

V19 exam notes

MNF130V2020

May 24, 2020

1 Prop. logic

c)

What we want to show:

$$(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow \neg r \equiv (p \wedge r) \rightarrow q$$

Using identities:

$$\begin{aligned}(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow \neg r &\equiv \neg(p \wedge \neg q) \vee \neg r \equiv (\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg r \\ (\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg r &\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg r) \vee q \equiv (p \wedge r) \rightarrow q\end{aligned}$$

d)

Truth value of:

$$\exists n \forall m P(m, n)$$

F, just set $m = n + 1$.

Truth value of:

$$\forall n \exists m P(n, m)$$

T, take n and set $m = n$.

2 Set theory and functions

a)

$$A - (B \cap C) = (A - B) \cap (A - C)$$

Counterexample:

$$A = \{1, 2, 3\}, B = \{2, 3\}, C = \{3\}$$

$$A - (B \cap C) = \{1, 2, 3\} - (\{2, 3\} \cap \{3\}) = \{1, 2, 3\} - \{3\} = \{1, 2\}$$

$$(A - B) \cap (A - C) = (\{1, 2, 3\} - \{2, 3\}) \cap (\{1, 2, 3\} - \{3\}) = \{1\} \cap \{1, 2\} = \{1\}$$

b)

$$A - (B \cap C) = (A - B) \cup (A - C)$$

Either do Venn diagram (this one is easy to visualize), or:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in (A - (B \cap C)) &\equiv x \in A \wedge \neg x \in (B \cap C) \\ &\equiv x \in A \wedge \neg(x \in B \wedge x \in C) \\ &\equiv x \in A \wedge (x \notin B \wedge x \notin C) \\ &\equiv ((x \in A \wedge (x \notin B)) \vee (x \in A) \wedge (x \notin C)) \\ &\equiv (x \in (A - B)) \vee (x \in (A - C)) \\ &\equiv x \in ((A - B) \cup (A - C)) \end{aligned}$$

c)

Injective: Yes. For all integers n and m , $m \neq n$, then $3n \neq 3m$

Surjective: No. No instance, where $3n = 2$

Bijective: No.

3 3 Number theory

Let $a = bq + r$, where a, b, q, r are integers. Prove that $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$.

Let S be the set of common divisors of a and b , $S = \{c | c | a \wedge c | b\}$, and let T be the set of common divisors of b and r , $T = \{c | c | b \wedge c | r\}$. We prove that $S = T$ by showing that $S \subseteq T$ and $T \subseteq S$.

Let $c \in S$. Then there exist integers k, l such that $a = ck$ and $b = cl$. Hence $r = a - bq = c(k - lq)$. Because $k - lq$ is an integer scaled by c and $c | r$, $c \in T$.

Let $c \in T$. Then there exist integers k, l such that $b = ck$ and $r = cl$. Hence $a = bq + r = c(kq + l)$. Because $kq + l$ is an integer, $c | a$ and $c \in S$. Because $\gcd(a, b)$ is the maximal element of S and $\gcd(b, r)$ is the maximal element of T and $S = T$, it will follow that $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$.

4 4 is not worth wasting more time on.

5 5 Counting

a)

In each group, there will be $\binom{5}{2}$ games. With 4 total groups, this will be:

$$\binom{5}{2} + \binom{5}{2} + \binom{5}{2} + \binom{5}{2} = 4 \cdot \binom{5}{2} = 4 \cdot \frac{5!}{2!3!} = 4 \cdot \frac{5 \cdot 4}{2} = 40$$

b)

In each group, there can be 5 possible winners. With 4 groups and by the product rule, this becomes:

$$5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 = 5^4$$

c)

For the first group, there is a total of $\binom{20}{5}$ combinations. Next group: $\binom{15}{5}$, then $\binom{10}{5}$ and only one configuration for the last group:

$$\binom{20}{5} \cdot \binom{15}{5} \cdot \binom{10}{5} = \frac{20!}{5!15!} \cdot \frac{15!}{5!10!} \cdot \frac{10!}{5!5!} = \frac{20!}{(5!)^4}$$

6 6 Relations

a)

Reflexive: for every integer x , $x \equiv x \pmod{3}$, so $(x, x) \in R$.

Symmetric: for all integers x, y , $x \equiv y \pmod{3} \leftrightarrow x \pmod{3} = y \pmod{3}$, hence $(x, y) \in R$ which implies $(y, x) \in R$.

Transitive: for all integers x, y, z , assume that $(x, y) \in R$ and $(y, z) \in R$, then $x \pmod{3} = y \pmod{3}$ and $y \pmod{3} = z \pmod{3}$. Hence $x \pmod{3} = z \pmod{3}$ and therefore $(x, z) \in R$

$$[2]_R = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x \pmod{3} = 2\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x = 3k + 2 \text{ for some integer } k\}$$

Hence $[2]_R$ is the set of integers which have 2 as a remainder after division by 3, that is the set of integers which can be written as 2 plus an integer multiple of 3.

b)

The \forall statement breaks transitivity for the relation. To show with a counterexample:

$$x = 2, y = 0, z = 3 \Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{2} \wedge y \equiv z \pmod{3} \therefore (x, y) \in R \wedge (y, z) \in R$$

But

$$x \pmod{2} = 0 \neq 1 = z \pmod{2} \wedge x \pmod{3} = 2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow (x, z) \notin R$$