1. Let
$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 8 & 12 & 15 & 15 \\ 8 & 14 & 8 & 7 & 9 \\ 12 & 8 & 10 & 13 & 9 \\ 15 & 7 & 13 & 4 & 10 \\ 15 & 9 & 9 & 10 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 11 & 14 & 10 & 3 \\ 11 & 14 & 10 & 14 & 6 \\ 14 & 10 & 16 & 18 & 4 \\ 10 & 14 & 18 & 18 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 & 8 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$, $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 13 & 12 & 16 & 6 \\ 13 & 4 & 14 & 9 & 15 \\ 12 & 14 & 6 & 5 & 5 \\ 16 & 9 & 5 & 2 & 6 \\ 6 & 15 & 5 & 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$
Suppose $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \to S^5$ is defined by
$$A(x) = A_0 + x_1 A_1 + x_2 A_2.$$

$$A(x) = A_0 + x_1 A_1 + x_2 A_1$$

(a)

- Let $\lambda_1(x) \geq \lambda_2(x) \geq \lambda_3(x) \geq \lambda_4(x) \geq \lambda_5(x)$ denote the eigenvalues of A(x).
- (a) Formulate the problem of minimizing the spread of the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(x) \lambda_5(x)$ as an SDP.
- (b) Solve (a) by using MATLAB with the CVX tool. What are the optimal point and optimal value?

Minimize
$$\lambda_{i}(x) - \lambda_{5}(x)$$

subject $\lambda_{i}(x) \leq \lambda_{i}(x) \perp \lambda_{5}(x) \perp \lambda_$

$$x1 = -0.596605$$
, $x2 = -0.335843$

minimize(lamda1-lamda2)

A = A0 + x1*A1 + x2*A2; $A \le lamda1*eye(5);$ A >= lamda2*eye(5);

 $fprintf("x1 = %f, x2 = %f\n", x1, x2);$

subject to

cvx_end

2. Prove that
$$x^* = (1, 1/2, -1)$$
 is optimal for the optimization problem

minimize
$$f_0(x) = (1/2)x^T P x + q^T x + r$$

subject to $-1 \le x_i \le 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$

where

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 12 & -2 \\ 12 & 17 & 6 \\ -2 & 6 & 12 \end{bmatrix}, \ q = \begin{bmatrix} -22 \\ -14.5 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix}, \ r = 1.$$

(Hint: you may verify the optimality condition.) (15%)

(Hint: you may verify the optimality condition.) (15%)

$$\nabla f_o(x) = P_{\mathcal{K}} + \mathcal{E} \implies \nabla f_o(x^*) = \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 12 & -2 \\ 12 & 17 & 6 \\ -2 & 6 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -2^2 \\ -16.5 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 14.5 \\ -14 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -22 \\ -14.5 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

By the optimal andition, we know that if
$$x^*$$
 is optimal point $\nabla f_0(x^*)^T (y-x^*) \ge 0$; $\forall y \in \text{feasible set}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 - 1 \\ y_2 - y_2 \\ y_3 + 1 \end{bmatrix} \geqslant 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -(\gamma_{1}-1)+2(\gamma_{3}+1) \geqslant 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -f_1 + 2f_3 + 3 \ge 0$$

$$\text{for all } f \text{ satisfying } -1 \le f_1 \le 1 \text{ is } i = 1,2,3 \text{ is true } f$$

minimize
$$f_0(x)/(c^Tx+d)$$

subject to $f_i(x) \le 0, \quad i=1,\ldots,m$

Ax = bwhere f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_m are convex, and the domain of the objective function is defined as $\{x \in \text{dom } f_0 : f_0 : f_m \in f_m \}$ $c^T x + d > 0$.

Prove that the above problem is equivalent to the following problem:

minimize
$$g_0(y,t)$$

subject to $g_i(y,t) \le 0, \quad i=1,\ldots,m$
 $Ay = bt$
 $c^T y + dt = 1$

where g_i is the perspective of f_i (see §3.2.6). The variables are $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Further show that this problem is convex. (20%)

(1) Suppose
$$\mathcal{K}$$
 is feasible in original problem. Define $t = \frac{1}{C^T x + d}$, where $t > 0$ is $f = \frac{x}{C^T x + d}$

$$\Rightarrow g_a(f, t) = \frac{1}{t} f_o(\frac{x}{t}) = \frac{1}{t} \cdot f_o(x) = \frac{f_o(x)}{C^T x + d}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{\lambda}(\gamma, t) \leq 0 \quad \lambda = 1, \dots, M \qquad \therefore f_{\lambda}(x) \leq 0 \quad \lambda = 1, \dots, M$$

$$\Rightarrow A \gamma = b t \Rightarrow \frac{A \times}{C^{T} \times t d} = \frac{b}{C^{T} \times t d} \Rightarrow A \times = b$$

$$\Rightarrow c^{T}y + dt = |\Rightarrow \frac{c^{T}x + d}{c^{T}x + d} + \frac{d}{c^{T}x + d} = 1$$

