## Homework 14

114. Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a countable group and  $(H, \pi)$  is a unitary representation on a separable Hilbert space. Find a unitary  $u \in B(\ell^2\Gamma \overline{\otimes} H)$  intertwining  $\lambda \otimes \pi$  and  $\lambda \otimes 1$ , i.e.  $u(\lambda_g \otimes \pi_g) = (\lambda_g \otimes 1)u$  for all  $g \in \Gamma$ .

*Proof.* Define  $u \in B(\ell^2\Gamma \overline{\otimes} H)$  by  $u(\delta_g \otimes \xi) := \delta_g \otimes \pi_{g^{-1}} \xi$  for  $g \in \Gamma$  and  $\xi \in H$ . This defines a unitary operator, since  $\pi$  is a unitary representation. We will check that u intertwines  $\lambda \otimes \pi$  and  $\lambda \otimes 1$ . For  $h \in \Gamma$  and  $\xi \in H$ , we have

$$u(\lambda_g \otimes \pi_g)(\delta_h \otimes \xi) = u(\delta_{gh} \otimes \pi_g \xi)$$

$$= \delta_{gh} \otimes \pi_{h^{-1}} \xi$$

$$= (\lambda_g \otimes 1)(\delta_h \otimes \pi_{h^{-1}} \xi)$$

$$= (\lambda_g \otimes 1)u(\delta_h \otimes \xi).$$

- 115. (2) In the notes, the measure on  $\mathcal{R}$  induced by  $\mu$  is defined to be  $\nu = \theta_*(\mu \times \gamma)$ . Therefore,  $\theta$  is certainly a bijective isomorphism of measure spaces  $X \times \gamma \to \mathcal{R}$ .
  - (1) For  $\eta \in L^2\mathcal{R}$  and  $(x,g) \in X \times \Gamma$ , define  $v\eta(x,g) = \eta \circ \theta$ . Then for all  $\eta, \xi \in L^2\mathcal{R}$ , we have  $\int (v\eta)(v\xi)d(\mu \times \gamma) = \int \eta \circ \theta \xi \circ \theta d(\mu \times \gamma) = \int \eta \xi d\nu$ , so v is an isometry. Since  $\theta$  is a bijection,  $v^*\eta = \eta \circ \theta^{-1}$ , and so  $vv^* = 1_{L^2(X \times \Gamma)}$  and  $v^*v = 1_{L^2\mathcal{R}}$ .
  - (3) Let  $\eta \in L^2 \mathcal{R}$  and  $(x, g) \in X \times \Gamma$ . We compute:

$$M_f v \eta(x, g) = f(x) v \eta(x, g)$$

$$= f(x) \eta(x, g^{-1}x)$$

$$= \lambda(f) \eta(x, g^{-1}x)$$

$$= v \lambda(f) \eta(x, g)$$

(4) Again, we have

$$u_{g}v\eta(x,h) = v\eta(g^{-1}x, g^{-1}h)$$

$$= \eta(g^{-1}x, h^{-1}x)$$

$$= \chi_{gX}(x)\eta(\varphi_{g}^{-1}(x), h^{-1}x)$$

$$= L_{\varphi_{g}}\eta(x, h^{-1}x)$$

$$= uL_{\varphi_{g}}\eta(x, h)$$

- (5) Because  $L^{\infty}(X,\mu) \unlhd \Gamma = (\{u_g\} \bigcup \{M_f\})''$  and v is unitary, we have  $v^*(L^{\infty}(X,\mu) \unlhd \Gamma)v \subseteq L\mathcal{R}''$ . Since  $L\mathcal{R}$  is a von Neumann algebra, it is already its own bicommutant, giving the desired containment.
- (6) The point of problems 92 and 93 was to show that the commutant of  $L^{\infty}(X,\mu) \leq \Gamma$  is itself a kind of right semidirect product, generated by the right multipliers  $(W_f)_{f \in L^{\infty}}$  and  $(n_g)_{g \in \Gamma}$ , with  $W_f v \eta(x,g) = f(g^{-1}x) \eta(x,g)$  and  $n_g v \eta(x,h) = v \eta(x,hg)$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that for all  $f \in L^{\infty}$  and  $g \in \Gamma$ , we have  $v^*W_f v, v^*n_g v \in R\mathcal{R}$ . The proof is analogous to the previous two parts. Observe:

