Minilab 2

Warrick Ball

August 16, 2018

In the second Minilab, we're going to extend our previous input file to include data for individual mode frequencies.

1 Setting up

- Add the data for the individual mode frequencies measured for this star. For convenience, you should be able to copy the data at the end of this document or from inlist_freq to the relevant part of inlist_astero_search_controls.
- Change chi2_seismo_fraction to 0.5 so that MESA knows to include the frequency data in χ^2 .
- We also need to tell MESA to make some important choices about what is passed to the evolution code. Change oscillation_code to use GYRE instead of ADIPLS. Nearby, turn on add_atmosphere and turn off keep_surface_point.

Each oscillation code has its own set of controls, most of which are controlled outside of MESA's inlists. For GYRE, this separate input file is another set of Fortran namelists in gyre.in.

- From wherever you extracted the materials, copy gyre.in to your working directory.
- All the parameters are set except for the frequency range GYRE will search for modes, so change the values of freq_min, freq_max, freq_min_units and freq_max_units to something more appropriate. Refer to the GYRE website for help.¹ The inlist inlist_astero_search_controls has some tips from Rich for setting these controls.
- Optionally, if in Minilab 1 you found a better solution than your initial guess, change your initial parameter values to those of your previous best.

We finally need to select the parameters that determine when MESA will start comparing the radial mode frequencies and then the non-radial mode frequencies. This *will* require fine-tuning once you start running.

¹https://bitbucket.org/rhdtownsend/gyre/wiki/Home

2 Choosing decision limits

As a reminder, the oscillation calculation is relatively expensive, so MESA avoids it for as long as it can. To do so, it makes a series of decisions about whether the model is close enough to the observed data. The first cut is based on χ^2_{spectro} . When χ^2_{spectro} falls below chi2_spectroscopic_limit, it will then compare $\Delta\nu_{\text{as}}$ to delta_nu. If $\chi^2_{\Delta\nu}$ is less than chi2_delta_nu_limit, then MESA will evaluate the radial mode frequencies and compute their total misfit, χ^2_{radial} . If this χ^2_{radial} is less than chi2_radial_limit, if will finally compute the non-radial mode frequencies too and consider those models fully in the optimisation.

All this means you have to choose a bunch of parameters that will have a big effect on how fast or slow your optimisation run will be.

- Choose a value for chi2_spectroscopic_limit.²
- Estimate values for delta_nu, delta_nu_sigma and chi2_delta_nu_limit. Note that this will be compared to $\Delta\nu_{\rm as}$, which is different from the actual observed separation. Since we aren't including $\Delta\nu$ in χ^2 , just think of this parameter as controlling when the radial modes will be computed. Also, remember that $\Delta\nu_{\rm as}$ is generally larger than the observed spacing between the modes.
- Choose a value for chi2_radial_limit. How close to you want the radial mode frequencies to be before you think it's worth computing the non-radial mode frequencies too?

3 Running

Once again, before you try to fit a model, make sure that a single run works as you expect. You should see that MESA is going through the complete logical sequence of evaluating χ^2_{spectro} , $\Delta\nu$, the radial mode frequencies, and then the full set of mode frequencies. You'll probably find that the limits you chose in Section 2 aren't optimal, either because MESA never gets as far as computing all the modes or because it starts too early and spends a long time calculating mode frequencies for models that aren't close to the data. Tweak the various χ^2 limits to make sure your use_first_values run works as you expect and reasonably quickly. Once that's going, you can start optimising again.

4 Plotting

Once you've got the run going, we can add an echelle diagram of the observed and modelled frequencies to see how we're doing. Add the end of inlist_astero_search_controls, you'll find a second, empty namelist, &astero_pgstar_controls. Referring to the defaults, ³ switch on the echelle plot, and tweak the large separation to a reasonable value. Now, when MESA starts computing individual mode frequencies, you should see them appear in the echelle diagram.

²This parameter doesn't matter as much as the other χ^2 limits.

