[78] Qiang Zeng, Jianhai Su, Chenglong Fu, Golam Kayas,

A.2 Training and Testing Results

Lannan Luo, Xiaojiang Du, Chiu C Tan, and Jie Wu.

A multiversion programming inspired approach to de-

On Testbed 2, we extract 32 e2e correlation from smart apps

tecting audio adversarial examples. In 49th Annual

and pass 98 correlations from 2064 hypothetical correla-

IEEE/IFIP International Conference on Dependable Sys-

tions. In total, we get 109 correlations after refining. The

tems and Networks (DSN), pages 39-51, 2019.

difference of correlations regarding contact sensors, as ob-

served on Testbed 1, is also observed on Testbed 2: C1 on

[79] Wei Zhang, Yan Meng, Yugeng Liu, Xiaokuan Zhang,

the front door always gets closed right after the accelera-

Yinqian Zhang, and Haojin Zhu. Homonit: Monitor-

tion is detected, while C2 and C3 are usually left open for

ing smart home apps from encrypted traffic. In ACM

a long time. The inaccurate correlation (Eaway

presence(PS2)

SIGSAC Conference on Computer & Communications Se-

Eswitch(L1) is accepted by the hypothesis testing. If not re-

curity (CCS), pages 1074-1088, 2018.

fined by the smart app rule R2.8, it causes 4 false alarms

[80] Wei Zhou, Yan Jia, Yao Yao, Lipeng Zhu, Le Guan,

for HAWatcher (Mining Only) on case 2.3 and 2.6 when

Yuhang Mao, Peng Liu, and Yuqing Zhang. Discovering

only the resident taking PS2 leaves home. As detailed in and understanding the security hazards in the interour technical report [44], HAWatcher achieves an averactions between iot devices, mobile apps, and clouds age detection precision of 94.85% and recall of 96.86%. In on smart home platforms. In 28th USENIX Security terms of the false alarm test, HAWatcher raises 13 false Symposium (USENIX Security), pages 1133-1150, 2019. alarms among 6721 events collected within one week's testing period, which causes a false alarm rate (FAR) of 0.19% Α Experimental Results of Testbeds 2 to 4 and 1.86 false alarms per day. Among the 13 false alarms, acceleration(C1) four (4) are raised by the correlations active Table 9: Smart apps deployed on Tesbeds 2 ~ 4. R2.1, for .motion(MS1) and acceleration(C2) ,motion(MS2) because example, means the first smart app rule on Testbed 2. active

of strong vibrations in the neighborhood that trigger events

active

active

```
Index
Smart app rules
of the acceleration sensor C1 and C2. Three (3) are raised
R2.1
If MS2(active), then P1(on) and L1(on)
R2.2
If MS2(inactive) for 30 minutes,
by (Low illuminance (L4) motion(MS3) inactive because there are three
then P1(off), L1(off), L2(off), L3(off)
times that a resident remains active in the study room after
R2.3
If MS3(active), then L4(on)
contact(C3)
R2.4
If MS3(inactive) for 10 minutes, then L4(off)
the light is turned off. Four (4) are caused by closed
R2.5
If W(wet) or MS3(humidity>55) then V(on)
motion(MS3)
because residents close the door from outside.
R2.6
If V(on) for 15 minutes, then V(off)
active
R2.7
If PS1(present) or PS2(present),
In contrast, the OCSVM-based detector has an average pre-
```

then turn on L1, L2, L5, P1

cision of 11.11% and recall of 35.41% with 968 false alarms

R2.8

If PS1(away) and S2(away),

then turn off L1, L2, L3, L4, L5, V, P1

raised. The ARM-based detector has an average precision of

R2.9

If B(pressed), toggle L5

3.76% and a recall of 9.96%, and raises 370 false alarms.

R2.10

If B(held), then turn off all L and P

R2.11

If B(double pressed), turn on L1 and L5 and P1

On Testbed 3, HAWatcher accepts 50 correlations from 527

R3.1

If MS1 (active) and Mode(home), then L1(on)

hypotheses, and 15 e2e correlations from smart apps. After re-

R3.2

If MS1 (inactive) for 60 minutes, then ((off)

fining, there are 55 correlations left. HAWatcher achieves an

R3.3

If B(pressed), toggle L1

R3.4

If B(held), then L1(off) and Mode(night)

average detection precision of 92.74% and a recall of 93.36%.

R3.5

If B(double pressed), then L1(on) Mode(home)

Among the testing period, ten (10) false alarms are raised

R3.6

If PS(away), then L1(off), P1(off), and Mode(away)

by HAWatcher among 2411 events, which leads to 1.42 false

R3.7

If PS(present), then L1(on), P1(on), and Mode(home)

alarms per day on average and a FAR of 0.42%. In contrast, the

R4.1

If PS(away), then P1(off) and P2(off)

R4.2

If PS(present) then P1(on), P2(on)

OCSVM-based detector has an average precision of 31.01%

R4.3

If B(pressed), toggle P1

and a recall of 42.33%, and raises 379 false alarms. The ARM-

R4.4

If B(held), toggle P2

based detector has an average precision of 9.89% and an average recall of 14.10%, and raises 152 false alarms.

A.1 Deployment

On Testbed 4, only 26 correlations are acquired because of the low density of IoT devices and smart apps. HAWatcher We list the smart apps deployed on Testbeds 2, 3, and 4 in gets an average detection precision of 96.62% and a recall of Table 9. On Testbed 3, the mode is used as a condition to 90.17%. Five (5) false alarms are raised on this testbed among control the behavior of the light, while Testbeds 2 and 4 do 1674 events, that is, 0.71 false alarms per day and a FAR of not use the mode. Since Testbed 2 has two residents, lights 0.30%. In contrast, the OCSVM-based detector has an average and plugs are only turned off when both residents are away precision of 28.80% and a recall of 42.37%, and raises 168 false (R2.8). Testbeds 3 and 4 have one resident each, and all lights alarms. The ARM-based detector has an average precision and plugs are turned off when the resident leaves home. of 3.60% and a recall of 7.38%, and raises 108 false alarms. 4240 30th USENIX Security Symposium USENIX Association