Math 655 Henry Woodburn

# 655 Notes

# **Product Topology**

Let  $\Gamma$  be a set and  $(X_{\gamma}, \tau_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  a collection of topological spaces. The Product topology on  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_{\gamma}$  is defined as the weakest topology on  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_{\gamma}$  which makes the projection maps  $\pi_{\gamma} : \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_{\gamma}$  continuous.

**Example.** On  $\mathbb{R}^{\Gamma}$ , the product topology is given by the following neighborhood basis:

$$\{U(x; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n; \varepsilon) : \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma, \varepsilon > 0, n \ge 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^{\gamma}\},\$$

where  $U(x; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n; \varepsilon) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{\Gamma} : |z_{\gamma_i} - x_{\gamma_i}| < \varepsilon, 1 \le i \le n\}.$  $\mathbb{R}^{\Gamma}$  with the product topology is hausdorff.

# Locally Convex Topological Vector Spaces

**Definition.** A topological vector space is a vector space X equipped with a topology  $\tau$  such that the maps

$$A: X \times X \to X$$
  $\Omega: \mathbb{R} \times X \to X$   $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1 + x_2$   $(a, x) \mapsto ax$ 

are both continuous.

A TVS is locally convex if every point has a local base consisting of convex sets.

**Example.** An arbitrary product of LCTVS's is an LCTVS with the product Topology. A vector subspace of an LCTVS is an LCTVS when given the relative topology.

### **Dual Pairs**

Let E be a vector space and let  $E^{\#} := \{f : E \to \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is linear}\}$  be the algebraic dual space. Let E and F be vector spaces. Then a bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times F \to \mathbb{R}$  induces two maps:

$$\begin{split} \varphi : & E \to F^{\#} & \psi : F \to E^{\#} \\ & e \mapsto f \mapsto \langle e, f \rangle & f \mapsto e \mapsto \langle e, f \rangle. \end{split}$$

**Definition.** A dual pair is a pair of vector spaces E, F and a bilinear map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times F \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

- a.) E separates points in F, meaning for all  $f_1, f_2 \in E, f_1 \neq f_2$ , there is an  $e \in E$  such that  $\langle e, f_1 \rangle \neq \langle e, f_2 \rangle$ .
- b.) F separates points in E.

We write  $\langle E, F \rangle$  is a dual pair.

Remark. The statement that E separates points in F is equivalent to the statement that for  $f \in F$ , if for all  $e \in E$ ,  $\langle e, f \rangle = 0$ , then f = 0. Then  $\psi$  is an injection, and we can identify F with its image in under  $\psi$  in  $E^{\#}$ . The dual statement is that if F separates points in E, we can identify E with its image under  $\varphi$  in  $F^{\#}$ .

**Example.** Given a vector space E,  $\langle E, E^{\#} \rangle$  is a dual pair for  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E^{\#} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $(e, e^{\#}) \mapsto e^{\#}(e)$ .

**Example.** Given a normed vector space X,  $\langle X, X^* \rangle$  is a dual pair for  $\langle \cdot, \cdot, \rangle : X \times X^* \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $(x, x^*) \mapsto x^*(x)$ .

**Definition.** Let  $\langle E, F \rangle$  be a dual pair. The weak topology associated to the dual pair, denoted by  $\sigma(E, F)$ , is defined as the restriction to E of the product topology on  $\mathbb{R}^F$ .

Remark. We showed that we can view E as a subset of  $F^{\#}$  by the injection  $\varphi$ .  $F^{\#}$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{F}$ , the space of all maps  $F \to \mathbb{R}$ , consisting of those maps which are linear. Then we can view E as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{F}$ .

**Example.** Let X be a normed vector space and consider the dual pair  $\langle X, X^* \rangle$ , with  $\langle e, e^* \rangle = e^*(e)$ . The topology  $\sigma(X, X^*)$  on X is called the weak topology. The topology  $\sigma(X^*, X)$  on  $X^*$  is called the weak topology.

We now give some equivalent definitions for the weak topology in the case that X is a normed vector space and  $\langle X, X^* \rangle$  is our dual pair.

