

Homework 4
Harshvardhan Kalra
2014043

The general steps performed in the assignment were:

- i) Choosing an appropriate optimizer. I tried all optimizers provided with the Keras library, and chose Adadelta, as it gave the best results for the specified learning rates.
- ii) Plotting the confusion matrix. The following site was used as reference for plotting the confusion matrix :

http://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto_examples/model_selection/plot_confusion_matrix.html.

The test accuracies were as follows:

Test accuracy for learning rate 0.1: 94.9%

Test accuracy for learning rate 0.3: 97.44%

Test accuracy for learning rate 0.5: 97.97%

Test accuracy for learning rate 0.7: 98.22%

Similarly, for the autoencoders, the following site was used as reference:

<https://blog.keras.io/building-autoencoders-in-keras.html>

The optimizer used was Adadelta for both the encoder and the trained model, and the results were as follows :

Test accuracy for learning rate 0.5: 85.78%

Test accuracy for learning rate 0.7: 90.13%

Test accuracy for learning rate 0.9: 91.81%

Test accuracy for learning rate 1.1: 92.58%

Test accuracy for learning rate 1.3: 93.09%

Please find the models and images in the corresponding folders.

$$1) \tanh(a) = \frac{e^a - e^{-a}}{e^a + e^{-a}}$$

Since $e^a > 0$, dividing numerator and denominator by e^a , we get

$$\tanh(a) = \frac{1 - e^{-2a}}{1 + e^{-2a}} = \frac{2 - 1 - e^{-2a}}{1 + e^{-2a}}$$

$$= \frac{2}{1 + e^{-2a}} - \frac{1 + e^{-2a}}{1 + e^{-2a}}$$

$$= 2\sigma(2a) - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma(2a) = \frac{\tanh(a) + 1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma(a) = \frac{\tanh(a/2) + 1}{2}$$

$$\text{Hence, } y_{k|2}(x, w) = \sigma\left(\sum_{j=1}^m w_{kj}^{(2)} h\left(\sum_{i=1}^D w_{ji}^{(1)} x_i + w_{j0}^{(1)}\right) + w_{k0}^{(2)}\right)$$

$$= \tanh\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^m w_{kj}^{(2)} h\left(\sum_{i=1}^D w_{ji}^{(1)} x_i + w_{j0}^{(1)}\right) + w_{k0}^{(2)}}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{ (Bias)}$$

And therefore, there exists a corresponding neural net with a relabelling of classes computing the same function.

$$2) (i) \theta_0 = -30$$

\rightarrow	X_1	X_2	$X_1 \text{ and } X_2$
	0	0	0
	0	1	0
	1	0	0
	1	1	1

\rightarrow For both inputs being 1, the sigmoid should return 1, else 0.

Since $\sigma(x) \approx 1$ only when x is a large positive value,
 $\sigma(1 \cdot \theta_1 + 1 \cdot \theta_2 - 30) = 1$
 $\Rightarrow \theta_1 + \theta_2 - 30 > 0 \Rightarrow$ Using $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ as works
 $= 20$ as:

$$\sigma(20 \cdot 0 + 20 \cdot 0 - 30) = \sigma(20 \cdot 1 - 30)$$

$$\xi = -1$$

ii) $X_1 \text{ NOR } X_2 = \text{NOT}(X_1 \text{ OR } X_2)$
 $= \text{NOT } X_1 \text{ AND NOT } X_2$ (negating)

Since the inputs are negated, flipping the weights from (i) will work. However, the

$$\Rightarrow \theta_1 = \theta_2 = -30, \theta_3 = 30$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma(\theta_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \theta_3 x_3) \text{ becomes:}$$

$$\sigma(-30) \text{ for } x_1 = x_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_1 = \theta_2 = -30, \theta_3 = 20$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma(20) \approx 1 \quad (x_1 = x_2 = 0)$$

$$\sigma(20 - 30) = \sigma(-10) \approx 0 \quad (x_1 = 0 \text{ or } x_2 = 1 \text{ but not both})$$

$$\sigma(20 - 60) = \sigma(-40) \approx 0$$

\Rightarrow Hence the above weights emulate $X_1 \text{ NOR } X_2$.

(iii) $f = (X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (X_2 \wedge X_4) \vee (X_1 \wedge X_4) \vee (X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4)$
 $= (X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (X_2 \wedge X_4) \vee (X_1 \vee X_4) \wedge (X_2 \vee X_3) \vee (X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4)$
 $= 1$ as last three terms reduce to $z \vee z'$, which is always 1.

