

*-GROUP IDENTITIES ON UNITS OF DIVISION RINGS

M. RAMEZAN-NASSAB¹, M. H. BIEN^{2,3}, AND D. H. VIET^{2,3}

ABSTRACT. Let D be a division ring of characteristic different from 2 with infinite center F and involution $*$ of the first kind. In this paper, among other results, we show that if $\mathcal{U}(D)$ satisfies a $*$ -group identity, then either D is commutative or $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type. This leads to some results. For instance, let N be a $*$ -invariant normal subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(D)$ such that all symmetric elements of N is central (this is the case when, for example, each symmetric element is bounded Engel). Then either N is central or $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a ring with center $Z(R)$. An *involution* $*$ of R is a map $*$: $R \rightarrow R, x \mapsto x^*$, satisfying the conditions $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$, $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ and $(x^*)^* = x$ for every $x, y \in R$. The involution is of the *first kind* if $x^* = x$ for every $x \in Z(R)$ (otherwise, $*$ is of the second kind). In this paper, involutions we consider are of the first kind. A subset S of R is called *$*$ -invariant* if $x^* \in S$ for every $x \in S$. It is obvious that if S is $*$ -invariant and a subring of R , then $*$ is also an involution of S . The set of *symmetric elements* of S is denoted by S^+ , so, $S^+ = \{x \in S \mid x^* = x\}$.

Let F be a field and n a positive integer ≥ 2 . The matrix ring $M_n(F)$ of degree n over F always has an involution. For a matrix $A \in M_n(F)$, denote by A^t the transpose of A . Clearly, t is an involution of $M_n(F)$. Assume that $n = 2m$ is even. For $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2m}(F)$ where $A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{21}, A_{22} \in M_m(F)$, set $A^s = \begin{bmatrix} A_{22}^t & -A_{12}^t \\ -A_{21}^t & A_{11}^t \end{bmatrix}$. One can show that s is also an involution of $M_{2m}(F)$. We say that t is the *transpose involution* of $M_n(F)$ and s the *symplectic involution* of $M_{2m}(F)$. Moreover,

Lemma 1.1. [22, Proposition 2.1.4] *Let D be a division ring of characteristic different from 2 and n a positive integer. Let $*$ be any involution on $M_n(D)$. Then, up to an automorphism θ of $M_n(D)$ satisfying $\theta(A^*) = (\theta(A))^*$ for all $A \in M_n(D)$, one of the following conditions occurs:*

- (1) *there exist an involution $'$ of D and an invertible diagonal matrix $C = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ such that $c_i^{*'} = c_i$ for all i , and if $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(D)$, then $A^* = C^{-1}(a_{ij}')^t C$ (in this case, we say that $*$ is of the transpose type).*
- (2) *D is a field, n is even, and $*$ is the symplectic involution (we say that $*$ is of the symplectic type).*

Key words and phrases. Division ring, Group identity, Involution, Engel group
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16K40, 16R50, 16U60, 16W10.

Let D be a division ring which is finite dimensional over its center F . Assume that $*$ is an involution of D . Let n be the degree of D over F , that is, $\dim_F D = n^2$ and let K be a field containing F . Since $D \otimes_F K \cong M_n(K)$, via the homomorphism $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$, one has that D is a subring of $M_n(K)$. It is easy to see that the map $* \otimes 1 : D \otimes_F K \rightarrow D \otimes_F K$, defined by $(a \otimes k)^{* \otimes 1} = a^* \otimes k$ for every $a \otimes k \in D \otimes_F K$, is an involution of $D \otimes_F K$.

Lemma 1.2. [25, Proposition 4.1] *Let D be a division ring of degree n over its center F , that is, $\dim_F D = n^2$. Denote by \overline{F} the algebraic closure of F . Assume that $\psi : D \otimes_F \overline{F} \rightarrow M_n(\overline{F})$ is an isomorphism and $*$ is an involution of D . Then, the map*

$$\overline{*} = \psi(* \otimes 1)\psi^{-1} : M_n(\overline{F}) \rightarrow M_n(\overline{F})$$

is an involution of $M_n(\overline{F})$ of the first kind. The type of $\overline{}$ is independent of the choice of isomorphisms ψ .*

We say that $*$ is of the symplectic type (resp., the transpose type) if $\overline{*}$ is of the symplectic type (resp., the transpose type).

Let G be a group with center $Z(G)$ and $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be a set of countably non-commuting indeterminates. The free group generated by X and the free product of $\langle X \rangle$ and G over $Z(G)$ is denoted by $\langle X \rangle$ and $\langle X \rangle *_{Z(G)} G$, respectively. An element of $\langle X \rangle$ and of $\langle X \rangle *_{Z(G)} G$ is called a *group monomial* and a *generalized group monomial* over G , respectively. Assume that

$$w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = a_1 x_{i_1}^{n_1} a_2 x_{i_2}^{n_2} \dots a_t x_{i_t}^{n_t} a_{t+1}$$

is a non-identity element of $\langle X \rangle *_{Z(G)} G$. If

$$w(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) = 1$$

for every $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in G$, then we say that w is a *generalized group identity* of G or G *satisfies the generalized group identity* w . If, particularly, $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a group monomial (i.e., if $a_1 = a_2 \dots = a_{t+1} = 1$), then we call w a *group identity* of G .

