

Department of Computer Science

Assessed Coursework

Module Title: Blockchain Computing

Module Code: CS3BC20

Lecturer responsible: Atta Badii

Type of Assignment: Coursework

Individual/group Assignment: Individual

Weighting of the Assignment: 30%

Page limit/Word count: 5

Expected time spent for this assignment: ~ 25 Hrs.

Items to be submitted: 1 report plus link to code made accessible to assessors; submitted via BB.

Work to be submitted on-line via Blackboard Learn by: 12:00 noon, Friday 19th March 2021.

Work will be marked and returned by 15 working days after the date of submission.

NOTES

By submitting this work, you are certifying that it is all your sentences, figures, tables, equations, code snippets, artworks, and illustrations in this report are original and have not been taken from any other person's work except where explicitly the works of others have been acknowledged, quoted, and referenced. You understand that failing to do so will be considered a case of plagiarism. Plagiarism is a form of academic misconduct and will be penalised accordingly. The University's Statement of Academic Misconduct is available on the University web pages.

If your work is submitted after the deadline, *10%* of the maximum possible mark will be deducted for *each* working day (or part of) it is late. A mark of zero will be awarded if your work is submitted more than 5 working days late. You are strongly recommended to hand work in by the deadline as a late submission on one piece of work can impact on other work.

If you believe that you have a valid reason for failing to meet a deadline then you should complete an Extenuating Circumstances form and submit it to the Student Support Centre *before* the deadline, or as soon as is practicable afterwards, explaining why.

Assessment classification

First Class (>= 70%)
Outstanding quality correct and highly efficient solution with excellent analysis and rationalisation of methods used and tested with the approach to validation of correctness and efficiency thoroughly justified; excellent quality and presentation of the technical report in terms of clarity, coherence, and other aspects of the presentation such as English grammar.
Upper Second (60-69%)
High quality correct and efficient solution with good analysis and rationalisation of methods used and tested with the approach to validation of correctness and efficiency well-justified; high quality of presentation of the technical report in terms of clarity, coherence, and other aspects of presentation such as English grammar .
Lower Second (50-59%)
Good quality correct and moderately efficient solution with reasonable analysis and rationalisation of methods used and with the approach to validation of correctness and efficiency reasonably justified; good quality and presentation of the technical report in terms of clarity, coherence, and other aspects of presentation such as English grammar.
Third (40-49%)
Satisfactory solutions with moderate/low quality and efficiency but adequate analysis and rationalisation of methods used and tested with the approach to validation of correctness adequately justified; satisfactory presentation of the technical report in terms of clarity, coherence, and other aspects of presentation such as English grammar but with some shortcomings.
Pass (30-39%)
Basic (minimally acceptable quality) solution with barely adequate analysis and rationalisation of methods used and tested with the approach to validation of correctness not fully justified; adequate quality and presentation of the technical report in terms of clarity, coherence, and other aspects of presentation but with many shortcomings.
Fail (<30%)
Inadequate solution with inadequate analysis and rationalisation of methods used and tested; inadequate or missing validation of correctness of the approach justified; inadequate quality and presentation of the technical report lacking in clarity, coherence, and other aspects of presentation- below the minimum acceptable standards.

Assignment implementation requirements

C#, Visual Studio (v# 4.7.2) <https://visualstudio.microsoft.com/vs/community/>

Assignment.Zip (downloaded from the CS3BC20 Blackboard Practicals & Coursework folder)

https://www.bb.reading.ac.uk/webapps/blackboard/execute/content/file?cmd=view&mode=designer&content_id= 5358337 1&course_id= 158671 1&framesetWrapped=true

Assignment Submission requirements

A technical report (expected length 5 pages) describing the solutions to the attempted Assignment tasks, the methods, implementation and results plus a link to the code site made accessible to the assessors. The Deadline for submission is 12;00 Hrs Friday 19th March. Please include the following information on the front sheet of your submission

Module Code: CS3BC20

Assignment report Title: Blockchain Coursework Assignment

Student Number (e.g. 25098635):

Date (when the work completed):

Actual hrs spent for the assignment:

Assignment description

Practical Guided Exercises and Assignment

This integrated Practicals & Coursework Assignment set for this module is intended to enable every student to implement a basic offline Blockchain. The practicals are structured to allow guided step-by-step development of the required components for the basic blockchain implementation.

