

1 Basic Ansatz

The Hamiltonian

The Hamiltonian in general form:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{pq} \langle p|\hat{h}|q\rangle \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq||rs\rangle \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r} \quad (1)$$

Using Wick's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= \sum_{pq} h_{pq} \{\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}\} + \sum_i h_{ii} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq||rs\rangle \{\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r}\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \langle ij||ij\rangle + \sum_{pqi} \langle pi||qi\rangle \{\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}\} \\ &= E_{\text{HF}} + \sum_{pq} f_{pq} \{\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}\} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq||rs\rangle \{\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r}\} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and the normal-ordered Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H}_N = \sum_{pq} f_{pq} \{\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}\} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq||rs\rangle \{\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r}\} \quad (3)$$

Coupled-Cluster Energy

$$\hat{H}|\Psi_{\text{CC}}\rangle = E_{\text{CC}}|\Psi_{\text{CC}}\rangle \quad (4)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \hat{H}e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle = E_{\text{CC}}e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$\Leftrightarrow e^{-\hat{T}}\hat{H}e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle = E_{\text{CC}}|\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H}|\Phi_0\rangle = E_{\text{CC}}|\Phi_0\rangle \quad (5)$$

Projecting $\langle\Phi_0|$ onto equation 5:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{CC}} &= \langle\Phi_0|\mathcal{H}|\Phi_0\rangle \\ &= \sum_{pq} h_{pq} \langle\Phi_0|e^{-\hat{T}}\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq||rs\rangle \langle\Phi_0|e^{-\hat{T}}\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r}e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle \\ &= E_{\text{HF}} + \sum_{pq} f_{pq} \langle\Phi_0|e^{-\hat{T}}\{\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}\}e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq||rs\rangle \langle\Phi_0|e^{-\hat{T}}\{\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r}\}e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Also, by intermediate normalisation, projecting $\langle\Phi_0|$ onto equation 4:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{CC}} &= \langle\Phi_0|\hat{H}|\Psi_{\text{CC}}\rangle \\ &= \langle\Phi_0|\hat{H}e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle \\ &= \sum_{pq} h_{pq} \langle\Phi_0|\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq||rs\rangle \langle\Phi_0|\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r}e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle \\ &= E_{\text{HF}} + \sum_{pq} f_{pq} \langle\Phi_0|\{\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}\}e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq||rs\rangle \langle\Phi_0|\{\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r}\}e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Reduced Density Matrices

Consider only CC energy:

$$E_{\text{CC}} = \langle\Phi_0|\mathcal{H}|\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{pq} h_{pq} \langle \Phi_0 | e^{-\hat{T}} \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq || rs \rangle \langle \Phi_0 | e^{-\hat{T}} \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle \\
&= E_{\text{HF}} + \sum_{pq} f_{pq} \langle \Phi_0 | e^{-\hat{T}} \{ \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q} \} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq || rs \rangle \langle \Phi_0 | e^{-\hat{T}} \{ \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r} \} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

Defining the one-body reduced density matrix:

$$\gamma_{pq} = \frac{\langle \Psi_{\text{CC}} | \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q} | \Psi_{\text{CC}} \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{\text{CC}} | \Psi_{\text{CC}} \rangle} = \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle} \quad (9)$$

and two-body reduced density matrix:

$$\Gamma_{rs}^{pq} = \frac{\langle \Psi_{\text{CC}} | \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r} | \Psi_{\text{CC}} \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{\text{CC}} | \Psi_{\text{CC}} \rangle} = \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle} \quad (10)$$

Then CC energy could be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\text{CC}} &= \sum_{pq} h_{pq} \gamma_{pq} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq || rs \rangle \Gamma_{rs}^{pq} \\
&= \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} (\sum_{pq} h_{pq} \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}) e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle} + \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} (\frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq || rs \rangle \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r}) e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle} \\
&= \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} \hat{H} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle} \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

inserting the resolution of identity $e^{\hat{T}} (\hat{P} + \hat{Q}) e^{-\hat{T}} = \hat{1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\text{CC}} &= \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} e^{\hat{T}} (\hat{P} + \hat{Q}) e^{-\hat{T}} \hat{H} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle} \\
&= \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle} \langle \Phi_0 | e^{-\hat{T}} \hat{H} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle + 0 \\
&= \langle \Phi_0 | \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

as expected. Note that we used that $\hat{Q} \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle = 0$ which is the CC amplitude constraint.