Now assume that $n = 2m$ and $*$ is an involution of G (i.e., a map $*$: $G \rightarrow G, g \mapsto g^*$, such that $(gh)^* = h^*g^*$ and $(g^*)^* = g$ for every $g, h \in G$). If

$$w(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m, g_1^*, g_2^*, \dots, g_m^*) = 1$$

for every $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m \in G$, then w is called a **-generalized group identity* of G or we say that G satisfies a **-generalized group identity* w . Again, if particularly $a_1 = a_2 \dots = a_{t+1} = 1$, then we call w a **-group identity* of G . For convenience, we write $w(x_1, x_1^*, x_2, x_2^*, \dots, x_m, x_m^*)$ instead of $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2m})$ and naturally we call $w(x_1, x_1^*, x_2, x_2^*, \dots, x_m, x_m^*)$ a **(generalized) group monomial*. Clearly, each (generalized) group identity is a *(generalized) group identity (where no $*$'s appear). Moreover since xx^* is a symmetric element, a (generalized) group identity on symmetric elements of a group G yields a *(generalized) group identity of G .

Let F be an infinite field of characteristic different from 2 and G be a torsion group. Assume $*$ be any involution on G , and extend it linearly to FG , the group algebra of G over F . In [20], Jespers-Ruiz Marin found the necessary and sufficient conditions for $(FG)^+$ to be commutative. Giambruno-Polcino Milies-Sehgal in [13] found the conditions under which $\mathcal{U}(FG)^+$ satisfies a group identity. The study of the notion of *-group identities has been begun in [12], where the authors showed

that $\mathcal{U}(FG)$ satisfies a $*$ -group identity if and only if $\mathcal{U}(FG)^+$ satisfies a group identity.

Let D be a division ring with center F . By a well known result of Amitsur, if F is infinite and $\mathcal{U}(D)$ satisfies a group identity, then D is commutative [1, Theorem 19]. This result has been extended in several ways (e.g., see [5, 7, 9, 15]). Now, let $*$ be an involution of D . In this paper, we show an involution version of Amitsur's result. More precisely, we show that if $\mathcal{U}(D)$ satisfies a $*$ -group identity, then either D is commutative or $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type (Theorem 2.2). As a result, let R be a semisimple algebra over an infinite field F of characteristic $\neq 2$ with involution $*$. If $\mathcal{U}(R)$ satisfies a $*$ -group identity, then each Wedderburn component of R is of dimension at most 4 over its center, and R^+ is central in R (Corollary 2.3). Also we show that if $\langle \mathcal{U}(D)^+ \rangle$ is nilpotent, then either D is commutative or $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type (Theorem 2.6). Finally, let N be a $*$ -invariant normal subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(D)$ such that $N^+ \subseteq F$ (this is the case when, for example, each element of N^+ is bounded Engel). We will see that either N is central or $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type (Theorem 2.7 and Corollary 2.8).

2. MAIN RESULTS

For the remainder of this paper D will be a division ring of characteristic different from 2 with center F and involution $*$ of the first kind. Also, $\bar{*}$ and \bar{F} are as in Lemma 1.2. The group of units of a ring R is denoted by $\mathcal{U}(R)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume $\dim_F D = d^2$ and $w(x_1, x_1^*, \dots, x_m, x_m^*)$ is a $*$ -generalized group identity of $\mathcal{U}(D)$. Then, $w(x_1, x_1^{\bar{*}}, \dots, x_m, x_m^{\bar{*}})$ is also a $\bar{*}$ -generalized group identity of $\text{GL}_d(\bar{F})$.*

PROOF. This is just a corollary of [25, Theorem 6.4]. ■

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that F is infinite and $\mathcal{U}(D)$ satisfies a $*$ -group identity. Then either D is commutative or $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type.*

