



# Simple Variable Selection LASSO: Sparse Regression

Machine Learning – CSE546  
Kevin Jamieson  
University of Washington

April 24, 2019

# Sparsity

$$\hat{w}_{LS} = \arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^T w)^2$$

- Vector  $w$  is sparse, if many entries are zero

- **Interpretability:** What are the relevant dimension to make a prediction?




Lot size	Dishwasher
Single Family	Garbage disposal
Year built	Microwave
Last sold price	Range / Oven
Last sale price/sqft	Refrigerator
Finished sqft	Washer
Unfinished sqft	Dryer
Finished basement sqft	Laundry location
# floors	Heating type
Flooring types	Jetted Tub
Parking type	Deck
Parking amount	Fenced Yard
Cooling	Lawn
Heating	Garden
Exterior materials	Sprinkler System
Roof type	
Structure style	

- How do we find “best” subset among all possible?

# Ridge vs. Lasso Regression


- Ridge Regression objective:

$$\hat{w}_{ridge} = \arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^T w)^2 + \lambda ||w||_2^2$$



- Lasso objective:

$$\hat{w}_{lasso} = \arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^T w)^2 + \lambda ||w||_1$$




# Penalized Least Squares

$$\text{Ridge : } r(w) = \|w\|_2^2 \quad \text{Lasso : } r(w) = \|w\|_1$$

$$\hat{w}_r = \arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^T w)^2 + \lambda r(w)$$


For any  $\lambda \geq 0$  for which  $\hat{w}_r$  achieves the minimum, there exists a  $\nu \geq 0$  such that

$$\hat{w}_r = \arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^T w)^2 \quad \text{subject to } r(w) \leq \nu$$



# Coordinate Descent

- Given a function, we want to find minimum
- Often, it is easy to find minimum along a single coordinate:
- How do we pick next coordinate?
- Super useful approach for *\*many\** problems
  - Converges to optimum in some cases, such as LASSO



# Optimizing LASSO Objective One Coordinate at a Time

Fix any  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^T w)^2 + \lambda \|w\|_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( y_i - \sum_{k=1}^d x_{i,k} w_k \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^d |w_k| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \left( y_i - \sum_{k \neq j} x_{i,k} w_k \right) - x_{i,j} w_j \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{k \neq j} |w_k| + \lambda |w_j| \end{aligned}$$

Initialize  $\hat{w}_k = 0$  for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$


Loop over  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ :

$$r_i^{(j)} = y_i - \sum_{k \neq j} x_{i,j} \hat{w}_k$$

$$\hat{w}_j = \arg \min_{w_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( r_i^{(j)} - x_{i,j} w_j \right)^2 + \lambda |w_j|$$

# Convex Functions

- Equivalent definitions of convexity:



$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \quad \forall x, y, \lambda \in [0, 1]$$
$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T(y - x) \quad \forall x, y$$

- Gradients** lower bound convex functions and are unique at  $\mathbf{x}$  iff function differentiable at  $\mathbf{x}$
- Subgradients** generalize gradients to non-differentiable points:
  - Any supporting hyperplane at  $\mathbf{x}$  that lower bounds entire function

$g$  is a subgradient at  $x$  if  $f(y) \geq f(x) + \hat{g}^T(y - x)$


# Taking the Subgradient

$$\widehat{w}_j = \arg \min_{w_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( r_i^{(j)} - x_{i,j} w_j \right)^2 + \lambda |w_j|$$

$g$  is a subgradient at  $x$  if  $f(y) \geq f(x) + g^T(y - x)$

- Convex function is minimized at  $w$  if 0 is a sub-gradient at  $w$ .

$$\partial_{w_j} |w_j| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w_j > 0 \\ [-1, 1] & \text{if } w_j = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } w_j < 0 \end{cases}$$



$$\partial_{w_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( r_i^{(j)} - x_{i,j} w_j \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (-2x_{i,j}) \left( r_i^{(j)} - x_{i,j} w_j \right)$$

$$= -2 \underbrace{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j} r_i^{(j)} \right)}_{=:c_j} + 2 \underbrace{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j}^2 \right)}_{=:a_j} w_j$$

