

The Demographic Shock of 2025: A Comprehensive Analysis of Enforcement Policy Impacts on U.S. Population Projections and Economic Modeling

Executive Summary

The demographic trajectory of the United States has historically been characterized by relatively predictable patterns of fertility, mortality, and migration, allowing for stable long-term planning by federal agencies, state governments, and private sector entities. However, the year 2025 has marked a definitive and abrupt inflection point in this demographic continuity. Following the inauguration of the new administration and the immediate implementation of a “total enforcement” immigration paradigm—spearheaded by Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Secretary Kristi Noem and U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) Director Joseph Edlow—the foundational assumptions underpinning decades of population forecasting have been rendered obsolete. The swift transition from a period of high net international migration (NIM) to a regime characterized by strict border closures, indefinite asylum pauses, and intensified interior enforcement has precipitated a “demographic shock” that is currently reverberating through every level of American governance and commerce.¹

This report provides an exhaustive, multi-dimensional analysis of how these profound policy changes are being accounted for—or, in some cases, ignored—by major forecasting entities ranging from the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) to local school districts. The analysis reveals a widening divergence between federal projections, which have swiftly incorporated the new restrictive reality, and state-level methodologies that struggle to quantify “chilling effects” and “voluntary self-deportation” in real-time. While the CBO has aggressively revised its NIM estimates downward by millions for the 2025-2033 window, citing specific legislative mechanisms like the 2025 Reconciliation Act ⁴, state demographers in Florida, Texas, and California face the complex challenge of reconciling historical smoothing techniques with immediate operational data showing significant population contractions.⁷

Furthermore, the economic implications of this demographic contraction are transitioning from theoretical risk to measurable reality. The long-anticipated “demographic dividend” of a robust, immigrant-fueled labor force is being revised into a “demographic drag.” Financial institutions such as Goldman Sachs and Moody’s Analytics are forecasting reduced potential GDP growth due to severe labor supply constraints in essential sectors like agriculture, construction, and hospitality.¹⁰ This report details the mechanisms of this shift, exploring the variance in regional responses, the emerging fiscal crises for entities dependent on population-driven funding formulas, and the long-term structural consequences of a shrinking workforce in an aging nation.

1. The 2025 Policy Landscape: A Structural Break in Immigration Trends

To comprehend the magnitude of the demographic adjustments currently underway, it is essential to first rigorously quantify the policy shock that occurred in 2025. The administration’s approach, described explicitly as “America First,” represents not merely a tightening of border security but a comprehensive restructuring of legal, humanitarian, and irregular migration pathways.¹ This shift constitutes a structural break in the time series data used by demographers, invalidating models that rely on the continuity of historical trends.

1.1 The “Total Enforcement” Paradigm and Administrative Levers

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has operationalized a multi-layered enforcement strategy that effectively closes the primary “safety valves” of the U.S. immigration system. This strategy utilizes both executive authority and new legislative funding to achieve immediate reductions in foreign-born populations.

The Asylum Suspension and Processing Pauses

In a decisive move following security incidents, Secretary Noem directed a complete, indefinite pause on asylum processing for aliens from every country.¹ This policy effectively eliminates one of the primary channels for recent arrivals, particularly for demographics that had previously driven population growth in urban centers. By severing this pathway, the administration has removed a significant

variable from the “in-flow” component of the cohort-component projection method used by census bureaus. The cessation of processing does not merely delay entry; it creates a distinct demographic void where a cohort of younger, working-age individuals would typically appear in population pyramids.

The End of “Catch and Release” and Detention Expansion

Perhaps the most significant logistical change affecting population counts is the termination of the practice known as “catch and release.” Previously, migrants awaiting court dates were often released into the U.S. interior, becoming part of the “civilian noninstitutionalized population”—the specific demographic subset used to calculate labor force participation and economic consumption. The administration has replaced this with a policy of mandatory detention until a final decision is reached.¹³ To facilitate this, the 2025 Reconciliation Act (Public Law 119-21) provided substantial funding to expand detention capacity, allowing for the holding of roughly 50,000 additional individuals daily.⁶ From a statistical perspective, this transfers individuals from the “resident population” ledger to the “institutionalized population” ledger, or removes them entirely via expedited repatriation, thereby shrinking the active economic base.