Proof. Assume that D is non-commutative. We must show $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type. Let w be a $*$ -group identity of $\mathcal{U}(D)$. According to [12, Lemma 1], we may assume w is of the form $w(x, x^*) = x^{n_1}(x^*)^{m_2} \dots x^{n_t}(x^*)^{m_t}$ where $n_1, m_t \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, and all the other exponents lie in $\{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$. We first claim that D is centrally finite. Assume that D is of infinite dimensional over F . If $w_1(x, y) = w(x, y) = x^{n_1}y^{m_2} \dots x^{n_t}y^{m_t}$, then, since F is infinite, D satisfies the group identity $w_1(x, y) = 1$ by [10, Theorem, p. 191], which contradicts [1, Theorem 19]. The claim is shown, that is, D is centrally finite. Let $n^2 = \dim_F D$. According to Lemma 2.1, $\text{GL}_n(\bar{F})$ satisfies the $\bar{*}$ -group identity $w(x, x^{\bar{*}})$. We next claim that $\bar{*}$ is of the symplectic type. Suppose that $\bar{*}$ is of the transpose type. Via the embedding $\text{GL}_2(\bar{F}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{F})$, $A \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-2} \end{bmatrix}$, $\text{GL}_2(\bar{F})$ is $\bar{*}$ -invariant, so $\text{GL}_2(\bar{F})$ also satisfies the $\bar{*}$ -group identity $w(x, x^{\bar{*}})$. Moreover, if $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\bar{F})$, then by Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, $A^{\bar{*}} = \begin{bmatrix} a & cc_1c_2^{-1} \\ bc_1^{-1}c_2 & d \end{bmatrix}$, where $c_1, c_2 \in \bar{F}$. We consider two cases:

Case 1. $\text{char}(D) = 0$. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(c_1^{-1}c_2)$ be the subfield of \bar{F} generated by $c_1^{-1}c_2$ over \mathbb{Q} . We may assume that K is a subfield of the complex numbers \mathbb{C} . Again, $\text{GL}_2(\bar{F})$ is $\bar{*}$ -invariant, so $\text{GL}_2(\bar{F})$ satisfies the $\bar{*}$ -group identity $w(x, x^{\bar{*}})$. Choose $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $|c_1^{-1}c_2r^2| \geq 2$, $|c_1^{-1}c_2r^2 - 2| \geq 2$ and $|c_1^{-1}c_2r^2 + 2| \geq 2$. Now consider two matrices $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ rc_1^{-1}c_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in $M_n(K)$. According to [8], $\langle A, B \rangle$ is a free group. On the other hand, $A^{\bar{*}} = B$, so $w(A, B) = w(A, A^{\bar{*}}) = 1$; a contradiction.

Case 2. $\text{char}(D) = p > 0$. If \bar{F} is algebraic over the prime subfield P of \bar{F} , then so is F . Hence, D is also algebraic over the finite field P , which implies by Jacobson's Theorem (see [21, Theorem 13.11]) that D is commutative. Therefore, \bar{F} is not algebraic over P . Consider $L = P[c_1^{-1}c_2]$ the subring of \bar{F} generated by $c_1^{-1}c_2$ over P . If $c_1^{-1}c_2$ is not algebraic over P , then $P[c_1^{-1}c_2]$ is isomorphic to the polynomial ring $P[t]$. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then, it is easy to check that $A^2 = B^2 = 0$, and $BA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is not nilpotent. According to [11, Lemma 3.2], $\langle 1 + (c_1^{-1}c_2)A, 1 + (c_1^{-1}c_2)^2BAB \rangle$ is isomorphic to the free product

$$\langle 1 + (c_1^{-1}c_2)A \rangle * \langle 1 + (c_1^{-1}c_2)^2BAB \rangle.$$

Observe that

$$(1 + (c_1^{-1}c_2)A)^{\bar{*}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c_1^{-1}c_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\bar{*}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (c_1^{-1}c_2)^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1 + (c_1^{-1}c_2)^2BAB.$$

So

$$w(1 + (c_1^{-1}c_2)A, 1 + (c_1^{-1}c_2)^2BAB) = w(1 + (c_1^{-1}c_2)A, (1 + (c_1^{-1}c_2)A)^{\bar{*}}) = 1,$$

which is a contradiction. Now if $c_1^{-1}c_2$ is algebraic over P , then $P[c_1^{-1}c_2] = P(c_1^{-1}c_2)$ is a subfield of \bar{F} . Because \bar{F} is not algebraic over P , neither is \bar{F} over $P(c_1^{-1}c_2)$. Let $t \in \bar{F}$ be transcendental over $P(c_1^{-1}c_2)$ and consider the polynomial ring $P(c_1^{-1}c_2)[t]$. In this subcase, again by [11, Lemma 3.2], $\langle 1 + tA, 1 + tc_1^{-1}c_2BAB \rangle$ is isomorphic to the free product $\langle 1 + tA \rangle * \langle 1 + tc_1^{-1}c_2BAB \rangle$. Similarly, one has $(1 + tA)^{\bar{*}} = 1 + tBAB$ which is also a contradiction.

Two subcases lead us a contradiction. The claim is shown, that is, $\bar{*}$ is of the symplectic type. We finally claim that $n = 2$. Assume that $n > 2$. Then, $n = 2\ell$ with $\ell > 1$ (by Lemma 1.1). Via the embedding $\text{GL}_\ell(\bar{F}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2\ell}(\bar{F})$, $A \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix}$, $\text{GL}_\ell(\bar{F})$ is $\bar{*}$ -invariant, so $\text{GL}_\ell(\bar{F})$ also satisfies the $\bar{*}$ -group identity $w(x, x^{\bar{*}})$. Moreover, the involution $\bar{*}$ of $\text{GL}_\ell(\bar{F})$ is of the transpose type. Using the first path, we have a contradiction. Thus, $n = 2$ and the proof is completed. \square

Our next result extends [12, Lemma 5] with similar argument. We include its proof for the sake of completeness.

