in M with $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$ SOT. Then, for all $\xi \in H$, we have $x_\lambda\xi \rightarrow x\xi$. Also note that since

$$\|(x_\lambda - x)^*(x_\lambda - x)\xi\| \leq \|(x_\lambda - x)^*\| \|(x_\lambda - x)\xi\|$$

with $x_\lambda - x$ uniformly bounded in operator norm, this implies that $(x_\lambda - x)^*(x_\lambda - x) \rightarrow 0$ SOT. Since tr is normal, this implies that $\mathrm{tr}((x_\lambda - x)^*(x_\lambda - x)) \rightarrow 0$. This is exactly the inner product on $L^2(M)$, and so we have

$$\|x_\lambda\Omega - x\Omega\|^2 = \langle (x_\lambda - x)\Omega, (x_\lambda - x)\Omega \rangle = \mathrm{tr}((x_\lambda - x)^*(x_\lambda - x)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we have shown that $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$ SOT in M with x_λ bounded implies that $\|x_\lambda\Omega - x\Omega\| \rightarrow 0$.

Conversely, assume that $\|x_\lambda\Omega - x\Omega\| \rightarrow 0$ (with (x_λ) still a bounded net in M). By definition, we have $\mathrm{tr}((x_\lambda - x)^*(x_\lambda - x)) \rightarrow 0$ with $(x_\lambda - x)^*(x_\lambda - x) \geq 0$ for all λ . Since the

x_λ are uniformly bounded, we have that $(x_\lambda - x)^*(x_\lambda - x)$ is a bounded net of positive operators in M . Because the unit ball of M is σ -WOT compact, we know that there exists a subnet of the $(x_\lambda - x)^*(x_\lambda - x)$ which converges σ -WOT, say to x . Since tr is normal and $\text{tr}((x_\lambda - x)^*(x_\lambda - x)) \rightarrow 0$, this implies that $\text{tr}(x) = 0$. Since we are working on a bounded set, x is the WOT limit of positive operators, and therefore positive. Since $\text{tr}(x) = 0$ and tr is faithful, we must have $x = 0$. We may apply the same argument to any subnet of the x_λ , so we have shown that $(x_\lambda - x)^*(x_\lambda - x)$ is a bounded, positive net in M , such that every subnet has a further subnet which converges to 0 σ -WOT; by general topology, this implies that $(x_\lambda - x)^*(x_\lambda - x) \rightarrow 0$ σ -WOT, and by boundedness, WOT. Thus, for all $\xi \in H$, we have

$$\langle (x_\lambda - x)\xi, (x_\lambda - x)\xi \rangle = \langle (x_\lambda - x)^*(x_\lambda - x)\xi, \xi \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

and so $x_\lambda - x \rightarrow 0$ SOT, as desired.

Putting these together, we have shown that for bounded nets x_λ in M , $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$ SOT if and only if $\|x_\lambda\Omega - x\Omega\| \rightarrow 0$.

- (2) Fix $x \in M$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. By assumption, we have $(\bigcup M_n)'' = M$, and note that an increasing union of unital $*$ -subalgebras is still a unital $*$ -subalgebra. By the bicommutant theorem, we know that $(\bigcup M_n)''$ is the SOT closure of $\bigcup M_n$. Therefore, $\bigcup M_n$ is a $*$ -subalgebra which is SOT dense in the von Neumann algebra M . By the Kaplansky density theorem, there exists a bounded net (x_λ) in $\bigcup M_n$ such that $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$ SOT. By part (1), this implies that $\|x_\lambda\Omega - x\Omega\| \rightarrow 0$, so there exists some λ such that $\|x_\lambda\Omega - x\Omega\| < \epsilon$. We know that $x_\lambda \in M_n$ for some n , and so $x_\lambda\Omega \in L^2(M_n) \subseteq L^2(M)$ (in the sense of problems 100 and 101). By problems 100 and 101, we know that $E_n(x)\Omega = e_n(x\Omega)$, where e_n is the projection in $B(L^2(M))$ onto the closed subspace $L^2(M_n) \subseteq L^2(M)$. By definition of projections, we know that

$$\|E_n(x)\Omega - x\Omega\| = \inf_{y \in L^2(M_n)} \|y - x\Omega\| \leq \|x_\lambda\Omega - x\Omega\| < \epsilon.$$

So, we have that $\|E_n(x)\Omega - x\Omega\| < \epsilon$. Observe that for all $m \geq n$, $E_m(x)\Omega = e_m(x\Omega)$ is the projection onto the subspace $L^2(M_m)$ with $L^2(M_n) \subseteq L^2(M_m) \subseteq L^2(M)$. So, for all $m \geq n$, we have $e_m \geq e_n$ as projections, and thus $\|E_m(x)\Omega - x\Omega\| \leq \|E_n(x)\Omega - x\Omega\|$ whenever $m \geq n$. Therefore, we have shown that $\|E_m(x)\Omega - x\Omega\| < \epsilon$ for all $m \geq n$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $\|E_n(x)\Omega - x\Omega\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- (3) By part (2), $\|E_n(x)\Omega - x\Omega\| \rightarrow 0$. Also, observe that $E_n(x)$ is a bounded sequence in M , since each E_n is $\|\cdot\|_2$ -decreasing. Therefore, by part (1), this implies that $E_n(x) \rightarrow x$ SOT as $n \rightarrow \infty$.