It is expected that you will complete and document the first 5 parts of the Coursework Assignment as a structured sequence of 5 exercise steps as supported through step-by-step lab demonstrations as illustrated in the practicals support document which is called Parctical_Exercises & Coursework_Support.doc and that you can download from:

[https://www.bb.reading.ac.uk/webapps/blackboard/execute/content/file?cmd=view&mode=designer&content_id= 5358337_1&course_id= 158671_1&framesetWrapped=true](https://www.bb.reading.ac.uk/webapps/blackboard/execute/content/file?cmd=view&mode=designer&content_id=5358337_1&course_id=158671_1&framesetWrapped=true)

The assignment task (part 6) is based on the application you will have built through the first 5 parts of practical lab exercises. For your submission you will submit your solution for the first 5 parts as worked out through demonstrated lab sessions plus your solution to the three tasks out of 4 of the following 4 tasks that form part 6.

To earn full marks for **implementation** in Part 6 of this coursework you only need to submit correct implementations of **three** out of **four** of these assignment tasks. As you can see in the following marking scheme table, this part 6 carries 35 marks overall, 15 for implementation and 20 for the report. You can earn the full 20 marks for the report section if you only implement three sections. However you may be able to pick up lost marks due to incomplete implementation of any three if you choose to implement all four, although in any case, for part 6, one cannot obtain more than the maximum allowed marks of 35. Research and reference to other Blockchain implementations will be valued highly for the part 6 tasks; especially if you incorporate their logic into your solution.

Marks for the assignment are allocated as follows:

Coursework Parts	Marks for Evidence of implementation	Marks for the Report	Total Marks
Part 1 – Project Setup	3	2	5
Part 2 – Blocks and the Blockchain	5	5	10
Part 3 – Transactions and Digital Signatures	5	5	10
Part 4 – Consensus Algorithms (Proof-of-Work)	10	10	20
Part 5 - Validation	10	10	20
Part 6 – Assignment Tasks	15	20	35
	48	52	(100)

For each part in this coursework assignment, you are expected to submit evidence of implementation alongside a report which details your understanding of the steps.

The 4 tasks in Part 6 of this assignment are as follows:

Task 1: Extending the Proof-of-Work algorithm to parallelise this task such that multiple threads are in use. Currently when a node performs Proof-of-Work to find a hash to satisfy the difficulty level, only one core in the CPU is working, the rest are idle. For the report, document the implementation and prove that there is a performance efficiency gain when using multiple threads as opposed to one

Guidance for this task:

Take multiple samples of mining times and compare them; make use of

`(system.Diagnostics.Stopwatch).`

Do not forget to consider the fact that threads may repeat work (hashing the same data and creating hashes that have already been generated). Provide a solution that avoids such duplicated work and explain in the report how it prevents work from being repeated.

Advice: The following C# resources may help implementation: [Callbacks/Delegates](#) and [Threading](#). If you have problems updating the UI using threads the following line may be useful:

```
textBox1.Invoke(new Action(() => textBox1.Text += message))
```

Task 2: Adjusting the Difficulty Level in Proof-of-Work

As previously mentioned in the practicals support document, the difficulty level was a static type so far and as such did not change for each new cycle of block mining. In state-of the art Blockchain (Proof-of-Work) implementations a dynamic difficulty level is used. In Proof-of-Work crypto-currencies 'Block Time' is considered the average amount of time required until the next Block is added to the chain. In Bitcoin this is 10 minutes and in Ethereum this is 10-20 seconds.

For this task please decide your own 'Block time' (with justification in the report) and implement an adaptive difficulty level algorithm. Prove that the implementation works in the report and discuss how you developed your solution for your implementation.

Guidance for this task:

In our current implementation increasing the difficulty by one would increase the amount of work by a factor of 16. This is not suitable for dynamic difficulty level setting. You would need to consider another approach; you may wish to review the existing approaches as adopted by others.

In your report, please detail how you developed your implemented dynamic difficulty level and why you transactions pool. They may wish to be altruistic and pick the transactions that have been waiting the longest. They may wish to be greedy and pick the transactions with the largest fees. They may wish to be unpredictable and pick entirely randomly. They may have their own transactions pending and choose to pick up transactions involving their address first. For this task please implement a setting within the UI, as has been developed during the practical sessions, to enable the node to decide how it wishes to pick transactions from the pool. Include "Greedy" (highest fee first), "Altruistic" (longest wait first), "Random" and "Address Preference".

Guidance for this Task:

In your report discuss what you believe would be the optimal setting to choose and why. Also discuss how to choose to implement the settings and provide evidence that each setting works.

Task4: You are welcome, within the scope of this work, to come up with your own extension/ modification of the application developed through part 1-5 of the practical sessions. Such an extension as you may design and implement for this task 4 cannot be trivial and should need an amount of effort comparable to what is needed to complete each of the first 3 tasks listed above.