Corollary 2.3. *Let R be a semisimple algebra over an infinite field F of characteristic $\neq 2$ with involution $*$. If $\mathcal{U}(R)$ satisfies a $*$ -group identity, then each Wedderburn component of R is of dimension at most 4 over its center, and R^+ is central in R .*

PROOF. Let $R = Re_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Re_k$, where e_i 's are primitive central idempotents. For convinced, let $e = e_i$, for some $1 \leq i \leq k$, and assume $Re \cong M_n(D)$, where D is a division ring and n is a natural number.

First, assume that $e \in R^+$. Then Re is a $*$ -invariant subset of R . Therefore, $\text{GL}_n(D) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(R)$ satisfies a $*$ -group identity. Suppose that the involution $*$ is of transpose type. Denote the matrix with a one in the i, j position and every other entry zero by E_{ij} . In this case, E_{11} is a symmetric idempotent, hence E_{11} is central by [12, Lemma 3]. Thus, $n = 1$, i.e., $\mathcal{U}(D)$ satisfies a $*$ -group identity. So, by Theorem 2.2, D is commutative. Now suppose that the involution $*$ is of the symplectic type. Then by Lemma 1.1, D is a field. Assume $n > 2$, and let $a = E_{1n} + E_{1n}^* = E_{1n} - E_{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2}+1)}$. Then a is symmetric and square-zero. Let $c = E_{11}, d = E_{nn}$. Then $cd = 0$, but $cad = E_{1n} \neq 0$, which [12, Lemma 3] gives us a contradiction. If $n = 2$, then the symmetric elements are the scalar multiples of the identity matrix, hence, central, and we are done.

Now, suppose that e is not symmetric. Then e^* is also a primitive central idempotent. Let $w(x, x^*)$ be the $*$ -group identity on $\mathcal{U}(R)$, as [12, Lemma 1]. Assume $\alpha e, \beta e \in \mathcal{U}(R)$. Then $u = \alpha e + \beta^* e^* + (1 - e - e^*) \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ and $u^* = \beta e + \alpha^* e^* + (1 - e - e^*)$. Since, $w(u, u^*) = 1$, looking only at the first component, we get $w(\alpha e, \beta e) = e$. That is, $w(x_1, x_2)$ is a group identity for $\text{GL}_n(D)$. Now, $n = 1$ by [14, Corollary 3] and D is a field by [1, Theorem 19]. ■

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that F is infinite. Then, $aa^* \in F$ for every $a \in D$ if and only if either D is commutative or $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type.*

PROOF. Assume that $aa^* \in F$ for every $a \in D$. Then, $\mathcal{U}(D)$ satisfies a $*$ -group identity $(xx^*)(yy^*)(xx^*)^{-1}(yy^*)^{-1} = 1$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, either D is commutative or $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type. Conversely, assume that $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type. Then, by Lemma 1.2, D can be considered as a subring of $M_2(\bar{F})$ and the involution $\bar{*}$ of $M_2(\bar{F})$ is of the symplectic type. Thus each symmetric element is central, hence $aa^* \in \bar{F}$ for every $a \in M_2(\bar{F})$. In particular, $aa^* \in F$ for every $a \in D$. ■

Let D be a division ring which has not necessarily equipped with an involution. In [17], Herstein conjectured that if for every $x, y \in \mathcal{U}(D)$, there exists a positive integer $n(x, y)$ such that $(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy)^{n(x, y)} \in F$, then D is commutative. This conjecture holds in case D is centrally finite [17, Theorem 2] or F is uncountable [16]. In general, the conjecture is still open. In our next result, we will find the involution version of [17, Theorem 2].

Let G be a group with center $Z(G)$ and $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ a non-identity group monomial in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . Recall that w is said to be a *power central group identity* if for every $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in G$, there exists natural number $p = p(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ such that $w(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)^p \in Z(G)$. Such identity in division rings was studied in several papers (e.g., see [23]). Similarly, we will define notion of $*$ -power central group identity. Assume that $*$ is an involution of G and let $w(x_1, x_1^*, \dots, x_m, x_m^*)$ be a (non-identity) $*$ -group monomial. We say that w is a *$*$ -power central group identity* if for every $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m \in G$, there exists a positive integer $p = p(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$ such that $w(g_1, g_1^*, \dots, g_m, g_m^*)^p \in Z(G)$. We say that G satisfies a *$*$ -power central group identity* if there exists some $*$ -power central group identity w of G .