# Setting Subgradient to 0

$$\partial_{w_j} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( r_i^{(j)} - x_{i,j} w_j \right)^2 + \lambda |w_j| \right) = \begin{cases} a_j w_j - c_j - \lambda & \text{if } w_j < 0 \\ [-c_j - \lambda, -c_j + \lambda] & \text{if } w_j = 0 \\ a_j w_j - c_j + \lambda & \text{if } w_j > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$a_j = 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j}^2 \right) \quad c_j = 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n r_i^{(j)} x_{i,j} \right)$$

$$\widehat{w}_j = \arg \min_{w_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( r_i^{(j)} - x_{i,j} w_j \right)^2 + \lambda |w_j|$$

w is a minimum if  
0 is a sub-gradient at w


$$\widehat{w}_j = \begin{cases} (c_j + \lambda)/a_j & \text{if } c_j < -\lambda \\ 0 & \text{if } |c_j| \leq \lambda \\ (c_j - \lambda)/a_j & \text{if } c_j > \lambda \end{cases}$$

# Soft Thresholding

$$\hat{w}_j = \begin{cases} (c_j + \lambda)/a_j & \text{if } c_j < -\lambda \\ 0 & \text{if } |c_j| \leq \lambda \\ (c_j - \lambda)/a_j & \text{if } c_j > \lambda \end{cases}$$

$$a_j = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j}^2$$

$$c_j = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left( y_i - \sum_{k \neq j} x_{i,k} w_k \right) x_{i,j}$$



# Coordinate Descent for LASSO (aka Shooting Algorithm)


- Repeat until convergence
  - Pick a coordinate  $j$  at (random or sequentially)

- Set:  
$$\hat{w}_j = \begin{cases} (c_j + \lambda)/a_j & \text{if } c_j < -\lambda \\ 0 & \text{if } |c_j| \leq \lambda \\ (c_j - \lambda)/a_j & \text{if } c_j > \lambda \end{cases}$$
- Where:

$$a_j = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j}^2 \quad c_j = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left( y_i - \sum_{k \neq j} x_{i,k} w_k \right) x_{i,j}$$

- For convergence rates, see Shalev-Shwartz and Tewari 2009
- Other common technique = LARS
  - Least angle regression and shrinkage, Efron et al. 2004


# Recall: *Ridge* Coefficient Path



From  
Kevin Murphy  
textbook

- Typical approach: select  $\lambda$  using cross validation

# Now: *LASSO* Coefficient Path




From  
Kevin Murphy  
textbook

# What you need to know

- Variable Selection: find a sparse solution to learning problem
- Lasso (or L1 regularization) is just one way to find sparse solutions
- **Be careful about interpreting selected features**
  - sensitive to **correlations** between features
  - sensitive to **scale** of features (normalize your data)
  - result depends on **algorithm** used (with same loss function)
  - under certain conditions theoretical guarantees exist for lasso

$$x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ .99 \end{bmatrix} \quad y_1 = 1$$

$$x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1.98 \end{bmatrix} \quad y_2 = 2$$






# Classification Logistic Regression

Machine Learning – CSE546  
Kevin Jamieson  
University of Washington

April 24, 2019

**THUS FAR, REGRESSION:  
PREDICT A CONTINUOUS VALUE GIVEN  
SOME INPUTS**

# Weather prediction revisited



# Reading Your Brain, Simple Example



[Mitchell et al.]