Interior Enforcement and the “Office of Remigration”

The administration has proposed the creation of an “Office of Remigration,” signaling a pivot from border-centric enforcement to a focus on the established unauthorized population residing within the U.S. interior.¹⁴ This shift is critical for state projections, as it targets individuals who have likely been counted in previous census estimates and are integrated into local economies. DHS has claimed over 600,000 formal deportations and nearly 1.9 million “voluntary self-deportations” in the first year alone.¹⁵ If these figures are accurate, they represent a demographic event comparable to a major out-migration crisis or a natural disaster in terms of population loss. The concept of “self-deportation”—driven by the inability to work or fear of enforcement—adds a volatile “out-flow” variable that is notoriously difficult for traditional demographic models to capture until years after the fact.

1.2 The “Chilling Effect” as a Demographic Variable

Beyond the direct removal of individuals, the “chilling effect” has emerged as a critical, albeit empirically elusive, variable in 2025. Reports indicate that lawfully present immigrants are increasingly wary of interacting with government systems, including census surveys, school enrollment, and public health services.¹ This behavioral change complicates the task of population counting, as the Census Bureau and other statistical agencies rely heavily on survey responsiveness to calibrate their estimates.

The administration has implemented enhanced vetting protocols, including the scrutiny of five years of social media history for visa applicants and the initiation of “neighborhood investigations” by USCIS.¹ These measures have created an environment where “invisibility” is a survival strategy. If immigrants remove themselves from the data due to fear rather than physical departure, official statistics may severely undercount the actual population present, leading to a mismatch between service demand and funding allocation. Conversely, if they leave the country (“self-deport”) to avoid this scrutiny, the economic impact is immediate in terms of reduced consumption and labor withdrawal.¹⁸

Research from the Center for Immigration Studies and other bodies suggests that this “attrition through enforcement” is a deliberate policy goal, designed to shrink the foreign-born population without requiring a one-to-one ratio of agents to deportations.¹⁵ For demographers, this introduces a “behavioral multiplier” to every enforcement action: for every one person detained, an unknown number of others may choose to emigrate or retreat into the shadows, distorting the “base population” figures used for all future projections.

2. National Projections: The Great Re-estimation

The most immediate, rigorous, and consequential accounting of these changes has come from the Congressional Budget Office (CBO), an agency that has historically been aggressive in incorporating legislative impacts and administrative actions into its demographic baselines. The CBO’s 2025 revisions serve as the primary benchmark for understanding the federal government’s own expectations of population decline.

2.1 CBO's Drastic Revision of Net Immigration

In its September 2025 update, the CBO released demographic projections that stand in stark contrast to its own estimates from just eight months prior in January 2025. The agency now projects that the U.S. population will grow to only 367 million by 2055—a significant downward revision driven almost entirely by changes in net immigration assumptions.⁶

The CBO's methodology explicitly incorporates the "2025 Reconciliation Act" and the suite of executive actions taken by the DHS. The agency's analysts have dissected the funding allocations for detention beds, agent hiring, and removal operations to build a "bottom-up" estimate of migration reduction.

The "Other Foreign National" Category Collapse

The most dramatic adjustment is found in the CBO's "Other Foreign National" category, which encompasses individuals entering without legal status, those entering via parole, or those overstaying visas.

- **January 2025 Estimate:** The CBO had previously projected a robust net inflow of approximately 1.1 million individuals in this category for the year 2025, assuming a continuation of prior trends.
- **September 2025 Revision:** The updated projection revised this figure to a net outflow of 290,000 people.⁶

This swing from positive 1.1 million to negative 290,000 represents a demographic erasure of nearly 1.4 million people in a single year within a single category. The agency attributes this not only to increased removals but to a massive reduction in new entries due to the "deterrent effect" of the new policies.

The Cumulative Impact

The revision is mathematically significant across the projection horizon:

- **2025 Reduction:** Total net immigration is projected to be 1.6 million people fewer than previously estimated.⁴
- **2026 Reduction:** The projection is 960,000 people fewer than the January baseline.⁴

- **Long-term Drag:** From 2027 to 2033, net immigration is expected to be lower by an average of 160,000 people per year.⁴

The CBO's model assumes that the expansion of detention facilities is not a temporary surge but a structural change. They estimate that the new funding will result in 100,000 additional detention beds by 2028, facilitating tens of thousands of additional removals annually and permanently altering the capacity of the U.S. to enforce removal orders.⁶

2.2 Census Bureau and Pew Research: Tracking the Decline

While the CBO projects future flows based on legislative analysis, the U.S. Census Bureau and the Pew Research Center are attempting to measure the current stock of the immigrant population using real-time survey data. Their findings confirm that the demographic contraction predicted by the CBO is already underway and observable.