#### Weak Topology

The weak topology on X is given by:

• The topology generated by the sets

$$U(x_0; x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*; \varepsilon) = \{x \in X : |\langle x_0, x_i^* \rangle - \langle x, x_i^* \rangle| < \varepsilon, 1 \le i \le n\}$$
$$= \{x \in X : |x_i^*(x_0) - x_i^*(x)| < \varepsilon, 1 \le i \le n\}$$

- If  $\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$  is a net in X and  $x \in X$ , then  $x_{\alpha} \to x$  weakly if and only if for all  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $x^*(x_{\alpha}) \to x^*(x)$
- the weakest topology on X which makes all of the bounded linear functionals on X continuous.

## Weak\* Topology

The weak\* topology on  $X^*$  is given by

• the topology generated by sets

$$U(x_0^*; x_1, \dots, x_n; \varepsilon) = \{x^* \in X^* : |x_0^*(x_i) - x^*(x_i)| < \varepsilon, 1 \le i \le n\}$$

- $x_{\alpha}^* \to x^*$  in the weak\* topology if and only if  $x_{\alpha}^*(x) \to x^*(x)$  for all  $x \in X$
- the weakest topology on  $X^*$  for which the maps  $x^* \to x^*(x)$  are continuous for every  $x \in X$ .

Remark. The map  $i:(X^*,\sigma(X^*,X))\to\mathbb{R}^X, x^*\mapsto (x^*(x))_{x\in X}$  is a homeomorphism from  $(X^*,\sigma(X^*,X))$  onto its image in  $\mathbb{R}^X$  with the product topology.

We have  $x_{\alpha}^* \to x^*$  in the weak\* topology if and only if for all  $x \in X$ ,  $x_{\alpha}^*(x) \to x^*(x)$ , if and only if  $i(x_{\alpha}^*) \to i(x^*)$  in the product topology.

Remark. The map  $j:(X,\sigma(X,X^*))\to X^{**}\subset\mathbb{R}^{X^*}, x\mapsto (x^*(x))_{x^*\in X^*}$  is a homeomorphism from  $(X,\sigma(X,X^*))$  onto its image in  $(X^{**},\sigma(X^{**},X^*))$ .

We have  $x_{\alpha} \to x$  weakly if and only if for all  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $x^*(x_{\alpha}) \to x^*(x)$  if and only if  $j(x_{\alpha}) \to j(x)$  in the weak\* topology on  $X^{**}$ .

**Proposition.** Let X be a normed space.

1. 
$$(X, \sigma(X, X^*))^* = X^*$$

2. 
$$(X^*, \sigma(X^*, x))^* = i(X)$$

*Proof.* (1.) We have  $(X, \sigma(X, X^*))^* \subset X^*$  because  $\sigma(X, X^*)$  is weaker than the norm topology, thus every functional which is weak-continuous is also norm-continuous. That  $X^* \subset (X, \sigma(X, X^*))$  follows by construction, since  $\sigma(X, X^*)$  ensures that each functional which is norm-continuous is also  $\sigma(X, X^*)$  continuous.

(2.) We have  $j(X) \subset (X^*, \sigma(X^*, X))^*$  by construction, since  $\sigma(X^*, X)$  is a topology such that the maps j(x) are continuous.

To show the other direction, let  $\varphi:(X^*,\sigma(X^*,X))\to\mathbb{R}$  be a weak\* continuous functional on  $X^*$ . Since  $\varphi$  is continuous, there is a weak\* neighborhood  $U\ni 0$  in  $X^*$  such that  $U\subset \varphi^{-1}(-1,1)$ .

From one of the above characterizations of the weak\* topology, we know that there must be elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  such that  $U = \{x^* : |x^*(x_i)| < \varepsilon \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n\}$ . Now suppose  $f^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker x_i$ . In particular, we have  $|f^*(x_i)| = 0 < \varepsilon$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , thus  $f^* \in U$ . Then for any  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $|\lambda f(x_i)| = \lambda 0 = 0 < \varepsilon$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , thus  $\lambda f^* \in U$ , and we have  $|\varphi(\lambda f^*)| < 1$  and thus  $|\varphi(f^*)| < 1/\lambda$ .