Guidance for this Task:

Some ideas for this task 4, for example, include:

- The implementation of a different consensus algorithm (Proof-of-Stake),
- Creating multiple nodes running in a local network, automating the generation of transactions,
- Smart contracts (if you are really ambitious).

You will need to justify your choice to add any extension to the application, document your implementation and provide proof that it works as intended.

Detailed Marking Scheme & Feedback follows on the next page

CS3BC20 Marking Scheme & Feedback Sheet

Aspect	Description	Potential Marks Rewarded		Specific Marking Criteria, to serve also as Specific Feedback Indicator
		Implementation	Documentation	
Practical 1 - Project Setup				
Customising the User-Interface	Addition of Buttons and Text Boxes	1	1	Windows Forms and User Interface design
Event Handlers	Implementation of Event Handler	1	1	Handling user input
Setting-up and Running the Code	Basic "Hello World" Program	1	0	
		3	2	
Practical 2 - Blocks and Blockchain				
Block and Blockchain Class Structure	Appropriate variables and data-types including list data-structure	1	1	Data-type justifications
Instantiation of a new Blockchain	Object definition and initialisation in Blockchain app	1	1	Class hierarchy description
Genesis Block Creation	Necessary constructor modifications	1	1	Special properties of Genesis blocks
Hashing	Hashing the entire block using the SHA256 algorithm	1	2	Description of hashing and hash properties
Printing Blocks	Outputting hashes as hexadecimal strings in the UI	1	0	
		5	5	
Practical 3 - Transactions and Digital Signatures				
Wallet Creation	Asymmetric key generation and UI adaptation	1	2	Key usage and mathematical relationship/properties
Setting up Transactions	Transaction class implementation - Variables and constructor	1	0	
Digital Signature Creation	Signing the Hash using Senders Private Key	1	1	Use in authentication of transactions
Processing Transactions	Generate a transaction and printing the data	1	0	
Transaction Pools	Implemented as a list of "pending" transactions	1	2	Creating and managing Transaction Pools in Blockchains
		5	5	
Practical 4 - Consensus Algorithms (Proof-of-Work)				
Generating new Blocks	Adding "Empty" Blocks to a Blockchain	2	2	Blockchain-Block relationship
Adding transactions into Blocks	Transaction Lists	2	2	Block composition
Proof-of-Work	Algorithm Implementation	2	2	Properties, Advantages and Disadvantages etc.
Nonce Generation	Random Number Generation	1	1	Requirement for nonce in Blocks
Difficulty Level	Value selection and checking	1	1	Justification of value selected
Rewards and Fees	Coinbase configuration	2	2	Mining and Incentives: Driving transactions
		10	10	
Practical 5 - Validation				
Validating the Blockchain structure	Block Coherence and contiguity checks	2	2	How trustability is achieved

Checking and Validating Balances	Ledger Tracing	2	2	Double spend prevention
Validating Blocks and Merkle Root	Implementing Merkle Root Algorithm - Combining Hashes	2	2	Merkle root properties and benefits
Validating Transactions	Checking digital signatures	2	2	Authenticity and Integrity achieved as a result of usage
Testing the Validation	Verification	2	2	Incorporation of "rules"
		10	10	
		33	32	
Assignment Tasks				
Task 1 - Extending Proof-of-Work				
Multi-threading	Callbacks/delegates and threading	3	3	Increasing the rate in which nodes mine blocks
e-Nonce	Additional nonce generation	1	1	Overcoming "Duplication of work" in parallelised systems
Sampling	Comparative study	1	3	Performance comparisons
		5	7	
Task 2 - Adjusting Difficulty Level for Proof-of-Work				
Block Time Measurement	Calculation of "Block Time"	1	1	Using "Block Time" as a metric
Adaptive Difficulty	Adaptive Difficulty Algorithm Implementation	3	3	Evidence of background reading
Block Time Selection	Adaptivity etc.	1	3	Justification of design and implementation
		5	7	
Task 3 - Implementing Alternative Mining Preference Settings				
Pool Adaptation		1	3	Potential use case for each preference
Greedy	Highest Fee	1	1	
Altruistic	Longest Wait	1	1	
Random	Random Selection	1	1	
Address Preference	Owner	1	1	
		5	7	
Task 4 - Other Extension or Modification				
Other	Alternate consensus algorithm implementation, networking, smart contracts etc.	5	7	Design and Implementation justification
		5	7	
		15	20	
				65
				100