```
1)
 c)
  When we combine the summaries of two sublists, we need these information.
       The right end of the first sublist
       The left end of the second sublist
       Biggest distance tuple {distance, index}.
        the number of vectors in a sublist
2)
b) The node need these information.
       - the key
       - the count of each keys
 The information is useful for both the upward and downward pass.
 i)
  Time complexity:
  running count ser() : O(n)
  rcp leaf1(): O(N/P)
  rcp combine(): O(K)
  rcp root(): O(1)
  rcp leaf2(): O(N/P)
  running count par(): O(N/P+KlogP)
  Space complexity:
  running count ser() : O(nK)
  ProcStat: O(NK/p)
  Message : O(K)
j)
P = 32 , N = 100 , M = 100
SeqTime = 0.000017
ParTime = 0.000223
SpeedUp = 0.07718593632302209
P = 32 , N = 1000 , M = 100
```

SeqTime = 0.000197ParTime = 0.000600

SeqTime = 0.001969

SpeedUp = 0.32796596668490197 P = 32, N= 10000, M = 100 ParTime = 0.001513

SpeedUp = 1.3013933174274206

P = 32 , N = 100000 , M = 100

SeqTime = 0.026656

ParTime = 0.009354

SpeedUp = 2.8495236689843884

P = 32 , N = 1000000 , M = 100

SeqTime = 0.594591

ParTime = 0.092806

SpeedUp = 6.406842831542136

P = 1 , N = 1000000 , M = 100

SeqTime = 0.496833

ParTime = 1.125992

SpeedUp = 0.4412403404826895

P = 2 , N = 1000000 , M = 100

SeqTime = 0.546545

ParTime = 0.832784

SpeedUp = 0.6562874788646607

P = 4 , N = 1000000 , M = 100

SeqTime = 0.448665

ParTime = 0.445064

SpeedUp = 1.0080912346481727

P = 8 , N = 1000000 , M = 100

SeqTime = 1.331783

ParTime = 0.219897

SpeedUp = 6.056384677086701

P = 16, N = 1000000, M = 100

SeqTime = 0.504913

ParTime = 0.129351

SpeedUp = 3.9034462433616524

P = 32 , N = 1000000 , M = 100

SeqTime = 0.502153

ParTime = 0.084394

SpeedUp = 5.950099623735606

P = 64, N = 1000000, M = 100

SeqTime = 1.440926

ParTime = 0.086400

SpeedUp = 16.677370836071482

P = 128 , N = 1000000 , M = 100

SeqTime = 0.686327

ParTime = 0.093082

SpeedUp = 7.37339492257531

```
P = 256 , N = 1000000 , M = 100
```

SeqTime = 0.532602

ParTime = 0.177314

SpeedUp = 3.0037261000843785

P = 32 , N = 100000 , M = 10

SeqTime = 0.008829

ParTime = 0.004439

SpeedUp = 1.9888624406510655

P = 32 , N = 100000 , M = 100

SeqTime = 0.021231

ParTime = 0.009448

SpeedUp = 2.2471259083661184

P = 32 , N = 100000 , M = 1000

SeqTime = 0.042099

ParTime = 0.023542

SpeedUp = 1.7882322385456852

P = 32 , N = 100000 , M = 10000

SeqTime = 0.044251

ParTime = 0.074270

SpeedUp = 0.5958033453131196

My sequential version runtime is running in O(n). When the data size increase and its runtime also increase. My parallel version runtime is running in O(N/P+KlogP). It can be observed that the speed up is increasing when we fix the number of processes and increases the number of data. This corresponds to the models in class that when the number of data increases, the computation cost dominates the communication cost.

In addition, it can be observed that the speed up is increasing when we fix the number of data and increases the number of processes, but the speed up decreases slightly when the processes reaches 256. This also corresponds to the models in class that when the number of processes increases, the computation cost dominates the communication cost, but when there are too many processes, the communication cost increases again.

For sequential version, the runtime increase as the M increase, which does not agree with the theoretical analysis. This should relate to space complexity. For parallel version, M is equal to K, when K is increasing, the Message size will also increase. And the communication part will dominate the function. And the speedup will decrease with M size increase.

a)

P is perfect square and it is a torus cut it into half. Therefore, the cross-section bandwidth is $2\sqrt{P}$.

b)

We have N/2 data need to pass through cross-section. And the cross-section bandwidth is $\frac{N}{2(2\sqrt[N]{P})}$. Therefore, the lower bound is $\Omega(\frac{N}{\sqrt{P}})$.

c)

$$P \leq (Log N)^2$$

d)

P is perfect cube and it is a torus cut it into half. Therefore, the cross-section bandwidth is $2P^{(2/3)}$.

e)

The lower bound is $\Omega(\frac{N}{\hat{P}(\hat{\gamma}_3)})$.

f)

$$P \leq (Log N)^3$$

g)

3c:
$$(\log 2^{30})^2 = 900$$

3f:
$$(\log 2^{30})^3$$
 27000