Pairwise classification accuracy: 85%

Person



Animal



# Classification

- Learn:  $f: X \rightarrow Y$

- $X$  – features
- $Y$  – target classes

$$Y \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$$

- Loss function:

$$\mathbb{I}\{f(x) \neq y\}$$

- Expected loss of  $f$ :

$$\mathbb{E}_{XY}[\mathbb{I}\{f(x) \neq y\}] = \mathbb{E}_X \left[ \mathbb{E}_{Y|X} [\mathbb{I}\{f(x) \neq y | X=x\}] \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}_{Y|X} [\mathbb{I}\{f(x) \neq j\}] P_{Y|X}(Y=j | X=x) = \sum_{j \neq f(x)} P_{Y|X}(Y=j | X=x)$$

$$= 1 - P_{Y|X}(Y=f(x) | X=x)$$

- Suppose you know  $P(Y|X)$  exactly, how should you classify?
  - Bayes optimal classifier:

# Classification

- **Learn:**  $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ 
  - $\mathbf{X}$  – features
  - $\mathbf{Y}$  – target classes  $Y \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$
- **Loss function:**  $\ell(f(x), y) = \mathbf{1}\{f(x) \neq y\}$
- **Expected loss of f:**
$$\mathbb{E}_{XY}[\mathbf{1}\{f(X) \neq Y\}] = \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}_{Y|X}[\mathbf{1}\{f(x) \neq Y\}|X = x]]$$
$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{Y|X}[\mathbf{1}\{f(x) \neq Y\}|X = x] &= \sum_i P(Y = i|X = x)\mathbf{1}\{f(x) \neq i\} = \sum_{i \neq f(x)} P(Y = i|X = x) \\ &= 1 - P(Y = f(x)|X = x)\end{aligned}$$
- Suppose you know  $P(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X})$  exactly, how should you classify?
  - Bayes optimal classifier:

$$f(x) = \arg \max_y \mathbb{P}(Y = y | X = x)$$

# Binary Classification

- **Learn:**  $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ 
  - $\mathbf{X}$  – features
  - $\mathbf{Y}$  – target classes

$$Y \in \{0, 1\}$$

- **Loss function:**  $\ell(f(x), y) = \mathbf{1}\{f(x) \neq y\}$
- **Expected loss of f:**

$$\mathbb{E}_{XY}[\mathbf{1}\{f(X) \neq Y\}] = \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}_{Y|X}[\mathbf{1}\{f(x) \neq Y\}|X = x]]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{Y|X}[\mathbf{1}\{f(x) \neq Y\}|X = x] &= \sum_i P(Y = i|X = x)\mathbf{1}\{f(x) \neq i\} = \sum_{i \neq f(x)} P(Y = i|X = x) \\ &= 1 - P(Y = f(x)|X = x)\end{aligned}$$

- Suppose you know  $P(Y|\mathbf{X})$  exactly, how should you classify?
  - Bayes optimal classifier:

$$f(x) = \arg \max_y \mathbb{P}(Y = y|X = x)$$

# Binary Classification

- Bayes optimal classifier:

$$f(x) = \arg \max_y \mathbb{P}(Y = y | X = x) \quad Y \in \{0, 1\}$$

- Suppose we have iid example pairs  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $m$  of the  $x_i$ 's are equal to  $\tilde{x}$ .

What is a natural estimator for  $\theta_* := \underline{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1 | X = \tilde{x})}$ ?

# Binary Classification

- Bayes optimal classifier:

$$f(x) = \arg \max_y \mathbb{P}(Y = y | X = x) \quad Y \in \{0, 1\}$$

- Suppose we have iid example pairs  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $m$  of the  $x_i$ 's are equal to  $\tilde{x}$ .

What is a natural estimator for  $\theta_* := \mathbb{P}(Y = 1 | X = \tilde{x})$ ?

If  $k$  of the  $m$  labels are equal to  $Y = 1$  then

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = k/m = \boxed{\arg \max_{\theta} \theta^k (1 - \theta)^{m-k}}$$

# Binary Classification

- Bayes optimal classifier:

$$f(x) = \arg \max_y \mathbb{P}(Y = y | X = x) \quad Y \in \{0, 1\}$$

- Suppose we have iid example pairs  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$

In a discrete feature space, such as  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}^d$ , this motivates the estimator

$$\hat{f}(x) = \arg \max_{y \in \{0, 1\}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{x_i = x, y_i = y\}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{x_i = x\}}$$

Potential issues?

