

Weinberg QFT Exercise

Siyang Ling

September 9, 2021

2.5

A massive particle in $1 + 2$ spacetime has standard momentum:

$$k^\mu = (0, 0, M) \quad (0.0.1)$$

The little group is $SO(2)$. Under Lorentz transform Λ , an arbitrary state $\Psi_{p,\sigma}$ (could be a multi-particle state) transforms as:

$$U(\Lambda)\Psi_{p,\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{(\Lambda p)^0}{p^0}} \sum_{\sigma'} D_{\sigma'\sigma}(W(\Lambda, p)) \Psi_{\Lambda p, \sigma'} \quad (0.0.2)$$

The matrices $D_{\sigma'\sigma}(W)$ are given by a unitary representation of $SO(2)$:

$$D : SO(2) \rightarrow SU(N) \quad (0.0.3)$$

We shall parametrize $SO(2)$ by the rotation angle θ . To find the spin states of a *single* particle, we should find the irreducible representations of $SO(2)$. Since $SO(2)$ is 1-dimensional, we can write D for the infinitesimal rotation $R(\theta)$ as:

$$D = 1 + i\theta t + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2) \quad (0.0.4)$$

Here, t is a Hermitian matrix, so we can use spectral decomposition to find an orthonormal basis in which it is diagonal. We can thus assume WLOG that t is diagonal: (by redefining $\Psi_{p,\sigma}$ using this orthonormal basis)

$$t = \text{diag}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N) \quad (0.0.5)$$

So:

$$D(R(\theta)) = \exp(i\theta \text{diag}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N)) = \text{diag}(e^{in_1\theta}, e^{in_2\theta}, \dots, e^{in_N\theta}) \quad (0.0.6)$$

The representation is decomposed into a direct sum of N 1-dimensional representations. An (1-dimensional) irreducible representation has the form:

$$D(R(\theta)) = e^{in\theta}, \quad (0.0.7)$$

where n is an integer. Under Lorentz transform, a single particle transforms as:

$$U(\Lambda)\Psi_{p,n} = \sqrt{\frac{(\Lambda p)^0}{p^0}} e^{in\theta(W(\Lambda,p))} \Psi_{\Lambda p,n} \quad (0.0.8)$$

Note that σ is replaced with n .

Now we consider the transformation of $\Psi_{k,n}$ under parity P and time-reversal T . We know:

$$\begin{aligned} HP\Psi_{k,n} &= PHP^{-1}P\Psi_{k,n} = PH\Psi_{k,n} = MP\Psi_{k,n} \\ \mathbf{P}P\Psi_{k,n} &= -\mathbf{P}PP^{-1}P\Psi_{k,n} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{P}\Psi_{k,n} = 0 \\ tP\Psi_{k,n} &= P(P^{-1}J^{12}P)\Psi_{k,n} = Pt\Psi_{k,n} = nP\Psi_{k,n} \end{aligned} \quad (0.0.9)$$

If the particle with $H = M$, $\mathbf{P} = 0$ and $t = n$ has no degeneracy, then $\Psi_{k,n}$ and $P\Psi_{k,n}$ are the same state, and:

$$\begin{aligned} P\Psi_{k,n} &= \eta\Psi_{k,n} \\ P\Psi_{p,n} &= \sqrt{M/p^0}(PU(L(p))P^{-1})P\Psi_{k,n} = \sqrt{M/p^0}U(L(\mathcal{P}p))P\Psi_{k,n} = \eta\Psi_{\mathcal{P}p,n} \end{aligned} \quad (0.0.10)$$

where $|\eta| = 1$ is some phase. Similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} HT\Psi_{k,n} &= MT\Psi_{k,n} \\ \mathbf{P}T\Psi_{k,n} &= 0 \\ tT\Psi_{k,n} &= -nT\Psi_{k,n} \end{aligned} \quad (0.0.11)$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned} T\Psi_{k,n} &= \zeta\Psi_{k,-n} \\ T\Psi_{p,n} &= \sqrt{M/p^0}(TU(L(p))T^{-1})T\Psi_{k,n} = \sqrt{M/p^0}U(L(\mathcal{P}p))T\Psi_{k,n} = \zeta\Psi_{\mathcal{P}p,-n} \end{aligned} \quad (0.0.12)$$

where $|\zeta| = 1$ is some phase. However, this phase has no physical significance since it can be eliminated by redefining $\Psi_{k,n}$:

$$T\zeta^{1/2}\Psi_{k,n} = \zeta^{*1/2}T\Psi_{k,n} = \zeta^{1/2}\Psi_{k,n} \quad (0.0.13)$$

