Make Music Genre Classification Great Again

Hyeonjung Ko, Yash Shetty December 14th, 2019

Abstract

Classifying raw music files into distinct genres is a challenging task with numerous practical applications. With the goal of finding the best performing music genre identification model, this project applied 4 well-known classification models to the task, including Support Vector Machine(SVM), Random Forest, Feedforward Neural Network(FNN) and Convolutional Neural Network(CNN). The process was split into three parts: the raw implementation of the models using the Spotify song features, the implementation of CNN using audio spectrogram and the application of the extracted features from CNN to train other classification model types. The classifiers showed poor performance during the first phase and increased in performance under the extracted features from the CNN.

1 Introduction

Music has been always been a crucial part of human function. The creation and enjoyment of music has addressed our desire for creativity and emotional satisfaction. With such a wide appreciation for music, the global music industry was valued at estimated \$19.1 billion USD in 2018. Music streaming giants such as Spotify and Youtube strive to hold on to existing users and gain new ones. In this context, companies making a profit based on music streaming and users who consume their products have the need to search for and provide new enjoyable content. Music genre classification provides a part solution for such problems. The genre classifiers allow pattern identification, artist recommendation, and individual curation of content.

The extent of music genre classification models lies far beyond the music industry. The potential to accurately classify audio files can be used for a wide range of other industries including speech processing and environmental sound classification. Specifically in environmental sound classification, the classifiers could be modified to be used as applications for content-based multimedia indexing and retrieval, deaf individuals assistance in daily activities, home security in smart home devices, and predictive maintenance of industrial equipment.

2 Technical Approach

In this project, the task was to compare different supervised learning classification models and learn the highest performing classification model for music genre classification. Four different classification models—SVM, Random Forest, FNN and CNN—were developed in the task of music genre classification given song features or an audio file. The project was split into three parts: the implementation of the models using the Spotify song features, the implementation of CNN using audio spectrogram and the application of the extracted features from CNN to train other classification model types.

The approach of SVM was to maximize the margin between the decision boundary and data points. With multiple classes, the SVM model determined multiple decision boundaries using the one vs one approach. Random Forest classifier used the bagging method which merges multiple simple decision trees trained against a given dataset to output a prediction. Its output was the mode of the classes. It searched for the best feature among a subset of the given features. FNN was a sequence of dense, fully connected layers, meaning each node in layer l is connected to every node at layer l+1. The input features were fed forward through the network. At each node, an activation function was applied to the weighted sum of inputs such that the output of the network was a complex, nonlinear function.

CNN model was used to tackle music genre classification with an alternative approach. Instead of classifying the raw audio data of the given file, it was first transformed into its Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC) values to approach it as an image classification problem. The CNN built consisted of an input layer, multiple hidden layers and an output layer. Hidden layers, or 'convolutional layers' differed from those of the FNN in the mathematical operation of convolution. A convolution is defined as a computation of a dot product between the output of the previous layers and the filters of the current layer, as these filters slide spatially over the output of the previous layer.

3 Experimental Results

3.1 Dataset

2 different datasets were utilized in the task: Spotify audio features dataset from Kaggle and the GTZAN Genre Collection dataset. The Spotify dataset contained 14 audio features per track for a total of 232,725 tracks. The audio features included popularity, acousticness, danceability, duration, energy, instrumentalness, key, liveness, loudness, mode, speechiness, tempo, time signature, and valence. The dataset had approximately 10,000 instances per genre for 26 genres.

The GTZAN dataset contained 1000 audio tracks each 30 seconds long. It contained 10 genres, each represented by 100 tracks. The tracks were all 22050Hz Mono 16-bit audio files in .wav format. Python librosa library was used to generate MFCC values.

For both datasets, the data was normalized, the labels were encoded and instances shuffled. The dataset was split into training and test datasets, using a 80/20 split. In order to gain a clear comparison between the models trained using the different datasets, dataset used to train any model in this project was limited to 100 instances per genre, for 5 genres.

3.2 Algorithm

SVM solved the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2$$
s.t. $y^{(i)}(w^T x^{(i)} + b) < 1, \ \forall i = 1, ..., m$

As there are multiple classes, the SVM model implemented used the one versus one approach. A classifier is trained for all pairs of classes, each plotting a decision boundary between two classes in the pair. The data is not linearly separable. So, SVM model applied a Radical Basis Function(RBF) kernel function

$$K(x, z) = exp(-\gamma)||x - z||^2$$

to the input features. At test time, the test datapoint was ran against each trained classifier, with the output being the class predicted the most number of times.

Random Forest classifier used the bagging method that aggregates multiple decision trees. This method avoided overfitting and predicts with higher consistency and accuracy. Specifically, the Classification and Regression Trees(CART) algorithm was applied. CART created a binary tree, finding the best feature to split using an appropriate impurity criterion. A node was a question pertaining to a feature asked by the decision tree. A node's importance was calculated with the Gini Importance:

$$\begin{split} ni_j &= w_j C_j - w_{left(j)} C_{left(j)} - w_{right(j)} C_{right(j)} \\ where \\ ni_j &= importance \ of \ node \ j \\ w_j &= weighted \ \#samples \ reaching \ node \ j \\ C_j &= impurity \ value \ of \ node \ j \\ left(j) &= child \ node \ from \ left \\ right(j) &= child \ node \ from \ right \end{split}$$

The importance for each feature on a decision tree was calculated with:

$$fi_{j} = \frac{\sum_{j:node\ j\ splits\ on\ feature\ i} ni_{j}}{\sum_{k \in all\ nodes} ni_{k}}$$
 where
$$fi_{j} = importance\ of\ feature\ j$$

$$ni_{j} = importance\ of\ node\ j$$

The importance of a feature was then normalized to a value between 0 and $1(normfi_i)$. Then the final feature importance was calculated with:

$$fi_{j} = \frac{\sum_{j \in all\ trees} norm fi_{ij}}{T}$$
 where
$$norm fi_{ij} = normalized\ feature\ importance\ for\ i\ in\ tree\ j$$

$$T = \#\ total\ trees$$

The maximum depth of 5 was chosen for the Random Forest classifier. The increase in depth resulted in a higher training accuracy and a lower test accuracy.

FNN model was trained using the Spotify audio features dataset. The output of a node was calculated with:

$$z_i^{l+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{S_l} w_{ij} a_j^l + b_i^l$$
 where $S_l = \#$ nodes in layer l (except bias)

The implemented FNN consisted of 5 dense layers. The input layer output the

CNN was trained using raw audio files from GTZAN dataset. The model architecture developed was inspired by an existing project "Combining CNN and Classical Algorithms for Music Genre Classification".

The accuracies of all model implementations are shown in Table 1.

Accuracy		
Model	Training Accuracy	Test Accuracy
SVM (Spotify audio features)	63.8%	69.7%
Random Forest (Spotify audio features)	92.8%	82%
FNN(Spotify audio features)	96.2%	81%
CNN(MFCC)	97.5%	87%
SVM(features from CNN hidden layer 1)	98%	85%
SVM(features from CNN hidden layer 2)	99.7%	85%
Random Forest(features from CNN hidden layer 1)	100%	86%
Random Forest(features from CNN hidden layer 2)	100%	86%

Table 1: Accuracy of all classifier model implementations

4 Participants Contribution

Participants: Hyeonjung Ko, Yash Shetty

Hyeonjung Ko and Yash Shetty both worked on cleaning/modifying the dataset, implementing the models, and generating the experimental results. All models and results presented were first individually developed, then combined for best results. Such development include the models of SVM, Random Forest, FNN and CNN as well as their classification results.

5 Refereces