Then toy are feasible in the equivalent problem

We must have t>0. Define x= 1/2 We have x < dom fi for i=0,...,m

$$\Rightarrow \frac{f_{\delta}(x)}{C^{T}x+d} = \frac{f_{\delta}(\frac{x}{4})}{C^{T}(\frac{x}{4})+d} = \frac{t f_{\delta}(\frac{x}{4})}{C^{T}y+dt} = t f_{\delta}(\frac{x}{4}) = g_{\delta}(\frac{y}{4})+d$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{\delta}(x) = f_{\delta}(\frac{x}{4}) = t f_{\delta}(\frac{y}{4}) \leq 0$$

=> Ax=b => A(//4)=b => Ay=btThen X is feasible in the original problem, with the

Then X is Jeasible in the original problem, with the objective value go(y,t)

3 So the transformed problem is equivalent to the original problem. 4

3 So the transformed problem is equivalent to the original problem. Ht

And this problem is convex the

4. Formulate the following problem as a linear program.

minimize $||Ax - b||_{\infty}$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Show that your linear program is equivalent to the original problem. (15%)

Equivalent to the linear program

Minimize tSubject to $Ax-b \le t$

 $Ax-b \ge -t1$

in the variable x, t. To see the equivalence, assume x is fixed in this problem, and we optimize only over t.

The Gustraints say that

$$-t \leq \overline{a_k} x - b_k \leq t$$

for each k, i.e., $t \ge |a_k x - b_k|$, i.e.,

$$t \ge \max_{k} |a_k^T x - b_k| = \|Ax - b\|_{\infty}$$

Clearly, if x is fixed, the optimal value of the LP

is $p^*(x) = ||Ax-b||_{\infty}$. Therefore optimizing over t and x

simultaneously is equivalent to the original problem.

Give the dual problem, and make the implicit equality constraints explicit. (15%)

$$\sum (x, \lambda, v) = c^{T}x + \lambda^{T}(4x-h) + v^{T}(Ax-b)$$

$$= (C^{T} + \chi^{T} G + V^{T} A) \chi - \chi^{T} h - V^{T} b$$

which is an affine function of
$$x$$
.

$$g(\lambda, V) = \inf_{X} \{ L(X, \lambda, V) \} = \{ -\lambda^{T} h - V^{T} \} ; \text{ if } C^{T} + \lambda^{T} q + V^{T} A = 0 \}$$

$$f(\lambda, V) = \inf_{X} \{ L(X, \lambda, V) \} = \{ -\lambda^{T} h - V^{T} \} ; \text{ if } C^{T} + \lambda^{T} q + V^{T} A = 0 \}$$

$$f(\lambda, V) = \inf_{X} \{ L(X, \lambda, V) \} = \{ -\lambda^{T} h - V^{T} \} ; \text{ if } C^{T} + \lambda^{T} q + V^{T} A = 0 \}$$

maximize
$$g(x, v)$$

3 After making the implicit constraint explicit, we have

subject to
$$\lambda \ge 0$$

OThe dual function is given by

maximize
$$-h^{T}\lambda - b^{T}V$$

subject to $C + G^{T}\lambda + A^{T}V = 0$

6. Derive a dual problem for minimize $-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log(b_i - a_i^T x)$ with domain $\{x: a_i^T x < b_i, i = 1, \dots, m\}$. First introduce new variables y_i and equality constraints $y_i = b_i - a_i^T x$. (15%)

minimize
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \gamma_i$$

subject to $\gamma = b - Ax$

where
$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$
 has a_i^T as its i th row. The Lagrangian is

$$\int (x,y,v) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log x_i + \sqrt{(y-b+Ax)}$$

for
$$y_i = |v_i|$$
. The dual function

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{maximize} & \sum_{i=1}^{M} b_{i} V_{i} - b^{T} V + M \\
\text{subject to} & A^{T} V = 0
\end{array}$$

 $g(v) = \inf_{x,y} \left\{ L(x,y,v) \right\} = \inf_{x,y} \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log f_i + \sqrt{(y-b+Ax)} \right\}$

The term V^TAX is unbounded unless $A^TV = 0$ and the term