3.4

To show (3.5.8) is equivalent to (3.5.3), we need to evaluate $S_{\beta\alpha}$ from (3.5.3):

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\beta\alpha} &= (\Phi_\beta, S\Phi_\alpha) \\ &= (\Phi_\beta, \Phi_\alpha) + (-i) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 (\Phi_\beta, V(t_1)\Phi_\alpha) + (-i)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} dt_2 (\Phi_\beta, V(t_1)V(t_2)\Phi_\alpha) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (0.0.14)$$

The term 0-th order in V is simply:

$$(\Phi_\beta, \Phi_\alpha) = \delta(\beta - \alpha) \quad (0.0.15)$$

To evaluate the 1-st order term, we first show:

$$(\Phi_\beta, V(t)\Phi_\alpha) = (\Phi_\beta, e^{iH_0t}V e^{-iH_0t}\Phi_\alpha) = (e^{-iH_0t}\Phi_\beta, V e^{-iH_0t}\Phi_\alpha) = e^{i(E_\beta - E_\alpha)t}V_{\beta\alpha} \quad (0.0.16)$$

So:

$$(-i) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 (\Phi_\beta, V(t_1)\Phi_\alpha) = (-i) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 e^{i(E_\beta - E_\alpha)t_1}V_{\beta\alpha} = (-2\pi i)\delta(E_\beta - E_\alpha)V_{\beta\alpha} \quad (0.0.17)$$

For the 2-nd order term, we can use the completeness of the Φ_α 's to show:

$$(\Phi_\beta, V(t_1)V(t_2)\Phi_\alpha) = \int d\gamma (\Phi_\beta, V(t_1)\Phi_\gamma)(\Phi_\gamma, V(t_2)\Phi_\alpha) \quad (0.0.18)$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned} &(-i)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} dt_2 (\Phi_\beta, V(t_1)V(t_2)\Phi_\alpha) \\ &= (-i)^2 \int d\gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} dt_2 e^{i(E_\beta - E_\gamma)t_1} e^{i(E_\gamma - E_\alpha)t_2} V_{\beta\gamma} V_{\gamma\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (0.0.19)$$

Now we do a change of integration variables in the form of:

$$\tau = t_1 - t_2, \quad t'_2 = t_2 \quad (0.0.20)$$

Then the integral becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-i)^2 \int d\gamma \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_{-\infty}^\infty dt_2 e^{i(E_\beta - E_\gamma)(\tau + t_2)} e^{i(E_\gamma - E_\alpha)t_2} V_{\beta\gamma} V_{\gamma\alpha} \\
& = (-i)^2 \int d\gamma \int_0^\infty d\tau 2\pi\delta(E_\beta - E_\alpha) e^{i(E_\beta - E_\gamma)\tau} V_{\beta\gamma} V_{\gamma\alpha} \\
& = (-2\pi i)\delta(E_\beta - E_\alpha) \int d\gamma (-i) \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{i(E_\alpha - E_\gamma)\tau} V_{\beta\gamma} V_{\gamma\alpha} \\
& = (-2\pi i)\delta(E_\beta - E_\alpha) \int d\gamma \frac{V_{\beta\gamma} V_{\gamma\alpha}}{E_\alpha - E_\gamma + i\epsilon} \tag{0.0.21}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.5.9) in the last equality. To evaluate the higher order terms, we first use the completeness relation for Φ_α 's (as in the case for the 2-nd order term) to expand $(\Phi_\beta, V(t_1) \dots V(t_n) \Phi_\alpha)$:

$$(\Phi_\beta, V(t_1) \dots V(t_n) \Phi_\alpha) = \int d\gamma_1 \dots \int d\gamma_{n-1} e^{i(E_\beta - E_{\gamma_1})t_1} \dots e^{i(E_{\gamma_{n-1}} - E_\alpha)t_n} V_{\beta\gamma_1} \dots V_{\gamma_{n-1}\alpha} \tag{0.0.22}$$

And then evaluate the $t_1 \dots t_n$ integral by doing a change of variables:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_i &= t_i - t_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i \leq n-1 \\
t'_n &= t_n \tag{0.0.23}
\end{aligned}$$

The procedure is similar to that for the 2-nd order term. Combining the results, we get:

$$S_{\beta\alpha} = \delta(\beta - \alpha) - 2\pi i\delta(E_\beta - E_\alpha) \left[V_{\beta\alpha} + \int d\gamma \frac{V_{\beta\gamma} V_{\gamma\alpha}}{E_\alpha - E_\gamma + i\epsilon} + \dots \right] \tag{0.0.24}$$

The term in the bracket is simply $T_{\beta\alpha}^+$. We can see that it is consistent with (3.5.3).

