

Bios 6301: Assignment 5

39

Haley Yaremych

Due Thursday, 14 October, 1:00 PM

$5^{n=\text{day}}$ points taken off for each day late.

40 points total.

Submit a single knitr file (named `homework5.rmd`), along with a valid PDF output file. Inside the file, clearly indicate which parts of your responses go with which problems (you may use the original homework document as a template). Add your name as `author` to the file's metadata section. Raw R code/output or word processor files are not acceptable.

Failure to name file `homework5.rmd` or include author name may result in 5 points taken off.

Question 1

15 points

A problem with the Newton-Raphson algorithm is that it needs the derivative f' . If the derivative is hard to compute or does not exist, then we can use the *secant method*, which only requires that the function f is continuous.

Like the Newton-Raphson method, the **secant method** is based on a linear approximation to the function f . Suppose that f has a root at a . For this method we assume that we have *two* current guesses, x_0 and x_1 , for the value of a . We will think of x_0 as an older guess and we want to replace the pair x_0, x_1 by the pair x_1, x_2 , where x_2 is a new guess.

To find a good new guess x_2 we first draw the straight line from $(x_0, f(x_0))$ to $(x_1, f(x_1))$, which is called a secant of the curve $y = f(x)$. Like the tangent, the secant is a linear approximation of the behavior of $y = f(x)$, in the region of the points x_0 and x_1 . As the new guess we will use the x -coordinate x_2 of the point at which the secant crosses the x -axis.

The general form of the recurrence equation for the secant method is:

$$x_{i+1} = x_i - f(x_i) \frac{x_i - x_{i-1}}{f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})}$$

Notice that we no longer need to know f' but in return we have to provide *two* initial points, x_0 and x_1 .

Write a function that implements the secant algorithm. Validate your program by finding the root of the function $f(x) = \cos(x) - x$. Compare its performance with the Newton-Raphson method – which is faster, and by how much? For this example $f'(x) = -\sin(x) - 1$.

```
set.seed(321)
# function for validation
f = function(x) cos(x)-x

# write the secant algorithm
secant = function(func, x0, x1){
```

```

orig=x0
old=x1
current = old - func(old)*((old-orig)/(func(old)-func(orig)))

while(abs(func(current)) > 1e-8){

    new = current - func(current)*((current-old)/(func(current)-func(old)))
    old=current
    current=new

}

return(new)

}

# compare solutions
secant(f, -1, 1)

```

```
## [1] 0.7390851
```

```
uniroot(f, c(-10,10))$root
```

Ok, I guess uniroot implements NR

```
## [1] 0.7390799
```

```

# compare run time
s.start = Sys.time()
secant(f, -1, 1)

```

```
## [1] 0.7390851
```

```
s.end = Sys.time()
```

```

u.start = Sys.time()
uniroot(f, c(-10,10))$root

```

```
## [1] 0.7390799
```

```
u.end = Sys.time()
```

```
s.end-s.start ; u.end-u.start
```

```
## Time difference of 0.001828909 secs
```

```
## Time difference of 0.005404949 secs
```

The secant function yields the same answer as the NR method down to 4 decimal places. The secant function runs faster than the NR method, which is reflected in a smaller difference between the start time and end time of the function. The difference is only a few thousands of a second.

Question 2

20 points

The game of craps is played as follows (this is simplified). First, you roll two six-sided dice; let x be the sum of the dice on the first roll. If $x = 7$ or 11 you win, otherwise you keep rolling until either you get x again, in which case you also win, or until you get a 7 or 11, in which case you lose.

Write a program to simulate a game of craps. You can use the following snippet of code to simulate the roll of two (fair) dice:

1. The instructor should be able to easily import and run your program (function), and obtain output that clearly shows how the game progressed. Set the RNG seed with `set.seed(100)` and show the output of three games. (lucky 13 points)

```
craps = function(){  
  
  x <- sum(ceiling(6*runif(2)))  
  print(x)  
  
  if (x==7 | x==11){  
  
    cat("you win! you rolled a 7 or 11 on your first roll.")  
  
  } else {  
  
    while(TRUE){  
      new_x <- sum(ceiling(6*runif(2)))  
      print(new_x)  
  
      if (new_x == x){  
        cat("you win! a subsequent roll matched your first roll. ")  
        break  
      }  
  
      if (new_x == 7 | new_x == 11){  
        cat("you lose!")  
        break  
      }  
    }  
  }  
  
}  
  
set.seed(100)  
craps()
```

```
## [1] 4  
## [1] 5  
## [1] 6  
## [1] 8  
## [1] 6  
## [1] 10  
## [1] 5  
## [1] 10  
## [1] 5  
## [1] 8  
## [1] 9  
## [1] 9  
## [1] 5  
## [1] 11  
## you lose!
```

```
craps()
```

```
## [1] 6  
## [1] 9  
## [1] 9  
## [1] 11  
## you lose!
```

```
craps()
```

```
## [1] 6  
## [1] 7  
## you lose!
```

-
1. Find a seed that will win ten straight games. Consider adding an argument to your function that disables output. Show the output of the ten games. (7 points)

```
craps2 = function(print = TRUE){  
  
  x <- sum(ceiling(6*runif(2)))  
  if (print==TRUE) {print(x)}  
  
  if (x==7 | x==11){  
  
    if (print==TRUE) {cat("you win! you rolled a 7 or 11 on your first roll.")}  
    return(1)  
  
  } else {  
  
    while(TRUE){  
      new_x <- sum(ceiling(6*runif(2)))  
      if (print==TRUE) {print(new_x)}  
  
      if (new_x == x){  
        if (print==TRUE) {cat("you win! a subsequent roll matched your first roll. ")}  
        return(1)  
        break  
      }  
  
      if (new_x == 7 | new_x == 11){  
        if (print==TRUE) {cat("you lose!")}  
        return(0)  
        break  
      }  
    }  
  }  
}  
  
seed = 1  
  
while(TRUE){
```

```

results = numeric(10)
for (i in 1:10){
  results[i] = craps2(print=FALSE)
}

if (results[i]==1 & length(unique(results==1))==1){
  print(seed)
  print(results)
  break
} else {seed = seed+1}
}

```

```

## [1] 1631
## [1] 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

```

Question 3

5 points

This code makes a list of all functions in the base package:

```

objs <- mget(ls("package:base"), inherits = TRUE)
funs <- Filter(is.function, objs)

```

Using this list, write code to answer these questions.

1. Which function has the most arguments? (3 points)
2. How many functions have no arguments? (2 points)

We want the name not the position,
2/3 points

Hint: find a function that returns the arguments for a given function.

```

args = numeric(length(funs))

for (i in 1:length(funs)){
  args[i] = length(formals(funs[[i]]))
}

which(args==max(args))

```

```

## [1] 961
length(which(args==0))

```

```

## [1] 225

```