Theorem 2.5. *Let D be a locally finite dimensional division ring over F . If $\mathcal{U}(D)$ satisfies a $*$ -power central group identity, then either D is commutative or $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type.*

PROOF. Assume D is non-commutative. We must show that $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type. By Jacobson's Theorem, F is infinite. Let $a \in D$ be any element. By Lemma 2.4, it suffices to show $aa^* \in F$. To do it, assume $b \in D$ and let Δ be the subdivision ring of D generated by $S = \{a, a^*, b, b^*\}$ over F . Then $\dim_F \Delta < \infty$. Let D_1 be the subdivision ring of Δ generated by S over the prime subfield P . Then, D_1 is $*$ -invariant whose center F_1 is finitely generated over P [4, Lemma 2.6]. Now let $w(x_1, x_1^*, \dots, x_m, x_m^*)$ be a $*$ -power central group identity of $\mathcal{U}(D)$, that is, for every $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m \in \mathcal{U}(D)$, there exists a positive integer $p = p(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$ such that $w(g_1, g_1^*, \dots, g_m, g_m^*)^p \in F$. Then, $w(x_1, x_1^*, \dots, x_m, x_m^*)$ is also a $*$ -power central group identity of $\mathcal{U}(D_1)$. As [2, Lemma 2.2], there exists an integer $\ell \geq 1$ such that $w(g_1, g_1^*, \dots, g_m, g_m^*)^\ell \in F_1$. It implies that

$$w(x_1, x_1^*, \dots, x_m, x_m^*)^{-\ell} y^{-1} w(x_1, x_1^*, \dots, x_m, x_m^*)^\ell y = 1$$

is a $*$ -group identity of $\mathcal{U}(D_1)$. Since $\dim_{F_1} D_1 < \infty$, we may assume F_1 is infinite and D_1 is non-commutative. Hence, by Theorem 2.2, $\dim_{F_1} D_1 = 4$ and $*$ (as an involution on D_1) is of the symplectic type. Now, Lemma 2.4 implies that $aa^* \in F_1$; consequently, $(aa^*)b = b(aa^*)$. As b ranges over D , $aa^* \in F$, as desired. ■

It is known that if D is a division ring such that $\mathcal{U}(D)$ is a nilpotent group, then D is commutative. In the following result, we instead assume that the set of symmetric elements of $\mathcal{U}(D)$, denoted by $\mathcal{U}(D)^+$, is nilpotent (see [22, Lemma 4.1.2]).

Theorem 2.6. *Let n be a positive integer such that $[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n] = 1$ for every $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in \mathcal{U}(D)^+$. Then either D is commutative or $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type.*

PROOF. Assume that D is non-commutative. We must show that $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type. Let $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Then, $\mathcal{U}(D)^+$ satisfies the group identity w . Hence, if we let

$$w_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) = w(x_1 x_1^*, x_2 x_2^*, \dots, x_n x_n^*),$$

then $\mathcal{U}(D)$ satisfies the $*$ -group identity w_1 . Let F be the center of D . By Theorem 2.2, it suffices to show that F is infinite. Assume that F is finite. We seek a contradiction. As D is non-commutative and F is finite, $\dim_F D = \infty$. Now, by [18, Theorem 2.1.6], $D = F(D^+)$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that n is the smallest integer such that $[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n] = 1$ for every $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in \mathcal{U}(D)^+$. If $n = 2$, then $\mathcal{U}(D)^+$ is commutative, so is $D = F(D^+)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, we assume that $n \geq 3$. Then, for every $s \in \mathcal{U}(D)^+$, one has $[[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1}], s] = 1$ for every $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1} \in \mathcal{U}(D)^+$. As a result, $[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1}] \in F$ for every $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1} \in \mathcal{U}(D)^+$. By the minimality of n , there exist $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1} \in \mathcal{U}(D)^+$ such that $[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1}] = \alpha \in F \setminus \{1\}$. For convenience, put $a = [s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-2}]$ and $b = s_{n-1}$. Then $ab \neq ba$ and $a^{-1}b^{-1}ab = \alpha$.

Let $q = |F|$. Since $b = \alpha a^{-1}ba$, one has

$$b^{q-1} = (\alpha a^{-1}ba)^{q-1} = (\alpha)^{q-1} (a^{-1}ba)^{q-1} = a^{-1}b^{q-1}a,$$

which implies that $b^{q-1}a = ab^{q-1}$. Repeat arguments above, one has $ba^{q-1} = a^{q-1}b$ and $b(a^*)^{q-1} = (a^*)^{q-1}b$. Because $[a, s] \in F$ for every $s \in \mathcal{U}(D)^+$, $[a, aa^*] = \beta \in F$, equivalently, $a(aa^*a^{-1}(a^*)^{-1})a^{-1} = \beta$, which implies that $aa^*a^{-1}(a^*)^{-1} = \beta$. Similarly, $a^{q-1}a^* = a^*a^{q-1}$ and $a(a^*)^{q-1} = (a^*)^{q-1}a$. Let $D_1 = F(a, a^*, b)$, the division subring of D generated by a, a^*, b over F . Then D_1 is $*$ -invariant and non-commutative, because $ab \neq ba$. In conclusion, if F_1 is the center of D_1 , then we have relations of a, a^*, b as follows:

