

# Tutorial 11

Hong Xiang Yue

17/05/2019

## Part A

## Question 1

- ▶ Seasonality is usually defined as patterns which occur within a year
- ▶ With yearly data, seasonality by this definition is not observable
- ▶ If you use a broader definition to include cycles which occur over a longer period of time, then seasonality could exist with yearly data

## Question 2

Covariance stationary process

1.  $E(y_t) = \mu_y$
2.  $Var(y_t) = \sigma_y^2$
3.  $Cov(y_t, y_{t+j}) = \gamma_j$

Correlogram will decay exponentially for each lag

## Question 2

### White noise process

1.  $E(y_t) = 0$
2.  $Var(y_t) = \sigma_y^2$
3.  $Cov(y_t, y_{t+j}) = 0$

ACF should be close to zero at all lags

## Question 2

### Mean reverting process

Another name for a covariance stationary process

- ▶ If  $y_t$  is above  $\mu_y$ , it will over time revert back to  $\mu_y$
- ▶ Effect of a large 'shock' on  $y_t$  will decay exponentially

## Question 2

### Trend stationary process

Stationary process with added trend

- ▶ Full process is non-stationary
- ▶ When trend is removed, process is stationary

e.g.

$$y_t = \phi_0 + \delta t + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + u_t$$

- ▶ Is non-stationary
- ▶ Correlogram will be very persistent

## Question 2

### Trend stationary process

$$y_t - \delta t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + u_t$$

- ▶ If this detrended process is stationary, we call it trend stationary
- ▶ Correlogram will decay exponentially



## Question 2

### Random Walk

$$y_t = y_{t-1} + u_t$$

- ▶ Non-stationary
- ▶ Correlogram will start at 1 and decay very slowly (if at all)
- ▶ Finance theories sometimes assume that stock prices follow a modified form of a Random Walk. e.g. Black-Scholes Option Pricing Formula, Efficient Markets Hypothesis

## Part B

## Question 1a: Models with lagged dependent variables and serially correlated errors

Let

$$y_t = c + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + u_t, \quad |\phi_1| < 1$$

$$u_t = \rho u_{t-1} + e_t, \quad |\rho| < 1$$

$$e_t \sim i.i.d.(0, \sigma^2)$$

Show that

$$y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y_{t-1} + \alpha_2 y_{t-2} + e_t$$

where

$$\alpha_0 = (1 - \rho)c, \alpha_1 = \phi_1 + \rho, \alpha_2 = -\rho\phi_1$$

## Question 1b: What are the implications of this result?

- ▶ If AR model has serial correlation, try adding more lags
- ▶ Used to check whether model is correctly specified

## Question 2

Open up “US\_gdp.wf1”. Variables included are

- ▶ gdp: Real GDP for the US
- ▶ ir\_3m: 3-Month Treasury bill interest rates
- ▶ ir\_20y: 20-year government bond yields

Reported on a quarterly basis from 1954Q1 to 2017Q2

## Question 2a

Generate a new series  $dlgdp = 400 \times d\log(gdp)$

- ▶ GDP is a non-stationary process
- ▶ Growth rates however are stationary
- ▶ Multiplied by 100 to convert to percentage point
- ▶ Multiplied by 4 to annualise from quarterly data

## Question 2b

Generate a variable to calculate the spread between interest rates on 3 month treasury bills and 20 year bonds

- ▶ Is the spread white noise?
- ▶ Is it mean reverting?
- ▶ Is it stationary?

## Question 2c

Change the sample period to 1955Q1-2017Q2 and estimate

$$dlgdp_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 dlgdp_{t-1} + \beta_2 dlgdp_{t-2} + \beta_3 spread_{t-1} + \beta_4 spread_{t-2} + u_t$$

- This is an ARDL(2,2) model with  $y_t = dlgdp_t$  and  $x_t = spread_t$  -  
Test the null hypothesis that there is no serial correlation vs the alternative that there is serial correlations in the errors up to the fourth lag



## Question 2d

Test for the joint significance of  $spread_{t-1}$  and  $spread_{t-2}$ .

## Question 2e

Drop the lag of spread that is least statistically significant and re-estimate the equation.

What is the effect of a one percentage point *decrease* in the spread on the GDP growth rate:

- ▶ this period?
- ▶ next period?
- ▶ two periods later?
- ▶ in the long run?

## Question 2e

Long run effect is the effect this period, plus next period, plus two periods later ad infinitum.

Formula is

$$= \frac{\text{sum of coefficients for } x_t}{1 - \text{sum of coefficients for lags of } y_t}$$

## Question 2f

Some economists believe that the informativeness of the interest spread has declined since the 1980s.

Create a dummy variable which is 1 before 1986Q1, and is 0 on and after 1986Q1. Test whether or not this is the case.