# Binary Classification

- Bayes optimal classifier:

$$f(x) = \arg \max_y \mathbb{P}(Y = y | X = x) \quad Y \in \{0, 1\}$$

- Suppose we have iid example pairs  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$

In a discrete feature space, such as  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}^d$ , this motivates the estimator

$$\hat{f}(x) = \arg \max_{y \in \{0, 1\}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{x_i = x, y_i = y\}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{x_i = x\}}$$


Potential issues?

$2^d$  possible inputs could be larger than number of examples  $n$

To generalize to new unseen  $x$ 's we need a model for  $P(Y = y | X = x)$  for any  $x$

# Link Functions

- Estimating  $P(Y=1|X=x)$ : Why not use standard linear regression model?




- Combining regression and probability?
  - Need a mapping from real values to  $[0, 1]$
  - A link function!

# Logistic Regression

- Learn  $P(Y=1|X=x)$  directly
  - Assume a particular functional form for link function
  - Sigmoid applied to a linear function of the input features:

Logistic function (or Sigmoid):  $\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)} = \sigma(z)$



$$\underbrace{\mathbb{P}(Y=1|X=x, w)}_{\text{Probability}} = \underbrace{\sigma(w^T x)}_{\text{Link Function}} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-w^T x)}$$


$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(Y=0|X=x, w) &= 1 - \sigma(w^T x) = \frac{\exp(-w^T x)}{1 + \exp(-w^T x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(w^T x)}\end{aligned}$$

Features can be discrete or continuous!


# Understanding the sigmoid

$$g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i}}$$


$w_0=-2, w_1=-1$



$w_0=0, w_1=-1$



$w_0=0, w_1=-0.5$



# Sigmoid for binary classes

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x, w)}_{\text{P(Y=1)}} = \sigma(w^T x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-w^T x)}$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{P}(Y = 0|X = x, w)}_{\text{P(Y=0)}} = 1 - \sigma(w^T x) = \frac{\exp(-w^T x)}{1 + \exp(-w^T x)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(w^T x)}$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|x, w)}{\mathbb{P}(Y = 0|x, w)} = \frac{1}{\exp(-w^T x)} = e^{w^T x} \stackrel{y=1}{\geq} \stackrel{y=0}{<} 1$$

# Sigmoid for binary classes

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x, w) = \sigma(w^T x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-w^T x)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(Y = 0|X = x, w) &= 1 - \sigma(w^T x) = \frac{\exp(-w^T x)}{1 + \exp(-w^T x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(w^T x)}\end{aligned}$$



$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|x, w)}{\mathbb{P}(Y = 0|x, w)} = \overbrace{\exp(w^T x)}$$

$$\log \left( \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|x, w)}{\mathbb{P}(Y = 0|x, w)} \right) = w^T x$$

**Linear Decision Rule!**

# Logistic Regression – a Linear classifier

$$\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$$



# Loss function: Conditional Likelihood

- Have a bunch of iid data of the form:  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$

$$P(Y = -1|x, w) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(w^T x)}$$

$$\left( P(Y = 0|x, w) = \right) P(Y = 1|x, w) = \frac{\exp(w^T x)}{1 + \exp(w^T x)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-w^T x)}$$

- This is equivalent to:

$$P(Y = y|x, w) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y w^T x)}$$

- So we can compute the maximum likelihood estimator:

$$\hat{w}_{MLE} = \arg \max_w \prod_{i=1}^n P(y_i|x_i, w)$$

# Loss function: Conditional Likelihood

- Have a bunch of iid data of the form:  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$

$$\hat{w}_{MLE} = \arg \max_w \prod_{i=1}^n P(y_i|x_i, w) \quad \xleftarrow{\hspace{1cm}} P(Y=y|x, w) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y w^T x)}$$

$$= \arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(-y_i x_i^T w)) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \log(\sigma(y_i x_i^T w))$$

$$x_{\text{loss}} \begin{cases} \log(1+e^x) \approx x \\ \log(1+e^{-x}) \approx 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\log(1+e^z)$$

$$z = (y x^T \omega)$$

# Loss function: Conditional Likelihood

- Have a bunch of iid data of the form:  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{w}_{MLE} &= \arg \max_w \prod_{i=1}^n P(y_i|x_i, w) \quad P(Y = y|x, w) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y w^T x)} \\ &= \arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(-y_i x_i^T w))\end{aligned}$$