- (1) $ab = \alpha ba$;
- (2) $ba^* = \alpha a^*b$;
- (3) $aa^* = \beta a^*a$;
- (4) $a^{q-1}, (a^*)^{q-1}$ and b^{q-1} belong to F_1 ,

where $\alpha, \beta \in F \subseteq F_1$. It implies that D_1 is finite dimensional over F_1 . Therefore, F_1 is infinite. According to Theorem 2.2, $\dim_{F_1} D_1 = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type of D_1 . Now, by [27, Proposition 2.1], $D_1^+ = F_1$. In particular, $b \in F_1$. As a corollary, $ab = ba$ which contradicts the way we choose a and b . The proof is complete. ■

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose that F is infinite and N is a $*$ -invariant normal subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(D)$. If $N^+ \subseteq F$, then either N is central or $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type.*

PROOF. Assume that N is non-central. We will show that $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type. For every $a \in N$, by the fact that N is $*$ -invariant, $aa^* \in N^+ \subseteq F$. Since N is normal in D^* , for every $a \in N$ and $b, c \in \mathcal{U}(D)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= ((bab^{-1})(bab^{-1})^*)^{-1}c^{-1}(bab^{-1})(bab^{-1})^*c \\ &= (b^{-1})^*(a^{-1})^*b^*ba^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}bab^{-1}(b^{-1})^*a^*b^*c. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a \in N \setminus F$, so D satisfies a $*$ -generalized group identity

$$w(x, x^*, y, y^*) = (x^{-1})^*(a^{-1})^*x^*xa^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1}xax^{-1}(x^{-1})^*a^*x^*y.$$

Assume that $\dim_F D = \infty$. Then, since F is infinite, we can apply [10, Theorem, p. 191] to deduce that D satisfies the generalized group identity

$$w(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = x_2^{-1}(a^{-1})^*x_2x_1a^{-1}x_1^{-1}y^{-1}x_1ax_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}a^*x_2y,$$

which contradicts [15, Theorem 2]. Hence, D is centrally finite. Let $\dim_F D = d^2$. Then, $w(x, x^*, y, y^*)$ is also a $\bar{*}$ -generalized group identity of $\text{GL}_d(\bar{F})$ by Lemma 2.1 (here, of course, a denotes under inclusion $D \hookrightarrow M_d(\bar{F})$). Observe that, this identity can write as

$$w(x, x^{\bar{*}}, y, y^{\bar{*}}) = ((xax^{-1})(xax^{-1})^{\bar{*}})^{-1}y^{-1}(xax^{-1})(xax^{-1})^{\bar{*}}y.$$

As a result, $(PaP^{-1})(PaP^{-1})^{\bar{*}} \in \bar{F}$ for every $P \in \text{GL}_d(\bar{F})$.

We claim that $*$ is of the symplectic type. Deny the claim and assume $\bar{*}$ is of the transpose type, that is, there exists $C = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_d) \in \text{GL}_d(\bar{F})$ such that $A^{\bar{*}} = CA^tC^{-1}$ for every $A \in \text{GL}_d(\bar{F})$. Since \bar{F} is algebraically closed, by according

to the Jordan normal form, there exists $P \in \text{GL}_d(\overline{F})$ such that

$$PaP^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_{12} & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \lambda_{23} & & \\ & & \lambda_3 & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \lambda_{(d-1)d} \\ & & & & \lambda_d \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\lambda_i \in \overline{F}$ and $\lambda_{i(i+1)} \in \{0, 1\}$. Computing

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 & & & & \\ & c_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & c_d & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & & \\ \lambda_{12} & \lambda_2 & & & \\ & \lambda_{23} & \lambda_3 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_{(d-1)d} & \lambda_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1^{-1} & & & & \\ & c_2^{-1} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & c_d^{-1} & \end{bmatrix},$$

we have

$$(PaP^{-1})^{\overline{*}} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & & \\ c_2 c_1^{-1} \lambda_{12} & \lambda_2 & & & \\ & c_3 c_2^{-1} \lambda_{23} & \lambda_3 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & c_d c_{d-1}^{-1} \lambda_{(d-1)d} & \lambda_d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now it is clear that the $(i, i+1)$ -entry of the matrix $(PaP^{-1})(PaP^{-1})^{\overline{*}}$ is $\lambda_{i(i+1)}\lambda_{i+1}$ and (i, i) -entry is λ_i^2 for every i . As $(PaP^{-1})(PaP^{-1})^{\overline{*}} \in \overline{F}$ and $\lambda_i \neq 0$ (since a is invertible), one has $\lambda_{i(i+1)} = 0$ for every i and $\lambda_1^2 = \lambda_2^2 = \cdots = \lambda_d^2$. Consequently, $(PaP^{-1})^2 \in \overline{F}$, which implies that $a^2 \in \overline{F}$. Thus $a^2 \in F$ since $a \in D$. We observe that a ranges over $N \setminus F$, so every element of N is radical over F . By [6, Lemma 2.4], N is central, a contradiction. Hence, the claim is shown. that is, $*$ is of the symplectic type. Thus, $d = 2\ell$ and if $A \in M_{2\ell}(\overline{F})$ with $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in$

$M_{2\ell}(\overline{F})$, where $A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{21}, A_{22} \in M_\ell(\overline{F})$, one has $A^{\overline{*}} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{22}^t & -A_{12}^t \\ -A_{21}^t & A_{11}^t \end{bmatrix}$.