4.1

We can modify (4.3.2) to write:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\beta\alpha} &= \sum_{\text{PART}} S_{\beta_1\alpha_1}^C S_{\beta_2\alpha_2}^C \dots \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\text{PART} \\ \text{in } n}} S_{\beta_1\alpha_1}^C \dots S_{\beta_n\alpha_n}^C \tag{0.0.25}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, PART in n is the sum over different partitions with n parts. (see (4.3.4), (4.3.5), (4.3.6)) Now we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& F[v] \\
&= 1 + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!M!} \int v^*(q'_1) \dots v^*(q'_N) v(q_1) \dots v(q_M) \\
&\quad S_{q'_1 \dots q'_N, q_1 \dots q_M} dq'_1 \dots dq'_N dq_1 \dots dq_M \\
&= 1 + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!M!} \int v^*(q'_1) \dots v^*(q'_N) v(q_1) \dots v(q_M) \\
&\quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\text{PART} \\ \text{in } n}} S_{\beta_1 \alpha_1}^C \dots S_{\beta_n \alpha_n}^C dq'_1 \dots dq'_N dq_1 \dots dq_M \tag{0.0.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we have expanded $S_{q'_1 \dots q'_N, q_1 \dots q_M}$ in terms of $S_{\beta_1 \alpha_1}^C \dots S_{\beta_n \alpha_n}^C$. The β_i 's give a partition for $q'_1 \dots q'_N$, and similarly for the α_i 's. If the size of β_i is N_i and the size of α_i is M_i , then we can write the sum over partitioning as a sum over different sizes N_i and M_i , satisfying:

$$N_1 + \dots + N_n = N, \quad M_1 + \dots + M_n = M. \tag{0.0.27}$$

The number of partitionings of q'_i 's and q_i 's of the a particular size N_i and M_i is given by:

$$\frac{N!}{N_1! \dots N_n!}, \quad \frac{M!}{M_1! \dots M_n!}, \tag{0.0.28}$$

respectively. Since we're integrating over all the q'_i 's and q_i 's, the contribution from all terms of a particular partitioning size is the same, so we must add the above factors inside the summation of the N_i and M_i 's.

Moreover, since we are interested in distinct partitionings, a partitioning $\beta_i \alpha_i$ should be treated as the same as $\beta_{k_i} \alpha_{k_i}$, where k_i is some permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. To avoid over counting, we should divided the sum by $n!$.

Continuing with our previous calculation, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1 + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!M!} \int v^*(q'_1) \dots v^*(q'_N) v(q_1) \dots v(q_M) \\
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{N_1+\dots+N_n=N} \sum_{M_1+\dots+M_n=M} \frac{N!}{N_1! \dots N_n!} \frac{M!}{M_1! \dots M_n!} \\
& S_{q'_1 \dots q'_{N_1}, q_1 \dots q_{M_1}}^C \dots S_{q'_{N-N_n+1} \dots q'_N, q_{M-M_n+1} \dots q_M}^C dq'_1 \dots dq'_N dq_1 \dots dq_M \\
& = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{N=n}^{\infty} \sum_{M=n}^{\infty} \sum_{N_1+\dots+N_n=N} \sum_{M_1+\dots+M_n=M} \frac{1}{N_1! \dots N_n!} \frac{1}{M_1! \dots M_n!} \\
& \int v^*(q'_1) \dots v^*(q'_N) v(q_1) \dots v(q_M) S_{q'_1 \dots q'_{N_1}, q_1 \dots q_{M_1}}^C \dots S_{q'_{N-N_n+1} \dots q'_N, q_{M-M_n+1} \dots q_M}^C dq'_1 \dots dq'_N dq_1 \dots dq_M \\
& = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \sum_{N_k=1}^{\infty} \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \sum_{M_k=1}^{\infty} \right) \frac{1}{N_1! \dots N_n!} \frac{1}{M_1! \dots M_n!} \\
& \int v^*(q'_1) \dots v^*(q'_N) v(q_1) \dots v(q_M) S_{q'_1 \dots q'_{N_1}, q_1 \dots q_{M_1}}^C \dots S_{q'_{N-N_n+1} \dots q'_N, q_{M-M_n+1} \dots q_M}^C dq'_1 \dots dq'_N dq_1 \dots dq_M \\
& = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^n \sum_{N_k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{M_k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N_k! M_k!} \\
& \int v^*(q'_1) \dots v^*(q'_{N_k}) v(q_1) \dots v(q_{M_k}) S_{q'_1 \dots q'_{N_k}, q_1 \dots q_{M_k}}^C dq'_1 \dots dq'_{N_k} dq_1 \dots dq_{M_k} \\
& = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (F^C[v])^n \\
& = \exp\{F^C[v]\} \tag{0.0.29}
\end{aligned}$$

So the desired formula is $F[v] = \exp\{F^C[v]\}$.

