

# Synchronization

**Advanced Embedded Linux  
Development  
with Dan Walkes**



University of Colorado **Boulder**

## **Learning objectives:**

Understand Race Conditions

Synchronization with PThread

# Race Conditions

- Different program behavior depending on which thread gets there first
- “Unsynchronized access of a shared resource leads to erroneous program behavior”
  - hardware, kernel resource, memory
  - memory - data race - most common form

# Race Conditions

- critical region - region of code which needs synchronization.
- Eliminate races by synchronizing thread access to critical regions.

# Example Race Conditions

- When is this code not thread safe?
  - When account is a shared structure in a multi-threaded program

```
/**
 * Non thread-safe implementation of withdraw, using no locking
 */
static bool withdraw_unsafe( struct account *account, unsigned int amount )
{
    bool success = false;
    const int balance = account->current_balance;
    if ( balance >= amount ) {
        success = true;
        printf("Withdrawl approved\n");
        account->current_balance = balance - amount;
        account->withdrawl_total += amount;
        disburse_money(amount);
    }
    return success;
}
```

# Example Race Conditions

- Is this code thread safe when the hardware does not support parallelism?
  - No, concurrency is still an issue.

```
/**
 * Non thread-safe implementation of withdraw, using no locking
 */
static bool withdraw_unsafe( struct account *account, unsigned int amount )
{
    bool success = false;
    const int balance = account->current_balance;
    if ( balance >= amount ) {
        success = true;
        printf("Withdrawl approved\n");
        account->current_balance = balance - amount;
        account->withdrawl_total += amount;
        disburse_money(amount);
    }
    return success;
}
```

# Example Race Conditions

```

struct simple
{
    int x;
}

void somefunc(struct simple *s)
{
    s->x++;
}
  
```

| Instruction | s->x value | Thread 1                | Thread 2                |
|-------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1           | 5          | load s->x into register |                         |
| 2           | 5          | add 1 to register       |                         |
| 3           | 6          | store register in s->x  |                         |
| 4           | 6          |                         | load s->x into register |
| 5           | 6          |                         | add 1 to register       |
| 6           | 7          |                         | store register in s->x  |

Concurrency thread switch  
here is a problem



| Instruction | s->x value | Thread 1                | Thread 2                |
|-------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1           | 5          | load s->x into register |                         |
| 2           | 5          | add 1 to register       |                         |
| 3           | 5          |                         | load s->x into register |
| 4           | 6          | store register in s->x  |                         |
| 5           | 6          |                         | add 1 to register       |
| 6           | 6          |                         | store register in s->x  |

# Example Race Conditions

- An atomic operation is indivisible, unable to be interleaved with other operation, appears instantaneous
- ++ is not atomic
- To make shared memory operations safe we need to make the sequence atomic



# Synchronizing

- Mutually exclusive lock or *mutex*

```
/**
 * Thread safe implementation of withdraw() using mutexes
 */
static bool withdraw_mutex( struct account *account, unsigned int amount )
{
    bool success = false;
    int rc = pthread_mutex_lock(&account->mutex);
    if ( rc != 0 ) {
        printf("pthread_mutex_lock failed with %d\n",rc);
    } else {
        const int balance = account->current_balance;
        if ( balance >= amount ) {
            success = true;
            printf("Withdrawal approved\n");
            account->current_balance = balance - amount;
            account->withdrawal_total += amount;
        }
        rc = pthread_mutex_unlock(&account->mutex);
        if ( rc != 0 ) {
            printf("pthread_mutex_unlock failed with %d\n",rc);
            success = false; // not sure if we should give out cash in this case, error on the safe side...
        }
        if ( success ) {
            disburse_money(amount);
        }
    }
    return success;
}
```

# Synchronizing

- Why don't we need a lock around `disburse_money`?
  - No shared memory reference

```
/**
 * Thread safe implementation of withdraw() using mutexes
 */
static bool withdraw_mutex( struct account *account, unsigned int amount )
{
    bool success = false;
    int rc = pthread_mutex_lock(&account->mutex);
    if ( rc != 0 ) {
        printf("pthread_mutex_lock failed with %d\n",rc);
    } else {
        const int balance = account->current_balance;
        if ( balance >= amount ) {
            success = true;
            printf("Withdrawal approved\n");
            account->current_balance = balance - amount;
            account->withdrawal_total += amount;
        }
        rc = pthread_mutex_unlock(&account->mutex);
        if ( rc != 0 ) {
            printf("pthread_mutex_unlock failed with %d\n",rc);
            success = false; // not sure if we should give out cash in this case, error on the safe side...
        }
        if ( success ) {
            disburse_money(amount);
        }
    }
    return success;
}
```

# Deadlocks

- Two threads both waiting for each other to finish.
- One thread blocked on a mutex it already holds.
- How to avoid?
  - Lock data not code - are multiple locks really required?
  - Have a specific order for obtaining locks when multiple data locks are required.
  - Release in opposite order obtained.