Similarly, we could define the normal-ordered one- and two-body reduced density matrices as:

$$(\gamma_{\text{N}})_{pq} = \frac{\langle \Psi_{\text{CC}} | \{ \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q} \} | \Psi_{\text{CC}} \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{\text{CC}} | \Psi_{\text{CC}} \rangle} = \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} \{ \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q} \} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle} \quad (13)$$

$$(\Gamma_{\text{N}})_{rs}^{pq} = \frac{\langle \Psi_{\text{CC}} | \{ \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r} \} | \Psi_{\text{CC}} \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{\text{CC}} | \Psi_{\text{CC}} \rangle} = \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} \{ \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r} \} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{T}^\dagger} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle} \quad (14)$$

Accordingly, the correlation contribution of CC energy could be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta E_{\text{CC}} &= E_{\text{CC}} - E_{\text{HF}} \\
&= \sum_{pq} f_{pq} (\gamma_{\text{N}})_{pq} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq || rs \rangle (\Gamma_{\text{N}})_{rs}^{pq} \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

Generally, for one- and two-body operators, the expectation value could be evaluated as:

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \sum_{pq} o_{pq} \gamma_{pq} \quad (16)$$

$$\langle \hat{G} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq | \hat{g} | rs \rangle_A \Gamma_{rs}^{pq} \quad (17)$$

Response Density Matrices

Consider the Lagrangian which only includes the CC energy and amplitude constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{CC}} &= E_{\text{CC}} + \sum_{\mu} \lambda_{\mu} \langle \Phi_{\mu} | \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_0 | \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\Lambda} \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) e^{-\hat{T}} \hat{H} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{pq} h_{pq} \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) e^{-\hat{T}} \hat{p}^{\dagger} \hat{q} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq || rs \rangle \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) e^{-\hat{T}} \hat{p}^{\dagger} \hat{q}^{\dagger} \hat{s} \hat{r} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= E_{\text{HF}} + \sum_{pq} f_{pq} \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) e^{-\hat{T}} \{ \hat{p}^{\dagger} \hat{q} \} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq || rs \rangle \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) e^{-\hat{T}} \{ \hat{p}^{\dagger} \hat{q}^{\dagger} \hat{s} \hat{r} \} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By defining the response density matrices:

$$\gamma_{pq} = \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) e^{-\hat{T}} \hat{p}^{\dagger} \hat{q} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle \quad (19)$$

$$\Gamma_{rs}^{pq} = \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) e^{-\hat{T}} \hat{p}^{\dagger} \hat{q}^{\dagger} \hat{s} \hat{r} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle \quad (20)$$

$$(\gamma_{\text{N}})_{pq} = \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) e^{-\hat{T}} \{ \hat{p}^{\dagger} \hat{q} \} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle \quad (21)$$

$$(\Gamma_{\text{N}})_{rs}^{pq} = \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) e^{-\hat{T}} \{ \hat{p}^{\dagger} \hat{q}^{\dagger} \hat{s} \hat{r} \} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle \quad (22)$$

the Lagrangian could be written as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CC}} = E_{\text{HF}} + \sum_{pq} f_{pq} (\gamma_{\text{N}})_{pq} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq || rs \rangle (\Gamma_{\text{N}})_{rs}^{pq} \quad (23)$$

2 CC Lagrangian

To construct the complete Lagrangian for CC ansatz, the constraints of Brillouin condition and orthonormality should also be included. From now on, denote $\mathcal{H} = e^{-\hat{T}} \hat{H}_{\text{N}} e^{\hat{T}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{CC}}^{\text{N}} &= \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) e^{-\hat{T}} \hat{H}_{\text{N}} e^{\hat{T}} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{ai} z_{ai} f_{ai} \\ &= \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{ai} z_{ai} f_{ai} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

3 Stationary Lagrangian

The CC Lagrangian is given as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CC}} = \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{ai} f_{ai} z_{ai} + \sum_{pq} I_{pq} \left(\sum_{\mu\nu} C_{\mu p}^* S_{\mu\nu} C_{\nu q} - \delta_{pq} \right) \quad (25)$$

(Question: do I need to include other blocks of the Fock matrix?)

in which:

$$\hat{\Lambda} = \hat{\Lambda}_1 + \hat{\Lambda}_2 + \dots = \sum_{ia} \lambda_a^i \{ \hat{i}^\dagger \hat{a} \} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijab} \lambda_{ab}^{ij} \{ \hat{i}^\dagger \hat{j}^\dagger \hat{b} \hat{a} \} + \dots \quad (26)$$

The first term in the Lagrangian is used to include CC energy expression and CC amplitude constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \Lambda) \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle &= \langle \Phi_0 | \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\Lambda} \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \Delta E + \sum_{\mu} \lambda_{\mu} \langle \Phi_{\mu} | \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

We need to impose the stationary conditions, w.r.t.:

- λ_{μ} : resulting the CC amplitude equations
- t_{μ} : resulting the CC lambda equations
- z_{ai} : the HF condition
- κ_{ai} : resulting the z-vector equations
- I_{pq} : resulting the orthonormality condition

