

## Lecture 10: Independence

Anup Rao

April 22, 2019

We discuss independent events and random variables.

Recall these basic facts:

**Fact 1** (Chain Rule). *If  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are events, then*

$$\begin{aligned} p(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n) \\ = p(A_1) \cdot p(A_2|A_1) \cdot p(A_3|A_1 \cap A_2) \cdot \dots \cdot p(A_n|A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

In the past few lectures, we have been using the Chain rule over and over to compute various probabilities. The chain rule is even easier to use with *independent* events. Two events  $A, B$  are said to be independent if  $p(A|B) = p(A)$ . This can only happen when  $p(B|A) = p(B)$ , since by Bayes' rule, we have

$$p(B|A) = \frac{p(A|B) \cdot p(B)}{p(A)} = p(B).$$

*Example:  $n$  coin tosses*

Suppose you toss  $n$  coins independently. What is the probability that they all come up heads? On the one hand, we can calculate this directly as  $1/2^n$ , since there is only 1 outcome that is all heads, and  $2^n$  possible outcomes in total. But we can also calculate this by writing  $H_i$  to be the event that the  $i$ 'th coin is heads. Then the coin tosses are all mutually independent. Then the probability that they all come up heads is

$$\begin{aligned} p(H_1 \wedge H_2 \wedge \dots \wedge H_n) &= p(H_1) \cdot p(H_2|H_1) \cdot \dots \cdot p(H_n|H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}) \\ &= p(H_1) \cdot p(H_2) \cdot \dots \cdot p(H_n) \\ &= (1/2)^n. \end{aligned}$$

### Limited Independence

Just because every pair of events in a collection of events is independent, that does not mean that all the events are mutually independent. Consider this example—pick a random string  $x \in \{0,1\}^3$  subject to the constraint that the string has an even number of 1's. Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  denote the events that the first, second and third coordinate are 1's. Then  $p(A_1|A_2) = p(A_1) = 1/2$ , and the same is

true for every pair of random variables. However, if we calculate the probability of getting the string 111, this is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= p(A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge A_3) \\ &= p(A_1) \cdot p(A_2|A_1) \cdot p(A_3|A_1, A_2) \\ &\neq p(A_1) \cdot p(A_2) \cdot p(A_3) \\ &= 1/8. \end{aligned}$$

Even though every pair is independent,  $p(A_3|A_1, A_2)$  is actually 0 and not  $1/2$ .

It is easy to generalize the same example—if you pick a uniformly random string from  $\{0, 1\}^n$  subject to the constraint that the number of 1's is even, then every  $n - 1$  of the bits will be independent and uniformly distributed. These kinds of examples mean that it is very hard to use data to test that a collection of variables is independent without sampling the entire probability space. In class, we discussed how this causes problems with evaluating DNA evidence in forensics.