Logistic Loss:  $\ell_i(w) = \log(1 + \exp(-y_i x_i^T w))$


Squared error Loss:  $\ell_i(w) = (y_i - x_i^T w)^2 \quad (\text{MLE for Gaussian noise})$

# Loss function: Conditional Likelihood

- Have a bunch of iid data of the form:  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{w}_{MLE} &= \arg \max_w \prod_{i=1}^n P(y_i|x_i, w) \quad P(Y = y|x, w) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y w^T x)} \\ &= \arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(-y_i x_i^T w)) \underset{\text{red bracket}}{=} J(w)\end{aligned}$$

What does  $J(w)$  look like? Is it convex?



# Loss function: Conditional Likelihood

- Have a bunch of iid data of the form:  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{w}_{MLE} &= \arg \max_w \prod_{i=1}^n P(y_i|x_i, w) \quad P(Y = y|x, w) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y w^T x)} \\ &= \arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(-y_i x_i^T w)) = J(w)\end{aligned}$$

**Good news:**  $J(\mathbf{w})$  is convex function of  $\mathbf{w}$ , no local optima problems


**Bad news:** no closed-form solution to maximize  $J(\mathbf{w})$

**Good news:** convex functions easy to optimize


# Linear Separability

$$\arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(-y_i x_i^T w))$$


When is this loss small?




# Large parameters → Overfitting



$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$



$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-2x}}$$



$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-100x}}$$

- If data is linearly separable, weights go to infinity
  - In general, leads to overfitting:
- Penalizing high weights can prevent overfitting...

# Regularized Conditional Log Likelihood

- Add regularization penalty, e.g., L<sub>2</sub>:

$$\arg \min_{w,b} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left( 1 + \exp(-y_i (x_i^T w + b)) \right) + \lambda \|w\|_2^2$$

Be sure to not regularize the offset  $b$ !



# Gradient Descent

Machine Learning – CSE546  
Kevin Jamieson  
University of Washington

April 24, 2019

# Machine Learning Problems

- Have a bunch of iid data of the form:

$$\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad y_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

- Learning a model's parameters:

Each  $\ell_i(w)$  is convex.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i(w)$$


# Machine Learning Problems

- Have a bunch of iid data of the form:

$$\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad y_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

- Learning a model's parameters:  
Each  $\ell_i(w)$  is convex.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i(w)$$



$f$  convex:

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \quad \forall x, y, \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T(y - x) \quad \forall x, y$$

$g$  is a subgradient at  $x$  if  
 $f(y) \geq f(x) + g^T(y - x)$

# Machine Learning Problems

- Have a bunch of iid data of the form:

$$\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad y_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

- Learning a model's parameters:

Each  $\ell_i(w)$  is convex.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i(w)$$

Logistic Loss:  $\ell_i(w) = \log(1 + \exp(-y_i x_i^T w))$

Squared error Loss:  $\ell_i(w) = (y_i - x_i^T w)^2$

# Least squares

- Have a bunch of iid data of the form:

$$\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad y_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

- Learning a model's parameters:

Each  $\ell_i(w)$  is convex.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i(w)$$

Squared error Loss:  $\ell_i(w) = (y_i - x_i^T w)^2$

How does software solve:  $\frac{1}{2} \|Xw - y\|_2^2$

# Least squares

- Have a bunch of iid data of the form:

$$\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad y_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

- Learning a model's parameters:

Each  $\ell_i(w)$  is convex.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i(w)$$

Squared error Loss:  $\ell_i(w) = (y_i - x_i^T w)^2$

How does software solve:  $\frac{1}{2} \|Xw - y\|_2^2$

...its complicated:  
(LAPACK, BLAS, MKL...)

Do you need high precision?  
Is X column/row sparse?  
Is  $\widehat{w}_{LS}$  sparse?  
Is  $X^T X$  “well-conditioned”?  
Can  $X^T X$  fit in cache/memory?