It suffices to show $\ell = 1$. Suppose that $\ell > 1$. We claim that a (as an element of $\text{GL}_d(\overline{F})$) is a diagonalizable matrix. Since \overline{F} is algebraically closed, there exists $P \in \text{GL}_{2\ell}(\overline{F})$ such that

$$PaP^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_{12} & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \lambda_{23} & & \\ & & \lambda_3 & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \lambda_{(\ell-1)\ell} \\ & & & & \lambda_\ell \end{bmatrix}, A_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \lambda_{\ell(\ell+1)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$A_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{\ell+1} & \lambda_{(\ell+1)(\ell+2)} & & & \\ & \lambda_{\ell+2} & \lambda_{(\ell+2)(\ell+3)} & & \\ & & \lambda_{\ell+3} & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \lambda_{(2\ell-1)2\ell} \\ & & & & \lambda_{2\ell} \end{bmatrix}$$

If a is not diagonalizable, then we can choose P such that $\lambda_{\ell(\ell+1)} \neq 0$. Hence, $(PaP^{-1})^* = \begin{bmatrix} A_{22}^t & -A_{12}^t \\ 0 & A_{11}^t \end{bmatrix}$. As $(PaP^{-1})(PaP^{-1})^* \in \overline{F}$, the entry at $(1, 2\ell)$ is 0, which implies that $\lambda_1 \lambda_{\ell(\ell+1)} = 0$. Moreover, $\lambda_{\ell(\ell+1)} \neq 0$, so $\lambda_1 = 0$. This contradicts the fact that a is invertible. Thus, the claim is shown, that is, a is diagonalizable. Therefore, $PaP^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ where

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_\ell \end{bmatrix}, A_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{\ell+1} & & & \\ & \lambda_{\ell+2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_{2\ell} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now we claim that $\lambda_1^2 = \lambda_2^2 = \dots = \lambda_{2\ell}^2$. One has $(PaP^{-1})^* = \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{11} \end{bmatrix}$. By changing two suitable rows of P , without loss of generality, it suffices to show that $\lambda_1^2 = \lambda_{2\ell}^2$. Since $(PaP^{-1})(PaP^{-1})^* \in \overline{F}$, $\lambda_1 \lambda_{\ell+1} = \lambda_{2\ell} \lambda_\ell$. Again, by changing the ℓ -th and $(\ell+1)$ -th rows of P , one has $\lambda_1 \lambda_\ell = \lambda_{2\ell} \lambda_{\ell+1}$. It implies that

$$\lambda_1^2 \lambda_\ell \lambda_{\ell+1} = \lambda_{2\ell}^2 \lambda_\ell \lambda_{\ell+1}$$

Observe that a is invertible, so each $\lambda_i \neq 0$ which implies that $\lambda_1^2 = \lambda_{2\ell}^2$. The claim is shown. Therefore, $a^2 = Pa^2P^{-1} = (PaP^{-1})^2 = \lambda_1^2 I_{2\ell} \in \overline{F}$. Since $a \in D$, $a^2 \in F$. As a ranges over $N \setminus F$, N is radical over F , which implies that N is central [6, Lemma 2.4]. A contradiction to the hypothesis. Thus, $\ell = 1$, that is, $d = 2$. The proof is complete. ■

Let G be a group. For x, y in G , define $[x, {}_1y] = [x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$, and inductively, $[x, {}_{k+1}y] = [[x, {}_ky], y]$ for each natural number k . An element $a \in G$ is called *left Engel* if for each $g \in G$, there exists a natural number $n = n(g)$, depending on g , such that $[g, {}_na] = 1$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that the relation $[g, {}_na] = 1$ holds for each $g \in G$, then a is said to be *left n -Engel*. Denote the set of all the left Engel and the left n -Engel elements of G by $L(G)$ and $L_n(G)$, respectively. The group G is called Engel if $G = L(G)$, and called *bounded Engel* if $G = L_n(G)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let N be a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(D)$. It is known that if N is a locally nilpotent group, then N is central [19]. Also, if N is a bounded Engel group or if F is uncountable and N is an Engel group, then N is central (see [24, Theorem 1.1] and [3, Corollary 1.2]). We close this paper by a result which provides an involution version of these results.

Corollary 2.8. *Let N be a $*$ -invariant normal subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(D)$. Assume one of the following cases occurs:*

- (1) D is of locally finite dimensional over F and $N^+ \subseteq L(N)$;
- (2) F is uncountable and $N^+ \subseteq L(N)$;

(3) F is infinite and $N^+ \subseteq L_m(N)$ for some natural number m .