Historical Context of the Decline

Pew Research analysis of Census Bureau data indicates that the foreign-born population shrank by more than one million people between January and June 2025.²⁰ This represents the first sustained drop in this demographic since the 1960s, marking the end of a half-century trend of continuous growth. The scale of this decline is comparable only to historical repatriation events such as "Operation Wetback" in the 1950s or the exodus following the Great Recession of 2008, yet it is occurring during a period of relative economic stability, isolating policy as the primary driver.

Labor Force Contraction

The impact is particularly visible in labor market data. The share of immigrants in the U.S. labor force dropped from 20% to 19% in just six months, representing a sudden loss of over 750,000 workers.²⁰ This rapid contraction validates the "stickiness" of the immigrant population—the assumption that once people arrive, they stay—has been broken. For demographers, this necessitates a fundamental recalibration of "emigration rates" (the rate at which people leave the U.S.), a variable that has historically been low and stable but is now highly volatile.

Methodological Challenges: The Residual Method

Pew and other demographers rely on the “residual methodology” to estimate unauthorized populations—counting the total foreign-born population via surveys and subtracting the known number of legal residents.²¹ In 2025, this method faces a unique stress test. If the “chilling effect” causes unauthorized immigrants to stop answering the American Community Survey (ACS) while remaining in the country, the residual method will show a false decline. However, if they are actually leaving, the decline is real. The convergence of survey data (Pew) with administrative data (CBO/DHS removals) suggests that a significant portion of the decline is indeed physical, rather than just statistical artifact, though the exact ratio remains a subject of intense debate among experts.¹⁸

3. The Economic Feedback Loop: From Demographics to GDP

The integration of these demographic changes into economic forecasts is painting a picture of constrained growth. The “supply shock” of removing millions of workers and consumers is expected to have tangible macroeconomic consequences, creating a feedback loop where demographic decline slows economic growth, which in turn could influence future migration patterns.

3.1 Labor Market Tightening and the “Demographic Drag”

Major financial institutions have moved quickly to incorporate the 2025 immigration slowdown into their growth models. The consensus is that the U.S. is moving from a period where immigration boosted potential GDP to one where it will act as a drag.

Goldman Sachs: The Potential Growth Downgrade

Goldman Sachs Research has identified the reduction in immigration as a key headwind for the U.S. economy. Their analysts estimate that tighter immigration policy will lower net immigration to roughly 750,000 per year, down significantly

from the pre-pandemic average of 1 million and the recent peak of nearly 3 million.¹⁰

- **Mechanism of Impact:** The primary mechanism is the reduction in labor force growth. Goldman Sachs projects that the contribution of labor force growth to potential GDP will fall from 0.8 percentage points (recent trend) to just 0.3 percentage points over the next few years.¹¹
- **Result:** Consequently, they expect the U.S. economy's potential growth rate to moderate. While they still forecast growth of around 2.4% for 2025 due to other factors like tax cuts, they explicitly note that "reabsorbing labor market slack" will be necessary to replace the role of elevated immigration.²⁴

Moody's Analytics: The "Mass Deportation" Scenarios

Moody's Analytics has modeled more severe scenarios, specifically analyzing the impact of "mass deportations." Their research, drawing on precedents like the exodus of unauthorized immigrants from Arizona in 2008-2015, suggests a non-linear economic hit.

- **GDP Contraction:** Estimates suggest that mass deportations could reduce GDP by 2.6% to 6.2% over the next decade depending on the scale of enforcement.²⁵
- **Regional Disparities:** The impact is highly asymmetric. States with high reliance on unauthorized labor, such as Texas, could see their state economies shrink by as much as 10% relative to baseline projections.²⁵
- **Inflationary Pressure:** The sudden removal of labor is expected to drive up wages in lower-skilled sectors, contributing to inflation. Estimates suggest prices could increase by 9.1% by 2028 due to these supply-side shocks.²⁵

3.2 Sector-Specific Vulnerability

The demographic shock is not evenly distributed across the economy. Certain sectors face an existential crisis regarding their workforce.