Since this holds for all  $\lambda > 0$ , it must be that  $\varphi(f^*) = 0$  and  $f^* \in \ker \varphi$ . We have therefore shown that  $\ker \varphi \subset \bigcap_{1}^{n} \ker x_{i}$ . Then linear algebra tells us that  $\varphi$  must be a linear combination of the functionals  $x_{i}$ ,  $\varphi = \sum_{1}^{n} a_{i}x_{i} := x$ . Then  $j(x) = \varphi$ 

**Theorem** (Banach-Alaoglu Theorem). Let X be a normed vector space. Then  $(B_{X^*}, \sigma(X^*, X))$  is a compact topological space.

Proof (outline) Observe that for all  $x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x^*(x)\| \leq \|x^*\| \|x\|$ . Then  $B_{X^*}$  embeds in  $\mathbb{R}^X$  by the map

$$i: B_{X^*} \to \prod_{x \in X} [-\|x\|, \|x\|] \subset \mathbb{R}^X$$
  
 $x^* \mapsto (x^*)_{x \in X}.$ 

 $K := \prod_{x \in X} [-\|x\|, \|x\|]$  is compact by Tychonoff's theorem.  $i(B_{X^*})$  consists of only the elements of K that are linear. To finish show nets in  $i(B_{X^*})$  converge to linear elements of K.

**Theorem.** If X is reflexive, then  $(B_X, \sigma(X, X^*))$  is compact.

#### Hahn-Banach Theorems

**Definition.** Let E be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . A subset  $A \subset E$  is called absorbing if for all  $x \in E$ , there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $x \in \lambda A$ .

A neighborhood of 0 in a topological vector space is absorbing: For all  $x \in E$ , the map  $\mu_{\lambda} : \mathbb{R} \to E$  sending  $\lambda$  to  $\lambda x$  is continuous and sends 0 to 0. Then if V is a neighborhood of 0 in E, there exists r > 0 such that  $(-r, r) \subset \mu_x^{-1}(V)$ , and thus for all  $|\lambda| < r$ ,  $\mu_x(\lambda) = \lambda x \in V$ .

**Definition.** Let A be an absorbing set in a topological vector space E. We define the gauge, or Minkowski Functional, of A, denoted  $\mu_A$ , as follows:

$$\mu_A : X \to [0, \infty)$$
  
 $x \mapsto \inf\{\lambda > 0 : x \in \lambda A\}$ 

Notice that  $\mu_A(0) = 0$ .

**Lemma.** If C is a convex absorbing subset, then

- i.  $\mu_C$  is a sublinear functional
- ii.  $\{x \in E : \mu_C(x) < 1\} \subset C \subset \{x \in E : \mu_C(x) \le 1\}.$
- iii. If E is an LCTVS and  $0 \in C^{\circ}$ , then  $\mu_C$  is continuous at 0.

*Proof.* (i.) Let  $x, y \in E$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By definition, there are  $\lambda, \mu > 0$  such that  $\lambda < \mu_C(x) + \varepsilon, \mu < \mu_C(y) + \varepsilon$  and  $x \in \lambda C, y \in \mu C$ . Then

$$\frac{x+y}{\lambda+\mu} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} \frac{x}{\lambda} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda+\mu} \frac{y}{\mu} \in C,$$

so that  $x + y \in (\lambda + \mu)C$  and  $\mu_C(x + y) \le \lambda + \mu \le \mu_C(x) + \mu_C(y) + 2\varepsilon$ . This shows subadditivity. Positive homogeneity is obvious after expanding the definition of  $\mu_C$ .

- (ii.) If  $x \in C$ , then  $x = \frac{x}{1}$ , which proves the second inclusion. For the first, if  $\mu_C(x) < 1$ , then for some  $\lambda < 1$ , we have  $x \in \lambda C$ . Since C is convex, writing  $x = \lambda \frac{x}{\lambda} + (1 \lambda)0$  shows that  $x \in C$ .
- (iii.) Since  $x \in C^{\circ}$ , there is a convex open neighborhood  $U \ni 0$  in C. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $\varepsilon U$  is also an open neighborhood of 0, and if  $x_{\alpha}$  is a net in E converging to 0, then there exists  $\alpha_{0}$  such that  $x_{\alpha} \in \varepsilon U$  for all  $\alpha > \alpha_{0}$ . Then  $\mu_{C}(x_{\alpha}) \leq \mu_{U}(x_{\alpha}) \leq \varepsilon$ .

