

1. Note that Pacman will have suicidal tendencies when playing in situations where death is imminent. Why do you think this is the case? Briefly explain in **one or two sentences**. (2 points total)
Because if Pacman is about to die, then it would be better to die earlier rather than later score-wise.
2. You should notice a speed-up compared to your MinimaxAgent. Consider a game tree constructed for our Pacman game, where b is the branching factor and where depth is greater than d . Say a minimax agent (without alpha-beta pruning) has time to explore all game states up to and including those at level d . At level d , this agent will return estimated minimax values from the evaluation function.
 - (a) In the best case scenario, to what depth would alpha-beta be able to search in the same amount of time? (1 point total)
 $O(b^{2d})$ (twice as deep).
 - (b) In the worst case scenario, to what depth would alpha-beta be able to search in the same amount of time? How might this compare with the minimax agent without alpha-beta pruning? (2 points total)
 $O(b^d)$ if no pruning occurs, which is the same as normal minimax.
3. **True or False:** Consider a game tree where the root node is a max agent, and we perform a minimax search to terminals. Applying alpha-beta pruning to the same game tree may alter the minimax value of the root node. (1 point total)
False, alpha-beta pruning will always give the minimax value for the root node as minimax would, however, if there are multiple optimal paths, we may get a different path with alpha-beta pruning.
4. Consider a game tree where the root node is a max node, and the minimax value of the tree is v_M . Consider a similar tree where the root node is a max node, but each min node is replaced with a chance node, where the expectimax value of the game tree is v_E . For each of the following, decide whether the statement is **True or False** and briefly explain in **one or two sentences** your answer.
 - (a) **True or False:** v_M is always **less than or equal to** v_E . Explain your answer. (2 points)
True, if we translate the minimax min node values into chance nodes, it would just have a probability of 1 for choosing the min child, with every other child being chosen with a probability of 0. Meanwhile a real chance node should never have probabilities of 0 or 1 (otherwise there is no chance involved), but even if it did, mathematically $v_M \leq v_E$
 - (b) **True or False:** If we apply the optimal **minimax** policy to the game tree with chance nodes, we are guaranteed to result in a payoff of at least v_M . Explain your answer. (2 points)
False, consider the expectimax tree on the following page with probabilities on the right child flipped, so the new expected value is $19.83 = 0.99 \times 20 + 0.01 \times 3$. The v_M value would be 5 (left child) in this tree, but minimax would choose the right child since the expected value of the right child is higher. But we could get unlucky and get a value of 3 from the right child instead of 20.
 - (c) **True or False:** If we apply the optimal **minimax** policy to the game tree with chance nodes, we are guaranteed a payoff of at least v_E . Explain your answer. (2 points)
False, the expectimax value v_E is an average of child values, so it is possible that by dumb luck the probabilistic opponent chooses a lower value than v_E .