- **Agriculture and Construction:** These industries are identified as the most exposed. Undocumented immigrants make up more than a quarter of the workforce in California's construction and agriculture sectors.²⁶ A loss of

workers here does not just mean unfilled jobs; it means reduced output—crops unharvested and housing projects stalled.

- **Hospitality and Leisure:** In Illinois, 20% of the leisure and hospitality workforce consists of immigrants.²⁷ The contraction in this labor pool forces businesses to either reduce hours, automate (where possible), or raise prices, further feeding the inflationary cycle.

4. The Housing Paradox: Supply Constraints vs. Demand Destruction

The housing market in 2025 is caught between two opposing forces triggered by the new immigration policies: “demand destruction” from the removal of households and “supply constriction” due to the loss of construction labor. This creates a paradoxical market outlook where prices may remain high despite a drop in the number of potential occupants.

4.1 The Theory of Demand Destruction

The simplest economic model suggests that deporting millions of people should lower housing costs by freeing up supply.

- **Rental Market Impact:** Research indicates that the unauthorized population is heavily skewed toward the rental market. The sudden removal of these households would theoretically increase vacancy rates and put downward pressure on rents, particularly in immigrant-heavy metros.²⁸
- **Analyst Views:** Some analysts, such as those cited by JPMorgan, argue that reducing immigration could “lessen demand” and potentially help cool housing costs.²⁹

4.2 The Reality of Supply Constraints

However, the construction industry relies heavily on immigrant labor, meaning the policy also destroys the capacity to build new housing.

- **Construction Labor Shortage:** CoreLogic and researchers from the University of Utah warn that deportation-induced labor shortages will severely

slow new housing starts.³⁰ Approximately 42% of construction workers in residential building are foreign-born in many key states. Losing a significant portion of this workforce exacerbates the existing housing shortage.

- **Net Result:** The “supply shock” (inability to build) may outweigh the “demand shock” (fewer renters), preventing the anticipated drop in housing prices. Zillow’s forecast for 2026 predicts a modest 1.2% home value increase—significantly below inflation—suggesting a market that is stalling rather than crashing or booming.³²

5. State-Level Accounting: A Spectrum of Responses

How individual states are incorporating these federal shifts varies significantly, revealing a disjointed national response. While some state demographers are adopting a cautious “wait and see” approach, relying on long-term smoothing techniques, others are sounding alarms as school enrollment data and tax receipts force immediate budget recalibrations.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of State Demographic Responses

State	Projection Entity	Response Strategy	Key Metric / Observation	Risk Assessment
California	Dept. of Finance (DOF)	Reactive / Realistic	Explicitly notes “slower growth” due to policy changes; admits natural increase is constrained.	Critical: High dependency on migration to offset domestic outflow.
Texas	Texas Demographic Center (TDC)	Scenario-Based	Uses “Low Migration” scenarios; projects 139 counties to lose population; Frisco ISD showing immediate deficits.	High: Rapid growth model threatened by labor/enrollment drops.
Florida	Demographic Estimating Conf.	Status Quo / Passive	“Does not explicitly include any discrete adjustments” for new policies; relies on historical smoothing.	Divergent: State model ignores crisis visible in Miami-Dade schools.
New York	Division of the Budget / NYC Planning	Fiscal Pivot	Shift from managing “arrival costs” to managing “departure losses” (tax base erosion).	Moderate: Fiscal risk shifts from expenditure to revenue loss.
Illinois	Dept. of Public Health (IDPH)	Structural Decline	Projects net migration loss of -40,000 annually; acknowledges migration is the major component of change.	High: Existing population loss accelerates without immigrant backfill.
Arizona	Office of Economic Opportunity	Growth Deceleration	Projects population growth to tick up in 2025 then fall; labor constraints in construction noted.	Moderate: Housing/labor market collision imminent.

5.1 California: The Demographic Cliff

California faces perhaps the most precarious demographic future. The state has long relied on international migration to act as a demographic “patch,” offsetting its severe domestic out-migration (residents moving to other states).