# Taylor Series Approximation

- Taylor series in one dimension:

$$f(x + \delta) = f(x) + f'(x)\delta + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)\delta^2 + \dots$$

- Gradient descent:

# Taylor Series Approximation

- Taylor series in  $\textcolor{magenta}{d}$  dimensions:

$$f(x + v) = f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T v + \frac{1}{2} v^T \nabla^2 f(x) v + \dots$$

- Gradient descent:

# Gradient Descent

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{X}w - \mathbf{y} \|_2^2$$

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta \nabla f(w_t)$$

$$\nabla f(w) =$$

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta \nabla f(w_t) \quad w_* = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

$$w_{t+1} - w_* =$$

# Gradient Descent

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{X}w - \mathbf{y} \|_2^2$$

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta \nabla f(w_t)$$

$$\nabla f(w) = \mathbf{X}^T(\mathbf{X}w - \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}w - \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$$

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta \nabla f(w_t) \qquad \qquad w_* = (\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$$

$$= (I - \eta \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})w_t + \eta \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$$

$$(w_{t+1} - w_*) = (I - \eta \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})(w_t - w_*) - \eta \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}w_* + \eta \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$$

# Gradient Descent

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|Xw - y\|_2^2$$

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta \nabla f(w_t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}(w_{t+1} - w_*) &= (I - \eta X^T X)(w_t - w_*) \\ &= (I - \eta X^T X)^{t+1}(w_0 - w_*)\end{aligned}$$

**Example:**  $X = \begin{bmatrix} 10^{-3} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$      $y = \begin{bmatrix} 10^{-3} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$      $w_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$      $w_* =$

# Taylor Series Approximation

- Taylor series in one dimension:

$$f(x + \delta) = f(x) + f'(x)\delta + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)\delta^2 + \dots$$

- Newton's method:

# Taylor Series Approximation

- Taylor series in **d** dimensions:

$$f(x + v) = f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T v + \frac{1}{2} v^T \nabla^2 f(x) v + \dots$$

- Newton's method:

# Newton's Method

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|Xw - y\|_2^2$$

$$\nabla f(w) = X^T(Xw - y)$$

$$\nabla^2 f(w) = X^T X$$

$v_t$  is solution to :  $\nabla^2 f(w_t)v_t = -\nabla f(w_t)$

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \eta v_t$$

For quadratics, Newton's method converges in one step! (Not a surprise, why?)

$$w_1 = w_0 - \eta(X^T X)^{-1} X^T (Xw_0 - y) = w_*$$

# General case

In general for Newton's method to achieve  $f(w_t) - f(w_*) \leq \epsilon$ :

**So why are ML problems overwhelmingly solved by gradient methods?**

Hint:  $v_t$  is solution to :  $\nabla^2 f(w_t)v_t = -\nabla f(w_t)$

# General Convex case

$$f(w_t) - f(w_*) \leq \epsilon$$

**Newton's method:**

$$t \approx \log(\log(1/\epsilon))$$


**Gradient descent:**

- $f$  is *smooth* and *strongly convex*:  $aI \preceq \nabla^2 f(w) \preceq bI$
- $f$  is *smooth*:  $\nabla^2 f(w) \preceq bI$
- $f$  is potentially non-differentiable:  $||\nabla f(w)||_2 \leq c$

Clean  
converge  
nice  
proofs:  
Bubeck

Nocedal  
+Wright,  
Bubeck

**Other:** BFGS, Heavy-ball, BCD, SVRG, ADAM, Adagrad,...



# Revisiting... Logistic Regression

Machine Learning – CSE546  
Kevin Jamieson  
University of Washington

April 24, 2019

# Loss function: Conditional Likelihood

- Have a bunch of iid data of the form:  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$

$$\hat{w}_{MLE} = \arg \max_w \prod_{i=1}^n P(y_i|x_i, w) \quad P(Y = y|x, w) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y w^T x)}$$

$$f(w) = \arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(-y_i x_i^T w))$$

$$\nabla f(w) =$$