## Geometric Hahn-Banach Separation Theorem for LCTVS

**Theorem.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an LCTVS, C a nonempty closed convex subset, and  $x_0 \in X \setminus C$ . Then there exists  $x^* \in (X, \tau)^*$  such that

$$x^*(x_0) > \sup_{x \in C} x^*(x)$$

*Proof.* WLOG, suppose  $0 \in C$ . Since C is closed,  $X \setminus C$  is open and there exists a convex neighborhood U of 0 such that  $x_0 + U \subset X \setminus C$ . Then take a convex neighborhood V of 0 such that  $V - V \subset U$  by continuity of operations in a TVS.

Let D = C + V and observe that  $(x_0 + V) \cap D = \emptyset$ , and D is convex and  $0 \in D^{\circ}$ . Need to write this step out to see how  $V - V \subset U$  is used.

Let  $\mu_D$  be the gauge of D. Then for all  $z \in x_0 + V$ ,  $\mu_D(z) \ge 1$ . Since V is open, there is a  $\lambda > 1$  such that  $\lambda x_0 \in x_0 + V$  and in fact  $\mu_D(x_0) > 1$ .

Now define

$$f: \mathbb{R}x_0 \to \mathbb{R}$$
$$\alpha x_0 \mapsto \alpha \mu_D(x_0)$$

and observe that f is linear. Then for any  $\alpha > 0$ , we have

$$f(\alpha x_0) = \alpha \mu_D(x_0) = \mu_D(\alpha x_0).$$

Likewise if  $\alpha < 0$  we have

$$f(\alpha x_0) = \alpha \mu_D(x_0) \le \mu_D(\alpha x_0),$$

so that  $f \leq \mu_D$  on  $\mathbb{R}x_0$ . By the algebraic Hahn-Banach theorem, we can extend f to a function  $F: X \to \mathbb{R}$  such that F equals f on the subspace  $\mathbb{R}x_0$ , and  $F \leq \mu_D$  on X. In particular,  $x \in D$  implies  $\mu_D(x) \leq 1$  and thus  $F(x) \leq 1$  on D and  $F(x) \geq -1$  on -D. Then we have  $|F(x)| \leq 1$  on  $D \cap (-D)$  and F is continuous at 0.

The inequality holds since  $F(x_0) \ge 1$  but F(x) < 1 for all  $x \in D$ .

## **Applications**

**Theorem** (Goldstine's Theorem). Let X be a normed space. Then

$$\overline{j(B_x)}^{\sigma(X^{**},X^*)} = B_{X^{**}}.$$

In particular,

$$\overline{j(X)}^{\sigma(X^{**},X^*)} = X^{**}.$$

Proof. First notice that

$$\overline{j(B_x)}^{\sigma(X^{**},X^*)} \subset B_{X^{**}}$$

since  $B_{X^{**}}$  is weak\* compact and hence closed.

Next suppose  $x_0 \in B_{X^{**}} \setminus \overline{j(B_x)}^{\sigma(X^{**},X^*)}$ .  $\sigma(X^{**},X^*)$  is a hausdorff LCVT, so we can apply geometric Hahn-Banach theorem to obtain  $\varphi \in (X,\sigma(X^{**},X^*)) = j(X^*)$  such that  $\varphi(x_0) > \sup_{x \in \overline{j(B_x)}^{\sigma(X^{**},X^*)}} \varphi(x)$ .

Then since  $\varphi = j(x_0^*)$  for some  $x_0^* \in X^*$ , we have

$$\varphi(x_0) > \sup_{x \in \overline{j(B_x)}^{\sigma(X^{**}, X^{*})}} x(x_0^{*}) \ge \sup_{x \in j(B_X)} x(x_0) = \sup_{x \in B_x} x_0^{*}(x) = ||x_0^{*}||.$$

However,  $j(x_0^*)(x_0) = x_0(x_0^*) \le ||x_0||_{\sigma(X^{**},X^*)} ||x_0^*||_{X^*} \le ||x_0||_{X^*}$ , which shows  $||x_0^*|| < ||x_0^*||$ , a contradiction.

**Theorem** (Mazur's Theorem). Let C be a convex subset of a normed space X. Then  $\overline{C}^{\|\cdot\|} = \overline{C}^w$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\overline{C}^{\|\cdot\|} \subset \overline{C}^w$  by definition. The intuition is that since the weak topology is less restrictive, it allows more into the closure.

Then suppose  $x_0 \in \overline{C}^w \setminus \overline{C}^{\|\cdot\|}$ .