# PThread Library - Creating

PTHREAD\_CREATE(3)

NAME

pthread\_create - create a new thread

SYNOPSIS

```
#include <pthread.h>
```

```
int pthread_create(pthread_t *thread, const pthread_attr_t *attr,  
void *(*start_routine) (void *), void *arg);
```

```
static void *start_withdrawl_thread (void *arg)
```

```
int rc = pthread_create(thread_array[thread],  
                        NULL, // Use default attributes  
                        start_withdrawl_thread,  
                        &params);
```

- Thread created/starts execution in start\_withdrawl\_thread, passed &params
- attr - null or default attributes (stack size, scheduling params, detached state)
- thread - null or location to store thread ID

# PThread Library

- Ways to terminate a thread:
  - Return from `start_thread` routine
  - Invoke `pthread_exit()` (itself)
  - Cancelled by `pthread_cancel()` (another thread)
- When a process exits, all threads are killed

# PThread Library

- Joining a thread
  - Block in one thread while waiting for another to terminate

```
int rc = pthread_join(*thread_array[thread],NULL);
if( rc != 0 ) {
    printf("Attempt to pthread_join thread %u failed with %d\n",thread,rc);
    success=false;
}
```

# PThread Library

- Detached Threads
  - Threads which aren't joinable - see `pthread_detach()`
  - Threads consume system resources until joined
- If attachable threads consume resources until joined, what happens if we don't call `pthread_join()` on joinable threads?
  - Memory Leak

# PThread Mutexes

```
int rc = pthread_mutex_lock(&account->mutex);
if ( rc != 0 ) {
    printf("pthread_mutex_lock failed with %d\n",rc);
} else {
```

```
rc = pthread_mutex_init(&account->mutex,NULL);
if ( rc != 0 ) {
    printf("Failed to initialize account mutex, error was %d",rc);
    success = false;
}
```

Critical section goes here

```
rc = pthread_mutex_unlock(&account->mutex);
if ( rc != 0 ) {
    printf("pthread_mutex_unlock failed with %d\n",rc);
    success = false; // not sure if we should give out cash in this case, error on the safe side...
}
```

- Why store the mutex in the account structure?
  - Lock data not code



# Scoped Locks

```
class ScopedMutex {
public:
    ScopedMutex (pthread_mutex_t &mutex)
        :mutex(mutex)
    {
        int rc = pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
        if ( rc != 0 ) {
            printf("Attempt to obtain mutex failed with %d\n",rc);
        }
    }

    ~ScopedMutex ()
    {
        int rc = pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
        if ( rc != 0 ) {
            printf("Attempt to unlock mutex failed with %d\n",rc);
        }
    }

private:
    pthread_mutex_t &mutex;
};
```

- Uses C++ allocation constructor/destructor to:
  - Acquire mutex on create (constructor)
  - Release mutex when falling out of scope (destructor)
  - Known as RAII (Resource Acquisition Is Initialization)

# Scoped Locks

```
class ScopedMutex {
public:
    ScopedMutex (pthread_mutex_t &mutex)
        :mutex(mutex)
    {
        int rc = pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
        if ( rc != 0 ) {
            printf("Attempt to obtain mutex failed with %d\n",rc);
        }
    }

    ~ScopedMutex ()
    {
        int rc = pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
        if ( rc != 0 ) {
            printf("Attempt to unlock mutex failed with %d\n",rc);
        }
    }

private:
    pthread_mutex_t &mutex;
};
```

```
static inline bool withdraw_scoped( struct account *account, unsigned int amount )
{
    bool success = false;
    { // create a scope for the scoped mutex.  Mutex will be held within this scope
        ScopedMutex lock(account->mutex);
        const int balance = account->current_balance;
        if ( balance >= (long) amount ) {
            success = true;
            printf("Withdrawal approved\n");
            account->current_balance = balance - amount;
            account->withdrawal_total += amount;
        }
    }
    if ( success ) {
        disburse_money(amount);
    }
    return success;
}
```