

# Sorting Algorithms - An Overview

---

## Data Structures and Algorithms

Huynh Minh Tuan - 20120024@student.hcmus.edu.vn

November 2021

### Abstract

Sorting is nothing but alphabetizing, categorizing, arranging, or putting items in an ordered sequence. It is a key fundamental operation in the field of computer science. It is of extreme importance because it adds usefulness to data. In this report, I have compared eleven common sorting algorithms (Selection Sort, Insertion Sort, Bubble Sort, Shaker Sort, Shell Sort, Heap Sort, Merge Sort, Quick Sort, Counting Sort, Radix Sort, and Flash Sort). I have developed a program in C++, Python and experimented with several input sizes 10,000, 30,000, 50,000, 100,000, 300,000, and 500,000 elements. The performance and efficiency of these algorithms in terms of CPU time consumption as well as the number of comparisons that have been recorded and presented in tabular and graphical form.

## 1. Introduction

Sorting is not a leap but it has emerged in parallel with the development of the human mind. In computer science, alphabetizing, arranging, categorizing, or putting data items in an ordered sequence on the basis of similar properties is called sorting. Sorting is of key importance because it optimizes the usefulness of data. We can observe plenty of sorting examples in our daily life, e.g. we can easily find required items in a shopping mall or utility store because the items are kept categorically.

The items to be sorted may be in various forms i.e. random as a whole, already sorted, very small or extremely large in number, sorted in reverse order etc. There is no algorithm that is best for sorting all types of data. We must be familiar with sorting algorithms in terms of their suitability in a particular situation.

In this paper, I am going to compare eleven common sorting algorithms (Selection Sort, Insertion Sort, Bubble Sort, Shaker Sort, Shell Sort, Heap Sort, Merge Sort, Quick Sort, Counting Sort, Radix Sort, and Flash Sort) for their CPU time consumption and number of compared operations on four different data arrangements (Sorted data (in ascending order), Nearly sorted data, Reverse sorted data, and Randomized data).

## 2. Algorithm presentation

### 2.1. Selection Sort

#### Idea

The Selection Sort is based on the idea of finding the minimum element in an unsorted array and then putting it in its correct position in a sorted array.

#### Pseudo code

---

**Algorithm 2.1:** Selection Sort

---

**Input:**  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$   
**Output:**  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$  (in sorted)

```
1 for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $N$  do
2    $minIndex \leftarrow i$ 
3   for  $j \leftarrow i + 1$  to  $N$  do
4     if  $a_{minIndex} > a_j$  then
5        $minIndex \leftarrow j$ 
6     end
7   end
8   swap( $a_{minIndex}, a_i$ )
9 end
```

---

#### Complexity

Best case time complexity:  $O(N^2)$

Worst case time complexity:  $O(N^2)$

Worst case space complexity:  $O(1)$

### 2.2. Insertion Sort

#### Idea

The main idea of insertion sort is that array is divided in two parts which left part is already sorted, and right part is unsorted. Values from the unsorted part are picked and placed at the correct position in the sorted part. So, at every iteration sorted part grows by one element which is called key. During an iteration, if compared element is greater than key then compared element has to shift to right to open a position for key.

#### Pseudo code

---

**Algorithm 2.2:** Insertion Sort

---

**Input:**  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$ **Output:**  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$  (in sorted)

```

1 for  $i \leftarrow 2$  to  $N$  do
2    $k \leftarrow i - 1$ 
3    $key \leftarrow a_i$ 
4   while  $a_k > key$  and  $k \geq 0$  do
5      $a_{k+1} \leftarrow a_k$ 
6      $k \leftarrow k - 1$ 
7   end
8    $a_{k+1} \leftarrow key$ 
9 end

```

---

**Complexity**Best case time complexity:  $O(N)$ Average case time complexity:  $O(N^2)$ Worst case time complexity:  $O(N^2)$ Worst case space complexity:  $O(1)$ **2.3. Bubble Sort****Idea**

Bubble sort is based on the idea of repeatedly comparing pairs of adjacent elements and then swapping their positions if they exist in the wrong order.

**Pseudo code**

---

**Algorithm 2.3:** Bubble Sort

---

**Input:**  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$ **Output:**  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$  (in sorted)

```

1 for  $i \leftarrow N$  to 1 do
2    $isSwap \leftarrow False$ 
3   for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $i - 1$  do
4     if  $a_j > a_{j+1}$  then
5        $isSwap \leftarrow True$ 
6       swap( $a_j, a_{j+1}$ )
7     end
8   end
9   if  $isSwap = False$  then
10    stop algorithm
11  end
12 end

```

---

In this paper, I implemented bubble sort with a flag *isSwap* to stop the algorithm early when the array is sorted.