By the Geometric Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists  $x_0^* \in (X, \|\cdot\|)^*$  such that  $x_0^*(x_0) > \sup_{x \in C} x_0^*(x)$ . Now let  $x_\alpha$  be a net in C converging weakly to  $x_0$ . Then for all  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $x^*(x_\alpha) \to x^*(x_0)$ . In particular,  $x_0^*(x_\alpha) \to x_0^*(x_0)$ . However, we have  $x_0^*(x_\alpha) \leq \sup_{x \in C} x_0^*(x)$ , implying  $x_0^*(x_0) \leq \sup_{x \in C} x_0^*(x) < x_0^*(x_0)$ , a contradiction.

**Theorem** (Eberlein-Smulian). Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed vector space. Then  $A \subset X$  is (relatively) weakly compact if and only if A is (relatively) weakly sequentially compact.

Remark. 1. The weak topology on X is metrizable iff X is finite dimensional

- 2. The weak topology on X is not 1st countable
- 3.  $(B_X, \sigma(X, X^*))$  is metrizable iff  $X^*$  is separable
- 4.  $(B_{X^*}, \sigma(X^*, X))$  is metrizable iff X is separable.

**Lemma.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space. If X is separable, then there exists a norm on X that induces a topology that is weaker than the weak topology on the unit ball.

Proof of Lemma. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a dense sequence in  $B_X$ . Choose  $x_n^* \in B_X$  such that  $x_n^*(x_n) = ||x_n||$  using algebraic Hahn-Banach theorem. Let  $p(x) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} |x_n^*(x)|$ , taking values in  $[0, \infty)$ . Check that p is a sublinear functional. Assume that p(x) = 0 and  $||x|| \le 1$ . Let  $i \ge 1$  such that  $||x - x_i|| < \varepsilon$ . Then

$$||x_i|| = |x_i^*(x_i)| = |x_i^*(x - x_i)| < ||x_i - x|| < \varepsilon$$

Now let r > 0 and consier  $\{x \in B_X : p(x) < r\}$ . Let  $V = \{x \in B_X : |x_i^*(x)| < \varepsilon, 1 \le i \le N\}$ . We can choose  $\varepsilon$  small so that the first N terms of p(x) sum to less than r/2 and N large so that the remaining terms sum to less than r/2.

Proof of Eberlein-Smulian  $(\Rightarrow)$  Since X is a normed vector space and A relatively weakly compact, every sequence in A has a subsequence which is convergent in X.

Let  $K = \overline{A}^{\sigma(X,X^*)}$ . Then K is weakly compact. Let  $a_n \in A$  and define  $Z := \overline{\operatorname{span}\{a_n\}}^{\|\cdot\|} \subset X$ . Z is a separable subspace of X.

Let  $K_0 = \overline{\{a_n\}}^{\sigma(X,X^*)}$ . Note that  $K_0 \subset Z$ , since Z is a convex set and is thus also weakly closed by Mazur's theorem. Also  $K_0$  is a weakly closed subset of K, which is compact, thus  $K_0$  is weakly compact.

In fact  $K_0$  is  $\sigma(Z, Z^*)$  compact by Hahn-Banach extension theorem, since every linear functional on Z extends to one on X.

Note that  $K_0$  is weakly compact and hence bounded in Z. By the previous lemma, there is a norm  $\rho$  on Z which induces a topology on  $K_0$  which is weaker than the weak topology.

The  $\rho$  topology actually coincides with  $\sigma(Z, Z^*)$  on  $K_0$ . This is because if  $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$  are both topologies, with  $\tau_1$  hausdorff and  $\tau_2$  compact, then  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ . Then  $K_0$  is metrizable, so  $a_n$  has a subsequence which is weakly convergent in Z.

**Definition.** Let  $A \subset (X, \|\cdot\|)$ . We say that A is weakly bounded if for all  $x^* \in X^*$ , the set  $x^*(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded. Remark. Every originally bounded subset is also weakly bounded.

**Lemma.** If A is weakly bounded, then A is norm bounded.

Proof. Consider linear maps  $T_a: X^* \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $x^* \mapsto x^*(a)$  for  $a \in A$ . Then  $||T_a|| = ||a||$ . Since A is weakly bounded, for each  $x^* \in X^*$  we have  $\sup_{a \in A} |T_a(x^*)| < \infty$ . Then the Uniform Boundedness Principle implies that  $\sup_{a \in A} ||T_a|| < \infty$  and thus  $\sup_{a \in A} ||a|| < \infty$ .