Then either N is central or $\dim_F D = 4$ and $*$ is of the symplectic type.

PROOF. If D is commutative, there is nothing to do. Assume D is non-commutative, so in either cases F is infinite.

If D is a locally finite dimensional division algebra, then by [26, Corollary 3.5.7], $L(N)$ coincides with the Hirsch-Plotkin radical of N , which is a normal locally nilpotent subgroup of N . This implies that $L(G) \subseteq F$ by [19], thus $N^+ \subseteq F$. If either (2) or (3) occurs, then by [3, Theorem 1.1] and [3, Proposition 1.3], we again deduce that $N^+ \subseteq F$. Now, the result follows from Theorem 2.7. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. Amitsur, Rational Identities and Applications to Algebra and Geometry, *J. Algebra* **3** (1966), 304–359.
- [2] M. H. Bien, A note on local commutators in division rings with involution, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **56** (2019), 659–666.
- [3] M. H. Bien and M. Ramezan-Nassab, Engel subnormal subgroups of skew linear groups, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **558** (2018), 74–78.
- [4] M. H. Bien, Subnormal subgroups in division rings with generalized power central group identities, *Arch. Math.* **106** (2016), 315–321.
- [5] M. H. Bien, On some subgroups of D^* which satisfy a generalized group identity, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **52** (2015), 1353–1363.
- [6] M. H. Bien and M. Ramezan-Nassab, Some algebraic algebras with Engel unit groups, *Journal of algebra and its applications* (2020) DOI:10.1142/S0219498821500109.
- [7] M. H. Bien, D. Kiani, and M. Ramezan-Nassab, Some skew linear groups satisfying generalized group identities, *Comm. Algebra* **44** (2016), 2362–2367.
- [8] B. Chang, S. A. Jennings and R. Ree, On certain pairs of matrices which generate free groups, *Canad. J. Math* **10** (1958) 279–284.
- [9] M. A. Chebotar and P. -H. Lee, A note on group identities in division rings, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **47** (2004), 557–560.
- [10] P. C. Desmarais and W. S. Martindale 3-rd, Generalized rational identities and rings with involution, *Israel J. Math.* **36** (1980), 187–192.
- [11] V. O. Ferreira, J. Z. Gonalves and A. Mandel, Free symmetric and unitary pairs in division rings with involution, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **15** (2005), 15–36.
- [12] A. Giambruno, C. Polcino Milies and S. K. Sehgal, Star-group identities and groups of units, *Arch. Math.* **95** (2010), 501–508.
- [13] A. Giambruno, C. Polcino Milies and S. K. Sehgal, Group identities on symmetric units. *J. Algebra* **322** (2009), 2801–2815.
- [14] A. Giambruno, E. Jespers, and A. Valenti, Group identities on units of rings, *Arch. Math.* **63** (1994), 291–296.
- [15] I. Z. Golubchik and A. V. Mikhalev, Generalized group identities in the classical groups, *Zap. Nauch. Semin. LOMI AN SSSR* **114** (1982), 96–119.
- [16] I. N. Herstein, Multiplicative commutators in division rings. II, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **29** (1980), 485–489.
- [17] I. N. Herstein, Multiplicative commutators in division rings, *Israel J. Math.* **31** (1978), 180–188.
- [18] I. N. Herstein, *Rings with Involution*, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1976.
- [19] M. S. Huzurbazar, The multiplicative group of a division ring, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **1** (1960), 433–435.
- [20] E. Jespers and M. Ruiz Marin, On symmetric elements and symmetric units in group rings, *Comm. Algebra* **34** (2006), 727–736.
- [21] T. Y. Lam, *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings*, second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [22] G. T. Lee, *Group Identities on Units and Symmetric Units of Group Rings*, London: Springer, 2010.

- [23] L. Makar-Limanov and P. Malcolmson, Words periodic over the center of a division ring, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93** (1985), 1990–1992.
- [24] M. Ramezan-Nassab and D. Kiani, Some skew linear groups with Engel's condition, *J. Group Theory* **15** (2012), 529–541.
- [25] J. D. Rosen, Generalized Rational Identities and Rings with Involution, *J. Algebra* **89** (1984), 416–436.
- [26] M. Shirvani and B. A. F. Wehrfritz, *Skew Linear Groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [27] J.-P. Tignol, *Central simple algebras, involutions and quadratic forms*, Lectures at the national Taiwan university, 1993.

1. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KHARAZMI UNIVERSITY, 50 TALEGHANI ST., TEHRAN, IRAN,

2. FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE

3. VIETNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, HO CHI MINH CITY, VIETNAM.

E-mail address: `ramezann@khu.ac.ir` (Mojtaba Ramezan-Nassab), `mhbien@hcmus.edu.vn` (Mai Hoang Bien), `vietdohoang10@gmail.com` (Do Hoang Vie)