

## Peer Evaluation Form for Group Work

Group number #2

Your name Dawood Al-Janaby on behalf of Group#2

Write the name of each of your group members in a separate column. For each person, indicate the extent to which you agree with the statement on the left, using a scale of 1-4 (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=agree; 4=strongly agree). Total numbers in each column.

Evaluation Criteria	Member: Ege Yesilyurt	Member: Weiqin Situ	Member: Arshia Hassanpour	Member: Raha Golsorkhi	Member: Dawood Al-Janaby	Member: Nurjahan Ahmed Shiah
Attends group meetings regularly and arrives on time.	4	4	4	4	4	4
Contributes meaningfully to group discussions.	4	4	4	4	4	4
Completes group assignments on time.	4	4	4	4	4	4
Prepares work in a quality manner.	4	4	4	4	4	4
Demonstrates a cooperative and supportive attitude.	4	4	4	4	4	4
Contributes significantly to the success of the project.	4	4	4	4	4	4
TOTALS	24	24	24	24	24	24

## **Feedback on team dynamics:**

### **1. How effectively did your group work? (List at least 3 things)**

Our group worked really effectively because we actually divided the work in a clear way early on, so everyone had something real to own instead of random tiny tasks. We also communicated a lot, like quick updates and check ins, which sounds obvious but it saved us from the whole “wait who is doing this part” situation. Another big thing was we did not wait until the last minute to combine everything, we were merging and checking progress as we went, so the final merge felt more like finishing touches than panic mode. Also, when someone got stuck, people helped right away. That matters. I remember one night I was staring at my screen way too long as my docker app was not responding as it supposed to be, and the second I messaged the group, Shiah replied and we solved it faster than I expected.

### **2. Were the behaviors of any of your team members particularly valuable or detrimental to the team? Explain. (List at least 3 things)**

The most valuable behaviors in our group were reliability, communication, and being supportive when problems came up. Everyone followed through on what they said they would do, so the work stayed balanced and we did not end up in a last minute situation where one person had to carry everything. People also shared issues and observations early instead of staying quiet, which helped us fix things while they were still small, so the progress felt steady. A concrete example of valuable teamwork was Ege taking the lead early on to set up the app backbone, meaning the base project structure and the Docker Compose workflow so the whole team could run the same stack locally (Next.js frontend, Django REST backend, and MySQL) and start building features without getting stuck on setup problems.

### **3. What did you learn about working in a group from this project that you will carry into your next group experience? (List at least 3 things)**

From building Fitiva together, we learned that the first week decisions matter a lot more than we expected. Next time, we want to lock in the shared setup right away, because having one consistent way to run the project (Docker Compose) saved us from the classic “it runs on my laptop” problem and made it easier for everyone to pull the repo and get started without a long setup struggle. We also learned that task distribution works best when it’s based on actual features with clear expectations. Finally, we learned to test as we

go, not at the very end. Running tests after merges and checking things early made merging our branches feel like finishing and polishing, not a struggle to fix stuff that should've been caught earlier.