$$W_f v \eta(x, g) = f(g^{-1}x) v \eta(x, g)$$

$$= f(g^{-1}(x)) \eta(x, g^{-1}(x))$$

$$= \rho(f) \eta(x, g^{-1}x)$$

$$= v \rho(f) \eta(x, g)$$

and

$$n_g v \eta(x, h) = v \eta(x, hg)$$

$$= \eta(x, g^{-1}h^{-1}x)$$

$$= \eta(x, \varphi_g^{-1}(h^{-1}(x)))$$

$$= R_{\varphi_g} \eta(x, h^{-1}x)$$

$$= v R_{\varphi_g}(x, h)$$

- (7) Analagous to (5), we obtain  $(v^*(L^{\infty}(X,\mu) \leq \Gamma)v)' = v^*(L^{\infty}(X,\mu) \leq \Gamma)'v \subseteq R\mathcal{R} \subseteq L\mathcal{R}'$ , so  $v^*(L^{\infty}(X,\mu) \leq \Gamma)v \supseteq L\mathcal{R}$ , completing the proof.
- 116. For each  $f \in L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \subseteq L\mathcal{R}$ , we have

$$(f \cdot \xi)(x, y) = f(x) \xi(x, y)$$

and for  $g \in JAJ \subseteq L\mathcal{R}' = R\mathcal{R}$  we have

$$(g \cdot \xi)(x, y) = g(y) \xi(x, y).$$

Then we have  $A \subseteq L^{\infty} \mathcal{R}$  and  $JAJ \subseteq L^{\infty} \mathcal{R}$ , by viewing  $f \in A$  as  $f \otimes 1 \in L^{\infty} \mathcal{R}$ , and viewing  $g \in JAJ$  as  $1 \otimes g \in L^{\infty} \mathcal{R}$ .

Thus  $A \cup JAJ \subseteq L^{\infty}(\mathcal{R})$ , and now we show the other inclusion. Since both are SOT-closed, it suffices to show that  $A \cup JAJ$  is SOT-dense in  $L^{\infty}\mathcal{R}$ .

To do this we just need to show that the linear span of functions of the form  $(f \otimes 1)$   $(1 \otimes g)$  for  $f, g \in L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  are SOT-dense in  $L^{\infty}\mathcal{R}$ .

Linear combinations of indicators are norm-dense in  $L^{\infty}$ , so it suffices to show that the linear span of indicators of rectangles is SOT-dense in indicators of  $\mathcal{R}$ -measurable sets. In the notation of the last problem, we have  $v^*L^{\infty}(\mathcal{R},\nu)v=L^{\infty}(X\times\Gamma,\mu\times\gamma)$ . There, up to null sets, all measurable sets are the countable union of measurable rectangles, since  $\Gamma$  is countable. In particular, if  $S\subseteq \mathcal{R}$  and  $T_n$  is a sequence of indicator functions converging pointwise to  $\chi_{\theta^{-1}(S)}$  from below, then for every  $f\in L^2(\mu\times\gamma)$ , we have  $|f|^2d\nu$  a finite measure absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$ , so  $||T_nf||_2^2\to||\chi_{\theta^{-1}(S)}f||_2^2$ . Finally, if  $R\subseteq X\times\Gamma$  is a rectangle, then so is  $\theta(R)$ , so the linear span of indicators of rectangles is also SOT-dense in  $L^{\infty}\mathcal{R}$ , as desired.