**Complexity**Best case time complexity:  $O(N)$ Average case time complexity:  $O(N^2)$

Worst case time complexity:  $O(N^2)$

Worst case space complexity:  $O(1)$

## 2.4. Shaker Sort

### Idea

Shaker sort is a bidirectional version of bubble sort. The Bubble sort algorithm always traverses elements from left and moves the largest element to its correct position in first iteration and second largest in second iteration and so on. Shaker sort orders the array in both directions. Hence every iteration of the algorithm consists of two phases. In the first one, the lightest bubble ascends to the end of the array, in the second phase the heaviest bubble descends to the beginning of the array.

### Pseudo code

---

#### Algorithm 2.4: Shaker Sort

---

```

Input:  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$ 
Output:  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$  (in sorted)
1  $left \leftarrow 0$ 
2  $right \leftarrow N - 1$ 
3  $k \leftarrow 0$ 
4 for  $i \leftarrow left$  to  $right$  do
    // phase 1
5    $isSwap \leftarrow False$ 
6   for  $j \leftarrow left$  to  $right - 1$  do
7     if  $a_j > a_{j+1}$  then
8        $isSwap \leftarrow True$ 
9        $swap(a_j, a_{j+1})$ 
10       $k \leftarrow j$ 
11   end
12 end
13 if  $isSwap = False$  then
14   | stop algorithm
15 end
16  $right \leftarrow k$ 
    // phase 2
17  $isSwap \leftarrow False$ 
18 for  $j \leftarrow right$  to  $left + 1$  do
19   if  $a_j < a_{j-1}$  then
20      $isSwap \leftarrow True$ 
21      $swap(a_j, a_{j-1})$ 
22      $k \leftarrow j$ 
23   end
24 end
25 if  $isSwap = False$  then
26   | stop algorithm
27 end
28  $left \leftarrow k$ 
29 end

```

---

## Complexity

Best case time complexity:  $O(N)$

Average case time complexity:  $O(N^2)$

Worst case time complexity:  $O(N^2)$

Worst case space complexity:  $O(1)$

## 2.5. Shell Sort

### Idea

Shell sort is a generalized version of the insertion sort algorithm. It first sorts elements that are far apart from each other and successively reduces the interval between the elements to be sorted.

The interval between the elements is reduced based on the sequence used. Some of the optimal sequences that can be used in the shell sort algorithm are:

- Shell's original sequence
- Knuth's increments
- Sedgewick's increments
- Hibbard's increments
- ...

In this paper, I only implemented the algorithm with optimal sequence based on Shell's original sequence.

### Pseudo code

---

#### Algorithm 2.5: Shell Sort

---

**Input:**  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$

**Output:**  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$  (in sorted)

```

1  $interval \leftarrow \frac{N}{2}$ 
2 while  $interval > 0$  do
3   for  $i \leftarrow interval$  to  $N$  do
4      $temp \leftarrow a_i$ 
5      $j \leftarrow i$ 
6     while  $interval \leq j$  and  $a_{j-interval} > temp$  do
7        $a_j \leftarrow a_{j-interval}$ 
8        $j \leftarrow j - interval$ 
9     end
10  end
11   $a_j \leftarrow temp$ 
12   $interval \leftarrow \frac{interval}{2}$ 
13 end
```

---

## Complexity

Best case time complexity:  $O(N)$

Average case time complexity:  $O(N \log N)$

Worst case time complexity:  $O(N^2)$

Worst case space complexity:  $O(1)$

## 2.6. Heap Sort

### Idea

Heap sort is a comparison-based sorting algorithm. Heap sort can be thought of as an improved selection sort: like selection sort, heap sort divides its input into a sorted and an unsorted region, and it iteratively shrinks the unsorted region by extracting the largest element from it and inserting it into the sorted region.

Unlike selection sort, heapsort does not waste time with a linear-time scan of the unsorted region; rather, heap sort maintains the unsorted region in a **heap data structure** to more quickly find the largest element in each step.