^{3\$}MESA_DIR/star/astero/defaults/astero_pgstar.defaults

Frequency data

```
n10 = 15
10_{obs}(1) = 2203.674d0
10_{obs}(2) = 2354.521d0
10_{obs}(3) = 2508.436d0
10_{obs}(4) = 2660.843d0
10_{obs}(5) = 2811.986d0
10_{obs}(6) = 2962.637d0
10_{\text{obs}}(7) = 3113.66d0
10_{\text{obs}}(8) = 3265.644d0
10_{obs}(9) = 3418.235d0
10_{obs}(10) = 3571.021d0
10_{obs}(11) = 3723.93d0
10_{obs}(12) = 3876.94d0
10 \text{ obs}(13) = 4030.414d0
10_{obs}(14) = 4184.206d0
10_{obs}(15) = 4338.698d0
10_{obs_sigma(1)} = 0.693d0
10_{obs_sigma(2)} = 0.366d0
10_{obs_sigma}(3) = 0.212d0
10_{obs_sigma(4)} = 0.135d0
10_{obs_sigma}(5) = 0.0947d0
10_{obs_sigma}(6) = 0.0729d0
10_{obs_sigma}(7) = 0.0615d0
10_{obs_sigma}(8) = 0.0569d0
10_{obs_sigma}(9) = 0.0578d0
10_{obs_sigma}(10) = 0.0646d0
10_{obs_sigma}(11) = 0.0793d0
10_{obs_sigma(12)} = 0.107d0
10_{obs_sigma}(13) = 0.159d0
10_{obs_sigma}(14) = 0.26d0
10_{obs_sigma}(15) = 0.468d0
```

nl1 = 15 $11_{obs}(1) = 2274.242d0$ $11_{obs}(2) = 2426.458d0$ $11_{obs}(3) = 2579.111d0$ $11_{obs}(4) = 2731.679d0$ $11_{\text{obs}}(5) = 2882.368d0$ $11_{obs}(6) = 3033.247d0$ $11_{obs}(7) = 3184.96d0$ $11_{obs}(8) = 3337.322d0$ $11_{obs}(9) = 3490.221d0$ $11_{obs}(10) = 3643.27d0$ $11_{obs}(11) = 3796.157d0$ $11_{obs}(12) = 3949.575d0$ $11_{\text{obs}}(13) = 4103.204d0$ $11_{obs}(14) = 4257.812d0$ $11_{\text{obs}}(15) = 4412.375d0$ $11_{obs_sigma(1)} = 0.62d0$ $11_{obs_sigma}(2) = 0.326d0$ $11_{obs_sigma}(3) = 0.189d0$ $11_{obs_sigma}(4) = 0.121d0$ $11_{obs_sigma}(5) = 0.0858d0$ $11_{obs_sigma}(6) = 0.0668d0$ $11_{obs_sigma}(7) = 0.0571d0$ $11_{obs_sigma(8)} = 0.0538d0$ $11_{obs_sigma(9)} = 0.0559d0$ $11_{obs_sigma}(10) = 0.064d0$ $11_{obs_sigma}(11) = 0.0808d0$ $11_obs_sigma(12) = 0.113d0$ $l1_obs_sigma(13) = 0.173d0$ $11_{obs_sigma}(14) = 0.295d0$ $11_{obs_sigma(15)} = 0.555d0$

```
n12 = 12
12\_obs(1) = 2492.878d0
12_{obs}(2) = 2645.072d0
12_{\text{obs}}(3) = 2797.192d0
12\_obs(4) = 2948.31d0
12\_obs(5) = 3099.615d0
12_{obs}(6) = 3252.244d0
12_{obs}(7) = 3405.212d0
12_{obs}(8) = 3557.893d0
12\_obs(9) = 3711.1d0
12_{\text{obs}}(10) = 3864.686d0
12_{obs}(11) = 4018.158d0
12\_obs(12) = 4172.249d0
12\_obs\_sigma(1) = 0.596d0
12_{obs_sigma}(2) = 0.357d0
12_{obs_sigma}(3) = 0.237d0
12_{obs_sigma}(4) = 0.173d0
12_{obs_sigma}(5) = 0.139d0
12_{obs_sigma}(6) = 0.123d0
12_{obs_sigma}(7) = 0.12d0
12_{obs_sigma}(8) = 0.129d0
12\_obs\_sigma(9) = 0.153d0
12\_obs\_sigma(10) = 0.2d0
12\_obs\_sigma(11) = 0.289d0
12\_obs\_sigma(12) = 0.462d0
```