- **The Offset Failure:** In recent years, international arrivals were the only factor preventing absolute population decline. For instance, without foreign immigration, California would have lost 85,000 people in the prior year; instead, it gained 49,000.³⁴
- **2025 Reality:** With the new federal restrictions, the California Department of Finance (DOF) acknowledges that “natural increase is constrained” and that net domestic migration remains negative. The DOF’s latest estimates explicitly note that “with change in policy for international humanitarian migration... California is likely to experience slower growth”.⁹
- **Economic Impact:** The Bay Area Council Economic Institute estimates that mass deportations could curb California’s construction GDP by 16% and agriculture by 14%.²⁶ The state is effectively losing its replacement population, leading to a faster aging of the workforce and a potential contraction in the tax base.

5.2 Texas: Modeling “Low Migration” Scenarios

The Texas Demographic Center (TDC) has been proactive in creating varied scenarios to account for uncertainty, recognizing that the “Texas Miracle” of growth is heavily dependent on migration.

- **Scenario Planning:** The TDC’s Vintage 2024 projections include a “Low Migration Scenario” that aligns with the current federal environment. Under the Mid-Migration scenario, 139 counties were already projected to lose population; under a low migration regime, this number expands.⁸
- **The Triangle vs. The Border:** While the “Texas Triangle” (Dallas-Houston-Austin) continues to grow, the mechanisms of that growth are shifting. The TDC notes that future growth will depend almost entirely on migration as birth rates decline. If international migration is cut off, the state’s growth rate will decelerate significantly, potentially threatening the labor supply needed for its booming tech and energy sectors.

- **Local Indicators:** The impact is already visible in high-growth districts. Frisco ISD, once the fastest-growing district in the nation, is projecting enrollment declines and revenue shortfalls. The district explicitly cites “shifting migration patterns” alongside lower birth rates as a primary driver.³⁵

5.3 Florida: The Miami-Dade Anomaly

Florida presents a fascinating case study in the disconnect between state-level bureaucracy and local reality.

- **State Level:** The Florida Demographic Estimating Conference (meeting in December 2025) explicitly stated that their forecast “does not explicitly include any discrete adjustments for migration policies”.⁷ They are maintaining a status quo projection model, essentially betting that the enforcement changes are temporary or their impact overstated.
- **Local Level (Miami-Dade):** In contrast, Miami-Dade County Public Schools are in crisis mode. The district reported a drop of 13,000 students at the start of the 2025 school year. Superintendent Jose Dotres attributed this directly to “less immigration into our country,” noting a plunge in new foreign-born student registrations.³⁷ The district is anticipating a \$70 million budget impact due to this enrollment decline.³⁹ This divergence suggests that Florida’s state-level projections may be overly optimistic and due for a significant downward revision in 2026 once the local data aggregates up to the state level.

5.4 New York: From Fiscal Strain to Economic Loss

New York’s narrative has shifted from the cost of caring for asylum seekers to the economic cost of losing them.

- **Population Estimates:** NYC’s planning department noted upward revisions in 2023 due to better accounting of migrants, but the 2025 enforcement changes threaten to reverse this progress.⁴⁰
- **Fiscal Double-Edged Sword:** While the cessation of new arrivals may reduce immediate shelter costs (which were running billions over budget), the departure of established immigrants threatens the tax base. The Immigration Research Initiative estimates that newly arriving immigrants contribute

significantly to state and local taxes; their removal implies a long-term revenue hit.⁴¹ The state is realizing that immigrants were not just a cost center but a “cash cow” for systems like the Essential Plan (health insurance), which relied on federal funding tied to these populations.⁴²

5.5 Illinois and Arizona: Structural Vulnerabilities

- **Illinois:** The Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) projects a net migration loss of 40,000 people annually for the 2020-2025 period.⁴³ The state acknowledges that migration is the “major component of population change.” With the new federal policies, Illinois lacks the international inflow to offset its chronic domestic out-migration, potentially accelerating its overall population decline.
- **Arizona:** The Arizona Office of Economic Opportunity projects population growth to “tick up” in 2025 before falling back. However, the state is acutely vulnerable to the economic fallout. Moody’s Analytics found that during Arizona’s previous strict enforcement era (2008-2015), the state’s GDP was reduced by an average of 2% a year due to the exodus of workers.¹² The state is facing a repeat of this economic dampening on a larger scale.