### Pseudo code

---

#### Algorithm 2.6: Heap Sort

---

**Input:**  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$   
**Output:**  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$  (in sorted)

```

1 Function HeapRebuild( $a, pos, N$ ):
2   while  $2 \cdot pos + 1 \leq N$  do
3      $j = 2 \cdot pos + 1$ 
4     if  $j < N$  then
5       if  $a_j < a_{j+1}$  then
6          $j \leftarrow j + 1$ 
7       end
8     end
9     if  $a_{pos} \geq a_j$  then
10      return
11    end
12    swap( $a_{pos}, a_j$ )
13     $pos \leftarrow j$ 
14  end
15 Function HeapConstruct( $a, N$ ):
16  for  $i \leftarrow N/2$  to 0 do
17    HeapRebuild( $a, i, n$ )
18  end
19 Function HeapSort( $a, N$ ):
20  HeapConstruct( $a, N$ )
21   $r \leftarrow N$ 
22  while  $r > 0$  do
23    swap( $a_1, a_N$ )
24    HeapRebuild( $a, 1, r$ )
25     $r \leftarrow r - 1$ 
26  end

```

---

### Complexity

Best case time complexity:  $O(N \log N)$

Average case time complexity:  $O(N \log N)$

Worst case time complexity:  $O(N \log N)$

Worst case space complexity:  $O(1)$

## 2.7. Merge Sort

### Idea

Merge sort is a recursive sorting algorithm based on a "divide and conquer" approach. It divides the input array into two halves, calls itself for the two halves, and then merges the two sorted halves.

### Pseudo code

---

**Algorithm 2.7:** Merge Sort
 

---

**Input:**  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$   
**Output:**  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$  (in sorted)

```

1 Function Merge( $a, first, mid, last$ ):
2    $n_1 \leftarrow mid - first + 1$ 
3    $n_2 \leftarrow last - mid$ 
4    $L \leftarrow a_{first}, a_{first+1}, \dots, a_{mid}$ 
5    $R \leftarrow a_{mid+1}, a_{mid+2}, \dots, a_{last}$ 
   // merge
6    $i \leftarrow 0$ 
7    $j \leftarrow 0$ 
8    $k \leftarrow first$ 
9   while  $i < n_1$  and  $j < n_2$  do
10    if  $L_i < R_j$  then
11       $a_k \leftarrow L_i$ 
12       $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
13    else
14       $a_k \leftarrow R_j$ 
15       $j \leftarrow j + 1$ 
16    end
17     $k \leftarrow k + 1$ 
18  end
19  while  $j < n_2$  do
20     $a_k \leftarrow R_j$ 
21     $k \leftarrow k + 1$ 
22     $j \leftarrow j + 1$ 
23  end
24  while  $i < n_1$  do
25     $a_k \leftarrow L_i$ 
26     $k \leftarrow k + 1$ 
27     $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
28  end
29 Function MergeSort( $a, first, last$ ):
30   if  $first < last$  then
31      $mid \leftarrow first + (last - first)/2$ 
32     MergeSort( $a, first, mid$ )
33     MergeSort( $a, mid + 1, last$ )
34     Merge( $a, first, mid, last$ )
35   end
  
```

---

## Complexity

Best case time complexity:  $O(N \log N)$

Average case time complexity:  $O(N \log N)$

Worst case time complexity:  $O(N \log N)$

Worst case space complexity:  $O(N)$

## 2.8. Quick Sort

### Idea

Like Merge Sort, Quick Sort is a Divide and Conquer algorithm. It picks an element as a pivot and partitions the given array around the picked pivot. There are many different versions of quickSort that pick pivot in different ways.

- Pick first element as pivot.
- Pick last element as pivot
- Pick a random element as pivot.
- Pick median as pivot.

In this paper, I implemented the algorithm with pivot is a median of array.

### Pseudo code

---

#### Algorithm 2.8: Quick Sort

---

```

Input:  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$ 
Output:  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$  (in sorted)
1 Function Partition( $a, l, r$ ):
2    $pivot \leftarrow a_{(l+r)/2}$ 
3   while  $l \leq r$  do
4     while  $a_l < pivot$  do
5        $l \leftarrow l + 1$ 
6     end
7     while  $a_r > pivot$  do
8        $r \leftarrow r - 1$ 
9     end
10    if  $l \leq r$  then
11      swap( $a_l, a_r$ )
12       $l \leftarrow l + 1$ 
13       $r \leftarrow r - 1$ 
14    end
15  end
16  return  $l$ 
17 Function QuickSort( $a, l, r$ ):
18  if  $l < r$  then
19     $mid \leftarrow$  Partition( $a, l, r$ )
20    QuickSort( $a, l, mid - 1$ )
21    QuickSort( $a, mid, r$ )
22  end

```

---



**Complexity**

Best case time complexity:  $O(N)$

Average case time complexity:  $O(N \log N)$

Worst case time complexity:  $O(N^2)$

Worst case space complexity:  $O(1)$

**2.9. Counting Sort****2.10. Radix Sort****2.11. Flash Sort**