

Score Type Names for the Imprex Verification Scoreboard

Version: 09/06/2017

(*) this is what you have to enter as identification of the name of the score in the input files to the scoreboard

ScoreType (*)	Description	Formula (see for reference: cawr ¹)
MAE	Mean Absolute Error	$MAE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N F_i - O_i $
ME	Mean Error	$ME = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (F_i - O_i)$
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error	$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (F_i - O_i)^2}$
CC	Pearson correlation coefficient	$r = \frac{\sum (F - \bar{F})(O - \bar{O})}{\sqrt{\sum (F - \bar{F})^2} \sqrt{\sum (O - \bar{O})^2}}$
R2	Coefficient of determination	$R^2 = \frac{\text{Sum of squares due to regression (i.e., sum of squares of residuals)}}{\text{Sum of squares about the mean}}$
AC	Anomaly correlation	$AC = \frac{\sum (F - C)(O - C)}{\sqrt{\sum (F - C)^2} \sqrt{\sum (O - C)^2}}$
KGE	Kling Gupta Efficiency	Gupta et al., 2009. ^{2 3} $KGE = 1 - \sqrt{(r - 1)^2 + (\beta - 1)^2 + (\alpha - 1)^2}$
KGEM	Modified Kling Gupta Efficiency	Kling et al., 2012. ⁴ $KGEM = 1 - \sqrt{(r - 1)^2 + (\beta - 1)^2 + (\gamma - 1)^2}$
KGE_BR	Kling Gupta Efficiency Decomposition: bias ratio	Gupta et al., 2009. $\beta = \frac{\mu(Q_{sim})}{\mu(Q_{obs})}$
KGE_SDR	Kling Gupta Efficiency Decomposition: alpha standard deviation ratio	Gupta et al., 2009. $\alpha = \frac{\sigma(Q_{sim})}{\sigma(Q_{obs})}$
KGE_CVR	Kling Gupta Efficiency Decomposition: gamma coefficient of variation ratio	Kling et al., (2012). $\gamma = \frac{CV(Q_{sim})}{CV(Q_{obs})}$

¹ <http://www.cawcr.gov.au/projects/verification/>

² Gupta et al., 2009. Decomposition of the mean squared error and NSE performance criteria: Implications for improving hydrological modelling. Journal of Hydrology, 377 (1-2): 80-91.

³ For Kling Gupta Efficiency Decomposition: correlation coefficient (r), see score CC in the table. Gupta et al., 2009.

⁴ Kling et al., 2012. Runoff conditions in the upper Danube basin under an ensemble of climate change scenarios. Journal of Hydrology, 424-425: 264-277.

CRPS	Continuous Rank Probability Score	$CRPS = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (P_f(x) - P_o(x))^2 dx$
CRPS_POT	Potential CRPS	CRPS (Resolution - Uncertainty)
CRPS_REL	Reliability term of the CRPS	
RPS	Ranked Probability Score	$RPS = \frac{1}{M-1} \sum_{m=1}^M \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^m P_k \right) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^m O_k \right) \right]^2$
BS<XX>	Brier Score for quantile X% (ex.: median: BS50; upper tercile: BS66; lower tercile: BS33; quantile 80%: BS80, etc.)	$BS = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (p_i - o_i)^2$
BS<XX>_REL	Brier Score for quantile X% - Reliability term	$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^K n_k (p_k - \bar{o}_k)^2$
BS<XX>_RES	Brier Score for quantile X% - Resolution term	$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^K n_k (\bar{o}_k - \bar{o})^2$
BS<XX>_UNC	Brier Score for quantile X%- Uncertainty term	$\bar{o}(1 - \bar{o})$
Skill Scores:		$Score = 1 - \frac{score_{forecast}}{score_{reference}}$
CRPSS_CLI	Continuous Rank Probability Skill Score	Reference = Climatology
CRPSS_ESP	Continuous Rank Probability Skill Score	Reference = ESP
BSS<XX>_CLI	Brier Skill Score for quantile X%	Reference = Climatology
BSS<XX>_ESP	Brier Skill Score for quantile X%	Reference = ESP

Scores derived from the contingency table:

		OBSERVED		
		YES	NO	Total
FORECAST	YES	Hits	False alarms	Forecast yes
	NO	Misses	Correct negatives	Forecast no
Total		Observed yes	Observed no	

ScoreType	Description	Formula (see for reference: cawr ⁵)
-----------	-------------	--

⁵ <http://www.cawcr.gov.au/projects/verification/>

BIAS	Bias score (frequency bias)	$BIAS = \frac{hits + false\ alarms}{hits + misses}$
POD	Probability of detection (hit rate)	$POD = \frac{hits}{hits + misses}$
FAR	False alarm ratio	$FAR = \frac{false\ alarms}{hits + false\ alarms}$
POFD	Probability of false detection (or false alarm rate)	$POFD = \frac{false\ alarms}{correct\ negatives + false\ alarms}$
SR	Success ratio	$SR = \frac{hits}{hits + false\ alarms}$
TS	Threat score (or Critical Success Index)	$TS = \frac{hits}{hits + misses + false\ alarms}$
AUC	Area under the ROC	<i>The relative operating characteristic (ROC) curve plots the probability of detection (POD) versus the probability of false detection (POFD), as a decision threshold is varied across the full range of a continuous forecast quantity. The AUC is the area under the curve. An AUC of 0.5 reflects random forecasts, while AUC = 1 implies perfect forecasts.</i>
V<XX>	Relative value for C/L = X.X	$V = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{C}{L}(hits + false\ alarms - 1) + misses}{\frac{C}{L}(P_{c\lim} - 1)} & \text{if } \frac{C}{L} < P_{c\lim} \\ \frac{\frac{C}{L}(hits + false\ alarms) + misses - P_{c\lim}}{P_{c\lim}\left(\frac{C}{L} - 1\right)} & \text{if } \frac{C}{L} \geq P_{c\lim} \end{cases}$