**Corollary.** If  $A \subset (X, \|\cdot\|)$  is (relatively) weakly compact OR (relatively) weakly sequentially compact, then A is norm-bounded.

*Proof.* Prof. only sketched. Prove by contradiction.

**Lemma.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space and  $E \subset X^*$  a finite dimensional subspace. Then there exists a finite subset  $F \subset X$  such that for all  $x^* \in E$ , we have

$$\frac{\|x^*\|}{2} \le \max_{x \in F} |x^*(x)| \le \|x^*\|$$

*Proof.* Since E is finite dimensional, the unit sphere  $S_E$  is compact. Then we can choose a finite  $\eta$ -net  $\{x_1^*, \ldots, x_N^*\}$  such that for all  $x^* \in S_E$ , there is some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$  such that  $\|x^* - x_i^*\| < \eta$ . For each i, choose  $x_i \in B_X$  such that  $|x_i^*(x_i)| > 1 - \eta$ .

Then for any  $x^* \in E$ , choose  $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$  such that  $\left\| \frac{x^*}{\|x^*\|} - x_i^* \right\| < \eta$ . Then we have

$$\left| \frac{x^*}{\|x^*\|}(x_i) \right| = \left| \left( \frac{x^*}{\|x^*\|} - x_i^* \right)(x_i) + x_i^*(x_i) \right| \ge |x_i^*(x_i)| - \left| \left( \frac{x^*}{\|x^*\|} - x_i^* \right)(x_i) \right| \ge 1 - \eta - \eta,$$

using the reverse triangle inequality. Then take  $\eta = 1/4$ .

Proof of Eberlein-Smulian ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Our first observation is that A is bounded by the above corollary. The second and main observation is that if  $A \subset X$  is bounded, then  $\overline{A}^{\sigma(X,X^*)}$  is compact if and only if  $\overline{J(A)}^{\sigma(X^{**},X^*)} \subset J(X)$ .

To prove the only if, first we have that  $j(\overline{A}^{\sigma(X,X^*)})$  is  $\sigma(X^{**},X^*)$  compact since J is weak to weak\* continuous. Then  $j(\overline{A}^{\sigma(X,X^*)})$  is closed since the weak\* topology is hausdorff. Then since  $A \subset \overline{A}^{\sigma(X,X^*)}$ , we have  $\overline{J(A)}^{\sigma(X^{**},X^*)} \subset j(\overline{A}^{\sigma(X,X^*)})$ .

For the other direction, A bounded implies j(A) bounded, so  $\overline{j(A)}^{\sigma(X^{**},X^{*})}$  is  $\sigma(X^{**},X^{*})$ -compact by Banach-Alaoglu. Now if  $\overline{j(A)}^{\sigma(X^{**},X^{*})} \subset J(X)$ , the  $\sigma(X^{**},X^{*})$  topology restricted to J(X) coincides with the weak topology on X and thus  $\overline{A}^{\sigma(X,X^{*})}$  is weakly compact.

Now we begin the proof. Let  $x_0^{**} \in \overline{J(A)}^{\sigma(X^{**},X^*)}$ . Our goal will be to show that there is some  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0^{**} = J(x)$ . We will construct a sequence  $\{a_n\} \subset A$  and  $\{x_n^*\} \subset B_{X^*}$  inductively.

Begin by taking  $x_1^* \in S_{X^*}$  and consider the  $\sigma(X^{**}, X^*)$  neighborhood  $V = \{x^{**} \subset X^{**} : |x^{**}(x_1^*) - x_0^{**}(x_1^*)| < 1\}$  of  $x_0^{**}$ . Since  $x_0^{**} \in \overline{J(A)}^{\sigma(X^{**}, X^*)}$ , there is  $a_1 \in A$  such that  $J(a_1) \in V$  and hence  $|J(a_1)(x_1^*) - x_0^{**}(x_1^*)| < 1$ .

