Thesis outline

Sam Rogerson

Introduction

- · Standard LHC schpiel, prospects for BSM
- · Brief: importance of SUSY, currently mostly unconstrained
- Need both experimental probing and interpretation

Theory

- SM: Brief intro, predictive power (EWPOs), problems (H: w-scattering), hierarchy problem
- BSM: Motivate via problems with SM i.e. what BSM needs to provide
- SUSY: Basic introduction to construction
- SUSY:
 - motivate R parity via proton
 - RP implies stable neutralino
 - Stable neutralino is CDM candidate
 - Motivate low mass neutralino
 - low mass neutralino implies MET
- Minimal SUSY:
 - MSSM introduction: how to we minimally get what we want from SUSY framework
- Models of SUSY: each gets rough theory treatment, and pheno: what differentiates it, why look for it, what is the "dead end" if any
 - Universal and 2 scale models: cMSSM, vcMSSM, mSUGRA
 - Non-universal models: NUHM{1,2}
 - pMSSM
 - OSET -> SMS

Exploring SUSY models

Making use of MET signatures: alphaT
- description
- QCD backgroud parameterization
- Signal model examples
 Likelihood model (b-jet multiplicities)
(this seciton needs some discussion/input on areas to be covered)
Results: presented in cMSSM and SMS with scaling (i.e. each of the three results so fall).
Constraining models of SUSY
Existing constraints:
- EWPOs , bphysics, dark matter, lep higgs
- Current state of models
LHC-era constraints:
- MET, Bsmumu, Xenon
- Effect of direct searches, progressive (37pb->5fb)
- Bestfit / benchmark scenarios (work with AbdusSalam et al)
- State of play (best fit particle spectra, etc. progressive)
- Comparison of spectra to SMS
- Most sensitive now to
Universal / Two scale model prospects
• Reach of LHC (neutralino mass -> MET, production mech: glu, squ)
Future prospects for SUSY / BSM
 cMSSM / two scales: mostly flat, NUHM2 only real "predictive"

Conclusion

- pMSSM

• Might not be something universal / RGE motivated: