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# **Software architecture description**

# **Project description** To program a drone to fly around and collect sensor readings from sensors in a defined confinement area. This drone can only move in steps of 0.0003 degrees and can only turn in degrees of 10. This drone must also avoid no-fly-zones in the area whilst mapping its route. After collecting readings from all the sensors this drone must return to it’s start point and output the readings of the sensors (to a .geojson file) and a log of the movements it made during its flight (to a .txt file).

# **Software architecture summary**

**1) Retrieving command line inputs**  
This program works by first retrieving all the relevant command line arguments that specify the date we want to map a route for, the starting point (in longitude and latitude), and the port of connection. Upon retrieval these inputs are validated for data type and correctness (eg. does not allow impossible dates). If one of these inputs is invalid, the program terminates.

**2) Connecting to the web server**  
Once these inputs are retrieved and validated our program first tries to connect to the web server at the specified port using the java HTTP class. If this fails, the program terminates.

**3) Retrieving the relevant data from the web server and parsing it into Java objects**

**3.1) Retrieving and parsing the maps file**  
Assuming a successful connection to the web server our program retrieves the data for all the sensors to be read for the specified date. It does this by retrieving the *air-quality-data.json* file for the given date (in the *maps/YYYY/MM/DD/* directory) which stores the data for all the sensors: the What3Words location, the battery percentage, and the air-quality reading. These are parsed using substring indexing and are stored in custom ‘Sensor’ objects.

**3.2) Retrieving and parsing the What3Words files**  
Now that we have the sensor data, we must retrieve the respective coordinates for each What3Words location. Our program does this by iterating through all the different sensors to retrieve the *details.json* file for each given What3Words location (in the *words/W1/W2/W3/* directory). The only data we need from this file is the ‘coordinates’ object which represents the centre of the respective What3Words tile. These are parsed using substring indexing and are stored in their respective ‘Sensor’ objects. All of the sensors are then stored in one global ArrayList of Sensor objects called ‘sensors’.

**3.3) Retrieving and parsing the no-fly-zones file**  
The last thing we need to retrieve from the web server is the no fly zones for the drone, these represent tall buildings which the drone must avoid in order to prevent a crash. Our program does this by retrieving the *no-fly-zones.geojson* file (in the *buildings/* directory). This file contains a Geo-JSON FeatureCollection which stores each no-fly-zone as a feature. The only data we need from each of these features is the ‘Polygon’ geometry object which stores an array of coordinates representing the vertices for the given no-fly-zone. These are parsed using substring indexing and are stored in custom ‘Building’ objects. All of the buildings are then stored in one global ArrayList of Building objects called ‘buildings’.

**4) Find optimal sensor route**  
We use an algorithm to determine the optimal route to visit sensors. I implemented many different algorithms to find the best based on both performance and execution time.

**5) Calculate and record valid moves for the drone to follow this route**  
We start at the coordinates specified by the input arguments. Our program then iterates through the *findPoint()* method which returns a valid move that takes the drone closer to the next sensor in the queue. This is iterated until the drone has visited all the sensors in the route. These moves are calculated using planar trigonometry and will be discussed in detail further on.

Java classes used:

* Java.io.FileWriter
* Java.io.IOException
* Java.util.ArrayList
* Java.util.Arrays
* Java.net.URI
* Java.net.http.\*

# **Class documentation**

# **Custom classes**

# **Point**

s

# **Sensor** (extends Point)

s

# **Building**

s

# **LineGraph**

s

# **Move**

s

# **Fragment** (custom class for the *temperate()* algorithm) s

Draw a class diagram

Note usage of Java’s object hierarchy

# **Drone control algorithm**

# **Finding the optimal sensor route** In order to decide the optimal sensor route I decided to try lots of different algorithms to find what was optimal.

# **Algorithms**

# **Table Description automatically generatedTesting**

Upon testing I came to realize that the same sensors were used every 92 days. This is evident by the repetitive pattern shown in the plot below which shows the performance of all the different algorithms for all the days.
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Therefore, for the sake of clarity, when plotting scatter plots to show the performance for each individual day I will only these unique 92 days.
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**Optimal algorithm for both performance and time**

**Optimal algorithm to use for scalability (noting the number of sensors that would be required for all of Edinburgh)**

**Best # moves map**

**Worst # moves map**

**Calculating moves**

**Calculating a single move**

**Avoiding no fly zones**

1. Minimizing computation load while retaining good efficiency

Various route-finding implementations and their accuracy:

* Greedy w/ 2-Opt:
  + 108.75 moves
  + O(n!) + O(n^2) = O(n!)
* 2-Opt w/ Swap
  + Moves
  + O(n^2) +

Complexities:

O((n^2 + n)/2) + O(n^2 + n) = O(n^2)

1. Optimizing efficiency with less regard for computational load

Compare the execution time and performance of all our different models over all the different dates

I noticed that the sensor locations were repeated every 92 days the only difference being readings. This is evident as shown by the graph below which shows the performance for all days in 2020 and 2021.

Therefore, for performance analysis I decided for the sake of readability and redundancy to just include these 92 days to compare different algorithm performances.