Now  $E_1 := \text{span}\{x_0^{**}, x_0^{**} - J(a_1)\}$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $X^*$ , so by the lemma there is a finite sequence  $x_2^*, \dots, x_{n_2}^* \in B_{X^*}$  such that for all  $x^{**} \in E_1$ ,

$$\frac{\|x^{**}}{2} \le \max_{2 \le i \le n_2} |x^{**}(x_i^*)| \le \|x^{**}\|$$

Then in a similar fashion to above, there is some  $a_2 \in A$  such that

$$|J(a_2)(x_i^*) - x_0^{**}(x_i^*)| < \frac{1}{2}$$

for all  $1 \le i \le n_2$ . By the lemma there exist  $x_{n_2+1}^*, \dots, x_{n_3}^* \in B_{X^*}$  such that for all  $x^{**} \in \text{span}\{x_0^{**}, x_0^{**} - j(a_1), x_0^{**} - j(a_2)\}$ , we have

$$\frac{\|x^{**}\|}{2} \le \max_{n_2+1 < i < n_3} |x^{**}(x_i^*)| \le \|x^{**}\|.$$

Continue inductively to obtain sequences  $\{a_n\} \subset A$  and  $\{x_n^*\} \subset B_{X^*}$ , such that

1. for all  $x^{**} \in \text{span}\{x_0^{**}, x_0^{**} - J(a_1), x_0^{**} - J(a_2), \dots\},\$ 

$$\frac{\|x^{**}\|}{2} \le \sup_{i>1} |x^{**}(x_i)| \le \|x^{**}\|$$

2.  $|J(a_k)(x_i^*) - x_0^{**}(x_i^*)| < \frac{1}{k}$  for all  $1 \le i \le n_k$ .

Since A is relatively weakly sequentially compact, there is some  $x \in X$  and a subsequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}$  converging to x in the  $\sigma(X, X^*)$  topology.

Note that by Mazur's theorem,  $x \in \overline{\operatorname{span}\{a_n : n \geq 1\}}$ . Hence  $x_0^{**} - j(x) \in \overline{\operatorname{span}\{x_0^{**} - J(a_n) : n \geq 1\}} =: Z$ . This needs to be verified. Then for any  $z^{**} \in Z$ , we have

$$\frac{\|z^{**}\|}{2} \le \sup_{i>1} |z^{**}(x_i^*)|$$

by a continuity argument.

In particular,

$$\frac{\|x_0^{**} - J(x)\|}{2} \le \sup_{i>1} |(x_0^{**} - J(x))(x_i^*)|.$$

Finally we will show this last term must be zero. Let  $i \geq 1$ . Then

$$|(x_0^{**} - J(x))(x_i^*)| \le |(x_0^{**} - J(a_k))(x_i^*)| + |(J(a_k) - J(x))(x_i^*)| \le \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2$$

by choosing k large enough that the second term is small by weak convergence, and the first is small by (2.) above, such that  $a_k > i$ .

# Reflexive Spaces

**Definition.** A normed space is called reflexive if the canonical map

$$J: X \to X^{**}$$
 
$$x \mapsto (x^* \mapsto x^*(x)) =: \langle J(x), x^* \rangle$$

Remark. A reflexive space is always a Banach space.

The obvious examples are the spaces  $\ell_p$  and  $L_p([0,1])$  for 1 .

## Topological Characterization of Reflexivity

**Theorem.** Let X be a Banach space. X is reflexive if and only if  $B_X$  is  $\sigma(X, X^*)$  compact.

*Proof.* The forward direction is immediate by Banach-Alaoglu theorem.

For the other direction, if  $(B_X, \sigma(X, X^*))$  is compact, then  $J(B_X)$  is  $\sigma(X^{**}, X^*)$  compact. Then  $J(B_X)$  is closed since  $\sigma(X^{**}, X^*)$  is a hausdorff topology. But by Goldstine's theorem,  $J(B_X) = \overline{J(B_X)}^{\sigma(X^{**}, X^*)} = B_{X^{**}}$ . Then  $J(B_X) = B_{X^{**}}$ , implying that  $J(X) = X^{**}$ .

Corollary. Let X be a Banach space. If X is reflexive, then

- 1.  $X^*$  is reflexive
- 2. Every closed subspace of X is reflexive
- 3. Every  $x^* \in X^*$  attains its norm
- 4. Y is reflexive whenever Y is isomorphic to X
- 5. Every bounded sequence in X has a weakly convergent subsequence.