3.1 CC Amplitude Equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{CC}}}{\partial \lambda_{\mu}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{\mu}} \left(\langle \Phi_0 | \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\Lambda} \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{ia} f_{ia} z_{ia} + \sum_{pq} I_{pq} \left(\sum_{\mu\nu} C_{\mu p}^* S_{\mu\nu} C_{\nu q} - \delta_{pq} \right) \right) \\ &= \langle \Phi_{\mu} | \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Hence imposing the stationary condition:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{CC}}}{\partial \lambda_{\mu}} = 0 \quad (29)$$

we get:

$$\langle \Phi_{\mu} | \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle = 0 \quad (30)$$

which are the CC amplitude equations. The alternative form is:

$$\hat{Q} \mathcal{H} \hat{P} = 0 \quad (31)$$

3.2 CC Lambda Equations

By:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= (\hat{H}_{\text{N}} e^{\hat{T}})_{\text{C}} \\ &= (\hat{H}_{\text{N}} + \hat{H}_{\text{N}} \hat{T} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{H}_{\text{N}} \hat{T}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \hat{H}_{\text{N}} \hat{T}^3 + \frac{1}{24} \hat{H}_{\text{N}} \hat{T}^4)_{\text{C}} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CC}} = \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{p>q} f_{pq} z_{pq} \quad (33)$$

We can find the partial derivative $\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{CC}} / \partial t_\mu$. First let's consider (CCSD):

(Should I truncate to four-fold then take derivatives, or the other way around? Or can I show they're equivalent?)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t_i^a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} \left(\hat{H}_N (1 + \hat{T} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{T}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \hat{T}^3 + \frac{1}{24} \hat{T}^4 + \dots) \right)_C \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{H}_N}{\partial t_i^a} = 0 \quad (35)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} (\hat{H}_N \hat{T}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} (\hat{H}_N (\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2)) = \hat{H}_N \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\} \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} \left(\frac{1}{2} \hat{H}_N \hat{T}^2 \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \hat{H}_N \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} (\hat{T}_1^2 + 2\hat{T}_1 \hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_2^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \hat{H}_N (2\hat{T}_1 + 2\hat{T}_2) \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\} \\ &= \hat{H}_N \hat{T} \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\} \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} \left(\frac{1}{6} \hat{H}_N \hat{T}^3 \right) &= \frac{1}{6} \hat{H}_N \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} (\hat{T}_1^3 + 3\hat{T}_1^2 \hat{T}_2 + 3\hat{T}_1 \hat{T}_2^2 + \hat{T}_2^3) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \hat{H}_N (3\hat{T}_1^2 + 6\hat{T}_1 \hat{T}_2 + 3\hat{T}_2^2) \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \hat{H}_N \hat{T}^2 \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} \left(\frac{1}{24} \hat{H}_N \hat{T}^4 \right) &= \frac{1}{24} \hat{H}_N \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} (\hat{T}_1^4 + 4\hat{T}_1^3 \hat{T}_2 + 6\hat{T}_1^2 \hat{T}_2^2 + 4\hat{T}_1 \hat{T}_2^3 + \hat{T}_2^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{24} \hat{H}_N (4\hat{T}_1^3 + 12\hat{T}_1^2 \hat{T}_2 + 12\hat{T}_1 \hat{T}_2^2 + 4\hat{T}_2^3) \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \hat{H}_N \hat{T}^3 \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\} \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t_i^a} &= (\hat{H}_N (1 + \hat{T} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{T}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \hat{T}^3 + \dots))_C \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\} \\ &= (\hat{H}_N e^{\hat{T}})_C \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\} \\ &= \mathcal{H} \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Compare results with commutators?

By BCH:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \hat{H}_N + [\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}] + \frac{1}{2!} [[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}], \hat{T}] + \frac{1}{3!} [[[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}], \hat{T}], \hat{T}] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4!} [[[[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}], \hat{T}], \hat{T}], \hat{T}] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Can't truncate yet, for the sake of taking derivatives.

Then take derivative w.r.t amplitude t_i^a :

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t_i^a} = \frac{\partial \hat{H}_N}{\partial t_i^a} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} [\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}] + \dots \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{H}_N}{\partial t_i^a} = 0 \quad (43)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} [\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} [\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}_1] + 0 = [\hat{H}_N, \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\}] \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} [[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}], \hat{T}] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} \left([[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}_1], \hat{T}_1] + [[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}_1], \hat{T}_2] + [[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}_2], \hat{T}_1] + [[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}_2], \hat{T}_2] \right) \\ &= [[\hat{H}_N, \frac{\partial \hat{T}_1}{\partial t_i^a}], \hat{T}_1] + [[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}_1], \frac{\partial \hat{T}_1}{\partial t_i^a}] + [[\hat{H}_N, \frac{\partial \hat{T}_1}{\partial t_i^a}], \hat{T}_2] + [[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}_2], \frac{\partial \hat{T}_1}{\partial t_i^a}] \\ &= [[\hat{H}_N, \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\}], \hat{T}_1] + [[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}_1], \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\}] + [[\hat{H}_N, \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\}], \hat{T}_2] + [[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}_2], \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\}] \\ &= [[\hat{H}_N, \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\}], \hat{T}] + [[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}], \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\}] \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} [[[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}], \hat{T}], \hat{T}] = \dots$$