5.4

A type $(A, A+j)$ field for a massless particle of spin j' satisfy:

$$|A - (A+j)| \leq j' \leq 2A+j$$

We see that j' can go from j to $2A+j$. For each j' , we know from (5.9.41) that the field can be formed only from annihilation operators of helicity σ , where

$$\sigma = (A+j) - A = j.$$

The total number of degrees of freedom here is:

$$(2A + j) - j + 1 = 2A + 1$$

Now consider the traceless $2A$ -th derivatives of a massless type $(0, j)$ field ϕ_σ :

$$\{\partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_{2A}}\} \phi_\sigma$$

This object transforms with a representation $(A, A) \otimes (0, j)$, where the (A, A) representation comes from the traceless derivatives and the $(0, j)$ is the transformation of the field. Again, since σ is fixed by (5.9.41), for each $0 \leq j \leq 2A$ there is 1 degree of freedom, and there is 1 degree of freedom for $(0, j)$. The total number of degree of freedom is:

$$(2A + 1) \times 1 = 2A + 1$$

Since we have obtained the same number of dof for a general (and unique) type $(A, A + j)$ massless field, we know that all such fields must be formed from traceless derivatives of type $(0, j)$ field. The argument is similar for type $(B + j, B)$ fields.

5.5

For P , we can use result (5.7.43):

$$P\psi_{ab}^{AB}(x)P^{-1} = \eta^*(-1)^{A+B-j}\psi_{ba}^{BA}(-\mathbf{x}, x^0)$$

The $(j, 0)$ component of the field transforms like:

$$P\psi_{ab}^{j0}(x)P^{-1} = \eta^*\psi_{ba}^{0j}(-\mathbf{x}, x^0)$$

Since $\sigma = 0 - j = -j = a + b$, the only values for a and b are:

$$a = -j, \quad b = 0.$$

So the simplified transformation is:

$$P\psi_{-j,0}^{j0}(x)P^{-1} = \eta^*\psi_{0,-j}^{0j}(-\mathbf{x}, x^0)$$

Similarly, the $(0, j)$ component transforms like:

$$P\psi_{0,j}^{0j}(x)P^{-1} = \eta^*\psi_{j,0}^{j0}(-\mathbf{x}, x^0)$$

Note that the $(j, 0)$ component is transformed into the $(0, j)$ component, and vice versa. The full transformation is thus:

$$P\psi(x)P^{-1} = \eta^* \beta \psi(-\mathbf{x}, 0)$$

where

$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

switches the two components.

Similarly, for C we use (5.7.52):

$$\begin{aligned} C\psi_{ab}^{AB}(x)C^{-1} &= \xi^*(-1)^{-2A-a-b-j}\psi_{-b-a}^{BA\dagger}(x) \\ C\psi_{-j0}^{j0}(x)C^{-1} &= \xi^*(-1)^{-2j-(-j)-0-j}\psi_{0,j}^{0j\dagger}(x) = \xi^*(-1)^{2j}\psi_{0,j}^{0j\dagger}(x) \\ C\psi_{0j}^{0j}(x)C^{-1} &= \xi^*(-1)^{-0-j-j}\psi_{-j,0}^{j0\dagger}(x) = \xi^*(-1)^{2j}\psi_{-j,0}^{j0\dagger}(x) \end{aligned}$$

For T , we use (5.7.60):

$$\begin{aligned} T\psi_{ab}^{AB}(x)T^{-1} &= (-1)^{a+b+A+B-2j}\zeta^*\psi_{-a-b}^{AB}(\mathbf{x}, -x^0) \\ T\psi_{-j,0}^{j0}(x)T^{-1} &= (-1)^{-j+0+j+0-2j}\zeta^*\psi_{j,0}^{j0}(\mathbf{x}, -x^0) = (-1)^{2j}\zeta^*\psi_{j,0}^{j0}(\mathbf{x}, -x^0) \\ T\psi_{0,j}^{0j}(x)T^{-1} &= (-1)^{0+j+0+j-2j}\zeta^*\psi_{0,-j}^{0j}(\mathbf{x}, -x^0) = \zeta^*\psi_{0,-j}^{0j}(\mathbf{x}, -x^0) \end{aligned}$$