6. The Education Crisis: The Canary in the Coal Mine

School enrollment data is often the leading indicator of demographic shifts, moving faster than Census estimates or tax filings. In 2025, school districts are serving as the primary evidence of the “mass deportation” impact, registering declines that fiscal planners have yet to fully account for.

6.1 Enrollment Cliffs and Budget Deficits

Public school funding in the U.S. is largely determined by enrollment numbers (Average Daily Attendance). The 2025 immigration policies have triggered immediate declines in enrollment, particularly of “newcomer” students, leading to instant budget deficits.

Los Angeles Unified (LAUSD): A Climate of Fear

LAUSD reported a 4% drop in enrollment for the 2025-26 school year, nearly 2% below their own internal projections. Superintendent Alberto Carvalho explicitly linked this to “immigration raids that have terrorized LA communities,” noting a significant decline in students born outside the U.S.⁴⁵ The district estimates this enrollment loss could translate into an annual budget shortfall of approximately \$140 million.⁴⁶

Miami-Dade: The Pipeline Collapse

As noted in the state analysis, Miami-Dade lost 13,000 students. The drop in new immigrant enrollment is precipitous: roughly 2,550 new foreign-born students enrolled in the 2025 school year, down from nearly 14,000 the previous year.³⁹ This represents an \~80% collapse in the pipeline of new students. The district has established a reserve for full-time equivalent (FTE) students to manage the financial shock, but the structural loss of funding is severe.⁴⁷

Frisco ISD (Texas): The Suburban Shift

Even suburban districts are not immune. Frisco ISD, faced with a \$17 million revenue loss, is grappling with a new reality where housing turnover no longer yields new students. The district’s demographer notes that “homes aren’t turning over as quickly” and migration patterns have shifted.³⁶ This suggests that the immigration slowdown is affecting not just low-income urban districts but the suburban growth engines of the Sun Belt.

6.2 The “Chilling Effect” on Attendance

Beyond enrollment (who is on the roster), attendance (who shows up) is suffering. Research from previous enforcement crackdowns (e.g., “Operation Return to Sender”) suggests that absenteeism spikes among immigrant students during periods of high enforcement activity.

- **Mechanism:** Parents are afraid to leave their homes to drop off children, or fear that schools may be targeted by ICE (despite sensitive location policies).

- **Impact:** In California’s Central Valley, student absences spiked after Border Patrol operations, with pre-kindergarten absences rising by an estimated 35%.⁴⁹ Since funding is often tied to attendance rather than just enrollment, this creates a “double hit” to school budgets.

7. Conclusion: The Era of Demographic Uncertainty

The year 2025 has introduced a level of volatility into population projections not seen in decades. The “America First” enforcement policies have successfully severed the link to historical immigration trends, rendering past models obsolete.

Entities that rely on population growth—from school districts planning bond measures to pension funds calculating future ratios—must now account for a “shrinking” variable. The data from 2025 suggests that the demographic impact is rapid and significant: schools empty out faster than state models can update, and the labor force contracts before automation can fill the gap.

Moving forward, the accuracy of any population projection will depend on its ability to model the “unseen” data: the people who leave before they are counted, the students who never enroll, and the workers who never arrive. As the CBO and private analysts have shown, the direction of the trend is clear—downward—but the depth of the demographic valley remains to be seen.

Implications for Strategic Planning

- **School Districts:** Must prepare for immediate revenue shortfalls; consolidation of campuses may be necessary in historically high-growth immigrant hubs. Budgeting should assume a “low migration” baseline.
- **State Budgets:** Revenue forecasts based on consumption taxes and income growth need to be stress-tested against a “mass deportation” scenario. States like Florida that are ignoring the data risk mid-year fiscal crises.
- **Private Sector:** Industries reliant on low-skilled labor (Ag, Construction, Hospitality) must accelerate investments in automation or prepare for permanent wage inflation due to labor scarcity. The “cheap labor” era has effectively ended.

- **Housing Market:** Developers should be wary of projecting demand based on pre-2025 population curves. The “household formation” rate is likely to drop, softening rental demand even as construction costs rise due to labor shortages.

Works cited

1. Making America Safe Again: U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services End-of-Year Review Demonstrates Impact of Rigorous Immigration Crackdown, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.uscis.gov/newsroom/news-releases/making-america-safe AGAIN-US-CITIZENSHIP-and-immigration-services-end-of-year-review-demonstrates>
2. A Guide to Immigration Policy Changes in 2025 - Bloomberg Government, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://about.bgov.com/insights/federal-policy/a-guide-to-immigration-policy-changes-in-2025/>
3. The Demographic Outlook: 2025 to 2055 | Congressional Budget Office, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.cbo.gov/publication/61164>
4. accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.cbo.gov/publication/61735#:~:text=CBO%20now%20estimates%20that%20net,average%2C%20from%202027%20to%202033.>
5. An Update to the Demographic Outlook, 2025 to 2055 - Congressional Budget Office, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.cbo.gov/system/files/2025-09/61390-demographic-update.pdf>
6. An Update to the Demographic Outlook, 2025 to 2055 | Congressional Budget Office, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.cbo.gov/publication/61735>
7. Executive Summary - Economic and Demographic Research (EDR), accessed December 28, 2025, <https://edr.state.fl.us/content/conferences/population/demographicsummary.pdf>
8. Texas Future in Numbers: Population Projections Explained, accessed December 28, 2025, https://demographics.texas.gov/Resources/TDC/Presentations/29f2de6b-4625-4620-aa9e-2e14-ab1fd139/20251022_TDCProjectionWebinar.pdf
9. E-2. California County Population Estimates and Components of ..., accessed December 28, 2025, <https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/estimates/E-2/>
10. The US economy is poised to beat expectations in 2025 | Goldman Sachs, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.goldmansachs.com/insights/articles/the-us-economy-is-poised-to-beat-expectations-in-2025>
11. What Is the US Economy's Potential Growth Rate? | Goldman Sachs, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.goldmansachs.com/insights/articles/what-is-the-us-economys-potential-growth-rate>
12. The Economic Impact on Citizens and Authorized Immigrants of Mass Deportation - Carsey School of Public Policy - University of New Hampshire, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://carsey.unh.edu/sites/default/files/media/2025-01/literature-review-economic-impact-mass-deportation.pdf>
13. Trump Immigration Policy Changes in 2025 - USAHello, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://usahello.org/2025-immigration-policies/>

14. Legislative Bulletin — Friday, June 6, 2025 - National Immigration Forum, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://forumtogether.org/article/legislative-bulletin-friday-june-6-2025/>
15. DHS: 600,000+ Deportations Since Inauguration Day - Center for Immigration Studies, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://cis.org/Arthur/DHS-600000-Deportations-Inauguration-Day>
16. A New Era of Immigration Enforcement Unfolds in the U.S. Interior and at the Border under Trump 2.0 - Migration Policy Institute, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.migration-policy.org/news/new-era-enforcement-trump-2>
17. Trump officials move to screen visa applicants' posts for 'anti-American' speech, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/immigration/2025/12/26/trump-immigration-speech-target/>
18. That reported multi-million decline in US immigrants just doesn't add up | PIIE, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.piie.com/blogs/realtme-economics/2025/reported-multi-million-decline-us-immigrants-just-doesnt-add>
19. An Update to the Demographic Outlook, 2025 to 2055 | Congressional Budget Office, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.cbo.gov/publication/61390>
20. What the data says about immigrants in the U.S. - Pew Research Center, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2025/08/21/key-findings-about-us-immigrants/>
21. Unauthorized Immigrant Estimates - Pew Research Center, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.pewresearch.org/unauthorized-immigrant-estimates/>
22. Methodology A: Unauthorized immigrant estimates - Pew Research Center, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.pewresearch.org/race-and-ethnicity/2025/08/21/unauthorized-immigrants-methodology-a-unauthorized-immigrant-estimates/>
23. How will declining immigration impact the US economy? - Goldman Sachs, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.goldmansachs.com/insights/articles/how-will-declining-immigration-impact-the-us-economy>
24. 2025 US Economic Outlook: New Policies, Similar Path (Mericle) - Goldman Sachs, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.goldmansachs.com/pdfs/insights/goldman-sachs-research/2025-us-economic-outlook-new-policies-similar-path/2025USEconomicOutlook.pdf>
25. Social and Economic Effects of Expanded Deportation Measures - Baker Institute, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.bakerinstitute.org/research/social-and-economic-effects-expanded-deportation-measures>
26. California's population shrank in Trump's first immigration crackdown. It could happen again, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://calmatters.org/politics/2025/06/trumps-first-immigration-crackdown-shrank-californias-population-it-could-happen-again/>
27. 2025 Illinois Fact Sheet, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://d46.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/Immigration-2025IllinoisFactSheet.pdf>
28. The Consequences of Illegal Immigration for Housing Affordability, Government Budgets, and American Workers, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://oversight.house.gov/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/Camarota-Testimony.pdf>
29. The Outlook for the U.S. Housing Market in 2025 - J.P. Morgan, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.jpmorgan.com/insights/global-research/real-estate/us-housing-market-outlook>