(At the end of this tedious evaluation, we can find:)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t_i^a} = [\mathcal{H}, \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\}] \quad (46)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t_{ij}^{ab}} = [\mathcal{H}, \frac{1}{4} \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{j} \hat{i}\}] \quad (47)$$

I think the commutator expression may be more convenient, for the following derivation:

Now to find $\partial \mathcal{L}_{CC} / \partial t_\mu$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{CC}}{\partial t_i^a} &= \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) [\mathcal{H}, \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\}] | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \mathcal{H} \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\} | \Phi_0 \rangle - \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{i}\} \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \mathcal{H} | \Phi_i^a \rangle - \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \Delta E | \Phi_i^a \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) (\mathcal{H} - \Delta E) | \Phi_i^a \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Similarly:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{CC}}{\partial t_{ij}^{ab}} = \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) (\mathcal{H} - \Delta E) | \Phi_{ij}^{ab} \rangle \quad (49)$$

These expressions are the same as the Λ equations obtained in previous section.

3.3 HF Condition

Taking the derivative of \mathcal{L}_{CC} w.r.t the z-vector results in the Brillouin's condition:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{CC}}{\partial z_{ai}} = f_{ai} = 0 \quad (50)$$

which is equivalent to the HF equation.

3.4 z-vector Equations

Question: (related to frozen-core approximation I suppose) W.r.t what block of κ do I need to take derivatives for \mathcal{L}_{CC} ? Virtual-inactive block (κ_{bj}) is shown below because this type of orbital rotation is always non-redundant.

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{CC}}{\partial \kappa_{bj}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{bj}} \left(\langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{ai} f_{ai} z_{ai} + \sum_{pq} I_{pq} \left(\sum_{\mu\nu} C_{\mu p}^* S_{\mu\nu} C_{\nu q} - \delta_{pq} \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{bj}} \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{ai} \frac{\partial f_{ai}}{\partial \kappa_{bj}} z_{ai} + \sum_{pq} I_{pq} \left(\sum_{\mu\nu} U_{\mu p}^* \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu}}{\partial \kappa_{bj}} U_{\nu q} \right) \quad (51)$$

in which:

$$\mathbf{U} = e^{-\hat{\kappa}} \quad (52)$$

$$\hat{\kappa} = \sum_{p>q} \kappa_{pq} E_{pq}^- \quad (53)$$

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{C}^\dagger(0) \mathbf{S} \mathbf{C}(0) \quad (54)$$

To evaluate term by term, the CC energy part:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{bj}} \langle \Phi_0(\hat{\kappa}) | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0(\hat{\kappa}) \rangle &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{bj}} \langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{\kappa}} (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \mathcal{H} e^{-\hat{\kappa}} | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{bj}} \langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{\kappa}} \mathcal{H} e^{-\hat{\kappa}} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{bj}} \langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{\kappa}} \hat{\Lambda} \mathcal{H} e^{-\hat{\kappa}} | \Phi_0 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

The first term (using BCH expansion):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{bj}} \langle \Phi_0(\hat{\kappa}) | \mathcal{H} | \Phi_0(\hat{\kappa}) \rangle &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{bj}} \langle \Phi_0 | \mathcal{H} + [\mathcal{H}, -\hat{\kappa}] + \frac{1}{2!} [[\mathcal{H}, -\hat{\kappa}], -\hat{\kappa}] + \frac{1}{3!} [[[\mathcal{H}, -\hat{\kappa}], -\hat{\kappa}], -\hat{\kappa}] + \dots | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_0 | \mathcal{H} + [\mathcal{H}, -E_{bj}^-] + [[\mathcal{H}, -E_{bj}^-], -\hat{\kappa}] + [[\mathcal{H}, -\hat{\kappa}], -E_{bj}^-] + \dots | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_0 | e^{\hat{\kappa}} [\mathcal{H}, E_{jb}^-] e^{-\hat{\kappa}} | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_0(\hat{\kappa}) | [\mathcal{H}, E_{jb}^-] | \Phi_0(\hat{\kappa}) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

The second term:

(TODO: show that $\hat{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\kappa}$ commute.)

3.5 Orthonormality Condition