30. Mass Deportations Would Worsen Our Housing Crisis - Urban Institute, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.urban.org/urban-wire/mass-deportations-would-worsen-our-housing-crisis>
31. Research Finds Deportation Could Lead to Economic Strife, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://marriner.eccles.utah.edu/research-finds-deportation-could-lead-to-economic-strife/>
32. 7 Tips Based on Zillow's 2026 Housing Market Predictions, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.zillow.com/agents/market-report-2026-predictions/>
33. Zillow's 2026 Housing Market Predictions - Zillow Research, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.zillow.com/research/2026-housing-predictions-35800/>
34. THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF MASS DEPORTATION IN CALIFORNIA, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.bayareaeconomy.org/files/pdf/EconomicImpactOfMassDeportation-Jun-e2025.pdf>
35. TDC - in the News - Texas Demographic Center, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://demographics.texas.gov/News>
36. Frisco | March 2025 - Community Impact, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://editions.communityimpact.com/view/262859533/23/>
37. Enrollment in Miami-Dade schools is lower at the start of this year. Why? - WLRN, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.wlrn.org/light/education/2025-08-27/miami-dade-public-schools-enrollment>
38. Miami-Dade Schools enrollment drops by over 13000 students, prompting budget cuts, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.cbsnews.com/miami/news/miami-dade-school-enrollment-decline-2024-budget-cuts/>
39. Miami-Dade Schools See Sharp Drop in New Immigrant Students, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.miaminewtimes.com/news/miami-dade-schools-see-sharp-drop-in-new-immigrant-students-40500175/>
40. New York City's Population Estimates and Trends 2025 - NYC.gov, accessed December 28, 2025, https://www.nyc.gov/assets/planning/downloads/pdf/our-work/reports/new-york-city-population-estimates-and-trends_may-2025.pdf
41. New Immigrants Arriving in the New York City: Economic Projections, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://immresearch.org/publications/new-immigrants-arriving-in-the-new-york-city-economic-projections/>
42. How Immigrants Became a Cash Cow for New York's Essential Plan - Empire Center, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.empirecenter.org/publications/how-immigrants-became-a-cash-cow-for-new-yorks-essential-plan/>
43. Population Projections | Illinois Department of Public Health, accessed December 28, 2025, https://dph.illinois.gov/content/dam/soi/en/web/idph/publications/idph/data-and-statistics/vital-statistics/illinois-population-data/population-projections_202035.pdf
44. Arizona's Economy Navigates High Seas | Eller College of Management, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://eller.arizona.edu/news/arizonas-economy-navigates-high-seas>
45. LA Unified Loses Students Across the District as Immigration Sweeps Intensify, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.pbsocal.org/news-community/la-unified-loses-students-across-the-district-as-immigration-sweeps-intensify>

46. LAUSD Sees Sharp Decline in Newcomer Enrollment as Immigration Crackdowns Strain Schools and Finances - American Community Media, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://americancommunitymedia.org/education/lausd-sees-sharp-decline-in-newcomer-enrollment-as-immigration-crackdowns-strain-schools-and-finances/>
47. Miami-Dade School Board Approves Budget for 2025-26 - M-DCPS Office of Communications, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://news.dadeschools.net/cmnc/new/36540>
48. Frisco ISD was once the fastest-growing district in Texas. Now, it's facing a new reality, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://www.keranews.org/education/2025-12-10/frisco-isd-fastest-growing-district-texas-student-enrollment>
49. Student absences spiked in California, test scores fell in Florida amid surge in US immigration enforcement - The Journalist's Resource, accessed December 28, 2025, <https://journalistsresource.org/education/students-absent-test-scores-immigration-enforcement-arrest-research/>